1 LMVAR: a linear model with heteroscedasticity

This vignette describes in more detail the mathematical aspects of the model with which the lmvar package is concerned. A short description can be found in the vignette 'Intro' of this package.

Assume that a stochastic vector $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has a multivariate normal distribution as

$$Y \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\mu^*, \Sigma^*) \tag{1}$$

in which $\mu^{\star} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the expected value and $\Sigma^{\star} \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ a diagonal covariance matrix

$$\Sigma_{ij}^{\star} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ (\sigma_i^{\star})^2 & i = j. \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Assume that the vector of expectation values μ^* is linearly dependent on the values of the covariates in a model matrix X_{μ} :

$$\mu^{\star} = X_{\mu} \beta_{\mu}^{\star} \tag{3}$$

with $X_{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^{n,k_{\mu}}$ and $\beta_{\mu}^{\star} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{\mu}}$.

Similarly, assume that the vector $\sigma^* = (\sigma_1^*, \dots, \sigma_n^*)$ depends on the covariates in a model matrix X_{σ} as

$$\log \sigma^{\star} = X_{\sigma} \beta_{\sigma}^{\star} \tag{4}$$

where $\log \sigma^* = (\log \sigma_1^*, \dots, \log \sigma_n^*), X_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n,k_{\sigma}}$ and $\beta_{\sigma}^* \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{\sigma}}$. The logarithm is taken to be the 'natural logarithm', i.e., with base e.

We assume $n \geq k_{\mu} + k_{\sigma}$ to avoid having an overdetermined system when we calculate estimators for β_{μ}^{\star} and β_{σ}^{\star} , as explained in the next section.

If we take X_{σ} a $n \times 1$ matrix in which each element is equal to 1, we have the standard linear model.

The parameter vector β_{μ}^{\star} is defined uniquely only if X_{μ} is full-rank. If not, the space $\mathbb{R}^{k_{\mu}}$ can be split into subspaces such that there is a uniquely defined β_{μ}^{\star} in each subspace. The way lmvar treats this is as follows. If the user-supplied X_{μ} is not full-rank, lmvar removes just enough columns from the matrix to make it full-rank. This amounts to selecting β_{μ}^{\star} from the subspace in which all vector elements corresponding to the removed columns, are set to zero.

In the same way, if the user-supplied X_{σ} is not full-rank, just enough columns are removed to make it so. This defines a subspace in which β_{σ}^{\star} is defined uniquely.

In what follows we assume that X_{μ} and X_{σ} are the matrices after the columns have been removed, i.e., they are full-rank matrices. The vector elements that are set to zero, drop out of β_{μ}^{\star} and β_{σ}^{\star} and the dimensions k_{μ} and k_{σ} are reduced accordingly. These reduced dimensions are returned by the function dfree in the lmvar package.

2 Maximum-likelihood equations

A vector element Y_i is distributed as

$$Y_i \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i^*} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{Y_i - \mu_i^*}{\sigma_i^*}\right)^2\right).$$
 (5)

The logarithm of the likelihood \mathcal{L} is defined as

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\beta_{\mu}, \beta_{\sigma}) = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\log \sigma_k + \frac{(y_k - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma_k^2}). \tag{6}$$

for all vectors $\beta_{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{\mu}}$ and $\beta_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{\sigma}}$ and μ and σ defined as

$$\mu = X_{\mu}\beta_{\mu}$$

$$\log \sigma = X_{\sigma}\beta_{\sigma}.$$
(7)

We are looking for $\hat{\beta}_{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{\mu}}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{\sigma}}$ that maximize the log-likelihood:

$$(\hat{\beta}_{\mu}, \, \hat{\beta}_{\sigma}) = \underset{(\beta_{\mu}, \beta_{\sigma}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{\mu}} \times \mathbb{R}^{k_{\sigma}}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log \mathcal{L}(\beta_{\mu}, \beta_{\sigma}). \tag{8}$$

These maximum likelihood estimators are taken to be the estimators of β_{μ}^{\star} and β_{σ}^{\star} . We assume that $\hat{\beta}_{\mu}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{\sigma}$ thus defined, exist and are unique.

Given $\hat{\beta}_{\sigma}$, this is true for $\hat{\beta}_{\mu}$. Namely, given any β_{σ} , $\log \mathcal{L}$ is maximized by the β_{μ} which is the solution of

$$\nabla_{\beta_{n}} \log \mathcal{L} = 0 \tag{9}$$

where $\nabla_{\beta_{\mu}}$ stands for the gradient $(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{\mu,1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{\mu,n}})$.

This solution is

$$\beta_{\mu} = \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} y. \tag{10}$$

with $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ defined as in (2) but with β_{σ} arbitrary:

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ \sigma_i^2 & i = j. \end{cases}$$
 (11)

Because of our assumption that X_{μ} is full rank, the inverse of the matrix $X_{\mu}^T \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu}$ can be taken.

It is easy to see that the solution (10) represents a maximum in the log-likelihood. The matrix $H_{\mu\mu}$ of second-order derivatives

$$(H_{\mu\mu})_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \beta_{\mu i} \partial \beta_{\mu j}} \tag{12}$$

is given by

$$H_{\mu\mu} = -X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu}, \tag{13}$$

which is negative-definite for any β_{σ} .

Our maximization search can now be carried out in a smaller space:

$$\hat{\beta_{\sigma}} = \underset{\beta_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{\sigma}}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log \mathcal{L}_{P}(\beta_{\sigma}) \tag{14}$$

where \mathcal{L}_P is the so-called profile-likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}_P(\beta_\sigma) = \mathcal{L}(\beta_\mu(\beta_\sigma), \beta_\sigma). \tag{15}$$

with β_{μ} depending on β_{σ} as in (10).

To find $\hat{\beta}_{\sigma}$ from (14), we must solve

$$(\nabla_{\beta_{\mu}} \log \mathcal{L}) (\nabla_{\beta_{\sigma}} \beta_{\mu}) + \nabla_{\beta_{\sigma}} \log \mathcal{L} = 0$$
(16)

evaluated at $\beta_{\mu} = \beta_{\mu}(\beta_{\sigma})$, and $(\nabla_{\beta_{\sigma}}\beta_{\mu})$ the matrix

$$(\nabla_{\beta_{\sigma}}\beta_{\mu})_{ij} = \frac{\partial \beta_{\mu i}}{\partial \beta_{\sigma i}}.$$
 (17)

However, because of (9), the first term in (16) vanishes and we are left to solve

$$\nabla_{\beta_{\sigma}} \log \mathcal{L} = 0. \tag{18}$$

The derivatives that are the elements of this gradient are given by

$$\frac{\partial \log \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta_{\sigma i}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(-(X_{\sigma})_{ki} + \frac{(y_k - \mu_k)^2}{\sigma_k^2} (X_{\sigma})_{ki} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{(y_k - \mu_k)^2}{\sigma_k^2} - 1 \right) (X_{\sigma})_{ki}.$$
(19)

The entire gradient can be written as a matrix-product as

$$\nabla_{\beta_{\sigma}} \log \mathcal{L} = X_{\sigma}^{T} \lambda_{\sigma} \tag{20}$$

with λ_{σ} a vector of length n whose elements $\lambda_{\sigma i}$ are

$$\lambda_{\sigma i} = \left(\frac{y_i - \mu_i}{\sigma_i}\right)^2 - 1. \tag{21}$$

The maximum-likelihood equations (18) take the form

$$X_{\sigma}^{T} \lambda_{\sigma} = 0. (22)$$

The estimate μ of the expectation value that appears in λ_{σ} depends on β_{σ} as

$$\mu = X_{\mu} \beta_{\mu}$$

$$= X_{\mu} \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} y$$

$$= \Sigma^{-1/2} X_{\mu} \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1/2} y$$
(23)

where the latter form is the more symmetric, with

$$\left(\Sigma^{-1/2}\right)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ \frac{1}{\sigma_i} & i = j. \end{cases}$$
 (24)

The vector $(y-\mu)/\sigma$, which i-th element is $(y_i-\mu_i)/\sigma_i$, can be written as

$$\frac{y - \mu}{\sigma} = \Sigma^{-1/2} \left[I - \Sigma^{-1/2} X_{\mu} \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1/2} \right] y \tag{25}$$

in which $I \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ is the identity matrix.

2.1 Profile-likelihood Hessian

Numerical procedures to solve the maximum-likelihood equations $X_{\sigma}^{T}\lambda_{\sigma} = 0$ involve the calculation of the Hessian H_{P} of the profile log-likelihood. H_{P} is the matrix of second-order derivatives of log \mathcal{L}_{P} :

$$(H_P)_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \log \mathcal{L}_P}{\partial \beta_{\sigma i} \partial \beta_{\sigma i}} \tag{26}$$

Differentiation of (19) gives for the second-order derivatives

$$(H_P)_{ij} = -2\sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_{\sigma}^T)_{ik} \frac{y_k - \mu_k}{\sigma_k^2} \left\{ \frac{\partial \mu_k}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}} + (y_k - \mu_k)(X_{\sigma})_{kj} \right\}$$
(27)

with $\partial \mu_k/(\partial \beta_{\sigma j})$ the element at row k and column j of the matrix $(\nabla_{\beta_{\sigma}}\mu)$. Given that $\mu = X_{\mu}\beta_{\mu}$ and β_{μ} is given by (10), the j-th column vector of the matrix is

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}} = X_{\mu} \frac{\partial \beta_{\mu}}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}}
= X_{\mu} \left\{ \frac{\partial \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1}}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}} X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} + \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^{T} \frac{\partial \Sigma^{-1}}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}} \right\} y
= X_{\mu} \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} \left\{ -X_{\mu}^{T} \frac{\partial \Sigma^{-1}}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}} X_{\mu} \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} + X_{\mu}^{T} \frac{\partial \Sigma^{-1}}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}} \right\} y
= X_{\mu} \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^{T} \frac{\partial \Sigma^{-1}}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}} \left\{ -X_{\mu} \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} + I \right\} y
= X_{\mu} \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^{T} \frac{\partial \Sigma^{-1}}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}} (y - \mu)$$
(28)

The matrix $\partial \Sigma^{-1}/(\partial \beta_{\sigma i})$ takes the form

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma^{-1}}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \Sigma^{-1}}{\partial \sigma_{i}} \frac{\partial \sigma_{i}}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}}$$

$$= -2 \begin{pmatrix} (X_{\sigma})_{1j} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & (X_{\sigma})_{nj} \end{pmatrix} \Sigma^{-1}$$
(29)

The j-th column vector of the matrix is

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}} = -2X_{\mu} \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y_{1} - \mu_{1}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}} \left(X_{\sigma} \right)_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{y_{n} - \mu_{n}}{\sigma_{n}^{2}} \left(X_{\sigma} \right)_{nj} \end{pmatrix}$$
(30)

and the element $(\nabla_{\beta_{\sigma}}\mu)_{kj}$ of the matrix $(\nabla_{\beta_{\sigma}}\mu)$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial \mu_k}{\partial \beta_{\sigma j}} = -2 \sum_{l=1}^n \left(X_{\mu} \left(X_{\mu}^T \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^T \right)_{kl} \frac{y_l - \mu_l}{\sigma_l^2} \left(X_{\sigma} \right)_{lj}. \tag{31}$$

If we substitute this result in (27), we obtain for the element at row i and column j of the Hessian:

$$(H_{P})_{ij} = 4 \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} (X_{\sigma}^{T})_{ik} \frac{y_{k} - \mu_{k}}{\sigma_{k}^{2}} \left(X_{\mu} \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^{T} \right)_{kl} \frac{y_{l} - \mu_{l}}{\sigma_{l}^{2}} (X_{\sigma})_{lj} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_{\sigma}^{T})_{ik} \left(\frac{y_{k} - \mu_{k}}{\sigma_{k}} \right)^{2} (X_{\sigma})_{kj}.$$

$$(32)$$

We can write the Hessian as a matrix-product as

$$H_P = X_{\sigma}^T \Lambda_1 X_{\mu} \left(X_{\mu}^T \Sigma^{-1} X_{\mu} \right)^{-1} X_{\mu}^T \Lambda_1 X_{\sigma} + X_{\sigma}^T \Lambda_2 X_{\sigma}$$
 (33)

with two $n \times n$ diagonal matrices

$$(\Lambda_1)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ 2 \frac{y_i - \mu_i}{\sigma_i^2} & i = j \end{cases} \qquad (\Lambda_2)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ -2 \left(\frac{y_i - \mu_i}{\sigma_i} \right)^2 & i = j. \end{cases}$$
(34)

3 Distributions for estimators

Asymptotic theory of maximum-likelihood estimators tells that the vector of the combined estimators $(\hat{\beta}_{\mu}, \hat{\beta}_{\sigma})$ as defined in (8), is distributed approximately as

$$(\hat{\beta}_{\mu}, \hat{\beta}_{\sigma}) \sim \mathcal{N}_{k_{\mu}+k_{\sigma}} ((\beta_{\mu}^{\star}, \beta_{\sigma}^{\star}), \Sigma_{\beta\beta})$$
 for n large. (35)

This distribution is valid in the limit of a large number of observations n.

The covariance matrix $\Sigma_{\beta\beta}$ is given in terms of the inverse Fisher information matrix I_n :

$$\Sigma_{\beta\beta} = \frac{1}{n} I_n^{-1}. \tag{36}$$

The Fisher information matrix is given in terms of the expected value of the Hessian at $\beta_{\mu} = \beta_{\mu}^{\star}$ and $\beta_{\sigma} = \beta_{\sigma}^{\star}$:

$$I_n = -\frac{1}{n}E[H^*]. \tag{37}$$

The Hessian H is the Hessian of the full log-likelihood, in contrast to the profilelikelihood Hessian:

$$H^{\star} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\mu\mu}^{\star} & H_{\mu\sigma}^{\star} \\ H_{\mu\sigma}^{\star}^{T} & H_{\sigma\sigma}^{\star} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (38)

with the three block-matrices defined as

$$(H_{\mu\mu}^{\star})_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \beta_{\mu i} \partial \beta_{\mu j}}, \ (H_{\mu\sigma}^{\star})_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \beta_{\mu i} \partial \beta_{\sigma j}}, \ (H_{\sigma\sigma}^{\star})_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \beta_{\sigma i} \partial \beta_{\sigma j}}$$
(39)

evaluated at $\beta_{\mu} = \beta_{\mu}^{\star}$ and $\beta_{\sigma} = \beta_{\sigma}^{\star}$. We have already calculated $H_{\mu\mu}$ in (13). The other block matrices are given

$$(H_{\mu\sigma}^{\star})_{ij} = -2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{y_{k} - \mu_{k}^{\star}}{\sigma_{k}^{\star 2}} (X_{\mu})_{ki} (X_{\sigma})_{kj}$$

$$(H_{\sigma\sigma}^{\star})_{ij} = -2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{y_{k} - \mu_{k}^{\star}}{\sigma_{k}^{\star}}\right)^{2} (X_{\sigma})_{ki} (X_{\sigma})_{kj} .$$

In matrix notation:

$$H_{\mu\mu}^{\star} = -X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{\star - 1} X_{\mu}, \qquad H_{\mu\sigma}^{\star} = -X_{\mu}^{T} \Lambda_{1}^{\star} X_{\sigma}, \qquad H_{\sigma\sigma}^{\star} = X_{\sigma}^{T} \Lambda_{2}^{\star} X_{\sigma}. \tag{40}$$

with Λ_1^* equal to Λ_1 with $\mu = \mu^*$ and $\sigma = \sigma^*$, and likewise for Λ_2^* .

When we take expected values and keep in mind that

$$E[Y - \mu^*] = 0$$

$$E[(Y_i - \mu_i^*)(Y_j - \mu_j^*)] = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ {\sigma_i^*}^2 & i = j \end{cases},$$

we arrive at

$$E[H_{\mu\mu}^{\star}] = -X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{\star - 1} X_{\mu}, \ E[H_{\mu\sigma}^{\star}] = 0, \ E[H_{\sigma\sigma}^{\star}] = -2X_{\sigma}^{T} X_{\sigma}$$
 (41)

This brings the expected value of the Hessian in the form

$$E[H^{\star}] = -\begin{pmatrix} X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{\star - 1} X_{\mu} & 0\\ 0 & 2X_{\sigma}^{T} X_{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{42}$$

The function fisher in the lmvar package calculates the Fisher information matrix. It estimates $E[H^*]$ by replacing the true but unknown σ^* by its maximumlikelihood estimator $\hat{\sigma}$ in Σ^* .

The expectation value (42) brings the covariance matrix $\Sigma_{\beta\beta}$ in the form

$$\Sigma_{\beta\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{\star - 1} X_{\mu}\right)^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(X_{\sigma}^{T} X_{\sigma}\right)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{43}$$

This implies that $\hat{\beta}_{\mu}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{\sigma}$ are independent stochastic variables distributed as

$$\hat{\beta}_{\mu} \sim \mathcal{N}_{k_{\mu}}(\beta_{\mu}^{\star}, \left(X_{\mu}^{T} \Sigma^{\star - 1} X_{\mu}\right)^{-1})$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{\sigma} \sim \mathcal{N}_{k_{\sigma}}(\beta_{\sigma}^{\star}, \frac{1}{2} \left(X_{\sigma}^{T} X_{\sigma}\right)^{-1})$$
for n large. (44)

We obtain for the asymptotic distribution of the maximum-likelihood estimators of μ^\star and σ^\star

$$\hat{\mu} \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\mu^*, X_\mu \left(X_\mu^T \Sigma^{*-1} X_\mu \right)^{-1} X_\mu^T)$$
 for n large. (45)
$$\log \hat{\sigma} \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\log \sigma^*, \frac{1}{2} X_\sigma \left(X_\sigma^T X_\sigma \right)^{-1} X_\sigma^T)$$

The expectation value and the variance for an element $\hat{\sigma}_i$ of $\hat{\sigma}$ are

$$E[\hat{\sigma}_i] = \sigma_i^* \exp\left(\frac{\left(X_\sigma \left(X_\sigma^T X_\sigma\right)^{-1} X_\sigma^T\right)_{ii}}{4}\right)$$

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\sigma}_i) = \left(E[\hat{\sigma}_i]\right)^2 \left(\exp\left(\frac{\left(X_\sigma \left(X_\sigma^T X_\sigma\right)^{-1} X_\sigma^T\right)_{ii}}{2}\right) - 1\right)$$
for n large. (46)

The function fitted.lmvar (with the option log = FALSE) returns $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$.