

Initially $turn = i$

```
do {
    while (turn != i)
        critical section
    turn = j;
    remainder section;
} while (1);
```

```
do {
    while (turn != j)
        critical s
    turn = i;
    remainder section;
} while (1);
```

- * When $turn == i$, P_i is ready to enter its critical section but P_i cannot. Then $turn$ becomes i , but P_i is not there to enter its critical section yet, so P_i is given another chance but now $turn == i$, so it also cannot progress. In this way progress is hindered.
- * If there is single process, that can't run again & again if needs.
- * Property of mutual exclusion is maintained, but not progress.

Initially ~~turn = i~~

flag $G_i = F$
flag $G_j = F$

```
do {
    flag  $G_i = true$ ;
    while (flag  $G_j$ );
    critical section
    flag  $G_i = false$ ;
    remainder section;
} while (1);
```

```
do {
    flag  $G_j = true$ ;
    while (flag  $G_i$ );
    critical section;
    flag  $G_j = false$ ;
    remainder section;
} while (1);
```

- * If both P_i and P_j come one after another, then they will run in synchronized manner. But if both comes at once, then they will get stuck in unbounded waiting. Doesn't guarantee progress & bounded waiting.

Peterson's algorithm

Initially turn = i

flag[i] = F flag[j] = F

do {

flag[i] = true;

turn = i;

while (flag[j] && turn == j);

// critical section;

flag[i] = false;

// remainder section;

} while (1);

do {

flag[j] = true;

turn = j;

while (flag[i] && turn == i);

// critical section

flag[j] = false;

// remainder section

} while (1);

for multiple processes

Initially choosing[i] = F
choosing[j] = Fnumber[i] = 0
number[j] = 0

do {

choosing[i] = true;

number[i] = max(number[i], number[j], ..., number[n-1]) + 1;

choosing[i] = false;

for (j = 0; j < n; j++) {

while (choosing[j]);

while ((number[j] != 0) && (number[j], j) < (number[i], i));

}

// critical section;

number[i] = 0;

// remainder section;

} while (1);

entry section

exit section