

Lab 5 Task 1

May 4, 2023

Part 1

1)

The analytical solution is: $y(x) = 2 \sin x + \cos x$

2)

We have the constraints:

$$y'' + y = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$y(0) = 1 \tag{2}$$

$$y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 2 \tag{3}$$

$$h = \frac{\frac{\pi}{2}}{2} = \frac{\pi}{8}.$$

The stepsize h and boundary-points 0 and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ gives 5 discrete points with the boundary conditions:

$$(2) \implies y_0 = 1$$

$$(3) \implies y_4 = 2.$$

We use central difference to approximate y'' :

$$y''_i = \frac{y_{i-1} - 2y_i + y_{i+1}}{h^2} = h^{-2}(y_{i-1} - 2y_i + y_{i+1}). \tag{4}$$

We substitute the y'' in equation (1) for (4):

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &\iff h^{-2}(y_{i-1} - 2y_i + y_{i+1}) + y_i \\ &= h^{-2}y_{i-1} + (1 - 2h^{-2})y_i + h^{-2}y_{i+1} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Now the boundary conditions (2) and (3) together with equation (5) gives us a sysetm of linear equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ h^{-2} & 1-2h^{-2} & h^{-2} & & \\ & h^{-2} & 1-2h^{-2} & h^{-2} & \\ & & h^{-2} & 1-2h^{-2} & h^{-2} \\ & & & 1 & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

3)

The LTE for this method is the same as the LTE for the finite difference method used, central difference in this case.

The central difference $\tilde{y}(x)$ approximates $y'(x)$ and is given by:

$$\tilde{y}(x) = \frac{y(x+h) - y(x-h)}{2h}. \quad (7)$$

We use taylor-expansion shenanigans to find the LTE. We begin by taylor expanding $y(x+h)$ around x :

$$\begin{aligned} y(x+h) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{(n)}(x)}{n!} (x+h-x)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h^n \frac{y^{(n)}(x)}{n!} \\ &= y(x) + hy'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6}y'''(x) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We perform the same procedure for $y(x-h)$ around x :

$$\begin{aligned} y(x-h) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dots \\ &= y(x) - hy'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(x) - \frac{h^3}{6}y'''(x) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

No we substitute the $y(x+h)$ and $y(x-h)$ in (7) for (8) and (9):

$$\begin{aligned} (7) &\iff \tilde{y}(x) = \frac{1}{2h} [(y(x) + hy'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6}y'''(x) + \dots) \\ &\quad - (y(x) - hy'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(x) - \frac{h^3}{6}y'''(x) + \dots)] \\ &\iff \tilde{y}(x) = \frac{2hy'(x) + \frac{h^3}{3}y'''(x) + \dots}{2h} \\ &\iff \tilde{y}(x) = y'(x) + \frac{h^2}{6}y'''(x) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now we can se how $\tilde{y}(x)$ differs from $y'(x)$ and that $\frac{h^2}{6}y'''(x) + \dots$ is our LTE, which is $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$.

4)

Yes the method is consistent. The determinant of the leftmost matrix in (6) has a nonzero determinant and thus the equation has a solution.

Part 2

When LU-decomposing A with partial pivoting we want to find an upper triangular matrix U , a lower triangular matrix L and a permutation matrix P such that $PA = LU$. When doing the calculation by hand there are nice bookkeeping-tricks one can perform to save on time, but let's do it in a slightly more inneficient way that makes it more clear what's actually going on and why it works.

We begin by finding the upper triangular matrix U by applying elementary matrix operations on A . Partial pivoting is necessary when there's a 0 somewhere in the diagonal, and computers do it as it reduces rounding errors.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow -\frac{1}{2} \\ \leftarrow + \end{array} \right]^{-1} \\ \leftarrow + \end{array} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \end{array} \sim \\ \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \frac{3}{4} \\ \leftarrow + \end{array} \right] \\ \leftarrow + \end{array} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{13}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

All this gauss-elimination notation really means is that we have some elementary matrix operations E_1 , E_2 , P_1 and E_3 corresponding to the four row-operations performed such that: $U = E_3 P_1 E_2 E_1 A$.

Now the equation $PA = LU$ can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} PA &= L E_3 P_1 E_2 E_1 A \\ \iff P &= L E_3 P_1 E_2 E_1 \\ \iff L &= P (E_3 P_1 E_2 E_1)^{-1} = P E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} P_1^{-1} E_3^{-1} \\ &\vdots \\ \iff L &= P \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{4} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we need L to be a lower triangular matrix, and so P is determined to be the matrix permutation switching row 2 and 3 (which is the same permutation as P_1 and this is *not* a coincidence).

In closing, we have now found that:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{13}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$