

0.1 Accessible Set System

Definition 0.1. An *accessible set-system* is a pair (E, \mathcal{S}) where E is a finite ground set and \mathcal{S} is a non-empty subset of the power set of E .

Satisfying the follow *accessibility axiom*:

(A) For any non-empty feasible set $X \in \mathcal{S}$. There exists an element $e \in X$ such that $X \setminus \{e\} \in \mathcal{S}$. Elements of \mathcal{S} are called the *feasible sets* of M . Maximal feasible sets are also called *bases*.

This axiom is needed due to the process of the greedy algorithm. We require the ability to sequentially select a single element and then union it to our constructed solution at each step so an arbitrary set system is useless.

Remark. Matroid \supseteq Indepence System \subseteq Accesible Set-System.

All matroids are indepenence systems and all indepenence systems are accesible set-systems but the converse is not true in general.

Our generalised problem is now:

Proposition 0.1. *For any accesible set-system M and any weight function $\omega : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, the optimisation problem is:*
Maximise $\omega(B)$ such that B is a basis of M .

We can now apply a modified greedy algorithm in order to find a solution to this generalised version of our matroid/independence system problem.

Algorithm 1 Greedy algorithm for accessible set-systems

Let $M = (E, \mathcal{S})$ be an accessible set-system and $\omega : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ a weight function.

```

1: procedure GREEDY( $E, \mathcal{S}, \omega, T$ )
2:    $T \leftarrow \emptyset, X \leftarrow E$ 
3:   while there  $\exists x \in X$  with  $T \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$  do
4:     choose some  $x \in X$  with  $T \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{S}$  and
5:        $\omega(x) \geq \omega(y) \ \forall y \in X$  with  $T \cup \{y\} \in \mathcal{S}$ 
6:      $T \leftarrow T \cup \{x\}, X \leftarrow X \setminus \{x\}$ 

```

Using our above definitions and algorithm we can now begin finding solutions to our problem for any accessible set-system. However, we are interested in learning about the characterisations of these set-systems that lead to optimal solutions to our greedy algorithm as seen in **section 5.1**.

One such characterisation is the concept of a *greedoid*. A greedoid is an accessible set-system satisfying (I3). Formally this means,

Definition 0.2. A greedoid is a pair (E, \mathcal{S}) where E is a finite ground set and \mathcal{S} is a collection of the feasible subsets of E satisfying the following conditions:

(I1): \mathcal{S} is non-empty, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$.

(A): For any non-empty feasible set $X \in \mathcal{S}$. There exists an element $e \in X$ such that $X \setminus \{e\} \in \mathcal{S}$.

(I3): If A and B are two independent sets of \mathcal{S} and $|A| > |B|$, then there exists $x \in A \setminus B$ such that $B \cup \{x\}$ is in \mathcal{S} .

Unfortunately the greedy algorithm while providing solutions does not guarantee optimal solutions for all greedoids. To characterise the greedoids that do result in optimal solutions when the greedy algorithm is applied we must add to our existing machinery an additional axiom. This is called the *strong exchange axiom*. This axiom is a strong version of (I3) the exchange axiom of a matroid.

Proposition 0.2. *Let $M = (E, \mathcal{S})$ be a greedoid. Then the above modified greedy algorithm finds an optimal solution to our problem for any weight function $\omega : E \longleftarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ if and only if M satisfies the following axiom:*

(SE): *For $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ with $|A| = |B| + 1$, there always exists some $e \in A \setminus B$ such that $B \cup \{e\}$ and $A \setminus \{e\}$ are contained in \mathcal{S} .*

Remark. It can be seen that this axiom holds trivially for matroids due to the hereditary condition.