## 0.1 Cryptomorphism: Greedy

**Theorem 0.1.** Let  $\mathscr{I}$  be a collection of subsets of a set E. Then  $(E,\mathscr{I})$  is a matroid if and only if  $\mathscr{I}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- $(I1) \emptyset \in \mathscr{I}$
- (I2) If  $I \in \mathscr{I}$  and  $I' \subset I$  then  $I' \in \mathscr{I}$
- (G) For all weight functions  $\omega : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , the greedy algorithm produces a maximal member of  $\mathscr{I}$  of maximum weight.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(E, \mathscr{I})$  is a matroid. Then  $\emptyset \in \mathscr{I}$  and (I2) holds trivially. And by theorem 5.1 we know that greedy algorithm cna find a maximal  $B \in \mathscr{B}$  of maximum weight if  $(E, \mathscr{I})$  is a matroid.

Conversely, suppose  $(E, \mathscr{I})$  is a pair satisfying (I1), (I2) and (G). Need to prove  $\mathscr{I}$  satisfies (I3) in order to have a matroid.

Suppose that (seeking a contradiction), that is  $I_1, T_2 \in \mathscr{I}$  with  $|I_2| > |I_1|$  such that  $I_1 \cup \{e\} \in \mathscr{I}$ .

Now,  $|I_1 \setminus I_2| < |I_2 \setminus I_1|$  and  $I_1 \setminus I_2$  is non-empty.

So we can choose an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$0 < (1+\epsilon)(|I_1 \setminus I_2|) < |I_2 \setminus I_1| \tag{1}$$

Define  $\omega: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by:

$$\omega(e) = \begin{cases} 2ife \in I_1 \cap I_2 \\ \frac{1}{|I_1 \setminus I_2|} ife \in I_1 \setminus I_2 \\ \frac{1+\epsilon}{|I_2 \setminus I_1|} ife \in I_2 \setminus I_1 \\ 0, otherwise. \end{cases}$$

We need the greedy algorithm to fail for only one weight function to get our contradiction.

- The greedy algorithm will choose all the elements of  $I_1 \cap I_2$  first as they are the heaviest elements.
- Then it will choose all the elements of  $I_1 \setminus I_2$ .
- By assumption, it cannot then pick any element of  $I_2 \setminus I_1$ . Thus the remaining elements of  $B_G$  will be in  $E \setminus (I_1 \cup I_2)$ .

Hence,

$$\omega(B_G) = 2|I_1 \cap I_2| + |I_1 \setminus I_2|(\frac{1}{|I_1 \setminus I_2|}) = 2|I_1 \cap I_2| + 1$$

But by (I2),  $I_2$  is contained in a maximal member  $B_2$  of  $\mathscr{I}$  and,  $I_2 \subset B_2$ .

$$\omega(B_2) \ge \omega(I_2) = 2|I_1 \cap I_2| + |I_2 \setminus I_1|(\frac{1+\epsilon}{|I_2 \setminus I_1|}) > 2|I_1 \cap I_2| + 1 = \omega(B_G)$$

 $\implies \omega(B_2) > \omega(B_G)$ 

Which means the greedy algorithm does not find a solution to our optimisation problem shown by *theorem* x.y, so the greedy algorithm fails for this weight function. We have a contradiction.

 $\implies$  (I3) holds.

 $\implies (E, \mathscr{I})$  is a matroid.