

## 0.1 Matroid

**Definition 0.1.** An *independence system* is a pair  $(E, \mathcal{I})$ , where  $E$  is a set and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a collection of sets satisfying:

- (I1)  $\mathcal{I}$  is non-empty.
- (I2)  $\mathcal{I}$  is a hereditary subset of the power set of  $E$ .

The elements of  $\mathcal{I}$  are called the *independent sets*.

**Definition 0.2.** A matroid is a pair  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  with finite ground set  $E$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  being a collection of independent subsets of  $E$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (I1): The empty set is always independent
- (I2): Every subset of an independent set is independent
- (I3): If  $A$  and  $B$  are two independent sets of  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $|A| > |B|$ , then there exists  $x \in A \setminus B$  such that  $B \cup \{x\}$  is in  $\mathcal{I}$

**Lemma 0.1.** Prove that  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid if and only if  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfies (I2) and the following two conditions:

- (I1)'  $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$
- (I3)' If  $I_1, I_2$  are in  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $|I_2| = |I_1| + 1$ , then there is an element  $e \in I_2 \setminus I_1$  such that  $I_1 \cup \{e\} \in \mathcal{I}$

*Proof.* Suppose  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid. Then by hypothesis,  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfies (I2).

By (I1) the empty set is always contained in  $\mathcal{I}$ , so  $\mathcal{I}$  is always non-empty.

$\implies$  (I1)' holds.

Let  $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $|I_2| > |I_1|$  then by (I3) there exists  $e \in I_2 \setminus I_1$  such that  $I_1 \cup \{e\} \in \mathcal{I}$  by (I3).

But by (I2) there is an  $I'_2$  such that  $e \in I'_2$  and  $|I'_2| = |I_1| + 1$  as a matroid is hereditary and  $I'_2 \subset I_2$ .  $\implies \exists e \in I'_2 \setminus I_1$  such that  $I_1 \cup \{e\} \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Conversely, Suppose  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfies (I2), (I1)', (I3)'

By (I1)',  $\mathcal{I}$  is always non-empty. (I2) and (I3) hold by hypothesis.  $\square$

**Example 0.1.** Let  $M_1, M_2$  be matroids on a set  $E$ . Let  $E = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

Let  $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}\}$

Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}\}$

let  $(E, \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2)$  be a pair, is it a matroid?

Let  $I_1 = \{1, 2\}$  and  $I_2 = \{3\}$

If  $\exists e \in I_1$  such that  $I_2 \cup \{e\} \in \mathcal{I}$  then we have a matroid.

$I_2 \cup \{1\} = \{1, 3\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ ,

$I_2 \cup \{2\} = \{2, 3\} \notin \mathcal{I}$

$\implies (E, \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2)$  is not a matroid.