

## 0.1 Proofs of correctness

**Problem:** Find a maximal member  $B$  of  $\mathcal{I}$  of maximum weight.

*Note.* Let  $B_G$  be a base of a matroid generated by the greedy algorithm.

**Theorem 0.1.** If  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid  $M$ , then  $B_G$  is a solution to the optimization problem.

*Proof.* If  $r(M) = r$ , then  $B_G = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r\}$  is a basis of  $M$ . Let  $B$  be another basis of  $M$ ,  $B = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r\}$  where  $\omega(f_1) \geq \omega(f_2) \geq \dots \geq \omega(f_r)$ . We claim that  $\omega(e_j) \geq \omega(f_j) \forall j$ , then it follows that  $\omega(B_G) \geq \omega(B)$  for any other basis in  $\mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 0.2.** If  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , then  $\omega(e_j) \geq \omega(f_j)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose (seeking a contradiction) that  $k$  is the least integer for which  $\omega(e_k) < \omega(f_k)$ . Take  $I_1 = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{k-1}\}$  and  $I_2 = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k\}$ . Since  $|I_2| = |I_1| + 1$  (I3) implies  $I_1 \cup \{f_t\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for some  $f_t \in I_2 \setminus I_1$ . But this means that  $\omega(f_t) \geq \omega(f_k) > \omega(e_k)$ . And hence the Greedy algorithm would have chosen  $f_t$  over  $e_k$ , which gives us our contradiction.  $\square$