

Matroids And their Graphs

o.mcdonnell4@nuigalway.ie

February 2018

1 Base characterisation of a matroid

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{B} be a set of subsets of a finite set E . Then \mathcal{B} is the collection of bases of a matroid on E if and only if \mathcal{B} satisfies the following conditions:*

(B1) \mathcal{B} is non-empty.

(B2) If B_1 and B_2 are member of \mathcal{B} and $x \in B_1 \setminus B_2$, then there is an element y of $B_1 \setminus B_2$ such that $(B_1 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{y\} \in \mathcal{B}$.

Definition 1.1. A base is a maximally independent subset of \mathcal{I} .

A seen previously all maximally independent sets in a matroid have the same cardinality.

Proof: (B2)

(\implies) Let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}, B_1 \neq B_2$

$|B_1| = |B_2|$ so I3 does not directly apply here.

Let $x \in B_1 \setminus B_2 \implies x \in B_1, x \notin B_2$

$|B_1| = |B_1 \setminus \{x\}| + 1 \implies B_1 \setminus \{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$ but not in \mathcal{B}

$|B_2| = |B_1 \setminus \{x\}| + 1$ so now we can use I3

Now $\exists y \in B_2 \setminus B_1$ such that $(B_1 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{y\} \in \mathcal{I}$

$|(B_1 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{y\}| = |B_1 \setminus \{x\}| + 1 = |B_1| = \dots = |B_r|$ as all maximal elements of \mathcal{I} have the same cardinality $\implies (B_1 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{y\}$ is maximal in \mathcal{I}

$\implies (B_1 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{y\} \in \mathcal{B}$

(\impliedby) If \mathcal{B} satisfies (B1) and (B2) then we have a matroid.

By B1 \mathcal{B} is always non-empty i.e it contains at least the null set which shows I1 holds.

By definition a base $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ is a maximally independent subset of E . $\forall B_i \in \mathcal{B}$ all the subsets b_k of B_i are also independent since B_i is a maximally independent set. Therefore all those b_k are in \mathcal{I} . \implies (I2) holds. This shows a matroid can be generated through it's bases.

Assume that (I3) fails. meaning that, for $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$, where $|I_1| = |I_2| + 1$, there $\exists y \in I_1 \setminus I_2$ such that $I_2 \cup \{y\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}, |B_1| = |B_2|$

Let $x \in B_1 \setminus B_2$ then $B_1 \setminus \{x\} \subset B_1 \implies B_1 \setminus \{x\}$ is independent.

Then there exists a $y \in B_2 \setminus (B_1 \setminus \{x\})$ such that $(B_1 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{y\} \in \mathcal{B}$ due to (B2). And if $(B_1 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{y\} \in \mathcal{B}$ then its is also in \mathcal{I} . Which is a contradiction.

\implies (I3) holds.

□