

# Likelihood ratio test for samples from exponentially-distributed populations

Brenton Horne

10th October 2021

## Contents

Definitions	2
Hypotheses	2
Derivation of the maximum likelihood under the null	2
Derivation of the unrestricted maximum likelihood	3
Likelihood ratio	5

# Definitions

Let  $Y_{ij}$  denote the  $j$ th observation from the  $i$  treatment group, where  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n_i$ .

Let:

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}$$

$$\bar{Y}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}.$$

## Hypotheses

$H_0$ :  $Y_{ij} \sim \text{Exp}(\theta)$

$H_A$ :  $Y_{ij} \sim \text{Exp}(\theta_i)$ , where  $\theta_i \neq \theta_k$  for some combination of  $i$  and  $k$  values.

Let us denote the parameter space under the null hypothesis as  $\Omega_0 = \{(\theta) : 0 < \theta < \infty\}$  and the parameter space under the alternative hypothesis as  $\Omega_a = \{(\theta_i) : 0 < \theta_i < \infty, \theta_i \neq \theta_k \text{ for at least one pair of } i \text{ and } k \text{ values}\}$ . The unrestricted parameter space is thus  $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_a = \{(\theta_i) : 0 < \theta_i < \infty\}$ .

## Derivation of the maximum likelihood under the null

$$\begin{aligned} L(\Omega_0) &= \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{Y_{ij}}{\theta}\right) \\ &= \theta^{-n} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{Y_{ij}}{\theta}\right) \\ &= \theta^{-n} \exp\left(-\frac{n\bar{Y}}{\theta}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Therefore the log-likelihood under the null is:

$$\ln L(\Omega_0) = -n \ln \theta - \frac{n\bar{Y}}{\theta}.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $\theta$  and setting to zero:

$$\frac{\partial \ln \Omega_0}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = -\frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} + \frac{n\bar{Y}}{\hat{\theta}^2} = 0.$$

Multiplying by  $\frac{\hat{\theta}^2}{n}$  yields:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\hat{\theta} + \bar{Y} \\ \implies \hat{\theta} &= \bar{Y}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Substituting Equation 2 into Equation 1 therefore yields the maximum likelihood under the null:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\widehat{\Omega}_0) &= \bar{Y}^{-n} \exp\left(-\frac{n\bar{Y}}{\bar{Y}}\right) \\ &= \bar{Y}^{-n} \exp(-n) \\ &= (\bar{Y}e)^{-n}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

## Derivation of the unrestricted maximum likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} L(\Omega) &= \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{\theta_i} \exp\left(-\frac{Y_{ij}}{\theta_i}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m \theta_i^{-n_i} \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{Y_{ij}}{\theta_i}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m \theta_i^{-n_i} \exp\left(-\frac{n_i \bar{Y}_i}{\theta_i}\right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \theta_i^{-n_i}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n_i \bar{Y}_i}{\theta_i}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Thus the log-likelihood is:

$$\ln L(\Omega) = - \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \ln \theta_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n_i \bar{Y}_i}{\theta_i} \quad (5)$$

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \left( \ln \theta_i + \frac{\bar{Y}_i}{\theta_i} \right). \quad (6)$$

Taking the partial derivative of Equation 6 with respect  $\theta_k$  and setting to zero:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ln L(\Omega)}{\partial \theta_k} \Big|_{\theta_i = \hat{\theta}_i} &= - \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_i} - \frac{\bar{Y}_i}{\hat{\theta}_i^2} \right) \delta_{ik} = 0 \\ -n_k \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_k} - \frac{\bar{Y}_k}{\hat{\theta}_k^2} \right) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Multiplying Equation 7 by  $-\frac{\hat{\theta}_k^2}{n_k}$  yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_k - \bar{Y}_k &= 0 \\ \implies \hat{\theta}_k &= \bar{Y}_k. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Substituting Equation 8 into Equation 4 should yield the unrestricted maximum likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\hat{\Omega}) &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \bar{Y}_i^{-n_i} \right) \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n_i \bar{Y}_i}{\bar{Y}_i} \right) \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \bar{Y}_i^{-n_i} \right) \exp(-n). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

# Likelihood ratio

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= \frac{L(\widehat{\Omega}_0)}{L(\widehat{\Omega})} \\&= \frac{(\overline{Y}e)^{-n}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^m \overline{Y}_i^{-n_i}\right) \exp(-n)} \\&= \overline{Y}^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{Y}_i^{n_i} \\ \therefore -2 \ln \lambda &= -2 \left( -n \ln \overline{Y} + \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \ln \overline{Y}_i \right) \\&= 2n \ln \overline{Y} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \ln \overline{Y}_i.\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

And we know under the null hypothesis that  $-2 \ln \lambda \sim \chi_{m-1}^2$ .