Likelihood ratio test for samples from gamma-distributed populations

Brenton Horne

10th October 2021

Contents

Definitions	2
Hypotheses	2
Derivation of the maximum likelihood under the null	2
Using Newton's method to obtain $\widehat{\alpha}$	4
Derivation of the unrestricted maximum likelihood	5
Using Newton's method to obtain $\widehat{\alpha}_k$	6
Likelihood ratio	7

Definitions

Let Y_{ij} denote the jth observation from the ith treatment group, where i = 1, 2, 3, ..., m and $j = 1, 2, 3, ..., n_i$.

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i$$

$$\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}$$

$$\overline{Y}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}$$

Hypotheses

 $H_0: Y_{ij} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$

 H_A : $Y_{ij} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$, where $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_k$ or $\beta_i \neq \beta_k$ for some combination of i and k values.

Let us denote the parameter space under the null hypothesis as $\Omega_0 = \{(\alpha, \beta) : 0 < \alpha, \beta < \infty\}$ and the parameter space under the alternative hypothesis as

 $\Omega_a = \{(\alpha_i, \beta_i) : 0 < \alpha_i, \beta_i < \infty, \ \alpha_i \neq \alpha_k \text{ or } \beta_i \neq \beta_k \text{ for at least one pair of } i \text{ and } j \text{ values} \}.$ The unrestricted parameter space is $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_a$.

Derivation of the maximum likelihood under the null

$$L(\Omega_0) = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha}} Y_{ij}^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{Y_{ij}}{\beta}\right)$$

$$= (\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha})^{-n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}\right)^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{n\overline{Y}}{\beta}\right)$$

$$= \left(\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{\overline{Y}}{\beta}\right)\right)^{-n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}\right)^{\alpha-1}.$$
(1)

Taking the natural logarithm yields:

$$\ln L(\Omega_0) = -n \ln \left(\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^{\alpha} \exp \left(\frac{\overline{Y}}{\beta} \right) \right) + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \ln Y_{ij}.$$

Next we will take the partial derivative with respect to α and set it to zero:

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\Omega_0)}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\alpha = \widehat{\alpha}, \beta = \widehat{\beta}} = -n \left(\frac{\Gamma'(\widehat{\alpha})\widehat{\beta}^{\widehat{\alpha}} \exp\left(\frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\beta}}\right) + \Gamma(\widehat{\alpha})(\ln \widehat{\beta})\widehat{\beta}^{\widehat{\alpha}} \exp\left(\frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\beta}}\right)}{\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha})\widehat{\beta}^{\widehat{\alpha}} \exp\left(\frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\beta}}\right)} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \ln Y_{ij} = 0$$

$$\therefore \quad 0 = -n(\psi^{(0)}(\widehat{\alpha}) + \ln \widehat{\beta}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \ln Y_{ij}.$$
(2)

Where $\psi^{(0)}(\widehat{\alpha})$ is the digamma function. Next we will take the partial derivative with respect to β and set it to zero:

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\Omega_0)}{\partial \beta} \Big|_{\alpha = \widehat{\alpha}, \beta = \widehat{\beta}} = -n \left(\frac{\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha})\widehat{\beta}^{\widehat{\alpha} - 1}\widehat{\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\beta}}\right) - \Gamma(\widehat{\alpha})\widehat{\beta}^{\widehat{\alpha}} \exp\left(\frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\beta}}\right) \frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\beta}^2}}{\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha})\widehat{\beta}^{\widehat{\alpha}} \exp\left(\frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\beta}}\right)} \right) = 0$$

$$\therefore \quad 0 = -n \left(\frac{\widehat{\alpha}}{\widehat{\beta}} - \frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\beta}^2} \right). \tag{3}$$

Multiplying both sides of Equation 3 by $-\frac{\widehat{\beta}^2}{n}$ yields:

$$0 = \widehat{\alpha}\widehat{\beta} - \overline{Y}$$

$$\therefore \widehat{\beta} = \frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\alpha}}.$$
(4)

Re-writing Equation 2 using Equation 4 yields:

$$0 = -n\left(\psi^{(0)}(\widehat{\alpha}) + \ln\frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\alpha}}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \ln Y_{ij}.$$
 (5)

This equation cannot be analytically solved, so $\widehat{\alpha}$ must be numerically approximated using Newton's method and $\widehat{\beta}$ must be estimated from our approximation of $\widehat{\alpha}$ using Equation 4.

Before we apply Newton's method to get an algorithm for estimating $\widehat{\alpha}$, let us use our MLEs to find our expression for maximum likelihood under the null. Substituting Equation 4 into Equation 1 yields:

$$L(\widehat{\Omega}_{0}) = \left(\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}) \left(\frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\alpha}}\right)^{\widehat{\alpha}} \exp(\widehat{\alpha})\right)^{-n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} Y_{ij}\right)^{\widehat{\alpha}-1}$$

$$= \left(\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}) \left(\frac{\overline{Y}e}{\widehat{\alpha}}\right)^{\widehat{\alpha}}\right)^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} Y_{ij}^{\widehat{\alpha}-1}.$$
(6)

Using Newton's method to obtain $\widehat{\alpha}$

To use Newton's method, we must find the Jacobian for our problem, which should in this case be a scalar as we have only one equation to solve (Equation 5). Labelling the right-hand side of Equation 5 as $f(\widehat{\alpha})$, and differentiating it with respect to $\widehat{\alpha}$ yields:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \widehat{\alpha}} = -n \left(\psi^{(1)}(\widehat{\alpha}) - \frac{1}{\widehat{\alpha}} \right)$$
$$= n \left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\alpha}} - \psi^{(1)}(\widehat{\alpha}) \right).$$

Therefore we can refine our estimate of $\widehat{\alpha}$ from an initial guess $\widehat{\alpha}^{(0)}$ using:

$$\widehat{\alpha}^{(k+1)} = \widehat{\alpha}^{(k)} - \frac{f(\widehat{\alpha}^{(k)})}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \widehat{\alpha}}}\Big|_{\widehat{\alpha} = \widehat{\alpha}^{(k)}}$$

$$= \widehat{\alpha}^{(k)} - \frac{-n\left(\psi^{(0)}(\widehat{\alpha}^{(k)}) + \ln\frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\alpha}^{(k)}}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \ln Y_{ij}}{n\left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\alpha}^{(k)}} - \psi^{(1)}(\widehat{\alpha}^{(k)})\right)}.$$

Where $\psi^{(1)}(\widehat{\alpha}^{(k)})$ is the derivative of the digamma function, also known as the trigamma function.

Derivation of the unrestricted maximum likelihood

$$L(\Omega) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)\beta_i^{\alpha_i}} Y_{ij}^{\alpha_i - 1} \exp\left(-\frac{Y_{ij}}{\beta_i}\right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\Gamma(\alpha_i)\beta_i^{\alpha_i}\right)^{-n_i}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}^{\alpha_i - 1}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n_i \overline{Y}_i}{\beta_i}\right). \tag{7}$$

Taking the natural logarithm yields:

$$\ln L(\Omega) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i \ln \left(\Gamma(\alpha_i) \beta_i^{\alpha_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\alpha_i - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \ln Y_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n_i \overline{Y}_i}{\beta_i}.$$

Differentiating our log-likelihood with respect to α_k and setting the derivative to zero:

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\Omega)}{\partial \alpha_k} \Big|_{\alpha_i = \widehat{\alpha}_i, \beta_i = \widehat{\beta}_i} = -\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \left(\frac{\Gamma'(\widehat{\alpha}_i) \widehat{\beta}_i^{\widehat{\alpha}_i} + \Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}_i) (\ln \widehat{\beta}_i) \widehat{\beta}_i^{\widehat{\alpha}_i}}{\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}_i) \widehat{\beta}_i^{\widehat{\alpha}_i}} \right) \delta_{ik} + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{ik} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \ln Y_{ij} = 0$$

$$\therefore \quad 0 = -n_k (\psi^{(0)}(\widehat{\alpha}_k) + \ln \widehat{\beta}_k) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \ln Y_{kj}. \tag{8}$$

Where δ_{ik} is the Kronecker delta. Differentiating our log-likelihood with respect to β_k and setting the derivative to zero:

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\Omega)}{\partial \beta_k} \Big|_{\alpha_i = \widehat{\alpha}_i, \beta_i = \widehat{\beta}_i} = -\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \left(\frac{\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}_i) \widehat{\beta}_i^{\widehat{\alpha}_i - 1} \widehat{\alpha}_i}{\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}_i) \widehat{\beta}_i^{\widehat{\alpha}_i}} \right) \delta_{ik} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n_i \overline{Y}_i}{\widehat{\beta}_i^2} \delta_{ik} = 0$$

$$\therefore \quad 0 = -\frac{n_k \widehat{\alpha}_k}{\widehat{\beta}_k} + \frac{n_k \overline{Y}_k}{\widehat{\beta}_i^2}.$$
(9)

Multiplying Equation 9 by $\frac{\widehat{\beta}_k^2}{n_k}$ yields:

$$-\widehat{\alpha}_k \widehat{\beta}_k + \overline{Y}_k = 0$$

$$\widehat{\beta}_k = \frac{\overline{Y}_k}{\widehat{\alpha}_k}.$$
(10)

Substituting Equation 10 into Equation 8 yields:

$$0 = -n_k \left(\psi^{(0)}(\widehat{\alpha}_k) + \ln \left(\frac{\overline{Y}_k}{\widehat{\alpha}_k} \right) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \ln Y_{kj}.$$
 (11)

Equation 11 has no closed-form solution and hence $\widehat{\alpha}_k$ must be numerically approximated using a technique like Newton's method. Before we obtain an iterative formula based on Newton's method to approximate $\widehat{\alpha}_k$, we will use Equation 10 to obtain the unrestricted maximum likelihood by substituting it into Equation 7:

$$L(\widehat{\Omega}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}_{i}) \left(\frac{\overline{Y}_{i}}{\widehat{\alpha}_{i}}\right)^{\widehat{\alpha}_{i}}\right)^{-n_{i}}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} Y_{ij}^{\widehat{\alpha}_{i}-1}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n_{i}\overline{Y}_{i}}{\overline{X}_{i}}\right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}_{i}) \left(\frac{\overline{Y}_{i}}{\widehat{\alpha}_{i}}\right)^{\widehat{\alpha}_{i}}\right)^{-n_{i}}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} Y_{ij}^{\widehat{\alpha}_{i}-1}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}\widehat{\alpha}_{i}\right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}_{i}) \left(\frac{\overline{Y}_{i}e}{\widehat{\alpha}_{i}}\right)^{\widehat{\alpha}_{i}}\right)^{-n_{i}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} Y_{ij}^{\widehat{\alpha}_{i}-1}.$$

$$(12)$$

Using Newton's method to obtain $\widehat{\alpha}_k$

Calling the right-hand side of Equation 11, $g_k(\{\widehat{\alpha}_j\})$, and taking its partial derivative with respect to $\widehat{\alpha}_i$ so as to create the Jacobian matrix:

$$\frac{\partial g_k(\{\widehat{\alpha}_j\})}{\partial \widehat{\alpha}_i} = -n_k \left(\psi^{(1)}(\widehat{\alpha}_k) - \frac{1}{\widehat{\alpha}_k} \right) \delta_{ik}$$
$$= n_k \delta_{ik} \left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\alpha}_k} - \psi^{(1)}(\widehat{\alpha}_k) \right).$$

Which means our Jacobian $J = \left(\frac{\partial g_k(\{\widehat{\alpha}_j\})}{\partial \widehat{\alpha}_i}\right)$ will be a diagonal matrix. Hence $J^{-1} = \left(\left(\frac{\partial g_k(\{\widehat{\alpha}_j\})}{\partial \widehat{\alpha}_i}\right)^{-1}\right)$. If we let $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (\widehat{\alpha}_i)$ and $\mathbf{F} = (g_k(\{\widehat{\alpha}_j\}))$, then:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(l+1)} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(l)} - \mathbf{J}^{-1} \mathbf{F}.$$

Likelihood ratio

Therefore the likelihood ratio is:

$$\begin{split} \lambda &= \frac{L(\widehat{\Omega_0})}{L(\widehat{\Omega})} \\ &= \frac{\left(\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}) \left(\frac{\overline{Y}e}{\widehat{\alpha}}\right)^{\widehat{\alpha}}\right)^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}^{\widehat{\alpha}-1}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}_i) \left(\frac{\overline{Y}_ie}{\widehat{\alpha}_i}\right)^{\widehat{\alpha}_i}\right)^{-n_i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}^{\widehat{\alpha}_i-1}} \\ &= \left(\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}) \left(\frac{\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\alpha}}\right)^{\widehat{\alpha}}\right)^{-n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\Gamma(\widehat{\alpha}_i) \left(\frac{\overline{Y}_i}{\widehat{\alpha}_i}\right)^{\widehat{\alpha}_i}\right)^{n_i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}^{\widehat{\alpha}-\widehat{\alpha}_i} \end{split}$$

And we know that asymptotically under the null hypothesis $-2 \ln \lambda \sim \chi^2_{2m-2}$, so we will test $-2 \ln \lambda$ against the χ^2_{2m-2} distribution and determine how likely our result is under the null.