# Likelihood ratio test for samples from exponentially-distributed

# populations

Brenton Horne

10th October 2021

## Contents

**Definitions** 

Hypotheses	2
Derivation of the maximum likelihood under the null	2
Derivation of the unrestricted maximum likelihood	3
Likelihood ratio	5

### **Definitions**

Let  $Y_{ij}$  denote the jth observation from the ith treatment group, where i = 1, 2, 3, ..., m and  $j = 1, 2, 3, ..., n_i$ .

Let:

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i$$

$$\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}$$

$$\overline{Y}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}.$$

#### Hypotheses

 $H_0: Y_{ij} \sim \text{Exp}(\theta)$ 

 $H_A$ :  $Y_{ij} \sim \text{Exp}(\theta_i)$ , where  $\theta_i \neq \theta_k$  for at least one combination of i and k values.

Let us denote the parameter space under the null hypothesis as  $\Omega_0 = \{(\theta) : 0 < \theta < \infty\}$  and the parameter space under the alternative hypothesis as  $\Omega_a = \{(\theta_i) : 0 < \theta_i < \infty, \ \theta_i \neq \theta_k \text{ for at least one pair of } i \text{ and } k \text{ values}\}$ . The unrestricted parameter space is thus  $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_a = \{(\theta_i) : 0 < \theta_i < \infty\}$ .

#### Derivation of the maximum likelihood under the null

$$L(\Omega_0) = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{Y_{ij}}{\theta}\right)$$

$$= \theta^{-n} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{Y_{ij}}{\theta}\right)$$

$$= \theta^{-n} \exp\left(-\frac{n\overline{Y}}{\theta}\right). \tag{1}$$

Therefore the log-likelihood under the null is:

$$\ln L(\Omega_0) = -n \ln \theta - \frac{n\overline{Y}}{\theta}.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $\theta$  and setting to zero:

$$\frac{\partial \ln \Omega_0}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{\theta = \widehat{\theta}} = -\frac{n}{\widehat{\theta}} + \frac{n\overline{Y}}{\widehat{\theta}^2} = 0.$$

Multiplying by  $\frac{\widehat{\theta}^2}{n}$  yields:

$$0 = -\widehat{\theta} + \overline{Y}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \widehat{\theta} = \overline{Y}.$$
(2)

Substituting Equation 2 into Equation 1 therefore yields the maximum likelihood under the null:

$$L(\widehat{\Omega}_0) = \overline{Y}^{-n} \exp\left(-\frac{n\overline{Y}}{\overline{Y}}\right)$$

$$= \overline{Y}^{-n} \exp(-n)$$

$$= (\overline{Y}e)^{-n}.$$
(3)

### Derivation of the unrestricted maximum likelihood

$$L(\Omega) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{\theta_i} \exp\left(-\frac{Y_{ij}}{\theta_i}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} \theta_i^{-n_i} \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{Y_{ij}}{\theta_i}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} \theta_i^{-n_i} \exp\left(-\frac{n_i \overline{Y}_i}{\theta_i}\right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \theta_i^{-n_i}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n_i \overline{Y}_i}{\theta_i}\right). \tag{4}$$

Thus the log-likelihood is:

$$\ln L(\Omega) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i \ln \theta_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n_i \overline{Y}_i}{\theta_i}$$
 (5)

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i \left( \ln \theta_i + \frac{\overline{Y}_i}{\theta_i} \right). \tag{6}$$

Taking the partial derivative of Equation 6 with respect  $\theta_k$  and setting to zero:

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\Omega)}{\partial \theta_k} \Big|_{\theta_l = \widehat{\theta}_l} = -\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \left( \frac{1}{\widehat{\theta}_i} - \frac{\overline{Y}_i}{\widehat{\theta}_i^2} \right) \delta_{ik} = 0$$

$$-n_k \left( \frac{1}{\widehat{\theta}_k} - \frac{\overline{Y}_k}{\widehat{\theta}_k^2} \right) = 0.$$
(7)

Multiplying Equation 7 by  $-\frac{\widehat{\theta}_k^2}{n_k}$  yields:

$$\widehat{\theta}_k - \overline{Y}_k = 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow \widehat{\theta}_k = \overline{Y}_k.$$
(8)

Substituting Equation 8 into Equation 4 should yield the unrestricted maximum likelihood:

$$L(\widehat{\Omega}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \overline{Y}_{i}^{-n_{i}}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n_{i} \overline{Y}_{i}}{\overline{Y}_{i}}\right)$$
$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \overline{Y}_{i}^{-n_{i}}\right) \exp(-n). \tag{9}$$

## Likelihood ratio

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\widehat{\Omega_0})}{L(\widehat{\Omega})}$$

$$= \frac{(\overline{Y}e)^{-n}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^m \overline{Y}_i^{-n_i}\right) \exp(-n)}$$

$$= \overline{Y}^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^m \overline{Y}_i^{n_i}$$

$$\therefore -2 \ln \lambda = -2 \left(-n \ln \overline{Y} + \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \ln \overline{Y}_i\right)$$

$$= 2n \ln \overline{Y} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \ln \overline{Y}_i. \tag{10}$$

And we know under the null hypothesis that  $-2 \ln \lambda \sim \chi^2_{m-1}$ .