


Big Data Analysis: Trends & Challenges




Based on a work at <http://www.dbgroup.it/BDAA.pdf>.

Big Data is often described using Five Vs



Increasing volumes of data, that grow at exponential rates




The increase in data volume is due to many factors:

- transaction based data stored through the years
- text data constantly streaming in from social media
- increasing amounts of sensor data being collected, etc.


In the past, excessive data volume created a storage issue, but with today's decreasing storage costs, other issues emerge, including how to determine *relevance* amidst the large volumes of data and how to create *value* from data that is relevant

The production of data is expanding at an astonishing pace. Experts now point to a 4300% increase in annual data generation by 2020. Drivers include the switch from analog to digital technologies and the rapid increase in data generation by individuals and corporations alike.

2020: MORE THAN 1/3 OF THE DATA PRODUCED WILL LIVE IN OR PASS THROUGH THE CLOUD.



Increasing velocity at which data changes, travels or increases



- According to Gartner, velocity means both:
 - how fast data is being produced
 - how fast the data must be processed to meet demand

Reacting quickly enough to deal with velocity is a challenge to most organizations

Velocity

Fast Data

Rapid Changes

Real-Time/Stream Analysis


Increasing Variety of data types



Data today comes in all types of formats:

- from traditional databases to RDF data stores created by end users and OLAP systems
- to text documents, email, meter-collected data, video, audio, stock ticker data and financial transactions.

We see increasing veracity (or accuracy) of data




Refers to the *messiness* or *trustworthiness* of the data. With many forms of big data *quality* and *accuracy* are *less controllable*

(just think of Twitter posts with hash tags, abbreviations, typos and colloquial speech as well as the reliability and accuracy of content)

but technology now allows us to work with this type of data.

Value – The most important V of all!




- Then there is another V to take into account when looking at Big Data: Value!
- Having access to big data is no good unless we can turn it into value.
- Companies are starting to generate amazing value from their big data.

Questions typically asked on Big Data

- What if your data volume gets so large and varied you don't know how to deal with it?
- Do you store all your data?
- Do you analyze it all?
- What is coverage, skew, quality?
- How can you find out which data points are really important?
- How can you use it to your best advantage?

The quest for knowledge used to begin with grand theories. Now it begins with massive amounts of data. **Welcome to the Petabyte Age!**



Technologies for Big Data

- Managing Big Data
- Analyzing Big Data

Technologies for Big Data

- Managing Big Data
- Analyzing Big Data

God made integers,
all else is the work of man.

(Leopold Kronecker, 19th Century Mathematician)

Codd made relations,
all else is the work of man.

(Raghu Ramakrishan, DB text book author)

THE POWER OF INFINITE POSSIBILITIES

Stonebraker Says

One Size Fits None
“The elephants are toast”

Traditional RDBMS: The Elephants

- Still code lines that date from the 1970's
 - Legacy code
 - Built for very different hardware configurations
 - And some cannot adapt to grids....
- That was designed for business data processing (OLTP)
 - Only market back then
 - Now warehouses, science, real time, embedded, ..

Current DBMS Gold Standard

- Store fields in one record contiguously on disk
- Use B-tree indexing
- Use small (e.g., 4K) disk blocks; heavily encoded
- Align fields on byte or word boundaries
- Conventional (row-oriented) query optimizer and executor
- Write-ahead log
- Row-level dynamic locking

Terminology -- “Row Store”

Record 1

Record 2

Record 3

Record 4

**E.g. DB2, Oracle, Sybase, SQLServer,
Postgres, MySQL, Netezza, Teradata,...**


At This Point, RDBMS is “long in the tooth”

There are at least 6 (non trivial) markets where a row store can be clobbered by a specialized architecture

- Warehouse (Vertica, Red Shift, Sybase IQ, DW Appliances)
- OLTP (VoltDB, HANA, Hekaton)
- RDF (Vertica, et. al.)
- Text (Google, Yahoo, ...)
- Scientific data (R, MatLab, SciDB)
- Data Streaming (Storm, Spark Streaming, InfoSphere)

Variety of Data Analytics Enablers


One Size Does Not Fit All




An emerging “movement” around non-relational software for Big Data

- NOSQL stands for “Not Only SQL” where SQL doesn’t really mean the query language, but instead it denotes relational DBMS.
- Google, Facebook, Linkedin, eBay, Amazon, etc. did not use ‘traditional’ RDBMS for Big Data. They need:
 - To perform a massive number of Simple Operations very quickly
- They inspired many NOSQL systems:
 - Memcached demonstrated that in-memory indexes can be highly scalable, distributing and replicating objects over multiple nodes
 - Dynamo (Amazon) pioneered the idea of *eventual consistency* as a way to achieve higher availability and scalability [10]
 - BigTable, HDFS (Google), demonstrated that persistent record storage could be scaled to thousands of nodes [9]
 - Map-Reduce (Google) paradigm for parallel processing


HOW TO WRITE A CV



Leverage the NoSQL boom



Visual Guide to NoSQL Systems



Technologies for Big Data


- Managing Big Data
- Analyzing Big Data

Moving the computation near the data

- Moore's Law has held firm for over 40 years
 - processing power doubles every two years
 - Processing speed is no longer the problem
- Getting the data to the processor becomes the bottleneck
- Quick calculation:
 - Typical disk data transfer rate: 75MB/sec
 - Time taken to transfer "only" 100GB of data to the processor: ~ 22minutes !
 - Actual time will be worse, if servers have less than 100GB of RAM available
- MapReduce solution: move the computation near the data, instead of moving the data:
 - note that often the data transfer over the network is still the bottleneck!

- RDMBS is good when you have a Gigabytes of structured data, which read and write often and need high integrity.
- Hadoop is good when you have a Petabytes of semi-structured or unstructured (though fit for structure too)
- Hadoop is good for analyzing the whole dataset (batch query), whereas RDBMS is good for point queries or updates.


	Traditional RDBMS	MapReduce
Access	Interactive and batch	Batch
Updates	Read and write many times	Write once, read many times
Structure	Static schema	Dynamic schema
Scaling	Nonlinear	Linear



MapReduce (Hadoop) Problems (I)

A typical MapReduce program consists of a chain (or dataflow) of MapReduce jobs:


- Complex, multi-stage applications (e.g. interactive graph algorithms and machine learning - logistic regression, k-means...)
- Files are stored in HDFS (Hadoop Distributed File System) at each iteration (access time too low)



Solving MapReduce (Hadoop) Problems (I): a proposal



Solved in Spark [1] [3]@ amplab – UC BERKLEY

- caching data in the main memory – in memory processing
- key idea: Resilient Distributed Datasets (RDD)
 - distributed collections of objects that can be cached in memory
 - manipulated through various parallel operations
 - automatically rebuilt on failure (RDD track their transformation – logs and checkpoints)



Join in Hadoop:

which strategy to choose? How to configure it?




- Joins do not naturally fit MapReduce
- Very time consuming to implement
- Hand optimization necessary


Solving MapReduce Problem (2): a proposal

Solved in **Stratosphere** [2] Project Leader: Prof. Volker Markl - TU Berlin

- Extends MapReduce with more operators



- Support for advanced data flow graphs



- Only write to disk if necessary, otherwise in-memory
- Natively implemented JOINS into the system
 - Optimizer decides join strategy (e.g. Hybrid Hash Join starts in-memory and gracefully degrade)

Image from Robert Metzger's speech – "Stratosphere: System Overview" – Big Data Beers Meetup, Nov. 19th 2013

BIG VENDORS: Oracle, IBM, Teradata, Sap, HP, Microsoft,...

Data Warehouse Appliance (DWA)

A new category of computer architecture for data warehousing (DW) specifically targeted for Big Data Analytics and Discovery that is:

- simple to use (not a pre-configuration) and very high performance for this workload.
- A DWA includes an integrated set of servers, storage, operating system(s), and DBMS.
- New Database Solutions (based on: exploiting main memory, combined row and column databases, enforcing MPP)

- [1] Zaharia, Matei, et al. "Spark: cluster computing with working sets." Proceedings of the 2nd USENIX conference on Hot topics in cloud computing. 2010.
- [2] Battré, Dominic, et al. "Nephelé/PACTs: a programming model and execution framework for web-scale analytical processing." Proceedings of the 1st ACM symposium on Cloud computing. ACM, 2010.
- [3] amplab.cs.berkeley.edu/benchmark
- [4] Amit Seth: "Transforming Big Data into Smart Data " -
www.slideshare.net/apsheth/transforming-big-data-into-smart-data-derived-value-via-harnessing-volume-variety-and-velocity-using-semantic-techniques-and-technologies
- [5] AnHai Doan, Alon Y. Halevy, Zachary G. Ives: Principles of Data Integration. Morgan Kaufmann 2012
- [6] X.L.Dong and Divesh Srivastava. Big data integration. Tutorial in VLDB'13
- [7] <http://voltedb.com/stonebraker-says>
- [8] Michael Stonebraker, Ugur Çetintemel: "One Size Fits All: An Idea Whose Time Has Come and Gone", ICDE 2005: pp. 2-11.
- [9] Fay Chang, Jeffrey Dean, Sanjay Ghemawat, Wilson C. Hsieh, Deborah A. Wallach Mike Burrows, Tushar Chandra, Andrew Fikes, Robert E. Gruber: "Bigtable: A Distributed Storage System for Structured Data", OSDI 2006.

- [10] Giuseppe DeCandia, Deniz Hastorun, Madan Jampani, Gunavardhan Kakulapati, Avinash Lakshman, Alex Pilchin, Swaminathan Sivasubramanian, Peter Vosshall and Werner Vogels, "Dynamo: Amazon's Highly Available Key-value Store", SOSP 2007.
- [11] <http://blog.nahurst.com/visual-guide-to-nosql-systems>
- [12] S. Gilbert and N. Lynch, "Brewer's conjecture and the feasibility of consistent, available, and partition-tolerant web services", ACM SIGACT News 33, 2, pp 51-59, 2002
- [13] David J. DeWitt, Samuel Madden, Michael Stonebraker, "How to Build a High-Performance Data Warehouse", http://db.csail.mit.edu/madden/high_perf.pdf
- [14] Eric A. Brewer, "Towards robust distributed systems". (Invited Talk) Principles of Distributed Computing, Portland, Oregon, 2000.
- [15] Stanford University CS 345a, "MapReduce", 2010.
<http://www.stanford.edu/class/cs345a/slides/02-mapreduce.pdf>
- [16] Neil Conway "Bloom and CALM", 2012
<http://basho.com/blog/technical/2012/07/16/Neil-Conway-Basho-Chats-CALM-Bloom/>

Thank You