

## Immutable - Wallet

Smart Contract Security Assessment

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: June 28th, 2023 - July 25th, 2023

Visit: Halborn.com

DOCU	MENT REVISION HISTORY	5
CONT	ACTS	6
1	EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	7
1.1	INTRODUCTION	8
1.2	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY	8
1.3	TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	9
2	RISK METHODOLOGY	10
2.1	EXPLOITABILITY	11
2.2	IMPACT	12
2.3	SEVERITY COEFFICIENT	14
2.4	SCOPE	16
3	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	17
4	FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	18
4.1	(HAL-01) CURRENT IMAGEHASH VERIFICATION DOES NOT FOLLOW SPECIFICATION - MEDIUM(5.6)	THE 20
	Description	20
	Code Location	21
	BVSS	22
	Recommendation	22
	Remediation Plan	22
4.2	(HAL-02) MODULECREATOR DOES NOT VERIFY WHETHER A CONTRACT BEEN DEPLOYED - LOW(3.1)	HAS 23
	Description	23
	Code Location	23
	BVSS	23

	Recommendation	23
	Remediation Plan	24
4	3 (HAL-03) USING KECCAK256 RESULTS DIRECTLY FROM A STRING AS S AGE KEYS COULD LEAD TO STORAGE COLLISIONS - LOW(3.1)	TOR- 25
	Description	25
	Code Location	25
	BVSS	26
	Recommendation	27
	Remediation Plan	27
4	4 (HAL-04) USE CUSTOM ERRORS INSTEAD OF REVERT STRINGS TO GAS - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	SAVE 28
	Description	28
	BVSS	28
	Recommendation	28
	Remediation Plan	28
4	5 (HAL-05) UNNECESSARY BOOLEAN EXPRESSION - INFORMATIONAL (0.0	) 29
	Description	29
	Code Location	29
	BVSS	29
	Recommendation	29
	Remediation Plan	29
4	6 (HAL-06) FLOATING PRAGMA - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	30
	Description	30
	BVSS	30
	Recommendation	30
	Remediation Plan	30
5	UPGRADEABILITY	31

5.1	Description	32
5.2	Storage layouts	32
	MainModuleDynamicAuth	32
	MainModule	33
	GuestModule	33
	MainModuleGasEstimation	33
	MainModuleUpgradable	34
5.3	Recommendation	34
6	PROXY OPTIMIZATION	35
6.1	Description	36
6.2	Results	36
6.3	Conclusion	37
7	MANUAL TESTING	38
7.1	SCENARIOS TESTED	39
	RESULTS	40
8	AUTOMATED TESTING	41
8.1	STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT	42
	Description	42
	Results	43
8.2	AUTOMATED SECURITY SCAN	46
	Description	46
	MythX results	46
9	APPENDIX	47
	Proof of Concept: WalletProxy.huff	48
	Code used in manual testing	50

## DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION MODIFICATION		DATE	AUTHOR
0.1 Document Creation		07/19/2023	Alejandro Taibo
0.2	Document Update	07/25/2023	Alejandro Taibo
0.3	Draft Review	07/25/2023	Gokberk Gulgun
0.4	Draft Review	07/25/2023	Gabi Urrutia
1.0	Remediation Plan	08/18/2023	Alejandro Taibo
1.1	Remediation Plan Review	08/18/2023	Gokberk Gulgun
1.2	Remediation Plan Review	08/18/2023	Gabi Urrutia

## CONTACTS

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL
Rob Behnke	Halborn	Rob.Behnke@halborn.com
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com
Gokberk Gulgun	Halborn	Gokberk.Gulgun@halborn.com
Alejandro Taibo	Halborn	Alejandro.Taibo@halborn.com

## EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

## 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Immutable engaged Halborn to conduct a security assessment on their smart contracts beginning on June 28th, 2023 and ending on July 25th, 2023. The security assessment was scoped to the smart contracts provided to the Halborn team.

## 1.2 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

The team at Halborn was provided four weeks for the engagement and assigned a full-time security engineer to verify the security of the smart contract. The security engineer is a blockchain and smart-contract security expert with advanced penetration testing, smart-contract hacking, and deep knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of this assessment is to:

- Ensure that smart contract functions operate as intended.
- Identify potential security issues with the smart contracts.

In summary, Halborn identified some security risks that were mostly addressed by the Immutable team.

## 1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and accuracy in regard to the scope of this assessment. While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of the code and can quickly identify items that do not follow the security best practices. The following phases and associated tools were used during the assessment:

- Research into architecture and purpose.
- Smart contract manual code review and walkthrough.
- Graphing out functionality and contract logic/connectivity/functions. (solgraph)
- Manual assessment of use and safety for the critical Solidity variables and functions in scope to identify any arithmetic related vulnerability classes.
- Manual testing by custom scripts.
- Scanning of solidity files for vulnerabilities, security hot-spots or bugs. (MythX)
- Static Analysis of security for scoped contract, and imported functions. (Slither)
- Testnet deployment. (Brownie, Anvil, Foundry)

## 2. RISK METHODOLOGY

Every vulnerability and issue observed by Halborn is ranked based on **two sets** of **Metrics** and a **Severity Coefficient**. This system is inspired by the industry standard Common Vulnerability Scoring System.

The two Metric sets are: Exploitability and Impact. Exploitability captures the ease and technical means by which vulnerabilities can be exploited and Impact describes the consequences of a successful exploit.

The **Severity Coefficients** is designed to further refine the accuracy of the ranking with two factors: **Reversibility** and **Scope**. These capture the impact of the vulnerability on the environment as well as the number of users and smart contracts affected.

The final score is a value between 0-10 rounded up to 1 decimal place and 10 corresponding to the highest security risk. This provides an objective and accurate rating of the severity of security vulnerabilities in smart contracts.

The system is designed to assist in identifying and prioritizing vulnerabilities based on their level of risk to address the most critical issues in a timely manner.

## 2.1 EXPLOITABILITY

## Attack Origin (AO):

Captures whether the attack requires compromising a specific account.

## Attack Cost (AC):

Captures the cost of exploiting the vulnerability incurred by the attacker relative to sending a single transaction on the relevant blockchain. Includes but is not limited to financial and computational cost.

## Attack Complexity (AX):

Describes the conditions beyond the attacker's control that must exist in order to exploit the vulnerability. Includes but is not limited to macro situation, available third-party liquidity and regulatory challenges.

## Metrics:

Exploitability Metric $(m_E)$	Metric Value	Numerical Value
Attack Origin (AO)	Arbitrary (AO:A)	1
Actack Origin (AO)	Specific (AO:S)	0.2
	Low (AC:L)	1
Attack Cost (AC)	Medium (AC:M)	0.67
	High (AC:H)	0.33
	Low (AX:L)	1
Attack Complexity (AX)	Medium (AX:M)	0.67
	High (AX:H)	0.33

Exploitability  ${\it E}$  is calculated using the following formula:

$$E = \prod m_e$$

## 2.2 IMPACT

## Confidentiality (C):

Measures the impact to the confidentiality of the information resources managed by the contract due to a successfully exploited vulnerability. Confidentiality refers to limiting access to authorized users only.

## Integrity (I):

Measures the impact to integrity of a successfully exploited vulnerability. Integrity refers to the trustworthiness and veracity of data stored and/or processed on-chain. Integrity impact directly affecting Deposit or Yield records is excluded.

## Availability (A):

Measures the impact to the availability of the impacted component resulting from a successfully exploited vulnerability. This metric refers to smart contract features and functionality, not state. Availability impact directly affecting Deposit or Yield is excluded.

## Deposit (D):

Measures the impact to the deposits made to the contract by either users or owners.

## Yield (Y):

Measures the impact to the yield generated by the contract for either users or owners.

## Metrics:

Impact Metric $(m_I)$	Metric Value	Numerical Value
	None (I:N)	0
	Low (I:L)	0.25
Confidentiality (C)	Medium (I:M)	0.5
	High (I:H)	0.75
	Critical (I:C)	1
	None (I:N)	0
	Low (I:L)	0.25
Integrity (I)	Medium (I:M)	0.5
	High (I:H)	0.75
	Critical (I:C)	1
	None (A:N)	0
	Low (A:L)	0.25
Availability (A)	Medium (A:M)	0.5
	High (A:H)	0.75
	Critical	1
	None (D:N)	0
	Low (D:L)	0.25
Deposit (D)	Medium (D:M)	0.5
	High (D:H)	0.75
	Critical (D:C)	1
	None (Y:N)	0
	Low (Y:L)	0.25
Yield (Y)	Medium: (Y:M)	0.5
	High: (Y:H)	0.75
	Critical (Y:H)	1

Impact  ${\it I}$  is calculated using the following formula:

$$I = max(m_I) + \frac{\sum m_I - max(m_I)}{4}$$

## 2.3 SEVERITY COEFFICIENT

## Reversibility (R):

Describes the share of the exploited vulnerability effects that can be reversed. For upgradeable contracts, assume the contract private key is available.

## Scope (S):

Captures whether a vulnerability in one vulnerable contract impacts resources in other contracts.

Coefficient $(C)$	Coefficient Value	Numerical Value
	None (R:N)	1
Reversibility $(r)$	Partial (R:P)	0.5
	Full (R:F)	0.25
Scono (a)	Changed (S:C)	1.25
Scope $(s)$	Unchanged (S:U)	1

Severity Coefficient C is obtained by the following product:

C = rs

The Vulnerability Severity Score  ${\cal S}$  is obtained by:

S = min(10, EIC \* 10)

The score is rounded up to 1 decimal places.

Severity	Score Value Range
Critical	9 - 10
High	7 - 8.9
Medium	4.5 - 6.9
Low	2 - 4.4
Informational	0 - 1.9

## 2.4 SCOPE

## IN-SCOPE CODE & COMMIT:

- Repository: wallet-contracts
  - Commit ID: 84dd5e1b6c2f0c2e78e6162a57bf82aa42e154dd

Out-of-scope: external libraries and financial related attacks.

## **REMEDIATION COMMITS:**

- Repository: wallet-contracts
  - Pull request ID: 31
  - Commit IDs:
    - 6b91e4013b768254de3ad5fd8b4d85423f7204c5
    - fdd8cd20d93f134f58396812214e02932d006f6f
    - bbaddf196d02cc9f727b647fd3da210ef9881277
    - b82bf46b8e93d7c1ace6d668b1442d1458cdb3bb
    - 99819fa06d8677c6ce6a5319b93fe9e4a72403a6

## 3. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
0	0	1	2	3

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDIATION DATE
(HAL-01) CURRENT IMAGEHASH VERIFICATION DOES NOT FOLLOW THE SPECIFICATION	Medium (5.6)	SOLVED - 08/18/2023
(HAL-02) MODULECREATOR DOES NOT VERIFY WHETHER A CONTRACT HAS BEEN DEPLOYED	Low (3.1)	SOLVED - 08/18/2023
(HAL-03) USING KECCAK256 RESULTS DIRECTLY FROM A STRING AS STORAGE KEYS COULD LEAD TO STORAGE COLLISIONS	Low (3.1)	SOLVED - 08/18/2023
(HAL-04) USE CUSTOM ERRORS INSTEAD OF REVERT STRINGS TO SAVE GAS	Informational (0.0)	ACKNOWLEDGED
(HAL-05) UNNECESSARY BOOLEAN EXPRESSION	Informational (0.0)	ACKNOWLEDGED
(HAL-06) FLOATING PRAGMA	Informational (0.0)	SOLVED - 08/18/2023

## FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS

## 4.1 (HAL-01) CURRENT IMAGEHASH VERIFICATION DOES NOT FOLLOW THE SPECIFICATION - MEDIUM (5.6)

## Description:

Following the ImageHash specification, there are several levels of nested hashes, in this case three nested hashes in order to validate the threshold and both signers implied in the wallet.

```
Listing 1

1 keccak256(abi.encode(1, address1, keccak256(abi.encode(1, address2

L, keccak256(abi.encode(2))))))
```

However, the threshold value is not hashed during verification in the \_signatureValidationWithUpdateCheck function. Instead, its value is converted to bytes32 and appended to the second nested keccak256 hash.

Moreover, the ImageHash verification does not follow the defined order in its specification. Therefore, in order to make a valid ImageHash, it should be generated following the logic defined below.

As it can be seen, this logic is completely different compared to the specification.

Code Location:

} else {

Listing 3: src/contracts/modules/commons/ModuleAuth.sol (Lines 85,127) 85 bytes32 imageHash = bytes32(uint256(threshold)); 88 uint256 totalWeight; 91 while (rindex < \_signature.length) { uint256 flag; uint256 addrWeight; address addr; (flag, addrWeight, rindex) = \_signature.readUint8Uint8(rindex); if (flag == FLAG\_ADDRESS) { (addr, rindex) = \_signature.readAddress(rindex); } else if (flag == FLAG\_SIGNATURE) { bytes memory signature; (signature, rindex) = \_signature.readBytes66(rindex); addr = recoverSigner(\_hash, signature); } else if (flag == FLAG\_DYNAMIC\_SIGNATURE) { (addr, rindex) = \_signature.readAddress(rindex); uint256 size; (size, rindex) = \_signature.readUint16(rindex); bytes memory signature; (signature, rindex) = \_signature.readBytes(rindex, size); require(isValidSignature(\_hash, addr, signature), "ModuleAuth# 

revert("ModuleAuth#\_signatureValidation INVALID\_FLAG");

```
124  }
125
126  // Write weight and address to image
127  imageHash = keccak256(abi.encode(imageHash, addrWeight, addr));
128 }
```

## BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:M/A:L/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (5.6)

## Recommendation:

It is recommended to adapt the ImageHash verification logic to the specification, which is also used in <code>0xSequence</code> smart contracts.

## Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** the Immutable team solved this issues by modifying the specification instead of the code in the following commit:

6b91e4013b768254de3ad5fd8b4d85423f7204c5

## 4.2 (HAL-02) MODULECREATOR DOES NOT VERIFY WHETHER A CONTRACT HAS BEEN DEPLOYED - LOW (3.1)

## Description:

There is a module named ModuleCreator that allows to deploy new smart contracts on behalf of the wallet through the create op-code in assembly.

However, the return value associated to executing the create op-code should be verified in order to confirm a smart contract has been created properly. In this case, the aforementioned return value should be different from zero address.

## Code Location:

## BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:L/A:L/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (3.1)

## Recommendation:

It is recommended to verify if the returned valued is zero address in order to handle possible errors.

## Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** the Immutable team solved this issue by checking if the create instruction returns a zero address in the following commit:

• fdd8cd20d93f134f58396812214e02932d006f6f

# 4.3 (HAL-03) USING KECCAK256 RESULTS DIRECTLY FROM A STRING AS STORAGE KEYS COULD LEAD TO STORAGE COLLISIONS - LOW (3.1)

## Description:

In the implementation, every contract that makes use of the EVM storage follows a key-value pattern implemented in the ModuleStorage library. This functionality allows storing a value in a specific slot of the storage defined by a key.

The way these contracts generate different keys for each value to be stored is by using the keccak256 hashing function, specifying a string that references the variable to be stored in the internal storage. However, since the pre-image of every keccak256 hash is known, its values could be overwritten in case a mistake is made. Then, it'd be convenient to use hashes as keys whose pre-images are not known, for instance, by subtracting 1 from the resulting hash since the pre-image for keccak256 (STRING) - 1 is not known.

### Code Location:

# Listing 5: src/contracts/modules/commons/ModuleIgnoreNonceCalls.sol 16 // NONCE\_KEY = keccak256("org.arcadeum. L. module.calls.nonce"); 17 bytes32 private constant NONCE\_KEY = bytes32(0 L. x8d0bf1fd623d628c741362c1289948e57b3e2905218c676d3e69abee36d6ae2e) L. ;

# Listing 6: src/contracts/modules/commons/ModuleIgnoreAuthUpgrad-able.sol 16 // IMAGE\_HASH\_KEY = keccak256("org.arcadeum. Lymodule.auth.upgradable.image.hash"); 17 bytes32 private constant IMAGE\_HASH\_KEY = bytes32(0) Lyxea7157fa25e3aa17d0ae2d5280fa4e24d421c61842aa85e45194e1145aa72bf8) Ly;

```
Listing 7: src/contracts/modules/commons/ModuleHooks.sol

16 // HOOKS_KEY = keccak256("org.arcadeum.

L. module.hooks.hooks");

17 bytes32 private constant HOOKS_KEY = bytes32(0

L. xbe27a319efc8734e89e26ba4bc95f5c788584163b959f03fa04e2d7ab4b9a120)

L. ;
```

# Listing 8: src/contracts/modules/commons/ModuleCalls.sol NONCE\_KEY = keccak256("org.arcadeum. module.calls.nonce"); bytes32 private constant NONCE\_KEY = bytes32(0) x8d0bf1fd623d628c741362c1289948e57b3e2905218c676d3e69abee36d6ae2e) ;

```
Listing 9: src/contracts/modules/commons/ModuleAuthUpgradable.sol

12 // IMAGE_HASH_KEY = keccak256("org.arcadeum.

Lymodule.auth.upgradable.image.hash");

13 bytes32 internal constant IMAGE_HASH_KEY = bytes32(0

Lyxea7157fa25e3aa17d0ae2d5280fa4e24d421c61842aa85e45194e1145aa72bf8)

Ly;

14
```

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:L/A:L/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (3.1)

## Recommendation:

As it was described, it is recommended to use hashes as keys in storage that do not have known pre-images.

## Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** the Immutable team solved this issues by replacing the aforementioned hashes by randomized ones in the following commit:

• 99819fa06d8677c6ce6a5319b93fe9e4a72403a6

## 4.4 (HAL-04) USE CUSTOM ERRORS INSTEAD OF REVERT STRINGS TO SAVE GAS - INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

## Description:

Failed operations in this contract are reverted with an accompanying message selected from a set of hard-coded strings.

In EVM, emitting a hard-coded string in an error message costs ~50 more gas than emitting a custom error. Additionally, hard-coded strings increase the gas required to deploy the contract.

## BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (0.0)

## Recommendation:

Custom errors are available from Solidity version 0.8.4 up. Consider replacing all revert strings with custom errors. Usage of custom errors should look like this:

```
Listing 10

1 error CustomError();
2
3 // ...
4
5 if (condition)
6 revert CustomError();
```

## Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The Immutable team acknowledged this finding.

## 4.5 (HAL-05) UNNECESSARY BOOLEAN EXPRESSION - INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

## Description:

It has been identified expressions that always result in true value inside require statements. The evaluation of this kind of expression costs extra gas, bearing in mind that they are completely irrelevant in the code.

## Code Location:

## BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (0.0)

## Recommendation:

Since the aforementioned expression will always result in a true value, it is recommended to remove that require.

## Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The Immutable team acknowledged this finding.

## 4.6 (HAL-06) FLOATING PRAGMA - INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

## Description:

The Wallet and Factory smart contracts use the floating pragma ^0.8. Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested with thoroughly. Locking the pragma helps to ensure that contracts do not accidentally get deployed using, for example, either an outdated compiler version that might introduce bugs that affect the contract system negatively or a pragma version too new which has not been extensively tested.

## BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (0.0)

## Recommendation:

Consider locking the pragma version with known bugs for the compiler version by removing the caret (^) symbol. When possible, do not use floating pragma in the final live deployment. Specifying a fixed compiler version ensures that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

## Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** The Immutable team solved this issues by setting a fixed pragma version in the following commit:

b82bf46b8e93d7c1ace6d668b1442d1458cdb3bb

## UPGRADEABILITY

## 5.1 Description

Following one of the 'Immutable team's major concerns, this project should be upgradeable, thus checking whether a possible upgrade could incur issues is a priority in this case.

Since the logic of these contracts is executed through a proxy, there could exist risks of storage collisions. However, these contracts make use of a library to store bytes32 values in a specific slot in the storage following a key-value pattern. Therefore, as long as a key is not used more than once for several values, there should not exist any risk of storage collision between upgrades.

Below it's described the different in-use slots in the storage in each module to be considered in order to avoid storage collisions.

## 5.2 Storage layouts

MainModuleDynamicAuth:

Slot	Variable
0x8d0bf1fd623d628c741362c1289948e5	NONCE_KEY
7b3e2905218c676d3e69abee36d6ae2e	NONCE_KET
0xea7157fa25e3aa17d0ae2d5280fa4e24	IMAGE_HASH_KEY
d421c61842aa85e45194e1145aa72bf8	IMAGE_NASH_NET
address(this) - 0x0000000000000000	IMPLEMENTATION
00000000XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	IMPLEMENTATION

## MainModule:

Slot	Variable
0x8d0bf1fd623d628c741362c1289948e5	NONCE_KEY
7b3e2905218c676d3e69abee36d6ae2e	
0xbe27a319efc8734e89e26ba4bc95f5c7	HOOKS_KEY
88584163b959f03fa04e2d7ab4b9a120	
address(this) - 0x000000000000000	IMPLEMENTATION
00000000XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	

## GuestModule:

Slot	Variable
0x8d0bf1fd623d628c741362c1289948e5	NONCE_KEY
7b3e2905218c676d3e69abee36d6ae2e	
address(this) - 0x0000000000000000	IMPLEMENTATION
00000000XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	

## ${\tt MainModuleGasEstimation:}$

Slot	Variable
0x8d0bf1fd623d628c741362c1289948e5	NONCE_KEY
7b3e2905218c676d3e69abee36d6ae2e	
0xbe27a319efc8734e89e26ba4bc95f5c7	HOOKS_KEY
88584163b959f03fa04e2d7ab4b9a120	
0xea7157fa25e3aa17d0ae2d5280fa4e24	IMAGE_HASH_KEY
d421c61842aa85e45194e1145aa72bf8	
address(this) - 0x0000000000000000	IMPLEMENTATION
00000000XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	

## MainModuleUpgradable:

Slot	Variable
0x8d0bf1fd623d628c741362c1289948e5	NONCE_KEY
7b3e2905218c676d3e69abee36d6ae2e	
0xbe27a319efc8734e89e26ba4bc95f5c7	HOOKS_KEY
88584163b959f03fa04e2d7ab4b9a120	
0xea7157fa25e3aa17d0ae2d5280fa4e24	IMAGE_HASH_KEY
d421c61842aa85e45194e1145aa72bf8	
address(this) - 0x000000000000000	IMPLEMENTATION
00000000XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	

## 5.3 Recommendation

As it was aforementioned, it is recommended to not use the same storage key to store more than one value to avoid possible storage collision issues.

In general, using a library in order to manage the storage following a key-value pattern is a robust practice. However, it could be even safer, as HAL-03 describes.

## PROXY OPTIMIZATION

## 6.1 Description

One of the concerns in this project was optimizing the most the WalletProxy contract, since it will be deployed in many chains and will be executed in every transaction involving the wallet.

Initially, this contract was developed in YUL which is a intermediate level language for EVM supported natively by solc. Its code produces a minimalist bytecode thanks to the optimizer implemented in solc. However, it was suggested to try Huff which is a low-level assembly language capable of generating even more minimalist bytecode compared to the YUL one, that would be translated into less gas during deployment and execution time.

The proof of concept developed in Huff to be compared with YUL's implementation can be found in the Appendix of this document, and the difference in terms of gas usage is shown below.

## 6.2 Results

#### Gas usage with YUL contract:

src/contracts/Factory.sol:Factory contract			ļ						
	Deployment Size 3342 min 69687 1487 27213	 	     median   69687   1487   27213	   max   69687   1487   27213	# calls 12 19				
src/contracts/MultiCallDeploy.sol:MultiCallDeploy contract									
   Deployment Cost   909558		   Deployment Size   4763		   	   		       		
Function Name   deployAndExecute   grantExecutorRole		min 6120 25039		avg   117584     25039	median 152906 25039	max 223078 25039	# calls     22     12		

Gas usage with Huff contract:

src/contracts/Factory.sol:Factory contract				ļ			
Deployment Cost	Deployment Size						
625468	3340	!!!		!!		!	
Function Name	min	avg	median	max	# calls	ļ	
deploy	69487	69487	69487	69487	12	1	
getAddress	1487	1487	1487	1487	19	1	
grantRole	27213	27213	27213	27213	12	1	
src/contracts/MultiCallDeploy.sol:MultiCallI	Deploy contract		!				!!
   Deployment Cost   909558		Deploymer 4763	nt Size				
Function Name		min		avq	median	max	# calls
deployAndExecute		6122		117469	152708	222880	22
grantExecutorRole		25039		25039	25039	25039	12

# 6.3 Conclusion

Described Huff contract consumes ~200 gas less in deployment time and ~100-200 gas less in execution time (deployAndExecute function) compared to YUL's contract, which it's not a major difference. Moreover, Huff manages to generate a bytecode with a size 2 bytes smaller than YUL's, which is not a huge difference either.

# MANUAL TESTING

## 7.1 SCENARIOS TESTED

In the manual testing phase, the following scenarios were simulated. It must be taken into account that it's been reviewed every test found in the repository, which almost covers each component of the project. The scenarios listed below were selected based on the features of the smart contracts and possible issues related to the scoped smart contracts:

- Test 1: Deployment without transactions.
- Test 2: Deployment with transactions.
- Test 3: Transaction execution after deployment.
- Test 4: Transaction execution with replayed signatures.
- Test 5: Transaction execution in not owned wallet.
- Test 6: Wallet can handle reverts.
- Test 7: Wallet can execute a batch of transactions without reverting if any of them fails.
- Test 8: Wallet works as intended after updating its implementation (revert flag).
- Test 9: Allowed delegatecall can modify the wallet's implementation.
- Test 10: Wallet can receive and send ether.
- Test 11: Wallet can execute transactions sent to itself.
- Test 12: Proxy can retrieve its implementation.

The code used for testing these aforementioned scenarios can be found in the Appendix of this document.

#### **RESULTS:**

```
[PASS] testDelegateCallManipulatesImpl() (gas: 1941750)
[PASS] testDeployExecuteTransactionAfter() (gas: 298444)
[PASS] testDeployExecuteWithRevert() (gas: 261749)
[PASS] testDeployKeepExecutingAfterRevert() (gas: 274233)
[PASS] testDeployUpdateImplementation() (gas: 1938218)
[PASS] testDeployWithTransaction() (gas: 250499)
[PASS] testDeployWithoutTransactions() (gas: 187516)
[PASS] testGetImplementation() (gas: 190475)
[PASS] testRevertWithNotOwnedWallet() (gas: 289626)
[PASS] testRevertWithReplayedSignature() (gas: 273099)
[PASS] testSelfExecute() (gas: 303814)
[PASS] testWalletReceiveAndSendEther() (gas: 283549)
```

# AUTOMATED TESTING

## 8.1 STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT

#### Description:

Halborn used automated testing techniques to enhance the coverage of certain areas of the smart contracts in scope. Among the tools used was Slither, a Solidity static analysis framework. After Halborn verified the smart contracts in the repository and was able to compile them correctly into their ABIs and binary format, Slither was run against the contracts. This tool can statically verify mathematical relationships between Solidity variables to detect invalid or inconsistent usage of the contracts' APIs across the entire code-base.

#### Results:

```
rauts:
result) = transaction.target.call{gas: gasleft()(),value: transaction.value}(transaction.data) (contracts/modules/GuestModule.sol#81-84)
result) = transaction.target.call{gas: transaction.gaslimit,value: transaction.value}(transaction.data) (contracts/modules/GuestModule.s
                                                       calis:
[i].succeeded,results[i].result) = transaction.target.call{gas: gasleft()(),value: transaction.value}(transaction.data) (contracts/modules
                                                                              .imation.sol#58-61)
32,IModuleCalls.Transaction[]) (contracts/modules/commons/ModuleCalls.sol#96-126) sends eth to arbitrary user
   - (success, result) = transaction ranget (Etc.) ger
Calls.solmly1-117)
ModuleIgnoreMonceCalls._execute(bytes32,IModuleCalls.Transaction[]) (contracts/modules/commons/ModuleIgnoreMonceCalls.sol#99-129) sends eth to arbitrary
                                                         sot#24-27)
/github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#functions-that-send-ether-to-arbitrary-destinations
    INFO: Detectors:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                oduleHooks.sol#111)
uleCalls.sol#96-126) uses delegatecall to a input-controlled function
   - (success, result) = transaction.target.delegatecall{gas: gasleft()()}(transaction.data) (contracts/modules/commons/ModuleCalls.sol#110-112)
ModuleCalls._execute(bytes32,IModuleCalls.Transaction[]) (contracts/modules/commons/ModuleCalls.sol#96-126) uses delegatecall to a input-controlled function
                                                      )
nation.simulateExecute(IModuleCalls.Transaction[]) (contracts/modules/MainModuleGasEstimation.sol#36-72) uses delegatecall to a input-contr
                                                         ol#50-52)
/github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#controlled-delegatecall
   Reference: https://gichum.com/s/gichum.com/s/gichum.com/s/fibroletectors:
ModuleIgnoreNonceCalls._validateNonce(uint256) (contracts/modules/commons/ModuleIgnoreNonceCalls.sol#137-153) uses a Boolean constant improperly:

-require(bool,string)((providedNonce == currentNonce) || true,MainModule#_auth: INVALID_NONCE) (contracts/modules/commons/ModuleIgnoreNonceCalls.sol
ModuleIgnoreMonecalts - vertices with the contract product of the contract locking ether found:
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147)
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-147
#144-1
```

```
- CallReceiverMock.testCall(uint256,bytes) (contracts/mocks/CallReceiverMock.sol#17-22)
But does not have a function to withdraw the ether
ct locking ether found:
Contract StartupWalletImpl (contracts/startup/StartupWalletImpl.sol#17-46) has payable functions:
- StartupWalletImpl.fallback() (contracts/startup/StartupWalletImpl.sol#25-45)
But does not have a function to withdraw the ether
noe: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#contracts-that-lock-ether
stertors:
 But Goes not nave a runction to warnoram the ether
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#contracts-that-lock-ether
IMFO:Detectors:
ModuleAuth._signatureValidationWithUpdateCheck(bytes32,bytes).addr (contracts/modules/commons/ModuleAuth.sol#93) is a local variable never initialized
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#uninitialized-local-variables
IMFO:Detectors:
                     betectors.
tresImpl.readFirstUint16(bytes) (contracts/mocks/LibBytesImpl.sol#10-12) ignores return value by data.readFirstUint16() (contracts/mocks/LibBytesImpl.s
  ot#11)
LibBytesImpl.readUint8Uint8(bytes,uint256) (contracts/mocks/LibBytesImpl.sol#14-16) ignores return value by _data.readUint8Uint8(_index) (contracts/mocks/LibBytesImpl.sol#15)
LibBytesImpl.readAddress(bytes,uint256) (contracts/mocks/LibBytesImpl.sol#18-20) ignores return value by _data.readAddress(_index) (contracts/mocks/LibBytes
   LibBytesImpl.readBytes66(bytes,uint256) (contracts/mocks/LibBytesImpl.sol#32-24) ignores return value by _data.readBytes66(_index) (contracts/mocks/LibBytesImpl.sol#32)
LibBytesImpl.readUint16(bytes,uint256) (contracts/mocks/LibBytesImpl.sol#30-32) ignores return value by _data.readUint16(_index) (contracts/mocks/LibBytesImpl.sol#31)
LibBytesImpl.readBytes(bytes,uint256,uint256) (contracts/mocks/LibBytesImpl.sol#34-36) ignores return value by _data.readBytes(_index,_size) (contracts/mockles/commons/ModuleAuth.sol#73-132) ignores return value by (flag,addrWeight,rindex) = _signature.readUintBUint8(rindex) (contracts/modules/contracts/modules/utils/RequireUtils.sol#122-228) ignores return value by (flag,addrWeight,rindex) = _signature.readUintBUintBUint8(rindex) (contracts/modules/utils/RequireUtils.sol#157)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-DocumentationHunused-return
INFO:Detectors:

GassEstimator.estimate(address, bytes)..to (contracts/modules/utils/GassEstimator.sol#7) lacks a zero-check on :
  - (succede mata) = matter.strees.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.threes.thre
 - primarySigner = _newSigner (contracts/signer/ImmutableSigner.sol#84)

LatestWalletImplLocator.changeWalletImplementation(address)__newImpl (contracts/startup/LatestWalletImplLocator.sol#34) lacks a zero-check on :
- latestWalletImplementation = _newImpl (contracts/startup/LatestWalletImplLocator.sol#35)

StartupWalletImpl.constructor(address)__walletImplementationLocator (contracts/startup/StartupWalletImpl.sol#20) lacks a zero-check on :
- walletImplementationLocator = _malletImplementationLocator (contracts/startup/StartupWalletImpl.sol#20)

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-zero-address-validation

TMEMO:Natestons:
  Reference: https://github.com/cfyttl/scence//min
IMPO:Detectors:
Modifier Migrations.restricted() (contracts/migrations/Migrations.sol#13-16) does not always execute _; or revertReference: https://github.com/crytic/slithe
r/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-modifier
                         etectors:
duleGasEstimation.simulateExecute(IModuleCalls.Transaction[]) (contracts/moduleSasEstimation.sol#36-72) has external calls inside a loop:
ts[i].succeeded,results[i].result) = transaction.target.delegatecall{gas: gasleft()()}(transaction.data) (contracts/modules/MainModuleGasEstimation.sc
External calls:
- (succeed, data) = _wallet.call(abi.encodePacked(IModuleAuthUpgradable(_wallet).imageHash.selector)) (contracts/modules/utils/RequireUtils.sol#73)
State variables written after the call(s):
- knownImageHashes[_wallet] = imageHash (contracts/modules/utils/RequireUtils.sol#94)
- lastImageHashbuJate[imageHash] = block.number (contracts/modules/utils/RequireUtils.sol#185)
- lastWalletUpdate[_wallet] = block.number (contracts/modules/utils/RequireUtils.sol#186)
                                                          .
ModuleCalls._execute(bytes32,IModuleCalls.Transaction[]) (contracts/modules/commons/ModuleCalls.sol#96-126):
```

- Flagged reentrancy issues are false positive and expected behaviors.
- Flagged arbitrary call issues are false positive and expected behaviors.
- Flagged controlled delegatecall issues are false positive, but it is true that they can pose a risk for the wallet if they are used wrongly.
- No major issues were found by Slither.

# 8.2 AUTOMATED SECURITY SCAN

#### Description:

Halborn used automated security scanners to assist with detection of well-known security issues and to identify low-hanging fruits on the targets for this engagement. Among the tools used was MythX, a security analysis service for Ethereum smart contracts. MythX performed a scan on the smart contracts and sent the compiled results to the analyzers to locate any vulnerabilities.

#### MythX results:

No major issues found by MythX.

# APPENDIX

Proof of Concept: WalletProxy.huff:

```
Listing 12: src/contracts/WalletProxy.huff
 1 /// @title Optimized WalletProxy contract
 2 /// @notice SPDX-License-Identifier: UNLICENSED
 3 /// @author Alejandro Taibo (Halborn)
 5 // Interface
 6 #define function PROXY_getImplementation() view returns (address)
 8 #define macro ADDRESS_SLOT() = takes(0) returns(1) {
                                 // [address(this)] - returns
       address
 10 }
12 #define macro CONSTRUCTOR() = takes(0) returns (0) {
       // Copy implementation address into memory
                                 // [size] - byte size to copy
       __codesize(CONSTRUCTOR)
                                 // [offset, size] - offset in the
// [mem, offset, size] - offset in
       returndatasize
codecopy
                                  // []
       // Copy implementation address from memory into storage
       __codesize(MAIN)
                                  // [mainsize]
                                 // [mainsize, mainsize]
       dup1
       mload
                                  // [implementation_addr, mainsize]
    - load value into stack
       ADDRESS_SLOT() sstore
                                 // [mainsize] - store

    implementation address into storage

       returndatasize return
28 #define macro MAIN() = takes(0) returns (0) {
       // Load implementation address
       ADDRESS_SLOT() sload
                                 // [implementation_addr]
       // Store zero in stack to avoid using PUSH0 after delegatecall
       returndatasize
                                 // [0, implementation_addr]
       // Load function signature from calldata
       returndatasize
                                 // [0, 0, implementation_addr]
```

```
calldataload
                                   // [calldata, 0,

    implementation_addr] - load calldata into stack

      0xE0 shr
                                   // [signature, 0,

    implementation_addr] - shift 224 bits to right

      // Function dispatcher
      __FUNC_SIG(PROXY_getImplementation) eq getImplementation jumpi
      // Execute delegatecall as a regular proxy
      calldatasize
                                  // [calldatasize, 0,
  implementation addrl
      returndatasize dup1
                                  // [0, 0, calldatasize, 0,
  implementation_addr]
      calldatacopy
                                   // [0, implementation_addr] - copy
   calldata into memory
      returndatasize dup1
                                  // [0, 0, 0, implementation_addr]
      calldatasize
                                  // [calldatasize, 0, 0, 0,

    implementation_addr]

      returndatasize
                                  // [0, calldatasize, 0, 0, 0,

    implementation_addr]

      dup6
                                  // [implementation_addr, 0,
└ calldatasize, 0, 0, 0, implementation_addr] - load implementation

        → address into stack

                                   // [gas, implementation_addr, 0,
      gas
delegatecall
                                  // [success, 0,

    implementation_addr]

      returndatasize
                                  // [returndatasize, success, 0,

    implementation_addr]

      dup3 dup1
                                  // [0, 0, returndatasize, success,
   0, implementation_addr]
      returndatacopy
                                  // [success, 0,
implementation_addr] - copy output data from delegatecall into
iszero error_delegatecall jumpi
      returndatasize dup2 return
      error_delegatecall:
          returndatasize dup2 revert
```

Code used in manual testing:

```
Listing 13: foundry_tests/TestWallet.t.sol
 2 pragma solidity 0.8.17;
 4 import "forge-std/Test.sol";
 6 import "./helpers/Deployment.sol";
 7 import "./helpers/WalletHelper.sol";
 9 import { MainModuleDynamicAuth } from "src/contracts/modules/
11 import { CallReceiverMock } from "src/contracts/mocks/
 12 import { DelegateCallStorageMock } from "src/contracts/mocks/
14 import { IWalletProxy } from "src/contracts/IWalletProxy.sol";
16 contract TestWallet is Deployment, WalletHelper {
       MainModuleDynamicAuth public mainmoduledynamicauth;
       function setUp() public {
           mainmoduledynamicauth = new MainModuleDynamicAuth(address(

    factory), address(startupwalletimpl));
```

```
vm.prank(IMPLCHANGER);
          latestwalletimpllocator.changeWalletImplementation(address
receiverMock = new CallReceiverMock();
          delegateMock = new DelegateCallStorageMock();
      function testDeployWithoutTransactions() public {
          IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory txs = new IModuleCalls.

    Transaction[](0);

          address wallet = _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          assertTrue(wallet != address(0));
      function testDeployWithTransaction() public {
          IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory txs = new IModuleCalls.
txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
             false,
             true,
             100_000,
             address(receiverMock),
             abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",
);
          address wallet = _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          assertTrue(wallet != address(0));
          assertTrue(receiverMock.lastValA() == 1337);
          assertEq(receiverMock.lastValB(), bytes("ABCD"));
      function testDeployExecuteTransactionAfter() public {
          IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory txs = new IModuleCalls.

    Transaction[](1);

          txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
             false,
             100_000,
```

```
address(receiverMock),
              0,
              abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",

    uint256(1337), bytes("ABCD"))

          );
          address wallet = _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          assertTrue(wallet != address(0));
          assertTrue(receiverMock.lastValA() == 1337);
          assertEq(receiverMock.lastValB(), bytes("ABCD"));
          txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
              false,
              true,
              100_000,
              address(receiverMock),
              0,
             abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",

    uint256(4299), bytes("WXYZ"))

          );
          _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          assertTrue(receiverMock.lastValA() == 4299);
          assertEq(receiverMock.lastValB(), bytes("WXYZ"));
      function testRevertWithReplayedSignature() public {
          IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory txs = new IModuleCalls.
txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
              false,
              true,
              100_000,
              address(receiverMock),
              0,
              abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",
);
          address wallet = _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          assertTrue(wallet != address(0));
```

```
assertTrue(receiverMock.lastValA() == 1337);
          assertEq(receiverMock.lastValB(), bytes("ABCD"));
          (bool success, bytes memory data) = wallet.staticcall(
              abi.encodeWithSignature("nonce()")
          );
          if (!success)
              revert("#testSimpleWithRepeatedNonce: error retrieving
   nonce");
          uint256 prevNonce = uint256(bytes32(data)) - 1;
          address account = makeAddr("ALICE");
          bytes32 imageHash = makeWalletImageHash(account, address(

    immutablesigner));
          (bytes memory signature, ) = makeWalletSignatureNonce(
              address(immutablesigner),
              "ALICE",
              prevNonce
          );
          vm.startPrank(EXECUTOR);
              vm.expectRevert("MainModule#_auth: INVALID_NONCE");
              multicalldeploy.deployAndExecute(
                  address(startupwalletimpl),
                  address(factory),
              );
          vm.stopPrank();
      function testRevertWithNotOwnedWallet() public {
          IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory txs = new IModuleCalls.
```

```
txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
              false,
              true,
              100_000,
              address(receiverMock),
              0,
              abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",
  uint256(1337), bytes("ABCD"))
          );
          address wallet = _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          assertTrue(wallet != address(0));
          assertTrue(receiverMock.lastValA() == 1337);
          assertEq(receiverMock.lastValB(), bytes("ABCD"));
          address account = makeAddr("BOB");
          bytes32 imageHash = makeWalletImageHash(account, address(
  immutablesigner));
          (bytes memory signature, uint256 nonce) =

    makeWalletSignature(
              address(immutablesigner),
          );
          vm.startPrank(EXECUTOR);
              vm.expectRevert("ModuleCalls#execute:
multicalldeploy.deployAndExecute(
                  address(0),
                  address(0),
              );
          }
          vm.stopPrank();
```

```
function testDeployExecuteWithRevert() public {
           IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory txs = new IModuleCalls.
  Transaction[](1);
           txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
               false,
               true,
               100_000,
               address(receiverMock),
               0,
               abi.encodeWithSignature("setRevertFlag(bool)", bool(
  true))
          );
           address wallet = _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
           assertTrue(wallet != address(0));
           txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
               false,
               true,
               100_000,
               address(receiverMock),
               0,
               abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",
  uint256(4299), bytes("WXYZ"))
          );
           address account = makeAddr("ALICE");
           bytes32 imageHash = makeWalletImageHash(account, address(

    immutablesigner));
           (bytes memory signature, uint256 nonce) =

    makeWalletSignature(
               address(immutablesigner),
          );
           vm.startPrank(EXECUTOR);
```

```
vm.expectRevert("CallReceiverMock#testCall:
→ REVERT_FLAG");
              multicalldeploy.deployAndExecute(
                  address(0),
                  address(0),
              );
          }
          vm.stopPrank();
      function testDeployKeepExecutingAfterRevert() public {
          IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory txs = new IModuleCalls.

    Transaction[](4);
          txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
              false,
              true,
              100_000,
              address(receiverMock),
              abi.encodeWithSignature("setRevertFlag(bool)", bool(

   true))
          );
          txs[1] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
              false,
              100_000,
              address(receiverMock),
              0,
              abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",
);
          txs[2] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
              true,
              100_000,
              address(receiverMock),
              0,
```

```
abi.encodeWithSignature("setRevertFlag(bool)", bool(

  false))
          );
          txs[3] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
              false.
              true,
              100_000,
              address(receiverMock),
              abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",
);
          address wallet = _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          assertTrue(wallet != address(0));
          assertTrue(receiverMock.lastValA() == 1337);
          assertEq(receiverMock.lastValB(), bytes("ABCD"));
      function testDeployUpdateImplementation() public {
          IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory txs = new IModuleCalls.
txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
              false,
              true,
              100_000,
              address(receiverMock),
              abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",
);
          address wallet = _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          address prevImpl = IWalletProxy(wallet).
→ PROXY_getImplementation();
          assertTrue(wallet != address(0));
          assertTrue(receiverMock.lastValA() == 1337);
          assertEq(receiverMock.lastValB(), bytes("ABCD"));
          address newMainModule = address(new MainModuleDynamicAuth(

    address(factory), address(startupwalletimpl)));
```

```
txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
               false,
               true,
               100_000,
               address(wallet),
               0,
               abi.encodeWithSignature("updateImplementation(address)
    , address(newMainModule))
           );
           _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
           address currImpl = IWalletProxy(wallet).
  PROXY_getImplementation();
           assertTrue(currImpl != prevImpl);
           assertEq(IWalletProxy(wallet).PROXY_getImplementation(),

    newMainModule);
           txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
               false,
               true,
               100_000,
               address(receiverMock),
               0,
               abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",

    uint256(4299), bytes("WXYZ"))

           );
           _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
           assertTrue(receiverMock.lastValA() == 4299);
           assertEq(receiverMock.lastValB(), bytes("WXYZ"));
       function testDelegateCallManipulatesImpl() public {
           IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory txs = new IModuleCalls.

    Transaction[](1);

           txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
               false,
               true,
               100_000,
               address(receiverMock),
               0,
               abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",
```

```
    uint256(1337), bytes("ABCD"))

          );
          address wallet = _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          address prevImpl = IWalletProxy(wallet).
  PROXY_getImplementation();
          assertTrue(wallet != address(0));
          assertTrue(receiverMock.lastValA() == 1337);
          assertEq(receiverMock.lastValB(), bytes("ABCD"));
          address newMainModule = address(new MainModuleDynamicAuth(
  address(factory), address(startupwalletimpl)));
          txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
               true,
               true,
               100_000,
               address(delegateMock),
              abi.encodeWithSignature(
                   bytes32(uint256(uint160(wallet))),
                   bytes32(uint256(uint160(newMainModule)))
          );
          _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          address currImpl = IWalletProxy(wallet).
  PROXY_getImplementation();
          assertTrue(currImpl != prevImpl);
          assertEq(IWalletProxy(wallet).PROXY_getImplementation(),
  newMainModule);
          txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
               false,
               true,
               100_000,
               address(receiverMock),
              0,
              abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",

    uint256(4299), bytes("WXYZ"))

          );
```

```
_deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          assertTrue(receiverMock.lastValA() == 4299);
          assertEq(receiverMock.lastValB(), bytes("WXYZ"));
      function testWalletReceiveAndSendEther() public {
           IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory txs = new IModuleCalls.

    Transaction[](1);

          address wallet = _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          vm.deal(ALICE, 10 ether);
          uint256 prevBalance = ALICE.balance;
          vm.prank(ALICE);
          (bool success, ) = wallet.call{value: prevBalance}("");
          assertTrue(success);
          assertEq(wallet.balance, prevBalance);
          uint256 prevBalanceBob = BOB.balance;
          uint256 prevBalanceWallet = wallet.balance;
          txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
               false,
               true,
               100_000,
               prevBalanceWallet,
          );
          _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          assertEq(prevBalanceBob + prevBalanceWallet, BOB.balance);
          assertEq(wallet.balance, 0);
      function testSelfExecute() public {
          IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory txs = new IModuleCalls.
address wallet = _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          assertTrue(wallet != address(0));
```

```
IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory subTxs = new
subTxs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
              false,
              true.
              100_000,
              address(receiverMock),
              0,
              abi.encodeWithSignature("testCall(uint256,bytes)",
);
          txs[0] = IModuleCalls.Transaction(
              false,
              true,
              500_000,
              address(wallet),
              abi.encodeWithSelector(IModuleCalls.selfExecute.

    selector, subTxs)

          );
          _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          assertTrue(receiverMock.lastValA() == 1337);
          assertEq(receiverMock.lastValB(), bytes("ABCD"));
      }
      function testGetImplementation() public {
          IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory txs = new IModuleCalls.

    Transaction[](0);

          address wallet = _deployExecuteWallet("ALICE", txs);
          address implementation = IWalletProxy(wallet).

→ PROXY_getImplementation();
          assertTrue(implementation == address(mainmoduledynamicauth
↳ ));
      }
      function _deployExecuteWallet(
          IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory _txs
```

```
) internal returns (address) {
           address account = makeAddr(_accountName);
           bytes32 imageHash = makeWalletImageHash(account, address(

    immutablesigner));
           address expectedWallet = factory.getAddress(
                address(startupwalletimpl),
           );
           (bytes memory signature, uint256 nonce) =

    makeWalletSignature(
               address(immutablesigner),
                _accountName,
           );
           vm.prank(EXECUTOR);
           multicalldeploy.deployAndExecute(
               address(startupwalletimpl),
                address(factory),
           );
           return expectedWallet;
       }
492 }
```

```
Listing 14: foundry_tests/helpers/Deployment.sol

1 // SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT

2 pragma solidity 0.8.17;

3

4 import "forge-std/Test.sol";

5

6 import { Factory } from "src/contracts/Factory.sol";

7 import { MultiCallDeploy } from "src/contracts/MultiCallDeploy.sol
```

```
9 import { LatestWalletImplLocator } from "src/contracts/startup/
10 import { StartupWalletImpl } from "src/contracts/startup/

    StartupWalletImpl.sol":

12 import { ImmutableSigner } from "src/contracts/signer/
14 abstract contract Deployment is Test {
      address public ALICE = makeAddr("ALICE");
      address public BOB = makeAddr("BOB");
      address public CHARLIE = makeAddr("CHARLIE");
      address public ADMIN = makeAddr("ADMIN");
      address public DEPLOYER = makeAddr("DEPLOYER");
      address public IMPLCHANGER = makeAddr("IMPLCHANGER");
      address public SIGNER = makeAddr("SIGNER");
      address public EXECUTOR = makeAddr("EXECUTOR");
      bytes32 public constant DEPLOYER_ROLE = keccak256("

    DEPLOYER_ROLE");
      Factory public factory;
      MultiCallDeploy public multicalldeploy;
      LatestWalletImplLocator public latestwalletimpllocator;
      StartupWalletImpl public startupwalletimpl;
      ImmutableSigner public immutablesigner;
      constructor() {
          factory = new Factory(ADMIN, DEPLOYER);
          multicalldeploy = new MultiCallDeploy(ADMIN, DEPLOYER);
          vm.startPrank(ADMIN);
              factory.grantRole(DEPLOYER_ROLE, address()
```

# Listing 15: foundry\_tests/helpers/WalletHelper.sol 2 pragma solidity 0.8.17; 4 import "forge-std/Test.sol"; 6 import "src/contracts/modules/commons/interfaces/IModuleCalls.sol" 8 abstract contract WalletHelper is Test { uint256 private constant FLAG\_SIGNATURE = 0; uint256 private constant FLAG\_ADDRESS = 1; uint256 private constant SIG\_TYPE\_EIP712 = 1; uint256 private constant SIG\_TYPE\_ETH\_SIGN = 2; uint256 private constant SIG\_TYPE\_WALLET\_BYTES32 = 3; function makeWalletImageHash( address \_signerA, address \_signerB ) internal pure returns (bytes32) { bytes32 imageHash = keccak256(abi.encode( keccak256(abi.encode(

```
bytes32(uint256(2)),
                  uint8(1), _signerA)),
              uint8(1), _signerB
          ));
          return imageHash;
      }
      function makeWalletSignature(
          address _wallet,
          address _immutableSigner,
          string memory _accountA,
          string memory _accountB,
          IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory _txs
      ) internal returns (bytes memory, uint256) {
          uint256 nonce = _getLastNonce(_wallet);
          bytes32 digestData = _subDigest(_wallet, keccak256(abi.
(, uint256 privA) = makeAddrAndKey(_accountA);
          (, uint256 privB) = makeAddrAndKey(_accountB);
          (uint8 v, bytes32 r, bytes32 s) = vm.sign(privA,

    digestData);
          bytes memory signatureA = abi.encodePacked(
              r, s, v, uint8(SIG_TYPE_EIP712)
          );
          (v, r, s) = vm.sign(privB, digestData);
          bytes memory signatureB = abi.encodePacked(
              r, s, v, uint8(SIG_TYPE_EIP712), uint8(
→ SIG_TYPE_WALLET_BYTES32)
          );
          bytes memory txSignature = abi.encodePacked(
              uint16(2),
              uint8(FLAG_SIGNATURE),
              uint8(1),
              uint8(FLAG_DYNAMIC_SIGNATURE),
              uint8(1),
              uint16(signatureB.length),
```

```
);
          return (txSignature, nonce);
      function makeWalletSignatureNonce(
          address _wallet,
          address _immutableSigner,
          string memory _accountA,
          string memory _accountB,
          IModuleCalls.Transaction[] memory _txs,
          uint256 _nonce
      ) internal returns (bytes memory, uint256) {
          bytes32 digestData = _subDigest(_wallet, keccak256(abi.

    encode(_nonce, _txs)));
          (, uint256 privA) = makeAddrAndKey(_accountA);
          (, uint256 privB) = makeAddrAndKey(_accountB);
          (uint8 v, bytes32 r, bytes32 s) = vm.sign(privA,

    digestData);
          bytes memory signatureA = abi.encodePacked(
              r, s, v, uint8(SIG_TYPE_EIP712)
          );
          (v, r, s) = vm.sign(privB, digestData);
          bytes memory signatureB = abi.encodePacked(
              r, s, v, uint8(SIG_TYPE_EIP712), uint8(

    SIG_TYPE_WALLET_BYTES32)

          );
          bytes memory txSignature = abi.encodePacked(
              uint16(2),
              uint8(FLAG_SIGNATURE),
              uint8(1),
              uint8(FLAG_DYNAMIC_SIGNATURE),
              uint8(1),
              uint16(signatureB.length),
          );
```

```
return (txSignature, _nonce);
       function _getLastNonce(address _wallet) private view returns (
→ uint256) {
           (bool success, bytes memory data) = _wallet.staticcall(
               abi.encodeWithSignature("nonce()")
           );
           if (!success)
               return 0;
           return uint256(bytes32(data));
       function _subDigest(address _wallet, bytes32 _digest) private

    view returns (bytes32) {
           uint256 chainId; assembly { chainId := chainid() }
           return keccak256(
             abi.encodePacked(
           );
131 }
```

# Listing 16: src/contracts/mocks/DelegateCallStorageMock.sol 1 // SPDX-License-Identifier: Apache-2.0 2 pragma solidity 0.8.17; 3 4 import "../modules/commons/ModuleStorage.sol"; 5 6 contract DelegateCallStorageMock { 7 8 function write(bytes32 \_key, bytes32 \_val) external { 9 ModuleStorage.writeBytes32(\_key, \_val); 10 } 11

THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

