

SUTD 2021 50.005 Lab 2 Report

James Raphael Tiovalen

Lab 2 Banker.java Screenshots

- Q1 Output:

```
jamestiotio@JRT-PC:~/media/jamestiotio/OS3/Users/jamestiotio/Documents/GitHub/cse/labs/Lab2/BankersAlgorithm_Java$ java TestBankQ1 q1_1.txt
Customer 0 requesting
[0, 1, 0]
Customer 1 requesting
[2, 0, 0]
Customer 2 requesting
[3, 0, 2]
Customer 3 requesting
[2, 1, 1]
Customer 4 requesting
[0, 0, 2]
Customer 1 releasing
[1, 0, 0]

Current state:
Available:
[4, 3, 2]

Maximum:
[7, 5, 3]
[3, 2, 2]
[9, 0, 2]
[2, 2, 2]
[4, 3, 3]

Allocation:
[0, 1, 0]
[1, 0, 0]
[3, 0, 2]
[2, 1, 1]
[0, 0, 2]

Need:
[7, 4, 3]
[2, 2, 2]
[6, 0, 0]
[0, 1, 1]
[4, 3, 1]
```

- Q2 Output:

```
jamestiotio@JRT-PC:~/media/jamestiotio/OS3/Users/jamestiotio/Documents/GitHub/cse/labs/Lab2/BankersAlgorithm_Java$ java TestBankQ2 q2_1.txt
Customer 0 requesting
[0, 1, 0]
Customer 1 requesting
[2, 0, 0]
Customer 2 requesting
[3, 0, 2]
Customer 3 requesting
[2, 1, 1]
Customer 4 requesting
[0, 0, 2]
Customer 1 requesting
[1, 0, 2]

Current state:
Available:
[2, 3, 0]

Maximum:
[7, 5, 3]
[3, 2, 2]
[9, 0, 2]
[2, 2, 2]
[4, 3, 3]

Allocation:
[0, 1, 0]
[3, 0, 2]
[3, 0, 2]
[2, 1, 1]
[0, 0, 2]

Need:
[7, 4, 3]
[0, 2, 0]
[6, 0, 0]
[0, 1, 1]
[4, 3, 1]

Customer 0 requesting
[0, 2, 0]

Current state:
Available:
[2, 3, 0]

Maximum:
[7, 5, 3]
[3, 2, 2]
[9, 0, 2]
[2, 2, 2]
[4, 3, 3]

Allocation:
[0, 1, 0]
[3, 0, 2]
[3, 0, 2]
[2, 1, 1]
[0, 0, 2]

Need:
[7, 4, 3]
[0, 2, 0]
[6, 0, 0]
[0, 1, 1]
[4, 3, 1]
```

- Proof that the code passes the test cases given by the checker files:

```
jamestiotio@JRT-PC:/media/jamestiotio/053/Users/jamestiotio/Documents/GitHub/cse/labs/lab2/BankersAlgorithm_Java$ make
javac Banker.java TestBankQ1.java TestBankQ2.java
gcc -o checkq1 checkerQ1.c
gcc -o checkq2 checkerQ2.c
jamestiotio@JRT-PC:/media/jamestiotio/053/Users/jamestiotio/Documents/GitHub/cse/labs/lab2/BankersAlgorithm_Java$ make testq1
./checkq1
For Q1: You have scored 1/1
jamestiotio@JRT-PC:/media/jamestiotio/053/Users/jamestiotio/Documents/GitHub/cse/labs/lab2/BankersAlgorithm_Java$ make testq2
./checkq2
For Q2: You have scored 1/1
```

Q3 – Analysis on Time Complexity of Banker’s Algorithm

Let’s say we have n customers and m resources in total.

- ✓ The `Banker()` constructor has $O(1)$ time complexity since it mainly just initializes the class attributes.
- ✓ The `setMaximumDemand()` method has $O(m)$ time complexity due to the for loop.
- ✓ The `printState()` method has $O(n)$ time complexity due to the for loops.
- ✓ The `releaseResources()` method has $O(m)$ time complexity due to the for loop.
- ✓ Since the `requestResources()` method contains a call to the `checkSafe()` method, we need to check whether the time complexity of the `checkSafe()` method dominates the remaining $O(m)$ time complexity in the other parts of the `requestResources()` method due to the for loops.
- ✓ This is the main `checkSafe()` safety algorithm implemented in our code:

```
/**
 * Checks if the request will leave the bank in a safe state.
 * @param customerIndex The customer's index (0-indexed).
 * @param request        An array of the requested count for each
resource.
 * @return true if the requested resources will leave the bank in a
 *         safe state, else false
 */
private synchronized boolean checkSafe(int customerIndex, int[] request)
{
    // Check if the state is safe

    // Copy the available, need and allocation arrays
    int[] tempAvailable = this.available.clone();
    int[][] tempNeed = this.need.clone();
    int[][] tempAllocation = this.allocation.clone();

    // Initialize finish vector (defaults to false)
    boolean[] finish = new boolean[this.numberOfCustomers];

    // Initialize a boolean flag
    boolean possible = true;

    for (int i = 0; i < this.numberOfResources; i++) {
        tempAvailable[i] -= request[i];
        tempNeed[customerIndex][i] -= request[i];
```

```

        tempAllocation[customerIndex][i] += request[i];
    }

    // Initialize work vector
    int[] work = tempAvailable.clone();

    while (possible) {
        possible = false;
        for (int i = 0; i < this.numberOfCustomers; i++) {
            boolean needDoesNotExceedWork = true;

            for (int j = 0; j < this.numberOfResources; j++) {
                if (tempNeed[i][j] > work[j]) needDoesNotExceedWork =
false;
            }

            if (!finish[i] && needDoesNotExceedWork) {
                possible = true;

                for (int j = 0; j < this.numberOfResources; j++) {
                    work[j] += tempAllocation[i][j];
                }

                finish[i] = true;
            }
        }
    }

    // Undo the temporary changes that have been made to tempAllocation
    and tempNeed
    for (int i = 0; i < this.numberOfResources; i++) {
        tempAllocation[customerIndex][i] -= request[i];
        tempNeed[customerIndex][i] += request[i];
    }

    // Check if all of the entries in the finish vector are true
    for (int i = 0; i < this.numberOfCustomers; i++) {
        if (!finish[i]) return false;
    }

    return true;
}

```

The main contributor to the time complexity would be the triple-nested for loops within the while loop. The outermost for loop will have $O(n)$ time complexity since it needs to check for all n customers and the next inner for loop will have $O(m)$ time complexity since it needs to check for all m resources. If the conditions specified in the if branching statement are satisfied (i.e., enough resources can be given to that specific customer), then the work vector is updated in $O(m)$ time complexity since it needs to update for all m resources. In total, we have $O(nm^2)$ time complexity, which definitely

dominates the other $O(m)$ and $O(n)$ for loops within the `checkSafe()` method, as well as the $O(m)$ time complexity in the other parts of the `requestResources()` method. Therefore, the **overall time complexity** of the Banker's algorithm is **$O(nm^2)$** .