A Unified Treatment of Primitive, Conservation, and Entropy Variable Formulations of Navier-Stokes with Discontinuous Petrov-Galerkin Finite Elements

Truman E. Ellis

December 2, 2014

Nonlinear Forms

Primitive Variables

Consider the DPG Navier-Stokes derivation from previously with primitive variables (and power law viscosity: $\mu = \mu_0 \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$):

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S}\right) + \left(2\left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \boldsymbol{u}, \nabla \cdot \mathbb{S}\right) - \langle 2\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}, \mathbb{S}\boldsymbol{n}_x \rangle = 0$$
(1a)

$$\left(\frac{Pr}{C_p\mu}\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{\tau}\right) - \left(\left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}T,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}\right) + \left\langle\hat{T},\tau_n\right\rangle = 0$$
(1b)

$$-\left(\begin{pmatrix} \rho \mathbf{u} \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt} v_c \right) + \langle \hat{t}_c, v_c \rangle = (f_c, v_c)$$
 (1c)

$$-\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \rho \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{u} + \rho RT\boldsymbol{I} - \mathbb{D} \\ \rho \boldsymbol{u} \end{array}\right), \nabla_{xt} \boldsymbol{v}_{m}\right) + \left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{t}}_{m}, \boldsymbol{v}_{m} \right\rangle = (\boldsymbol{f}_{m}, \boldsymbol{v}_{m})$$
(1d)

$$-\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \rho \boldsymbol{u}\left(C_{v}T + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}\right) + \boldsymbol{u}\rho RT + \boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{u}\cdot\mathbb{D} \\ \rho\left(C_{v}T + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}\right) \end{array}\right), \nabla_{xt}v_{e}\right) + \left\langle \hat{t}_{e}, v_{e}\right\rangle = (f_{e}, v_{e}), \quad (1e)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \hat{\boldsymbol{u}} &= \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{u}) \\ \hat{T} &= \operatorname{tr}(T) \\ \hat{t}_c &= \operatorname{tr}(\rho \boldsymbol{u}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x + \operatorname{tr}(\rho) \, n_t \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{t}}_m &= \operatorname{tr}(\rho \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{u} + \rho R T \boldsymbol{I} - \mathbb{D}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x + \operatorname{tr}(\rho \boldsymbol{u}) \, n_t \\ \hat{t}_e &= \operatorname{tr}\left(\rho \boldsymbol{u} \left(C_v T + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}\right) + \boldsymbol{u} \rho R T + \boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \mathbb{D}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x \\ &+ \operatorname{tr}\left(\rho \left(C_v T + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}\right)\right) n_t \, . \end{split}$$

Now define primitive fluxes for continuity, momentum, and energy equations:

$$egin{aligned} & m{F}_c^p &:=
ho m{u} \ & \mathbb{F}_m^p &:=
ho m{u} \otimes m{u} +
ho RTm{I} \ & m{F}_e^p &:=
ho m{u} \left(C_v T + rac{1}{2} m{u} \cdot m{u}
ight) + m{u}
ho RT \end{aligned}$$

Our bilinear form is then simplified:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S}\right) + (2\boldsymbol{u}, \nabla \cdot \mathbb{S}) - \langle 2\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}, \mathbb{S}\boldsymbol{n}_x \rangle = 0 \tag{2a}$$

$$\left(\frac{Pr}{C_p\mu}\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{\tau}\right) - (T,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}) + \left\langle \hat{T},\tau_n\right\rangle = 0$$
(2b)

$$-\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_c^p \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt} v_c \right) + \langle \hat{t}_c, v_c \rangle = (f_c, v_c)$$
 (2c)

$$-\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{F}_{m}^{p} - \mathbb{D} \\ \rho \boldsymbol{u} \end{array}\right), \nabla_{xt} \boldsymbol{v}_{m}\right) + \left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{t}}_{m}, \boldsymbol{v}_{m} \right\rangle = (\boldsymbol{f}_{m}, \boldsymbol{v}_{m})$$
(2d)

$$-\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{e}^{p} + \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbb{D} \\ \rho \left(C_{v}T + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right) \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt}v_{e}\right) + \langle \hat{t}_{e}, v_{e} \rangle = (f_{e}, v_{e}) , \qquad (2e)$$

Conservation Variables

Now we wish to do a change of variables to conservation variables:

$$egin{aligned}
ho &=
ho \ m{m} &=
ho m{u} \end{aligned} \ E &=
ho \left(C_v T + rac{1}{2} m{u} \cdot m{u}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

We can define new fluxes in conservation variables:

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{F}_{c}^{c} = \boldsymbol{m} \\ & \boldsymbol{\mathbb{F}}_{m}^{c} = \frac{\boldsymbol{m} \otimes \boldsymbol{m}}{\rho} + (\gamma - 1) \left(E - \frac{\boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}}{2\rho} \right) \boldsymbol{I} \\ & \boldsymbol{F}_{e}^{c} = \gamma E \frac{\boldsymbol{m}}{\rho} - (\gamma - 1) \frac{\boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}}{2\rho^{2}} \boldsymbol{m} \end{split}$$

and our new bilinear form is

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S}\right) + \left(2\frac{\boldsymbol{m}}{\rho}, \nabla \cdot \mathbb{S}\right) - \langle 2\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}, \mathbb{S}\boldsymbol{n}_x \rangle = 0 \tag{3a}$$

$$\left(\frac{Pr}{C_p\mu}\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{\tau}\right) - \left(\frac{E - \frac{1}{2\rho}\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{m}}{C_v\rho},\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}\right) + \left\langle\hat{T},\tau_n\right\rangle = 0$$
(3b)

$$-\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_c^c \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt} v_c \right) + \langle \hat{t}_c, v_c \rangle = (f_c, v_c)$$
 (3c)

$$-\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{F}_{m}^{c} - \mathbb{D} \\ \boldsymbol{m} \end{array}\right), \nabla_{xt} \boldsymbol{v}_{m}\right) + \left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{t}}_{m}, \boldsymbol{v}_{m} \right\rangle = (\boldsymbol{f}_{m}, \boldsymbol{v}_{m}) \tag{3d}$$

$$-\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{e}^{c} + \mathbf{q} - \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\rho} \cdot \mathbb{D} \\ E \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt} v_{e}\right) + \langle \hat{t}_{e}, v_{e} \rangle = (f_{e}, v_{e}) , \qquad (3e)$$

Entropy Variables

Now we wish to do a change of variables to entropy variables:

$$V_{c} = \frac{-E + (E - \frac{1}{2\rho}\boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}) \left(\gamma + 1 - \ln\left[\frac{(\gamma - 1)(E - \frac{1}{2\rho}\boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{m})}{\rho^{\gamma}}\right]\right)}{E - \frac{1}{2\rho}\boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}}$$

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{m} = \frac{\boldsymbol{m}}{E - \frac{1}{2\rho}\boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}}$$

$$V_{e} = \frac{-\rho}{E - \frac{1}{2\rho}\boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}}$$

with reverse mapping:

$$\rho = -\alpha V_e$$

$$\boldsymbol{m} = \alpha \boldsymbol{V}_m$$

$$E = \alpha \left(1 - \frac{1}{2V_e} \boldsymbol{V}_m \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_m \right)$$

where

$$\alpha(V_c, \boldsymbol{V}_m, V_e) = \left[\frac{\gamma - 1}{(-V_e)^{\gamma}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}} \exp\left[\frac{-\gamma + V_c - \frac{1}{2V_e}\boldsymbol{V}_m \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_m}{\gamma - 1}\right]$$

We can define new fluxes in entropy variables:

$$\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{F}_{c}^{e} = \alpha \boldsymbol{V}_{m} \\ & \boldsymbol{\mathbb{F}}_{m}^{e} = \alpha \left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{V}_{m} \otimes \boldsymbol{V}_{m}}{V_{e}} + (\gamma - 1) \boldsymbol{I} \right) \\ & \boldsymbol{F}_{e}^{e} = \alpha \frac{\boldsymbol{V}_{m}}{V_{e}} \left(\frac{1}{2V_{e}} \boldsymbol{V}_{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_{m} - \gamma \right) \end{aligned}$$

and our new bilinear form is

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S}\right) - \left(2\frac{\boldsymbol{V}_m}{V_e}, \nabla \cdot \mathbb{S}\right) - \langle 2\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}, \mathbb{S}\boldsymbol{n}_x \rangle = 0 \tag{4a}$$

$$\left(\frac{Pr}{C_p\mu}\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{\tau}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{C_vV_e},\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}\right) + \left\langle\hat{T},\tau_n\right\rangle = 0$$
(4b)

$$-\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{c}^{e} \\ -\alpha V_{e} \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt} v_{c}\right) + \langle \hat{t}_{c}, v_{c} \rangle = (f_{c}, v_{c}) \tag{4c}$$

$$-\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{F}_{m}^{e} - \mathbb{D} \\ \alpha \boldsymbol{V}_{m} \end{array}\right), \nabla_{xt} \boldsymbol{v}_{m}\right) + \left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{t}}_{m}, \boldsymbol{v}_{m} \right\rangle = (\boldsymbol{f}_{m}, \boldsymbol{v}_{m}) \tag{4d}$$

$$-\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{e}^{e} + \mathbf{q} + \frac{\mathbf{V}_{m}}{V_{e}} \cdot \mathbb{D} \\ \alpha \left(1 - \frac{1}{2V_{e}} \mathbf{V}_{m} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{m}\right) \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt} v_{e}\right) + \langle \hat{t}_{e}, v_{e} \rangle = (f_{e}, v_{e}) ,$$

$$(4e)$$

Linearization

For each change of variables, we maintain the same linear variables: $L := \{q, \hat{u}, \hat{e}, \hat{t}_c, \hat{t}_m, \hat{t}_e\}$. Let U be the set of variables involved in nonlinear interactions. We apply a linearization $U \approx \tilde{U} + \Delta U$ and solve

$$R_{,U}(\tilde{U})\Delta U + R(L) = -R(\tilde{U}),$$

where

$$R(L) = \left(\frac{Pr}{C_p \mu} \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{\tau}\right) - \left(\boldsymbol{q}, \nabla v_e\right) - \left\langle 2\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}, \mathbb{S}\boldsymbol{n}_x\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{T}, \tau_n\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{t}_c, v_c\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{t}}_m, v_m\right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{t}_e, v_e\right\rangle$$
$$- \left(f_c, v_c\right) - \left(\boldsymbol{f}_m, \boldsymbol{v}_m\right) - \left(f_e, v_e\right)$$

Primitive Variables

The set of nonlinear variables is $U^p:=\{\rho, \boldsymbol{u}, T, \mathbb{D}\}$. Then $R_{U^p}(\tilde{U}^p)\Delta U^p$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mu}\Delta\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S} \end{pmatrix} + (2\Delta\boldsymbol{u}, \nabla \cdot \mathbb{S})$$

$$- (\Delta T, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau})$$

$$- \left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}_{c,U^p}^p \Delta U^p \\ \Delta \rho \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt} v_c \right)$$

$$- \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{F}_{m,U^p}^p \Delta U^p - \Delta \mathbb{D} \\ \Delta \rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} + \tilde{\rho} \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt} \boldsymbol{v}_m \right)$$

$$- \left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}_{m,U^p}^p \Delta U^p - \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{D}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \Delta \mathbb{D} \\ C_v \Delta \rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{T}} + C_v \tilde{\rho} \Delta T + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta \rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} + \tilde{\rho} \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} + \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \right) \right), \nabla_{xt} v_e$$

where

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{F}^p_{c,U^p} \Delta U^p &:= \Delta \rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} + \tilde{\rho} \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \\ \mathbb{F}^p_{m,U^p} &:= \Delta \rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} + \tilde{\rho} \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} + \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \otimes \Delta \boldsymbol{u} + R \left(\Delta \rho \tilde{T} + \tilde{\rho} \Delta T \right) \boldsymbol{I} \\ \boldsymbol{F}^p_{e,U^p} &:= C_v \Delta \rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \tilde{T} + C_v \tilde{\rho} \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \tilde{T} + C_v \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \Delta T \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \rho \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\rho} \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \\ &\quad + R \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \tilde{\rho} \tilde{T} + R \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \Delta \rho \tilde{T} + R \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \tilde{\rho} \Delta T \end{split}$$

and $R(\tilde{U}^p)$ is

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\tilde{\mathbb{D}},\mathbb{S}\right) + (2\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}},\nabla\cdot\mathbb{S}) \\ - \left(\tilde{T},\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}\right) \\ - \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{F}_{c}^{p}(\tilde{U}^{p}) \\ \tilde{\rho} \end{array}\right),\nabla_{xt}v_{c} \right) \\ - \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{F}_{m}^{p}(\tilde{U}^{p}) - \tilde{\mathbb{D}} \\ \tilde{\rho}\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \end{array}\right),\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v}_{m} \right) \\ - \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{F}_{e}^{p}(\tilde{U}^{p}) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{D}} \\ \tilde{\rho}\left(C_{v}\tilde{T} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\right) \end{array}\right),\nabla_{xt}v_{e} \right) \end{split}$$

Conservation Variables

The set of nonlinear variables is $U^c := \{\rho, \boldsymbol{m}, E, \mathbb{D}\}$. Then $R_{U^c}(\tilde{U}^c)\Delta U^c$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mu}\Delta\mathbb{D},\mathbb{S} \end{pmatrix} + \left(2\left(\frac{\Delta\boldsymbol{m}}{\tilde{\rho}} - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}^{2}}\Delta\rho\right),\nabla\cdot\mathbb{S}\right)$$

$$-\left(\frac{\Delta E - \frac{1}{2\tilde{\rho}}\Delta\boldsymbol{m}\cdot\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} - \frac{1}{2\tilde{\rho}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}\cdot\Delta\boldsymbol{m} + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\rho}^{2}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}\cdot\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}\Delta\rho}{C_{v}\tilde{\rho}} - \frac{\tilde{E} - \frac{1}{2\tilde{\rho}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}\cdot\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{C_{v}\tilde{\rho}^{2}}\Delta\rho,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}\right)$$

$$-\left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}_{c,U^{c}}^{c}\Delta\boldsymbol{U}^{c} \\ \Delta\rho \end{pmatrix},\nabla_{xt}v_{c}\right)$$

$$-\left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}_{c,U^{c}}^{c}\Delta\boldsymbol{U}^{c} - \Delta\mathbb{D} \\ \Delta\boldsymbol{m} \end{pmatrix},\nabla_{xt}v_{m}\right)$$

$$-\left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}_{e,U^{c}}^{c}\Delta\boldsymbol{U}^{c} - \Delta\mathbb{D} \\ \Delta\boldsymbol{m} \end{pmatrix},\nabla_{xt}v_{m}\right)$$

$$-\left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}_{e,U^{c}}^{c}\Delta\boldsymbol{U}^{c} - \Delta\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \\ \Delta\boldsymbol{E} \end{pmatrix},\nabla_{xt}v_{e}\right)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{F}^{c}_{c,U^{c}} \Delta U^{c} &= \Delta \boldsymbol{m} \\ \boldsymbol{F}^{c}_{m,U^{c}} \Delta U^{c} &= \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{m} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \otimes \Delta \boldsymbol{m}}{\tilde{\rho}} - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}^{2}} \Delta \rho \\ &+ (\gamma - 1) \left(\Delta E - \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{2\tilde{\rho}} - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{m}}{2\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{2\tilde{\rho}^{2}} \Delta \rho \right) \boldsymbol{I} \\ \boldsymbol{F}^{c}_{e,U^{c}} \Delta U^{c} &= \gamma \left(\Delta E \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}} + \tilde{E} \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{m}}{\tilde{\rho}} - \tilde{E} \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}^{2}} \Delta \rho \right) \\ &+ (\gamma - 1) \left(-\frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{m} \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{2\tilde{\rho}^{2}} - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \Delta \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{2\tilde{\rho}^{2}} - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{m}}{2\tilde{\rho}^{2}} + \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}^{3}} \Delta \rho \right) \end{split}$$

and $R(\tilde{U}^p)$ is

$$\begin{split} & \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\tilde{\mathbb{D}}, \mathbb{S}\right) + \left(2\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}}, \nabla \cdot \mathbb{S}\right) \\ & - \left(\frac{\tilde{E} - \frac{1}{2\tilde{\rho}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{C_v \tilde{\rho}}, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}\right) \\ & - \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{F}_c^c \\ \tilde{\rho} \end{array}\right), \nabla_{xt} v_c\right) \\ & - \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{F}_m^c - \tilde{\mathbb{D}} \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \end{array}\right), \nabla_{xt} \boldsymbol{v}_m\right) \\ & - \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{F}_e^c - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{D}} \\ \tilde{E} \end{array}\right), \nabla_{xt} v_e\right) \end{split}$$

Entropy Variables

The set of nonlinear variables is $U^e := \{V_c, \mathbf{V}_m, V_e, \mathbb{D}\}$. Then $R_{U^e}(\tilde{U}^e)\Delta U^e$ is

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\Delta\mathbb{D},\mathbb{S}\right) - \left(2\left(\frac{\Delta\boldsymbol{V}_{m}}{\tilde{V}_{e}} - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m}}{\tilde{V}_{e}^{2}}\Delta V_{e}\right),\nabla\cdot\mathbb{S}\right)$$

$$- \left(\frac{1}{C_{v}V_{e}^{2}}\Delta V_{e},\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}\right)$$

$$- \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{F}_{c,U^{e}}^{e}\Delta U^{e} \\ -\alpha_{,U^{e}}\Delta U^{e}\tilde{V}_{e} - \alpha\Delta V_{e} \end{array}\right),\nabla_{xt}v_{c}\right)$$

$$- \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{F}_{m,U^{e}}^{e}\Delta U^{e} - \Delta\mathbb{D} \\ \alpha_{,U^{e}}\Delta U^{e}\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} + \alpha\Delta \boldsymbol{V}_{m} \end{array}\right),\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v}_{m}\right)$$

$$- \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{F}_{e,U^{e}}^{e}\Delta U^{e} + \frac{\Delta\boldsymbol{V}_{m}}{\tilde{V}_{e}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{D}} + \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m}}{\tilde{V}_{e}} \cdot \Delta\mathbb{D} - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m}}{\tilde{V}_{e}^{2}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{D}}\Delta V_{e} \\ \alpha_{,U^{e}}\Delta U^{e} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\tilde{V}_{e}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m}\right) - \alpha\frac{1}{\tilde{V}_{e}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} \cdot \Delta\boldsymbol{V}_{m} + \alpha\frac{1}{2\tilde{V}_{e}^{2}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m}\Delta V_{e} \end{array}\right),\nabla_{xt}v_{e}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{F}^{e}_{c,U^{e}} \Delta U^{e} &= \alpha_{,U^{e}} \Delta U^{e} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} + \alpha \Delta \boldsymbol{V}_{m} \\ \boldsymbol{F}^{e}_{m,U^{e}} \Delta U^{e} &= \alpha_{,U^{e}} \Delta U^{e} \left(-\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{e}} + (\gamma - 1) \boldsymbol{I} \right) \\ &+ \alpha \left(-\frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{V}_{m} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{e}} - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} \otimes \Delta \boldsymbol{V}_{m}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{e}} + \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{e}^{2}} \Delta \boldsymbol{V}_{e} \right) \\ \boldsymbol{F}^{e}_{e,U^{e}} \Delta U^{e} &= \alpha_{,U^{e}} \Delta U^{e} \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{e}} \left(\frac{1}{2\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{e}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} - \gamma \right) \\ &+ \alpha \left(\frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{V}_{m}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{e}} \left(\frac{1}{2\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{e}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} - \gamma \right) - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m}}{V_{e}^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{e}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} - \gamma \right) \Delta \boldsymbol{V}_{e} \\ &+ \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{e}} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{e}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{V}_{m} - \frac{1}{2\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{e}^{2}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{m} \Delta \boldsymbol{V}_{e} \right) \right) \end{split}$$

$$\alpha_{,U^{e}} \Delta U^{e} = \left[\frac{\gamma - 1}{(-\tilde{V}_{e})^{\gamma}} \right]^{\frac{2 - \gamma}{\gamma - 1}} \gamma (-\tilde{V}_{e})^{-(\gamma + 1)} \exp \left[\frac{-\gamma + \tilde{V}_{c} - \frac{1}{2\tilde{V}_{e}} \tilde{V}_{m} \cdot \tilde{V}_{m}}{\gamma - 1} \right] \Delta V_{e}$$

$$+ \left[\frac{\gamma - 1}{(-\tilde{V}_{e})^{\gamma}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}} \exp \left[\frac{-\gamma + \tilde{V}_{c} - \frac{1}{2\tilde{V}_{e}} \tilde{V}_{m} \cdot \tilde{V}_{m}}{\gamma - 1} \right] \frac{1}{\gamma - 1}$$

$$\left(\Delta V_{c} - \frac{1}{\tilde{V}_{e}} \tilde{V}_{m} \cdot \Delta V_{m} + \frac{1}{2\tilde{V}_{e}^{2}} \tilde{V}_{m} \cdot \tilde{V}_{m} \Delta V_{e} \right)$$

and $R(\tilde{U}^p)$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\mu}\tilde{\mathbb{D}}, \mathbb{S} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_m}{\tilde{V}_e}, \nabla \cdot \mathbb{S} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{C_v\tilde{V}_e}, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}_c^e \\ -\alpha\tilde{V}_e \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt}v_c \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{F}_m^e - \tilde{\mathbb{D}} \\ \alpha\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_m \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v}_m \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}_e^e + \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_m}{\tilde{V}_e} \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{D}} \\ \alpha \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\tilde{V}_e}\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_m \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_m \right) \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt}v_e \end{pmatrix}$$

Entropy Norms

Denote primitive, conservation, and entropy variables as W, U, and V respectively.

Entropy Metrics and Symmetrizers

Conservation Variables

Consider entropy function H(U). The entropy metric we want to control is

$$(\delta U, H_{,UU}\delta U) = (\delta U, V_{,U}\delta U)$$

where

$$V_{,U}(U) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4\gamma\rho^2E^2 - 4\gamma\rho Em \cdot m + (1+\gamma)(m \cdot m)^2}{\rho(m \cdot m - 2\rho E)^2} & -\frac{2mm \cdot m}{(m \cdot m - 2\rho E)^2} & -\frac{4\rho(\rho E - m \cdot m)}{(m \cdot m - 2\rho E)^2} \\ \frac{2\rho(2\rho E + m \cdot m)}{(m \cdot m - 2\rho E)^2} & -\frac{4\rho^2 m}{(m \cdot m - 2\rho E)^2} \\ Symm. & \frac{4\rho^3}{(m \cdot m - 2\rho E)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $A_0^c = V_{,U}(U)$ denote the symmetrizer for conservation variables.

Primitive Variables

Consider a change of variables to primitive variables: $\delta W = U_{,W} \delta W$. Our entropy metric is then

$$(U_{,W}\delta W, V_{,U}U_{,W}\delta W) = (\delta W, U_{,W}^T V_{,U}U_{,W}\delta W)$$

Then

$$U_{,W} = \left[egin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \ oldsymbol{u} &
ho & 0 \ C_vT + rac{1}{2}oldsymbol{u}\cdotoldsymbol{u} &
hooldsymbol{u} & C_v
ho \end{array}
ight]$$

where $V_{,U}$ in primitive variables is

$$V_{,U}(W) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\gamma}{\rho} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{u})^2}{4\rho C_v^2 T^2} & -\frac{\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}}{\rho C_v^2 T^2} & -\frac{(C_v T - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{u})}{\rho C_v^2 T^2} \\ & \frac{C_v T + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}}{\rho C_v^2 T^2} & -\frac{\boldsymbol{u}}{\rho C_v^2 T^2} \\ Symm. & \frac{1}{\rho C_v^2 T^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$U_{,W}^{T}V_{,U}U_{,W} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\gamma - 1}{\rho} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\rho}{C_{v}T} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\rho}{T^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $A_0^p = U_{,W}^T V_{,U} U_{,W}$ denote the symmetrizer for primitive variables.

Entropy Variables

Consider a change of variables to entropy variables: $\delta V = U_{,V} \delta V$. Our entropy metric is then

$$(U_{,V}\delta V, V_{,U}U_{,V}\delta V) = \left(\delta V, U_{,V}^T\delta V\right) = \left(\delta V, A_0^{-1}\delta V\right)$$

with

$$U_{,V} = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma - 1} \begin{bmatrix} -V_e & \boldsymbol{V}_m & 1 - \frac{\boldsymbol{V}_m \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_m}{2V_e} \\ \gamma - 1 - \frac{\boldsymbol{V}_m \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_m}{2V_e} & \left(\gamma - \frac{\boldsymbol{V}_m \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_m}{2V_e}\right) \frac{\boldsymbol{V}_m}{V_e} \\ Symm. & \frac{4\gamma V_e^2 - 4\gamma V_e \boldsymbol{V}_m \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_m + (\boldsymbol{V}_m \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_m)^2}{4V^3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $A_0^e = U_{,V}(V)$ denote the symmetrizer for entropy variables.

Entropy Scaled Graph Norm

Consider domain $Q = \Omega \times [0, T]$ with boundary $\Gamma = \Gamma_- \cup \Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_e$ where Γ_- is the spatial inflow boundary, Γ_+ is the spatial outflow boundary, Γ_0 is the initial time boundary, and Γ_e is the final time boundary. Let Γ_h denote the entire mesh skeleton.

Assume that boundary conditions are applied on the boundary $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$. Recall that, for the ultra-weak variational formulation

$$b\left(\left(u,\widehat{u}\right),v\right) = \left(u,A_{h}^{*}v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega\right)} + \langle\widehat{u},\llbracket v\rrbracket\rangle_{\Gamma_{h}\backslash\Gamma_{0}}$$

If we have conforming v^* such that

$$A^*v^* = A_0 u$$
$$v^* = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_h \setminus \Gamma_0.$$

then

$$\left\| A_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u \right\|^2 = (u, A_0 u) = (u, A^* v^*) = b(u, v^*) = \frac{b(u, v^*)}{\|v^*\|_V} \|v^*\|_V \le \|u\|_E \|v^*\|_V.$$

Thus, we need to develop an adjoint norm such that $A^*v^* = A_0u$ and $\left\|A_0^{\frac{1}{2}}u\right\|_V \leq \|v^*\|_V$. We start by rewriting our linearized bilinear form as

$$(M\Sigma, \Psi) + (GU, \nabla\Psi) + \left\langle H\hat{U}, \Psi \right\rangle = -R_{\Sigma}((\tilde{U}, \tilde{\Sigma}), \Psi)$$
$$-\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}U - K\Sigma \\ CU \end{pmatrix}, \nabla_{xt}V \right) + \left\langle \hat{T}, V \right\rangle = (f, V) - R_{U}((\tilde{U}, \tilde{\Sigma}), V)$$

where U denotes the primary variables, \hat{U} represents the trace variables, \hat{T} represents the flux variables, Σ represents the viscous (and heat) stress variables, Ψ represents the test functions applied to the constitutive laws, V represents the test functions applied to the conservation laws, E0 are the Euler fluxes, E1 is the viscous (and heat) contribution to the conservation laws, E2 is the conservation law, E3 is the conservation law, E4 is the constitutive forms representing the E5, E7, and E8 contributions to the constitutive laws, E8 is the constitutive residual, E9 is the conservative residual, and E9 represents any source terms. The exact form of each of these depends on whether we are considering primitive variables, conservation variables, or entropy variables.

We define our adjoint equations by grouping terms by Σ and U and weighting the second equation by A_0U :

$$M^*\Psi + K^*\nabla V = 0$$
$$-\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}^* \\ C^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot \nabla_{xt}V + G^*\nabla \Psi = A_0U$$

The simplest norm we could use that would produce the right bound would probably be

$$\|M^*\Psi + K^*\nabla V\|^2 + \left\|A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F}^* \\ C^* \end{array}\right) \cdot \nabla_{xt} V + G^*\nabla \Psi\right)\right\|^2 + \|\Psi\|^2 + \|V\|^2$$

The first term is simple to see since it is equal to zero in the adjoint. If we multiply the second

adjoint equation by $-A_0^{-1}\left(-\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathcal{F}^*\\C^*\end{array}\right)\cdot\nabla_{xt}V+G^*\nabla\Psi\right)$ and integrate over Q, we would see that

$$\begin{split} \left\| A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(- \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F}^* \\ C^* \end{array} \right) \cdot \nabla_{xt} V + G^* \nabla \Psi \right) \right\|^2 &= \int_Q A_0 U A_0^{-1} \left(- \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F}^* \\ C^* \end{array} \right) \cdot \nabla_{xt} V + G^* \nabla \Psi \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\left\| A_0^{\frac{1}{2}} U \right\|^2}{2} + \frac{\left\| A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(- \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F}^* \\ C^* \end{array} \right) \cdot \nabla_{xt} V + G^* \nabla \Psi \right) \right\|^2}{2} \end{split}$$

Primitive Variables

 $G_a^* \nabla \Psi = -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}$

For the sake of simplifying notation, we drop the Δ notation from before. Any values from the previous solution are denoted with a $\tilde{}$ notation while current values lack this. In the primitive variable formulation, $\Sigma = \{\mathbb{D}, \boldsymbol{q}\}$, $U = \{\rho, u_x, u_y, T\}$, $\Psi = \{\mathbb{S}, \boldsymbol{\tau}\}$, and $V = \{v_c, v_x, v_y, v_e\}$. We have the following definitions:

$$M^*\Psi + K^*\nabla V = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mathbb{D}}^* \mathbb{S} \\ M_{\boldsymbol{q}}^* \boldsymbol{\tau} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} K_{\mathbb{D}}^* \nabla V \\ K_{\boldsymbol{q}}^* \nabla V \end{pmatrix}$$
$$- \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}^* \\ C^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot \nabla_{xt} V + G^* \nabla \Psi = - \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}_c^* \cdot \nabla V + \boldsymbol{C}_c^* \cdot V_{,t} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_m^* \cdot \nabla V + \boldsymbol{C}_m^* \cdot V_{,t} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_e^* \cdot \nabla V + \boldsymbol{C}_e^* \cdot V_{,t} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{G}_c^* \nabla \Psi \\ \boldsymbol{G}_m^* \nabla \Psi \\ \boldsymbol{G}_e^* \nabla \Psi \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} M_{\mathbb{D}}^* \mathbb{S} &= \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbb{S} \\ M_{q}^* \boldsymbol{\tau} &= \frac{Pr}{C_p \mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \\ K_{\mathbb{D}}^* \nabla V &= \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_m + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \otimes \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_e \\ K_{q}^* \nabla V &= -\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_e \\ \boldsymbol{F}_c^* \cdot \nabla V &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_c + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} : \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_m + R\tilde{T} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_m + C_v \tilde{T} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_e + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_e + R\tilde{T} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_e \\ \boldsymbol{C}_c^* \cdot V_{,t} &= \boldsymbol{v}_{c,t} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_{m,t} + (C_v \tilde{T} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) \boldsymbol{v}_{e,t} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_m \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_m &= \tilde{\rho} \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_c + (\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_m + (\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_m)^T) \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} + C_v \tilde{T} \tilde{\rho} \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_e + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_e + \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_e + R\tilde{T} \tilde{\rho} \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_e - \tilde{\mathbb{D}} \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_e \\ \boldsymbol{C}_m^* \cdot V_{,t} &= \tilde{\rho} \boldsymbol{v}_{m,t} + \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{v}_{e,t} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_e^* \cdot \nabla V &= R\tilde{\rho} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_m + C_v \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_e + R\tilde{\rho} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_e \\ \boldsymbol{C}_e^* \cdot V_{,t} &= C_v \tilde{\rho} \boldsymbol{v}_{e,t} \\ \boldsymbol{G}_c^* \nabla \Psi &= 0 \\ \boldsymbol{G}_m^* \nabla \Psi &= 2 \nabla \cdot \mathbb{S} \end{split}$$

Conservation Variables

The format of the equation is the same, we just have different definitions for the details. Any omitted terms are assumed to be the same as the primitive case.

$$\begin{split} K_{\mathbb{D}}^* \nabla V &= \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_m + \frac{\boldsymbol{u}}{\tilde{\rho}} \otimes \nabla v_e \\ \boldsymbol{F}_c^* \cdot \nabla V &= -\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}}{\tilde{\rho}^2} : \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_m + (\gamma - 1) \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{2\tilde{\rho}^2} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_m - \gamma \tilde{E} \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}^2} \cdot \nabla v_e + (\gamma - 1) \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}^3} \cdot \nabla v_e \\ \boldsymbol{C}_c^* \cdot V_{,t} &= v_{c,t} \\ \mathbb{F}_m \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_m &= \nabla v_c + (\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_m + (\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_m)^T) \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}} - (\gamma - 1) \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_m \\ &+ \gamma \frac{\tilde{E}}{\tilde{\rho}} \nabla v_e - (\gamma - 1) \left(\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{2\tilde{\rho}^2} \nabla v_e + \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \nabla v_e}{\tilde{\rho}^2} \right) \\ \mathbb{C}_m^* \cdot V_{,t} &= \boldsymbol{v}_{m,t} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_e^* \cdot \nabla V &= (\gamma - 1) \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_m + \gamma \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}} \cdot \nabla v_e \\ \boldsymbol{C}_e^* \cdot V_{,t} &= v_{e,t} \\ \boldsymbol{G}_c^* \nabla \Psi &= -2 \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\tilde{\rho}^2} \cdot \nabla \cdot \mathbb{S} - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{C_v \tilde{\rho}^3} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \\ \boldsymbol{G}_m^* \nabla \Psi &= 2 \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}} \nabla \cdot \mathbb{S} + \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}}{C_v \tilde{\rho}^2} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \\ \boldsymbol{G}_e^* \nabla \Psi &= -\frac{1}{C_v \tilde{\rho}} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \end{split}$$

Entropy Variables

Entropy Scaled Robust Norm

We use the similarity in form between this and our convection-diffusion adjoint equation to define a (hopefully) robust norm for Navier-Stokes. For convection-diffusion, we derived a bound $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \leq \|u\|$ by multiplying both sides by $-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v$ and integrating over Q to get something like

$$\left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \right\|^2 \le \frac{\|u\|^2}{2} + \frac{\left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \right\|^2}{2} + \epsilon C \left\| \nabla v \right\|^2.$$

Without proof, we postulate that we would get a similar bound for Navier-Stokes if we multiply both sides of the second equation by $-A_0^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}^* \\ C^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot \nabla_{xt}V$ and integrate over Q. By analogy, we would hope to get a bound like

$$\left\| A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}^* \\ C^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot \nabla_{xt} V \right\|^2 \le \int_Q A_0 U A_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}^* \\ C^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot \nabla_{xt} V + \epsilon C \left\| \nabla V \right\|^2$$

$$\le \frac{\left\| A_0^{\frac{1}{2}} U \right\|^2}{2} + \frac{\left\| A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}^* \\ C^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot \nabla_{xt} V \right\|^2}{2} + \epsilon C \left\| A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla V \right\|^2$$

By multiplying the convection-diffusion adjoint by $e^t v$ and integrating over Q, we were able to establish a bound

$$||v||^2 + \epsilon ||\nabla v||^2 \le ||u||^2$$

We again proceed by analogy and propose that by multiplying the Navier-Stokes adjoint by $A_0^{-1}e^tV$ and integrating over Q, we could obtain a bound on

$$\left\|A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}V\right\|^2 + \left\|A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}M^{-\frac{1}{2}}G^* \cdot K^*\nabla V\right\|^2 \le \left\|A_0^{\frac{1}{2}}U\right\|^2$$

From the adjoint equation, we can also establish bounds on a few more terms. Since

$$A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}G^* \cdot \nabla V = A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(A_0 U + \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}^* \\ C^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot \nabla_{xt} V \right)$$

we can establish a bound on

$$\left\|A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}G^*\cdot\nabla V\right\|^2$$

Finish this section.