Robustness for Transient Problems

Truman E. Ellis and Jesse L. Chan

Consider domain $Q = \Omega \times [0,T]$ with boundary $\Gamma = \Gamma_- \cup \Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_T$ where Γ_- is the spatial inflow boundary, Γ_+ is the spatial outflow boundary, Γ_0 is the initial time boundary, and Γ_T is the final time boundary. Let Γ_h denote the entire mesh skeleton.

Assume that boundary conditions are applied on the boundary $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$. Recall that, for the ultra-weak variational formulation

$$b\left(\left(u,\widehat{u}\right),v\right) = \left(u,A_{h}^{*}v\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \langle \widehat{u}, \llbracket v \rrbracket \rangle_{\Gamma_{h} \backslash \Gamma_{0}}$$

we can recover

$$||u||_{L^2(Q)}^2 = b(u, v^*)$$

for conforming v^* satisfying the adjoint equation

$$A^*v^* = u$$
$$v^* = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_h \setminus \Gamma_0.$$

Together, these give necessary conditions on the test norm $\|\cdot\|_V$ such that we have L^2 robustness (this gives robustness in the variable u; for the first order formulation, conditions for σ must also be shown).

$$\|u\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2} = b(u, v^{*}) \le \frac{b(u, v^{*})}{\|v^{*}\|_{V}} \|v^{*}\|_{V} \le \|u\|_{E} \|v^{*}\|_{V}$$

Thus, showing $\|v^*\|_V \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(Q)}$ gives the result that $\|u\|_{L^2(Q)} \lesssim \|u\|_E$.

1 Convection-Diffusion

Consider convection-diffusion

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \nabla u &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \nabla u - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} &= f \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_- \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_+ \\ u &= u_0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0. \end{split}$$

Let
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} := \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\nabla_{xt} := \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$, then we can rewrite this as
$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \nabla u = 0$$
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} u - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = f$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{-}$$
$$u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{+}$$
$$u = u_{0} \text{ on } \Gamma_{0}.$$

We decompose the adjoint into three parts: a discontinuous part

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau}_0 + \nabla v_0 = 0$$

$$-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v_0 + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_0 = 0$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x = \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x \text{ on } \Gamma_- \cup \Gamma_0$$

$$v_0 = v \text{ on } \Gamma_+$$

$$v_0 = v \text{ on } \Gamma_T$$

$$\llbracket v_0 \rrbracket = \llbracket v \rrbracket \text{ on } \Gamma_h^0$$

$$\llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x \rrbracket = \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x \rrbracket \text{ on } \Gamma_{hx}^0,$$

a continuous part with forcing term g

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 + \nabla v_1 &= 0 \\ -\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v_1 + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 &= g \\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_- \\ v_1 &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_+ \\ v_1 &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_T \,, \end{split}$$

and a continuous part with forcing f

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 + \nabla v_2 &= f \\ -\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v_2 + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 &= 0 \\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_- \\ v_2 &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_+ \\ v_2 &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_T \,. \end{split}$$

(The boundary conditions can be derived by taking the ultra-weak formulation and choosing boundary conditions such that the temporal flux and spatial flux terms $\langle \widehat{u}, \llbracket \tau_n \rrbracket \rangle_{\Gamma_{out}}$ and $\langle \widehat{f}_n, \llbracket v \rrbracket \rangle_{\Gamma_{in}}$ are zero.)

We can then derive that the test norm

$$\|(v, \boldsymbol{\tau})\|_{V,K}^{2} := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \right\} \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{K}^{2} + \left\| \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \right\|_{K}^{2} + \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \right\|_{K}^{2} + \epsilon \|\nabla v\|_{K}^{2} + \|v\|_{K}^{2},$$

$$(1)$$

Our goal is to analyze the stability properties of the adjoint equations by deriving bounds of the form $\|(v_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1)\|_V \leq \|g\|_L^2(Q)$ and $\|(v_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)\|_V \leq \|f\|_L^2(Q)$. provides the necessary bound $\|v^*\|_V \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(Q)}$.

Insert conditions on β

Lemma 1.1. For the above conditions on β ,

$$\left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v_1 \right\| \le \|g\|$$

and since $-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v_1 + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 = g$,

$$\|\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_1\| \leq \|g\| .$$

Proof. Multiply by $-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v$ and integrate over Q to get

$$\left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \right\| = -\int_{Q} u \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v - \epsilon \int_{Q} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \Delta v. \tag{2}$$

Note that

$$\begin{split} -\int_{Q} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \Delta v &= -\int_{Q} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla \cdot \nabla v \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{x}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \int_{Q} \nabla (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v) \cdot \nabla v \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{x}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \int_{Q} (\nabla \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v) \cdot \nabla v \\ &+ \int_{Q} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla \nabla_{xt} v \cdot \nabla v \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{x}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \int_{Q} (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \nabla v) \cdot \nabla v \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \int_{Q} (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \nabla v) \cdot \nabla v \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} \nabla_{xt} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{x}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \int_{Q} (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \nabla v) \cdot \nabla v \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{x}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{x}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) \\ &+ \int_{Q} \nabla v (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{I}) \nabla v \end{split}$$

Plugging this into (2), we get

$$\begin{split} \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \right\| &= -\int_{Q} u \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v + \epsilon \int_{Q} \nabla v (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{I}) \nabla v \\ &- \epsilon \int_{\Gamma_{x}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \epsilon \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) \\ &= -\int_{Q} u \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \underbrace{\nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x}}_{=0} - \int_{\Gamma_{+}} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \nabla v \right) \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_{-}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \underbrace{\nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x}}_{=0} - \int_{\Gamma_{+}} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \nabla v \right) \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{-}} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x}}_{<0} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{+}} \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{0}} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{n}_{t}}_{<0} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{T}} \boldsymbol{n}_{t} \underbrace{(\nabla v \cdot \nabla v)}_{=0} \\ &\leq - \int_{Q} u \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v + \epsilon \int_{Q} \nabla v (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{I}) \nabla v \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{+}} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}_{x}} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} \nabla v \right) \cdot \nabla v \\ &= - \int_{Q} u \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v + \epsilon \int_{Q} \nabla v (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{I}) \nabla v \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{+}} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}_{x}} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}_{x}} \boldsymbol{n}_{x} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}_{x}} \boldsymbol{n}_{x} \\ &= - \int_{Q} u \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v + \epsilon \int_{Q} \nabla v (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{I}) \nabla v \\ &\leq - \underbrace{\left\| u \right\|}_{2} + \underbrace{\left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \right\|}_{2} + \epsilon \int_{Q} \nabla v (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{I}) \nabla v \\ &\leq - \underbrace{\left\| u \right\|}_{2} + \underbrace{\left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \right\|}_{2} + \epsilon C \left\| \nabla v \right\|^{2}}_{2} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.2. For the above conditions on β

$$\left\|v\right\|^{2}+\epsilon\left\|\nabla v\right\|^{2}\leq\left\|g\right\|^{2}+\epsilon\left\|f\right\|^{2}\;.$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \epsilon \nabla v$, so we already have control of $\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|$.

Proof. Define $w = e^{T-t}v$ and note that $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - v\right)e^{T-t}$ while $\nabla w = \nabla e^{T-t}v + e^{T-t}\nabla v$ and $\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\beta}w) = \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\beta})e^{T-t}v + \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot e^{T-t}\nabla v$ and $\Delta w = e^{T-t}\Delta v$. Also, $\nabla_{xt}w = \frac{\partial e^{T-t}v}{\partial t} + \nabla e^{T-t}v = e^{T-t}(\nabla_{xt}v - v)$. Plugging this into the adjoint equation, we get

$$-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt}(w) - \epsilon \Delta w = u - \epsilon \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

or

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt}(v) - v + \epsilon \Delta v = e^{t-T}(-u + \epsilon \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$$

Multiply by -v and integrate to get

$$\int_{Q} -\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v v + v^{2} - \epsilon \Delta v v = \int_{Q} e^{t-T} u v - \epsilon \int_{Q} e^{t-T} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|^2 &= \int_Q e^{t-T} u v - \epsilon \int_Q e^{t-T} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} v + \int_Q \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v v + \epsilon \int_Q \Delta v v \\ &= \int_Q e^{t-T} u v - \epsilon \int_Q e^{t-T} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} v + \frac{1}{2} \int_Q \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} (v)^2 - \epsilon \int_Q \nabla v \nabla v + \epsilon \int_{\Gamma} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{split} \|v\|^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla v\|^2 &= \int_Q e^{t-T} u v - \epsilon \int_Q e^{t-T} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} v \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_Q \underbrace{\nabla_{xt} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}_{=0}(v)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} v^2 \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} + \epsilon \int_{\Gamma_x} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x \\ &= \int_Q e^{t-T} u v + \epsilon \int_Q e^{t-T} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla v - \epsilon \int_{\Gamma_-} v \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x}_{=\epsilon \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0} - \epsilon \int_{\Gamma_+} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{v}}_{=0} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_-} v^2 \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x}_{<0} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_+} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{v}}_{=0}^2 \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_0} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{v}^2 (-n_t)}_{<0} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_T} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{v}}_{=0}^2 \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x \\ &+ \epsilon \int_{\Gamma_-} v \underbrace{\nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x}_{=0} + \epsilon \int_{\Gamma_+} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{v}}_{=0} \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x \\ &\leq \|e^{t-T}\|_{L_{\infty}(Q)} \left(\int_Q u v + \epsilon \int_Q \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla v \right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\|u\|^2}{2} + \frac{\epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}\|^2}{2} + \frac{\|v\|^2}{2} + \frac{\epsilon \|\nabla v\|^2}{2} \right) \end{split}$$

2 Robustness for transient problems given spatial robustness

Suppose we have the transient problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Au = f$$

with initial condition $u(x,0) = u_0$. Suppose that DPG is robust under the ultra-weak variational formulation for the steady problem

$$(u, A_h^* v)_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle \widehat{u}, \llbracket v \rrbracket \rangle_{\Gamma_h \backslash \Gamma_0} = (f, v)$$

with test norm $||v||_V$. Then, can we show that

$$\|v\|_{V,t} := \|v\|_V + \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

also leads to a robust upper bound of the L^2 norm by the DPG energy norm? I believe this may be possible. The adjoint equation for robustness for the transient problem gives

$$-\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + A^*v = u$$

with v = 0 at t = T...

3 Transient Eriksson-Johnson

We can derive a transient Eriksson-Johnson solution using separation of variables. Consider

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \epsilon \Delta u = 0$$

with boundary conditions

$$\begin{split} u &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_+, \\ u &- \epsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = u_0 - \epsilon \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial n} \text{ on } \Gamma_-, \\ \epsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0, \end{split}$$

and initial condition $u(x,y,0)=u_0(x,y)$ that satisfies the given boundary data. Assuming that u(x,y,t)=X(x,y)T(t) and $Lu=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-\epsilon\Delta u$, we can plug this into the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Lu = 0$$

and rearrange to get

$$-\frac{\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}}{T} = \frac{LX}{X} = C.$$

This assumes then that $\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -CT$, or that $T(t) = e^{-Ct}$, and that LX = CX, or that X is made up of the eigenfunctions of L.