Robustness for transient problems

Assume that boundary conditions are applied on the boundary $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$. Recall that, for the ultra-weak variational formulation

$$b\left(\left(u,\widehat{u}\right),v\right) = \left(u,A_{h}^{*}v\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \langle \widehat{u}, \llbracket v \rrbracket \rangle_{\Gamma_{h} \backslash \Gamma_{0}}$$

we can recover

$$||u||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = b(u, v^*)$$

for conforming v^* satisfying the adjoint equation

$$A^*v^* = u$$
$$v^* = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_b \setminus \Gamma_0.$$

Together, these give necessary conditions on the test norm $\|\cdot\|_V$ such that we have L^2 robustness (this gives robustness in the variable u; for the first order formulation, conditions for σ must also be shown).

$$\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} = b(u, v^{*}) \le \frac{b(u, v^{*})}{\|v^{*}\|_{V}} \|v^{*}\|_{V} \le \|u\|_{E} \|v^{*}\|_{V}$$

Thus, showing $\|v^*\|_V \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ gives the result that $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim \|u\|_E$.

1 Reaction-diffusion

Consider reaction diffusion

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u - \epsilon \Delta u &= f \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \\ u(t &= 0) &= u_0. \end{split}$$

The adjoint equation satisfies

$$\begin{split} -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v - \epsilon \Delta v &= u \\ v &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \\ v(t = T) &= 0. \end{split}$$

(The boundary conditions can be derived by taking the ultra-weak formulation and choosing boundary conditions such that the temporal flux and spatial flux terms $\langle \widehat{u}, \llbracket \tau_n \rrbracket \rangle_{\Gamma_1}$ and $\langle \widehat{f}_n, \llbracket v \rrbracket \rangle_{\Gamma_2}$ are zero.)

We can then derive that the test norm

$$\left\|v\right\|_{V}^{2} = \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^{2} + \left\|v\right\|^{2} + \epsilon \left\|\nabla v\right\|^{2}$$

provides the necessary bound $\|v^*\|_V \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

To see, this we multiply the adjoint equation by two terms as follows:

1. Multiply by v and integrate over $\Omega \times [0, T] = Q$ to get

$$-\int_{O} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} v + \int_{O} v^{2} + \epsilon \int_{O} \left| \nabla v \right|^{2} - \epsilon \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} v = \int_{O} uv.$$

Noting that either v=0 or $\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}=0$ on the boundary removes the integral over Γ . Next, we can factor the first term and use Young's inequality to get

$$-\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} v^{2} + \|v\|_{Q}^{2} + \epsilon \|\nabla v\|_{Q}^{2} \le \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{Q}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{Q}^{2}$$

Integrating by parts the first term gives

$$- \int_{\Omega} v^2 \bigg|_{0}^{T} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{Q}^{2} + \epsilon \|\nabla v\|_{Q}^{2} \le \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{Q}^{2}$$

Using boundary condition v = 0 at t = T gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \|v\|_Q^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla v\|_Q^2 \le \int_{\Omega} v(t=0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_Q^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla v\|_Q^2 \le \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_Q^2.$$

2. Multiply by $-\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ and integrate over Q. Young's inequality changes the right hand side to

$$\int_{Q} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}^{2} - \int_{Q} v \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \epsilon \int_{Q} \Delta v \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \int_{Q} -u \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| u \right\|_{Q}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{Q}^{2}.$$

The term $\int_Q v \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ can be reduced to the positive contribution $\int_{\Omega} v(t=0)^2$ as above. We can then take the Laplacian term, integrate by parts in space to get

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta v \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \Delta v \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \nabla v.$$

Since either v=0 or $\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}=0$ on Γ , the first term disappears. The second term can be bounded by noting

$$-\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \nabla v = -\int_0^T \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 = -\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \bigg|_0^T.$$

Since v=0 at t=T, $\nabla v=0$ at t=T as well, and we are left with the positive contribution $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(t=0)|^2$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{Q}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| u \right\|_{Q}.$$

Together, these two show that, under test norm

$$\left\|v\right\|_{V}^{2} = \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^{2} + \left\|v\right\|^{2} + \epsilon \left\|\nabla v\right\|^{2},$$

the adjoint equation v^* satisfies

$$||v^*||_V \lesssim ||u||_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

and thus the DPG energy norm robustly bounds the L^2 norm from above

$$||u||_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim ||u||_E.$$

2 Convection-diffusion

Truman, your turn :).