Robustness for Transient Problems

Truman E. Ellis and Jesse L. Chan

Assume that boundary conditions are applied on the boundary $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$. Recall that, for the ultra-weak variational formulation

$$b\left(\left(u,\widehat{u}\right),v\right) = \left(u,A_{h}^{*}v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega\right)} + \langle\widehat{u},\llbracket v\rrbracket\rangle_{\Gamma_{h}\backslash\Gamma_{0}}$$

we can recover

$$||u||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = b(u, v^*)$$

for conforming v^* satisfying the adjoint equation

$$A^*v^* = u$$
$$v^* = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_h \setminus \Gamma_0.$$

Together, these give necessary conditions on the test norm $\|\cdot\|_V$ such that we have L^2 robustness (this gives robustness in the variable u; for the first order formulation, conditions for σ must also be shown).

$$\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} = b(u, v^{*}) \leq \frac{b(u, v^{*})}{\|v^{*}\|_{V}} \|v^{*}\|_{V} \leq \|u\|_{E} \|v^{*}\|_{V}$$

Thus, showing $\|v^*\|_V \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ gives the result that $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim \|u\|_E$.

1 Reaction-diffusion

Consider reaction diffusion

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u - \epsilon \Delta u = f$$

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2$$

$$u(t = 0) = u_0.$$

The adjoint equation satisfies

$$-\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v - \epsilon \Delta v = u$$

$$v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2$$

$$v(t = T) = 0.$$

(The boundary conditions can be derived by taking the ultra-weak formulation and choosing boundary conditions such that the temporal flux and spatial flux terms $\langle \widehat{u}, \llbracket \tau_n \rrbracket \rangle_{\Gamma_1}$ and $\left\langle \widehat{f}_n, \llbracket v \rrbracket \right\rangle_{\Gamma_2}$ are zero.)

We can then derive that the test norm

$$\left\|v\right\|_{V}^{2} = \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^{2} + \left\|v\right\|^{2} + \epsilon \left\|\nabla v\right\|^{2}$$

provides the necessary bound $||v^*||_V \lesssim ||u||_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

To see, this we multiply the adjoint equation by two terms as follows:

1. Multiply by v and integrate over $\Omega \times [0,T] = Q$ to get

$$-\int_{Q} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} v + \int_{Q} v^{2} + \epsilon \int_{Q} |\nabla v|^{2} - \epsilon \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} v = \int_{Q} uv.$$

Noting that either v=0 or $\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}=0$ on the boundary removes the integral over Γ . Next, we can factor the first term and use Young's inequality to get

$$-\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} v^{2} + \|v\|_{Q}^{2} + \epsilon \|\nabla v\|_{Q}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{Q}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{Q}^{2}$$

Integrating by parts the first term gives

$$-\int_{\Omega} v^{2} \bigg|_{0}^{T} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{Q}^{2} + \epsilon \|\nabla v\|_{Q}^{2} \le \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{Q}^{2}$$

Using boundary condition v = 0 at t = T gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\left\|v\right\|_{Q}^{2}+\epsilon\left\|\nabla v\right\|_{Q}^{2}\leq\int_{\Omega}v(t=0)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|v\right\|_{Q}^{2}+\epsilon\left\|\nabla v\right\|_{Q}^{2}\leq\frac{1}{2}\left\|u\right\|_{Q}^{2}.$$

2. Multiply by $-\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ and integrate over Q. Young's inequality changes the right hand side to

$$\int_{Q} \frac{\partial v^{2}}{\partial t} - \int_{Q} v \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \epsilon \int_{Q} \Delta v \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \int_{Q} -u \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \le \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{Q}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{Q}^{2}.$$

The term $\int_Q v \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ can be reduced to the positive contribution $\int_\Omega v(t=0)^2$ as above. We can then take the Laplacian term, integrate by parts in space to get

$$\int_{Q} \Delta v \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \Delta v \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \nabla v.$$

Since either v=0 or $\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}=0$ on Γ , the first term disappears. The second term can be bounded by noting

$$-\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \nabla v = -\int_0^T \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 = -\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \bigg|_0^T.$$

Since v=0 at t=T, $\nabla v=0$ at t=T as well, and we are left with the positive contribution $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(t=0)|^2$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{Q}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| u \right\|_{Q}.$$

Together, these two show that, under test norm

$$\left\|v\right\|_{V}^{2} = \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^{2} + \left\|v\right\|^{2} + \epsilon \left\|\nabla v\right\|^{2},$$

the adjoint equation v^* satisfies

$$||v^*||_V \lesssim ||u||_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

and thus the DPG energy norm robustly bounds the L^2 norm from above

$$||u||_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim ||u||_E.$$

2 Convection-diffusion

Consider convection-diffusion

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \nabla u - \epsilon \Delta u &= f \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{out} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{in} \\ u(t = 0) &= u_0. \end{split}$$

Let
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} := \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\nabla_{xt} := \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$, then we can rewrite this as
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} u - \epsilon \Delta u = f$$
$$u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{out}$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{in}$$
$$u(t = 0) = u_0.$$

The adjoint equation satisfies

$$-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v - \epsilon \Delta v = u$$

$$v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{in}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{out}$$

$$v(t = T) = 0.$$

(The boundary conditions can be derived by taking the ultra-weak formulation and choosing boundary conditions such that the temporal flux and spatial flux terms $\langle \widehat{u}, \llbracket \tau_n \rrbracket \rangle_{\Gamma_{out}}$ and $\left\langle \widehat{f}_n, \llbracket v \rrbracket \right\rangle_{\Gamma_{in}}$ are zero.) The t=0 and t=T boundaries can be considered as an inflow and outflow boundary respectively in space-time and we denote $\partial Q_{in} := \Gamma_{in} \cup t = 0$ while $\partial Q_{out} := \Gamma_{out} \cup t = T$.

We can then derive that the test norm

$$\|v\|_{V}^{2} = \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v\|^{2} + \epsilon \|\nabla v\|^{2}$$

provides the necessary bound $||v^*||_V \lesssim ||u||_{L^2(Q)}$.

To see this, we multiply the adjoint equation by two terms as follows:

1. Multiply by $-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v$ and integrate over Q to get

$$\left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \right\| = -\int_{Q} u \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v - \epsilon \int_{Q} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \Delta v. \tag{1}$$

Note that

$$\begin{split} -\int_{Q} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \Delta v &= -\int_{Q} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla \cdot \nabla v \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{x}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \int_{Q} \nabla (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v) \cdot \nabla v \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{x}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \int_{Q} (\nabla \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v) \cdot \nabla v \\ &+ \int_{Q} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla \nabla_{xt} v \cdot \nabla v \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{x}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \int_{Q} (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \nabla v) \cdot \nabla v \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \int_{Q} (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \nabla v) \cdot \nabla v \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \int_{Q} (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \nabla v) \cdot \nabla v \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} \nabla_{xt} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{x}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \int_{Q} (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \nabla v) \cdot \nabla v \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{x}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} (\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) \\ &+ \int_{Q} \nabla v (\nabla \boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{I}) \nabla v \end{split}$$

Plugging this into (1), we get

$$\begin{split} \left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v}\right\| &= -\int_{Q}\boldsymbol{u}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v} + \epsilon\int_{Q}\nabla\boldsymbol{v}(\nabla\boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{I})\nabla\boldsymbol{v} \\ &- \epsilon\int_{\Gamma_{x}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v}\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_{x} + \epsilon\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Gamma}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}(\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{v}) \\ &= -\int_{Q}\boldsymbol{u}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v}\underbrace{\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_{x}} - \int_{\Gamma_{+}}\left(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{v}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\right)\nabla\boldsymbol{v} \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_{-}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v}\underbrace{\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_{x}} - \int_{\Gamma_{+}}\left(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{v}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\right)\nabla\boldsymbol{v} \cdot\boldsymbol{n}_{x} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Gamma_{-}}\underbrace{\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_{x}}(\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Gamma_{+}}\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_{x}(\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{v}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Gamma_{0}}\underbrace{\boldsymbol{n}_{t}}(\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Gamma_{T}}\boldsymbol{n}_{t}\underbrace{(\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{v})} \\ &\leq -\int_{Q}\boldsymbol{u}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v} + \epsilon\int_{Q}\nabla\boldsymbol{v}(\nabla\boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{I})\nabla\boldsymbol{v} \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{+}}\left(-\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{v}}{\partial\boldsymbol{n}_{x}}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_{x}\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\right) \cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{v} \\ &= -\int_{Q}\boldsymbol{u}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v} + \epsilon\int_{Q}\nabla\boldsymbol{v}(\nabla\boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{I})\nabla\boldsymbol{v} \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{+}}\left(-\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{v}}{\partial\boldsymbol{n}_{x}}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_{x}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{v}}{\partial\boldsymbol{n}_{x}}\boldsymbol{n}_{x}\right) \cdot\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{v}}{\partial\boldsymbol{n}_{x}}\boldsymbol{n}_{x} \\ &= -\int_{Q}\boldsymbol{u}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v} + \epsilon\int_{Q}\nabla\boldsymbol{v}(\nabla\boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{I})\nabla\boldsymbol{v} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Gamma_{+}}\left(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{v}}{\partial\boldsymbol{n}_{x}}\right)^{2}\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_{x} \\ &\leq -\int_{Q}\boldsymbol{u}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v} + \epsilon\int_{Q}\nabla\boldsymbol{v}(\nabla\boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{I})\nabla\boldsymbol{v} \\ &\leq -\frac{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|}{2} + \frac{\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v}\|}{2} + \epsilon\int_{Q}\nabla\boldsymbol{v}(\nabla\boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{I})\nabla\boldsymbol{v} \\ &\leq -\frac{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|}{2} + \frac{\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\nabla_{xt}\boldsymbol{v}\|}{2} + \epsilon\boldsymbol{C}\left\|\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\right\|^{2} \end{aligned}$$

2. Define $w = e^{T-t}v$ and note that $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - v\right)e^{T-t}$ while $\nabla w = \nabla e^{T-t}v + e^{T-t}\nabla v$ and $\nabla \cdot (\beta w) = \nabla \cdot (\beta)e^{T-t}v + \beta \cdot e^{T-t}\nabla v$ and $\Delta w = e^{T-t}\Delta v$.

Also, $\nabla_{xt}w = \frac{\partial e^{T-t}v}{\partial t} + \nabla e^{T-t}v = e^{T-t}(\nabla_{xt}v - v)$. Plugging this into the adjoint equation, we get

$$-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt}(w) - \epsilon \Delta w = u - \epsilon \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

or

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt}(v) - v + \epsilon \Delta v = e^{t-T}(-u + \epsilon \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$$

Multiply by -v and integrate to get

$$\int_{Q} -\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v v + v^2 - \epsilon \Delta v v = \int_{Q} e^{t-T} u v - \epsilon \int_{Q} e^{t-T} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} v$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \|v\|^2 &= \int_Q e^{t-T} u v - \epsilon \int_Q e^{t-T} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} v + \int_Q \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} v v + \epsilon \int_Q \Delta v v \\ &= \int_Q e^{t-T} u v - \epsilon \int_Q e^{t-T} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} v + \frac{1}{2} \int_Q \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \nabla_{xt} (v)^2 - \epsilon \int_Q \nabla v \nabla v + \epsilon \int_{\Gamma} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \end{split}$$

Or

$$\begin{split} \left\|v\right\|^{2} + \epsilon \left\|\nabla v\right\|^{2} &= \int_{Q} e^{t-T}uv - \epsilon \int_{Q} e^{t-T}\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}v \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} \underbrace{\nabla_{xt} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}_{=0}(v)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} v^{2} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} + \epsilon \int_{\Gamma_{x}} v \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} \\ &= \int_{Q} e^{t-T}uv + \epsilon \int_{Q} e^{t-T}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_{-}} v \underbrace{\epsilon \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x}}_{=\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0} - \epsilon \int_{\Gamma_{+}} \underbrace{v}_{=0} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{-}} v^{2} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x}}_{<0} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{+}} \underbrace{v}_{=0}^{2} \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{0}} \underbrace{v^{2}(-n_{t})}_{<0} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{T}} \underbrace{v}_{=0}^{2} \boldsymbol{n}_{t} \\ &+ \epsilon \int_{\Gamma_{-}} v \underbrace{\nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x}}_{=0} + \epsilon \int_{\Gamma_{+}} \underbrace{v}_{=0} \nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{x} \\ &\leq \left\| e^{t-T} \right\|_{L_{\infty}(Q)} \left(\int_{Q} uv + \epsilon \int_{Q} u \nabla v \right) \\ &\leq \left((1 + \epsilon) \underbrace{\frac{\|u\|^{2}}{2}}_{+} + \underbrace{\frac{\|v\|^{2}}{2}}_{+} + \underbrace{\frac{\epsilon}{\|\nabla v\|^{2}}_{2}}_{+} \right) \end{split}$$

3 Robustness for transient problems given spatial robustness

Suppose we have the transient problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Au = f$$

with initial condition $u(x,0) = u_0$. Suppose that DPG is robust under the ultra-weak variational formulation for the steady problem

$$(u, A_h^* v)_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle \widehat{u}, \llbracket v \rrbracket \rangle_{\Gamma_h \backslash \Gamma_0} = (f, v)$$

with test norm $\|v\|_V$. Then, can we show that

$$\|v\|_{V,t} \coloneqq \|v\|_V + \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

also leads to a robust upper bound of the L^2 norm by the DPG energy norm? I believe this may be possible. The adjoint equation for robustness for the transient problem gives

$$-\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + A^*v = u$$

with v = 0 at t = T...