metaVAR: References

Ivan Jacob Agaloos Pesigan

References

Aalen, O. O., Røysland, K., Gran, J. M., Kouyos, R., & Lange, T. (2016). Can we believe the DAGs? A comment on the relationship between causal DAGs and mechanisms. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, *25*(5), 2294–2314. https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280213520436

Aalen, O. O., Røysland, K., Gran, J. M., & Ledergerber, B. (2012). Causality, mediation and time: A dynamic viewpoint. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (Statistics in Society)*, *175*(4), 831–861. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-985X.2011.01030.x

Adolf, J. K., Loossens, T., Tuerlinckx, F., & Ceulemans, E. (2021). Optimal sampling rates for reliable continuous-time first-order autoregressive and vector autoregressive modeling. *Psychological Methods*, *26*(6), 701–718. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000398

Andrews, D. W. K. (1991). Heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent covariance matrix estimation. *Econometrica*, *59*(3), 817. https://doi.org/10.2307/2938229

Andrews, D. W. K. (2000). Inconsistency of the bootstrap when a parameter is on the boundary of the parameter space. *Econometrica*, *68*(2), 399–405. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0262.00114

Andrews, D. W. K., & Monahan, J. C. (1992). An improved heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent covariance matrix estimator. *Econometrica*, *60*(4), 953. https://doi.org/10.2307/2951574

Arbuckle, J. L. (1996). Full information estimation in the presence of incomplete data. In G. A. Marcoulides & R. E. Schumacker (Eds.), *Advanced structural equation modeling*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315827414

Arbuckle, J. L. (2020). *Amos 27.0 user's guide*. Chicago, IBM SPSS.

Arbuckle, J. L. (2021). *Amos 28.0 user's guide*. Chicago, IBM SPSS.

Arnold, L. (1974). *Stochastic differential equations: Theory and applications*. Wiley.

Aroian, L. A. (1947). The probability function of the product of two normally distributed variables. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, *18*(2), 265–271. https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177730442

Asparouhov, T., Hamaker, E. L., & Muthén, B. (2017). Dynamic structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *25*(3), 359–388. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1406803

Asparouhov, T., & Muthén, B. O. (2022). *Multiple imputation with Mplus* (tech. rep.). http: www.statmodel.com. http://www.statmodel.com/download/Imputations7.pdf

Baker, T. B., Piper, M. E., McCarthy, D. E., Majeskie, M. R., & Fiore, M. C. (2004). Addiction motivation reformulated: An affective processing model of negative reinforcement. *Psychological Review*, *111*(1), 33–51. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.111.1.33

Baltes, P. B., & Nesselroade, J. R. (1979). History and rationale of longitudinal research. In J. R. Nesselroade & P. B. Baltes (Eds.), *Longitudinal research in the study of behavior and development*. Academic Press.

Barnard, G. A., Collins, J. R., Farewell, V. T., Field, C. A., Kalbfleisch, J. D., Nash, S. W., Parzen, E., Prentice, R. L., Reid, N., Sprott, D. A., Switzer, P., Warren, W. G., & Weldon, K. L. (1981). Nonparametric standard errors and confidence intervals: Discussion. *The Canadian Journal of Statistics / La Revue Canadienne de Statistique*, *9*(2), 158–170. https://doi.org/10.2307/3314609

Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *51*(6), 1173–1182. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173

Bauer, D. J., & Curran, P. J. (2005). Probing interactions in fixed and multilevel regression: Inferential and graphical techniques. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *40*(3), 373–400. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr4003_5

Bauer, D. J., Preacher, K. J., & Gil, K. M. (2006). Conceptualizing and testing random indirect effects and moderated mediation in multilevel models: New procedures and recommendations. *Psychological Methods*, *11*(2), 142–163. https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989x.11.2.142

Bentler, P. M., & Lee, S.-Y. (1983). Covariance structures under polynomial constraints: Applications to correlation and alpha-type structural models. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, *8*(3), 207. https://doi.org/10.2307/1164760

Bentler, P. M. (2007). Can scientifically useful hypotheses be tested with correlations? *American Psychologist*, *62*(8), 772–782. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.62.8.772

Beran, R. (2003). The impact of the bootstrap on statistical algorithms and theory. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994972

Bergstrom, A. R. (1984). Continuous time stochastic models and issues of aggregation over time. In Z. Griliches & M. D. Intriligator (Eds.), *Handbook of econometrics* (Vol. 2).

Biesanz, J. C., Falk, C. F., & Savalei, V. (2010). Assessing mediational models: Testing and interval estimation for indirect effects. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *45*(4), 661–701. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2010.498292

Blanca, M. J., Arnau, J., Lopez-Montiel, D., Bono, R., & Bendayan, R. (2013). Skewness and kurtosis in real data samples. *Methodology*, *9*(2), 78–84. https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-2241/a000057

Boettiger, C., & Eddelbuettel, D. (2017). An introduction to Rocker: Docker containers for R. *The R Journal*, *9*(2), 527. https://doi.org/10.32614/rj-2017-065

Boker, S. M. (2002). Consequences of continuity: The hunt for intrinsic properties within parameters of dynamics in psychological processes. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *37*(3), 405–422. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr3703_5

Bolger, N., Davis, A., & Rafaeli, E. (2003). Diary methods: Capturing life as it is lived. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *54*(1), 579–616. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.54.101601.145030

Bolger, N., & Laurenceau, J.-P. (2013). *Intensive longitudinal methods: An introduction to diary and experience sampling research*. Guilford Publications.

Bollen, K. A. (1987). Total, direct, and indirect effects in structural equation models. *Sociological Methodology*, *17*, 37. https://doi.org/10.2307/271028

Bollen, K. A., & Stine, R. (1990). Direct and indirect effects: Classical and bootstrap estimates of variability. *Sociological Methodology*, *20*, 115. https://doi.org/10.2307/271084

Boos, D. D. (2003). Introduction to the bootstrap world. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994971

Bradley, J. V. (1978). Robustness? *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *31*(2), 144–152. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.1978.tb00581.x

Brockwell, P. J., & Davis, R. A. (1991). *Time series: Theory and methods*. Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0320-4

Browne, M. W. (1984). Asymptotically distribution-free methods for the analysis of covariance structures. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *37*(1), 62–83. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.1984.tb00789.x

Casella, G. (2003). Introduction to the silver anniversary of the bootstrap. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994967

Casella, G., & Berger, R. L. (2002). *Statistical inference*. Thomson Learning.

Chen, G., Glen, D. R., Saad, Z. S., Hamilton, J. P., Thomason, M. E., Gotlib, I. H., & Cox, R. W. (2011). Vector autoregression, structural equation modeling, and their synthesis in neuroimaging data analysis. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, *41*(12), 1142–1155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2011.09.004

Cheong, J., MacKinnon, D. P., & Khoo, S. T. (2003). Investigation of mediational processes using parallel process latent growth curve modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *10*(2), 238–262. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1002_5

Chesher, A., & Jewitt, I. (1987). The bias of a heteroskedasticity consistent covariance matrix estimator. *Econometrica*, *55*(5), 1217. https://doi.org/10.2307/1911269

Cheung, G. W., & Lau, R. S. (2007). Testing mediation and suppression effects of latent variables. *Organizational Research Methods*, *11*(2), 296–325. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428107300343

Cheung, M. W.-L. (2007). Comparison of approaches to constructing confidence intervals for mediating effects using structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *14*(2), 227–246. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510709336745

Cheung, M. W.-L. (2008). A model for integrating fixed-, random-, and mixed-effects meta-analyses into structural equation modeling. *Psychological Methods*, *13*(3), 182–202. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013163

Cheung, M. W.-L. (2009a). Comparison of methods for constructing confidence intervals of standardized indirect effects. *Behavior Research Methods*, *41*(2), 425–438. https://doi.org/10.3758/brm.41.2.425

Cheung, M. W.-L. (2009b). Constructing approximate confidence intervals for parameters with structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *16*(2), 267–294. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510902751291

Cheung, M. W.-L. (2021). Synthesizing indirect effects in mediation models with meta-analytic methods. *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, *57*(1), 5–15. https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/agab044

Cheung, S. F., Cheung, S.-H., Lau, E. Y. Y., Hui, C. H., & Vong, W. N. (2022). Improving an old way to measure moderation effect in standardized units. *Health Psychology*, *41*(7), 502–505. https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0001188

Cheung, S. F., & Pesigan, I. J. A. (2023a). FINDOUT: Using either SPSS commands or graphical user interface to identify influential cases in structural equation modeling in AMOS. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *58*(5), 964–968. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2022.2148089

Cheung, S. F., & Pesigan, I. J. A. (2023b). semlbci: An R package for forming likelihood-based confidence intervals for parameter estimates, correlations, indirect effects, and other derived

parameters. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *30*(6), 985–999. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2023.2183860

Cheung, S. F., Pesigan, I. J. A., & Vong, W. N. (2022). DIY bootstrapping: Getting the nonparametric bootstrap confidence interval in SPSS for any statistics or function of statistics (when this bootstrapping is appropriate). *Behavior Research Methods*, *55*(2), 474–490. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-022-01808-5

Chow, S.-M., Hamagani, F., & Nesselroade, J. R. (2007). Age differences in dynamical emotion-cognition linkages. *Psychology and Aging*, *22*(4), 765–780. https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.22.4.765

Chow, S.-M., Ho, M.-h. R., Hamaker, E. L., & Dolan, C. V. (2010). Equivalence and differences between structural equation modeling and state-space modeling techniques. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *17*(2), 303–332. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511003661553

Chow, S.-M., Losardo, D., Park, J., & Molenaar, P. C. M. (2023). Continuous-time dynamic models: Connections to structural equation models and other discrete-time models. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Handbook of structural equation modeling* (2nd ed.). The Guilford Press.

Cloninger, C. R. (1987). Neurogenetic adaptive mechanisms in alcoholism. *Science*, *236*(4800), 410–416. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2882604

Cochran, W. G. (1952). The χ^2 test of goodness of fit. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, *23*(3), 315–345. https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729380

Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203771587

Cole, D. A., & Maxwell, S. E. (2003). Testing mediational models with longitudinal data: Questions and tips in the use of structural equation modeling. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *112*(4), 558–577. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843x.112.4.558

Collins, L. M., & Horn, J. L. (Eds.). (1991). *Best methods for the analysis of change: Recent advances, unanswered questions, future directions*. American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/10.1037/10099-000

Collins, L. M., & Sayer, A. (Eds.). (2002). *New methods for the analysis of change: [based on a conference held in 1998 at the pennsylvania state university, a follow-up to the los angeles conference best methods for the analysis of change]* (2nd ed.). American Psychological Association.

Cooper, M. L., Frone, M. R., Russell, M., & Mudar, P. (1995). Drinking to regulate positive and negative emotions: A motivational model of alcohol use. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *69*(5), 990–1005. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.69.5.990

Courtney, J. B., & Russell, M. A. (2021). Testing affect regulation models of drinking prior to and after drinking initiation using ecological momentary assessment. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, *35*(5), 597–608. https://doi.org/10.1037/adb0000763

Cox, W. M., & Klinger, E. (1988). A motivational model of alcohol use. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *97*(2), 168–180. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843x.97.2.168

Craig, C. C. (1936). On the frequency function of xy. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, *7*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177732541

Cribari-Neto, F. (2004). Asymptotic inference under heteroskedasticity of unknown form. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, *45*(2), 215–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-9473(02)00366-3

Cribari-Neto, F., & da Silva, W. B. (2010). A new heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator for the linear regression model. *AStA Advances in Statistical Analysis*, *95*(2), 129–146. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10182-010-0141-2

Cribari-Neto, F., Souza, T. C., & Vasconcellos, K. L. P. (2007). Inference under heteroskedasticity and leveraged data. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, *36*(10), 1877–1888. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610920601126589

Cribari-Neto, F., Souza, T. C., & Vasconcellos, K. L. P. (2008). Errata: Inference under heteroskedasticity and leveraged data, Communications in Statistics, Theory and Methods, 36, 1877–1888, 2007. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, *37*(20), 3329–3330. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610920802109210

Cronbach, L. J., & Furby, L. (1970). How we should measure "change": Or should we? *Psychological Bulletin*, *74*(1), 68–80. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0029382

Cudeck, R. (1989). Analysis of correlation matrices using covariance structure models. *Psychological Bulletin*, *105*(2), 317–327. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.105.2.317

Curran, P. J., & Bauer, D. J. (2011). The disaggregation of within-person and between-person effects in longitudinal models of change. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *62*(1), 583–619. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100356

Davidson, R., & MacKinnon, J. G. (1993). *Estimation and inference in econometrics*. Oxford University Press.

Davison, A. C., & Hinkley, D. V. (1997). *Bootstrap methods and their application*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511802843

Davison, A. C., Hinkley, D. V., & Young, G. A. (2003). Recent developments in bootstrap methodology. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994969

de Haan-Rietdijk, S., Voelkle, M. C., Keijsers, L., & Hamaker, E. L. (2017). Discrete- vs. continuous-time modeling of unequally spaced experience sampling method data. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01849

Deboeck, P. R., & Boulton, A. J. (2016). Integration of stochastic differential equations using structural equation modeling: A method to facilitate model fitting and pedagogy. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *23*(6), 888–903. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2016.1218763

Deboeck, P. R., & Preacher, K. J. (2015). No need to be discrete: A method for continuous time mediation analysis. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *23*(1), 61–75. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2014.973960

Deboeck, P. R., Preacher, K. J., & Cole, D. A. (2018). Mediation modeling: Differing perspectives on time alter mediation inferences. In *Continuous time modeling in the behavioral and related sciences* (pp. 179–203). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77219-6_8

Demeshko, M., Washio, T., Kawahara, Y., & Pepyolyshev, Y. (2015). A novel continuous and structural VAR modeling approach and its application to reactor noise analysis. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology*, *7*(2), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1145/2710025

Didier, N. A., King, A. C., Polley, E. C., & Fridberg, D. J. (2023). Signal processing and machine learning with transdermal alcohol concentration to predict natural environment alcohol consumption. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*, *32*(2), 245–254. https://doi.org/10.1037/pha0000683

Dora, J., Piccirillo, M., Foster, K. T., Arbeau, K., Armeli, S., Auriacombe, M., Bartholow, B., Beltz, A. M., Blumenstock, S. M., Bold, K., Bonar, E. E., Braitman, A., Carpenter, R. W., Creswell, K. G., De Hart, T., Dvorak, R. D., Emery, N., Enkema, M., Fairbairn, C. E., … King, K. M. (2023). The daily association between affect and alcohol use: A meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Psychological Bulletin*, *149*(1–2), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000387

Driver, C. C., Oud, J. H. L., & Voelkle, M. C. (2017). Continuous time structural equation modeling with R package ctsem. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *77*(5). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v077.i05

Driver, C. C., & Voelkle, M. C. (2018). Hierarchical Bayesian continuous time dynamic modeling. *Psychological Methods*, *23*(4), 774–799. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000168

Dudgeon, P. (2017). Some improvements in confidence intervals for standardized regression coefficients. *Psychometrika*, *82*(4), 928–951. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-017-9563-z

Duncan, O. D. (1969). Some linear models for two-wave, two-variable panel analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, *72*(3), 177–182. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0027876

Eddelbuettel, D. (2013). *Seamless R and C++ integration with Rcpp*. Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6868-4

Eddelbuettel, D., & Balamuta, J. J. (2017). Extending R with C++: A brief introduction to Rcpp. *PeerJ Preprints*, *3188v1*(3). https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.3188v1

Eddelbuettel, D., Francois, R., Allaire, J., Ushey, K., Kou, Q., Russell, N., Ucar, I., Bates, D., & Chambers, J. (2023). *Rcpp: Seamless R and C++ integration*. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Rcpp

Eddelbuettel, D., & François, R. (2011). Rcpp: Seamless R and C++ integration. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *40*(8). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v040.i08

Eddelbuettel, D., & Sanderson, C. (2014). RcppArmadillo: Accelerating R with high-performance C++ linear algebra. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, *71*, 1054–1063. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2013.02.005

Efron, B. (1979a). Bootstrap methods: Another look at the jackknife. *The Annals of Statistics*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1176344552

Efron, B. (1979b). Computers and the theory of statistics: Thinking the unthinkable. *SIAM Review*, *21*(4), 460–480. https://doi.org/10.1137/1021092

Efron, B. (1981a). Nonparametric standard errors and confidence intervals. *Canadian Journal of Statistics / La Revue Canadienne de Statistique*, *9*(2), 139–158. https://doi.org/10.2307/3314608

Efron, B. (1981b). Nonparametric standard errors and confidence intervals: Rejoinder. *The Canadian Journal of Statistics / La Revue Canadienne de Statistique*, *9*(2), 170–172. https://doi.org/10.2307/3314610

Efron, B. (1987). Better bootstrap confidence intervals. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *82*(397), 171–185. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1987.10478410

Efron, B. (1988). Bootstrap confidence intervals: Good or bad? *Psychological Bulletin*, *104*(2), 293–296. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.104.2.293

Efron, B. (2003). Second thoughts on the bootstrap. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994968

Efron, B. (2012). Bayesian inference and the parametric bootstrap. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, *6*(4). https://doi.org/10.1214/12-aoas571

Efron, B., & Tibshirani, R. J. (1993). *An introduction to the bootstrap*. Chapman & Hall. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429246593

Enders, C. K. (2010). *Applied missing data analysis*. Guilford Publications.

Enders, C. K., Fairchild, A. J., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2013). A Bayesian approach for estimating mediation effects with missing data. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *48*(3), 340–369. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2013.784862

Engel, G. L. (1977). The need for a new medical model: A challenge for biomedicine. *Science*, *196*(4286), 129–136. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.847460

Epskamp, S., Borsboom, D., & Fried, E. I. (2017). Estimating psychological networks and their accuracy: A tutorial paper. *Behavior Research Methods*, *50*(1), 195–212. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0862-1

Epskamp, S., Waldorp, L. J., M ottus, R., & Borsboom, D. (2018). The Gaussian graphical model in cross-sectional and time-series data. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *53*(4), 453–480. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2018.1454823

Ernst, M. D., & Hutson, A. D. (2003). Utilizing a quantile function approach to obtain exact bootstrap solutions. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994978

Fairchild, A. J., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2014). Using mediation and moderation analyses to enhance prevention research. In *Defining prevention science* (pp. 537–555). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-7424-2_23

Fernandez, E. (2002). *Anxiety, depression, and anger in pain: Research findings and clinical options*. Advanced Psychological Resources.

Ferrer, E., & McArdle, J. (2003). Alternative structural models for multivariate longitudinal data analysis. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *10*(4), 493–524. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1004_1

Finkel, S. E. (1995). *Causal analysis with panel data*. Sage.

Flor, H., & Turk, D. C. (2011). *Chronic pain: An integrated biobehavioral approach*. IASP Press.

Fridberg, D. J., Wang, Y., & Porges, E. (2022). Examining features of transdermal alcohol biosensor readings: A promising approach with implications for research and intervention. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, *46*(4), 514–516. https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.14794

Fritz, M. S., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2007). Required sample size to detect the mediated effect. *Psychological Science*, *18*(3), 233–239. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01882.x

Fritz, M. S., Taylor, A. B., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2012). Explanation of two anomalous results in statistical mediation analysis. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *47*(1), 61–87. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2012.640596

Gatchel, R. J., Peng, Y. B., Peters, M. L., Fuchs, P. N., & Turk, D. C. (2007). The biopsychosocial approach to chronic pain: Scientific advances and future directions. *Psychological Bulletin*, *133*(4), 581–624. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.133.4.581

Gates, K. M., Chow, S.-M., & Molenaar, P. C. M. (2023). *Intensive longitudinal analysis of human processes*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429172649

Gates, K. M., Molenaar, P. C., Hillary, F. G., Ram, N., & Rovine, M. J. (2010). Automatic search for fMRI connectivity mapping: An alternative to Granger causality testing using formal equivalences among SEM path modeling, VAR, and unified SEM. *NeuroImage*, *50*(3), 1118–1125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.117

Georgeson, A. R., Alvarez-Bartolo, D., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2023). A sensitivity analysis for temporal bias in cross-sectional mediation. *Psychological Methods*. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000628

Gollob, H. F., & Reichardt, C. S. (1987). Taking account of time lags in causal models. *Child Development*, *58*(1), 80. https://doi.org/10.2307/1130293

Gollob, H. F., & Reichardt, C. S. (1991). Interpreting and estimating indirect effects assuming time lags really matter. In L. M. Collins & J. L. Horn (Eds.), *Best methods for the analysis of change: Recent advances, unanswered questions, future directions* (pp. 243–259). American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/10.1037/10099-015

Goodman, L. A. (1960). On the exact variance of products. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *55*(292), 708–713. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1960.10483369

Graham, J. W., Olchowski, A. E., & Gilreath, T. D. (2007). How many imputations are really needed? some practical clarifications of multiple imputation theory. *Prevention Science*, *8*(3), 206–213. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-007-0070-9

Granger, C. W. J. (1969). Investigating causal relations by econometric models and cross-spectral methods. *Econometrica*, *37*(3), 424. https://doi.org/10.2307/1912791

Grundy, A. M., Gondoli, D. M., & Blodgett Salafia, E. H. (2007). Marital conflict and preadolescent behavioral competence: Maternal knowledge as a longitudinal mediator. *Journal of Family Psychology*, *21*(4), 675–682. https://doi.org/10.1037/0893-3200.21.4.675

Gu, F., Preacher, K. J., & Ferrer, E. (2014). A state space modeling approach to mediation analysis. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, *39*(2), 117–143. https://doi.org/10.3102/1076998614524823

Gunn, R. L., Steingrimsson, J. A., Merrill, J. E., Souza, T., & Barnett, N. (2021). Characterising patterns of alcohol use among heavy drinkers: A cluster analysis utilising alcohol biosensor data. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, *40*(7), 1155–1164. https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.13306

Hall, P. (2003). A short prehistory of the bootstrap. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994970

Hamaker, E. L., Ceulemans, E., Grasman, R. P. P. P., & Tuerlinckx, F. (2015). Modeling affect dynamics: State of the art and future challenges. *Emotion Review*, *7*(4), 316–322. https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073915590619

Hamaker, E. L., Kuiper, R. M., & Grasman, R. P. P. P. (2015). A critique of the cross-lagged panel model. *Psychological Methods*, *20*(1), 102–116. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038889

Hamilton, J. D. (1994). *Time series analysis*. Princeton University Press.

Harvey, A. C. (1990). *Forecasting, structural time series models and the Kalman filter*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781107049994

Hatemi-J, A. (2003). A new method to choose optimal lag order in stable and unstable VAR models. *Applied Economics Letters*, *10*(3), 135–137. https://doi.org/10.1080/1350485022000041050

Hatemi-J, A. (2004). Multivariate tests for autocorrelation in the stable and unstable VAR models. *Economic Modelling*, *21*(4), 661–683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2003.09.005

Hayes, A. F. (2009). Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new millennium. *Communication Monographs*, *76*(4), 408–420. https://doi.org/10.1080/03637750903310360

Hayes, A. F. (2022). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach* (3rd ed.). Guilford Publications.

Hayes, A. F., & Cai, L. (2007). Using heteroskedasticity-consistent standard error estimators in OLS regression: An introduction and software implementation. *Behavior Research Methods*, *39*(4), 709–722. https://doi.org/10.3758/bf03192961

Hayes, A. F., & Scharkow, M. (2013). The relative trustworthiness of inferential tests of the indirect effect in statistical mediation analysis. *Psychological Science*, *24*(10), 1918–1927. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797613480187

Hecht, M., & Voelkle, M. C. (2019). Continuous-time modeling in prevention research: An illustration. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, *45*(1), 19–27. https://doi.org/10.1177/0165025419885026

Hecht, M., & Zitzmann, S. (2020a). A computationally more efficient Bayesian approach for estimating continuous-time models. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *27*(6), 829–840. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2020.1719107

Hecht, M., & Zitzmann, S. (2020b). Sample size recommendations for continuous-time models: Compensating shorter time series with larger numbers of persons and vice versa. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *28*(2), 229–236. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2020.1779069

Hecht, M., & Zitzmann, S. (2021). Exploring the unfolding of dynamic effects with continuous-time models: Recommendations concerning statistical power to detect peak cross-lagged effects. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *28*(6), 894–902. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2021.1914627

Hektner, J., Schmidt, J., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2007). *Experience sampling method: Measuring the quality of everyday life*. SAGE Publications, Inc. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412984201

Hesterberg, T. C. (2014). What teachers should know about the bootstrap: Resampling in the undergraduate statistics curriculum. https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.5279

Hesterberg, T. C. (2015). What teachers should know about the bootstrap: Resampling in the undergraduate statistics curriculum. *The American Statistician*, *69*(4), 371–386. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2015.1089789

Hingson, R., Zha, W., & Smyth, D. (2017). Magnitude and trends in heavy episodic drinking, alcohol-impaired driving, and alcohol-related mortality and overdose hospitalizations among emerging adults of college ages 18–24 in the United States, 1998–2014. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, *78*(4), 540–548. https://doi.org/10.15288/jsad.2017.78.540

Hinkley, D. V. (1977). Jackknifing in unbalanced situations. *Technometrics*, *19*(3), 285–292. https://doi.org/10.1080/00401706.1977.10489550

Holmes, S. (2003a). Bootstrapping phylogenetic trees: Theory and methods. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994979

Holmes, S. (2003b). Bradley Efron: A conversation with good friends. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994981

Horn, S. D., Horn, R. A., & Duncan, D. B. (1975). Estimating heteroscedastic variances in linear models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *70*(350), 380–385. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1975.10479877

Horowitz, J. L. (2003). The bootstrap in econometrics. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994976

Hunter, M. D. (2017). State space modeling in an open source, modular, structural equation modeling environment. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *25*(2), 307–324. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1369354

Iacus, S. M. (2008). *Simulation and inference for stochastic differential equations*. Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-75839-8

James, L. R., & Brett, J. M. (1984). Mediators, moderators, and tests for mediation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *69*(2), 307–321. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.69.2.307

Jensen, M. P., & Turk, D. C. (2014). Contributions of psychology to the understanding and treatment of people with chronic pain: Why it matters to ALL psychologists. *American Psychologist*, *69*(2), 105–118. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035641

Johnson, P. O., & Fay, L. C. (1950). The Johnson-Neyman technique, its theory and application. *Psychometrika*, *15*(4), 349–367. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02288864

Johnson, P. O., & Neyman, J. (1936). Tests of certain linear hypotheses and their application to some educational problems. *Statistical Research Memoirs*, *1*, 57–93.

Jones, J. A., & Waller, N. G. (2013a). Computing confidence intervals for standardized regression coefficients. *Psychological Methods*, *18*(4), 435–453. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033269

Jones, J. A., & Waller, N. G. (2013b). *The normal-theory and asymptotic distribution-free (ADF) covariance matrix of standardized regression coefficients: Theoretical extensions and finite sample behavior* (tech. rep.). University of Minnesota-Twin Cities. Retrieved July 22, 2022, from http://users.cla.umn.edu/~nwaller/downloads/techreports/TR052913.pdf

Jones, J. A., & Waller, N. G. (2015). The normal-theory and asymptotic distribution-free (ADF) covariance matrix of standardized regression coefficients: Theoretical extensions and finite sample behavior. *Psychometrika*, *80*(2), 365–378. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-013-9380-y

Jorgensen, T. D., Pornprasertmanit, S., Schoemann, A. M., & Rosseel, Y. (2022). *semTools: Useful tools for structural equation modeling*. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=semTools

Judd, C. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1981). Process analysis. *Evaluation Review*, *5*(5), 602–619. https://doi.org/10.1177/0193841x8100500502

Kalman, R. E. (1960). A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems. *Journal of Basic Engineering*, *82*(1), 35–45. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3662552

Kaplan, H. B., Martin, S. S., & Robbins, C. (1984). Pathways to adolescent drug use: Self-derogation, peer influence, weakening of social controls, and early substance use. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, *25*(3), 270. https://doi.org/10.2307/2136425

Kauermann, G., & Carroll, R. J. (2001). A note on the efficiency of sandwich covariance matrix estimation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *96*(456), 1387–1396. https://doi.org/10.1198/016214501753382309

Kenny, D. A., Kashy, D. A., & Bolger, N. (1998). Data analysis in social psychology. In D. T. Gilbert, G. Lindzey, & S. T. Fiske (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology* (4th ed., pp. 233–265). McGraw Hill.

Kenny, D. A., Korchmaros, J. D., & Bolger, N. (2003). Lower level mediation in multilevel models. *Psychological Methods*, *8*(2), 115–128. https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989x.8.2.115

Kim, C.-J., & Nelson, C. R. (1999). *State-space models with regime switching: Classical and Gibbs-sampling approaches with applications*. The MIT Press. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/6444.001.0001

Kisbu-Sakarya, Y., MacKinnon, D. P., & Miočević, M. (2014). The distribution of the product explains normal theory mediation confidence interval estimation. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *49*(3), 261–268. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2014.903162

Koob, G. F., & Le Moal, M. (2008). Addiction and the brain antireward system. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *59*(1), 29–53. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093548

Koopman, J., Howe, M., & Hollenbeck, J. R. (2014). Pulling the Sobel test up by its bootstraps. In *More statistical and methodological myths and urban legends: Doctrine, verity and fable in organizational and social sciences* (pp. 224–243). Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203775851

Koopman, J., Howe, M., Hollenbeck, J. R., & Sin, H.-P. (2015). Small sample mediation testing: Misplaced confidence in bootstrapped confidence intervals. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *100*(1), 194–202. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036635

Kossakowski, J. J., Groot, P. C., Haslbeck, J. M. B., Borsboom, D., & Wichers, M. (2017). Data from 'Critical slowing down as a personalized early warning signal for depression'. *Journal of Open Psychology Data*, *5*. https://doi.org/10.5334/jopd.29

Krull, J. L., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2001). Multilevel modeling of individual and group level mediated effects. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *36*(2), 249–277. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr3602_06

Kuiper, R. M., & Ryan, O. (2018). Drawing conclusions from cross-lagged relationships: Re-considering the role of the time-interval. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *25*(5), 809–823. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2018.1431046

Kuppens, P. (2015). It's about time: A special section on affect dynamics. *Emotion Review*, *7*(4), 297–300. https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073915590947

Kurtzer, G. M., cclerget, Bauer, M., Kaneshiro, I., Trudgian, D., & Godlove, D. (2021). hpcng/singularity: Singularity 3.7.3. https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.1310023

Kurtzer, G. M., Sochat, V., & Bauer, M. W. (2017). Singularity: Scientific containers for mobility of compute (A. Gursoy, Ed.). *PLOS ONE*, *12*(5), e0177459. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177459

Kwan, J. L. Y., & Chan, W. (2011). Comparing standardized coefficients in structural equation modeling: A model reparameterization approach. *Behavior Research Methods*, *43*(3), 730–745. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-011-0088-6

Kwan, J. L. Y., & Chan, W. (2014). Comparing squared multiple correlation coefficients using structural equation modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *21*(2), 225–238. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2014.882673

Lahiri, P. (2003). On the impact of bootstrap in survey sampling and small-area estimation. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994975

Leffingwell, T. R., Cooney, N. J., Murphy, J. G., Luczak, S., Rosen, G., Dougherty, D. M., & Barnett, N. P. (2012). Continuous objective monitoring of alcohol use: Twenty-first century measurement using transdermal sensors. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, *37*(1), 16–22. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2012.01869.x

Lele, S. R. (2003). Impact of bootstrap on the estimating functions. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994973

Li, K. H., Raghunathan, T. E., & Rubin, D. B. (1991). Large-sample significance levels from multiply imputed data using moment-based statistics and an F reference distribution. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *86*(416), 1065–1073. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1991.10475152

Li, Y., Oravecz, Z., Zhou, S., Bodovski, Y., Barnett, I. J., Chi, G., Zhou, Y., Friedman, N. P., Vrieze, S. I., & Chow, S.-M. (2022). Bayesian forecasting with a regime-switching zero-inflated multilevel poisson regression model: An application to adolescent alcohol use with spatial covariates. *Psychometrika*, *87*(2), 376–402. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-021-09831-9

Li, Y., Wood, J., Ji, L., Chow, S.-M., & Oravecz, Z. (2021). Fitting multilevel vector autoregressive models in Stan, JAGS, and Mplus. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *29*(3), 452–475. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2021.1911657

Little, R. J. A., & Rubin, D. B. (2019). *Statistical analysis with missing data* (3rd ed.). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119482260

Long, J. S., & Ervin, L. H. (2000). Using heteroscedasticity consistent standard errors in the linear regression model. *The American Statistician*, *54*(3), 217–224. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2000.10474549

Loossens, T., Mestdagh, M., Dejonckheere, E., Kuppens, P., Tuerlinckx, F., & Verdonck, S. (2020). The Affective Ising Model: A computational account of human affect dynamics (J. Grilli, Ed.). *PLOS Computational Biology*, *16*(5), e1007860. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007860

Loossens, T., Tuerlinckx, F., & Verdonck, S. (2021). A comparison of continuous and discrete time modeling of affective processes in terms of predictive accuracy. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-85320-4

Lütkepohl, H. (2005). *New introduction to multiple time series analysis*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-27752-1

MacKinnon, D. P. (1994). Analysis of mediating variables in prevention and intervention research. *NIDA research monograph*, *139*, 127–153.

MacKinnon, D. P. (2008). *Introduction to statistical mediation analysis*. Erlbaum Psych Press. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203809556

Mackinnon, D. P., & Dwyer, J. H. (1993). Estimating mediated effects in prevention studies. *Evaluation Review*, *17*(2), 144–158. https://doi.org/10.1177/0193841x9301700202

MacKinnon, D. P., Fritz, M. S., Williams, J., & Lockwood, C. M. (2007). Distribution of the product confidence limits for the indirect effect: Program PRODCLIN. *Behavior Research Methods*, *39*(3), 384–389. https://doi.org/10.3758/bf03193007

MacKinnon, D. P., Krull, J. L., & Lockwood, C. M. (2000). Equivalence of the mediation, confounding and suppression effect. *Prevention Science*, *1*(4), 173–181. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1026595011371

MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M., Hoffman, J. M., West, S. G., & Sheets, V. (2002). A comparison of methods to test mediation and other intervening variable effects. *Psychological Methods*, *7*(1), 83–104. https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989x.7.1.83

MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M., & Williams, J. (2004). Confidence limits for the indirect effect: Distribution of the product and resampling methods. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *39*(1), 99–128. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr3901_4

MacKinnon, J. G., & White, H. (1985). Some heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimators with improved finite sample properties. *Journal of Econometrics*, *29*(3), 305–325. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(85)90158-7

Manthey, J., Hassan, S. A., Carr, S., Kilian, C., Kuitunen-Paul, S., & Rehm, J. (2021). What are the economic costs to society attributable to alcohol use? a systematic review and modelling study. *PharmacoEconomics*, *39*(7), 809–822. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-021-01031-8

Maxwell, S. E., & Cole, D. A. (2007). Bias in cross-sectional analyses of longitudinal mediation. *Psychological Methods*, *12*(1), 23–44. https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989x.12.1.23

Maxwell, S. E., Cole, D. A., & Mitchell, M. A. (2011). Bias in cross-sectional analyses of longitudinal mediation: Partial and complete mediation under an autoregressive model. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *46*(5), 816–841. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2011.606716

McArdle, J. J., & McDonald, R. P. (1984). Some algebraic properties of the Reticular Action Model for moment structures. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *37*(2), 234–251. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.1984.tb00802.x

McArdle, J. J. (2009). Latent variable modeling of differences and changes with longitudinal data. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *60*(1), 577–605. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.60.110707.163612

McNeish, D., & Hamaker, E. L. (2020). A primer on two-level dynamic structural equation models for intensive longitudinal data in Mplus. *Psychological Methods*, *25*(5), 610–635. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000250

McNeish, D., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2022). Intensive longitudinal mediation in Mplus. *Psychological Methods*. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000536

Mehl, M. R., Conner, T. S., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2011). *Handbook of research methods for studying daily life*. Guilford Publications.

Merkel, D. (2014). Docker: Lightweight Linux containers for consistent development and deployment. *Linux Journal*, *2014*(239), 2. https://www.linuxjournal.com/content/docker-lightweight-linux-containers-consistent-development-and-deployment

Micceri, T. (1989). The unicorn, the normal curve, and other improbable creatures. *Psychological Bulletin*, *105*(1), 156–166. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.105.1.156

Millsap, R. E. (2011). *Statistical approaches to measurement invariance*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203821961

Miocevic, M., Gonzalez, O., Valente, M. J., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2017). A tutorial in Bayesian potential outcomes mediation analysis. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *25*(1), 121–136. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1342541

Molenaar, P. C. M. (2017). Equivalent dynamic models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *52*(2), 242–258. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2016.1277681

Molenaar, P. C., & Campbell, C. G. (2009). The new person-specific paradigm in psychology. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *18*(2), 112–117. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01619.x

Moneta, A., Chlaß, N., Entner, D., & Hoyer, P. (2011). Causal search in structural vector autoregressive models. *Journal of Machine Learning Research - Proceedings Track*, *12*, 95–114.

Muthén, B., & Asparouhov, T. (2022). Latent transition analysis with random intercepts (RI-LTA). *Psychological Methods*, *27*(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000370

Muthén, B. O., & Curran, P. J. (1997). General longitudinal modeling of individual differences in experimental designs: A latent variable framework for analysis and power estimation. *Psychological Methods*, *2*(4), 371–402. https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989x.2.4.371

Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2017). *Mplus user's guide. Eighth edition*. Los Angeles, CA, Muthén & Muthén.

National Research Council. (1982). *An assessment of research-doctorate programs in the United States: Social and behavioral sciences*. National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/9781

Neale, M. C., Hunter, M. D., Pritikin, J. N., Zahery, M., Brick, T. R., Kirkpatrick, R. M., Estabrook, R., Bates, T. C., Maes, H. H., & Boker, S. M. (2015). OpenMx 2.0: Extended structural equation and statistical modeling. *Psychometrika*, *81*(2), 535–549. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-014-9435-8

Nel, D. (1985). A matrix derivation of the asymptotic covariance matrix of sample correlation coefficients. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, *67*, 137–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(85)90191-0

Nesselroade, J. R., & Cable, D. G. (1974). Sometimes, it's okay to factor difference scores" - the separation of state and trait anxiety. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *9*(3), 273–284. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr0903_3

Newey, W. K., & West, K. D. (1987). A simple, positive semi-definite, heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent covariance matrix. *Econometrica*, *55*(3), 703. https://doi.org/10.2307/1913610

Nüst, D., Eddelbuettel, D., Bennett, D., Cannoodt, R., Clark, D., Daróczi, G., Edmondson, M., Fay, C., Hughes, E., Kjeldgaard, L., Lopp, S., Marwick, B., Nolis, H., Nolis, J., Ooi, H., Ram, K., Ross, N., Shepherd, L., Sólymos, P., ... Xiao, N. (2020). The Rockerverse: Packages and applications for containerisation with R. *The R Journal*, *12*(1), 437. https://doi.org/10.32614/rj-2020-007

Oehlert, G. W. (1992). A note on the delta method. *The American Statistician*, *46*(1), 27–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1992.10475842

O'Laughlin, K. D., Martin, M. J., & Ferrer, E. (2018). Cross-sectional analysis of longitudinal mediation processes. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *53*(3), 375–402. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2018.1454822

Ollendick, T. H., & Prinz, R. J. (Eds.). (1996). *Advances in clinical child psychology: Volume 18*. Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-0323-7

Oravecz, Z., Tuerlinckx, F., & Vandekerckhove, J. (2011). A hierarchical latent stochastic differential equation model for affective dynamics. *Psychological Methods*, *16*(4), 468–490. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024375

Oravecz, Z., Wood, J., & Ram, N. (2018). On fitting a continuous-time stochastic process model in the Bayesian framework. In *Continuous time modeling in the behavioral and related sciences* (pp. 55–78). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77219-6_3

O'Rourke, H. P., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2018). Reasons for testing mediation in the absence of an intervention effect: A research imperative in prevention and intervention research. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, *79*(2), 171–181. https://doi.org/10.15288/jsad.2018.79.171

O'Rourke, H. P., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2019). The importance of mediation analysis in substance-use prevention. In *Advances in prevention science* (pp. 233–246). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-00627-3_15

Osborne, R. T., & Suddick, D. E. (1972). A longitudinal investigation of the intellectual differentiation hypothesis. *The Journal of Genetic Psychology*, *121*(1), 83–89. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221325.1972.10533131

Ou, L., Hunter, M. D., & Chow, S.-M. (2019). What's for dynr: A package for linear and nonlinear dynamic modeling in R. *The R Journal*, *11*(1), 91. https://doi.org/10.32614/rj-2019-012

Oud, J. H., van den Bercken, J. H., & Essers, R. J. (1990). Longitudinal factor score estimation using the Kalman filter. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, *14*(4), 395–418. https://doi.org/10.1177/014662169001400406

Oud, J. H. L., & Delsing, M. J. M. H. (2010). Continuous time modeling of panel data by means of SEM. In K. van Montfort, J. H. L. Oud, & A. Satorra (Eds.), *Longitudinal research with latent variables* (pp. 201–244). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-11760-2_7

Oud, J. H. L., & Jansen, R. A. R. G. (2000). Continuous time state space modeling of panel data by means of SEM. *Psychometrika*, *65*(2), 199–215. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02294374

Park, J. J., Chow, S.-M., Epskamp, S., & Molenaar, P. C. M. (2023). Subgrouping with chain graphical VAR models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2023.2289058

Park, J. J., Fisher, Z., Chow, S.-M., & Molenaar, P. C. M. (2023). On subgrouping continuous processes in discrete time. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *58*(1), 154–155. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2022.2160957

Park, J. J., Fisher, Z. F., Chow, S.-M., & Molenaar, P. C. M. (2023). Evaluating discrete time methods for subgrouping continuous processes. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2023.2235685

Patrick, M., Miech, R., Johnston, L., & O, P. (2023). *Monitoring the Future Panel Study annual report: National data on substance use among adults ages 19 to 60, 1976-2022*. Ann Arbor, MI, Institute for Social Research, The University of Michigan. https://doi.org/10.7826/isr-um.06.585140.002.07.0002.2023

Pawitan, Y. (2013). *In all likelihood: Statistical modelling and inference using likelihood*. Oxford University Press.

Pesigan, I. J. A. (2022). *Confidence intervals for standardized coefficients: Applied to regression coefficients in primary studies and indirect effects in meta-analytic structural equation modeling* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Macau].

Pesigan, I. J. A., & Cheung, S. F. (2020). SEM-based methods to form confidence intervals for indirect effect: Still applicable given nonnormality, under certain conditions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.571928

Pesigan, I. J. A., & Cheung, S. F. (2023). Monte Carlo confidence intervals for the indirect effect with missing data. *Behavior Research Methods*, *56*(3), 1678–1696. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-023-02114-4

Pesigan, I. J. A., Sun, R. W., & Cheung, S. F. (2023). betaDelta and betaSandwich: Confidence intervals for standardized regression coefficients in R. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *58*(6), 1183–1186. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2023.2201277

Peugh, J. L., & Enders, C. K. (2004). Missing data in educational research: A review of reporting practices and suggestions for improvement. *Review of Educational Research*, *74*(4), 525–556. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543074004525

Piasecki, T. M. (2019). Assessment of alcohol use in the natural environment. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, *43*(4), 564–577. https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.13975

Politis, D. N. (2003). The impact of bootstrap methods on time series analysis. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994977

Preacher, K. J., Curran, P. J., & Bauer, D. J. (2006). Computational tools for probing interactions in multiple linear regression, multilevel modeling, and latent curve analysis. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, *31*(4), 437–448. https://doi.org/10.3102/10769986031004437

Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects in simple mediation models. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, *36*(4), 717–731. https://doi.org/10.3758/bf03206553

Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior Research Methods*, *40*(3), 879–891. https://doi.org/10.3758/brm.40.3.879

Preacher, K. J., & Kelley, K. (2011). Effect size measures for mediation models: Quantitative strategies for communicating indirect effects. *Psychological Methods*, *16*(2), 93–115. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022658

Preacher, K. J., & Selig, J. P. (2012). Advantages of Monte Carlo confidence intervals for indirect effects. *Communication Methods and Measures*, *6*(2), 77–98. https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2012.679848

R Core Team. (2021). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/

R Core Team. (2022). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/

R Core Team. (2023). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/

R Core Team. (2024). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/

Raghunathan, T. E., Lepkowski, J. M., van Hoewyk, J., & Solenberger, P. (2001). A multivariate technique for multiply imputing missing values using a sequence of regression models. *Survey Methodology*, *27*(1), 85–95.

Rasmussen, J. L. (1987). Estimating correlation coefficients: Bootstrap and parametric approaches. *Psychological Bulletin*, *101*(1), 136–139. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.101.1.136

Raykov, T., & Marcoulides, G. A. (2004). Using the delta method for approximate interval estimation of parameter functions in SEM. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *11*(4), 621–637. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1104_7

Reichardt, C. S. (2011). Commentary: Are three waves of data sufficient for assessing mediation? *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *46*(5), 842–851. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2011.606740

Rhemtulla, M., van Bork, R., & Borsboom, D. (2020). Worse than measurement error: Consequences of inappropriate latent variable measurement models. *Psychological Methods*, *25*(1), 30–45. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000220

Robey, R. R., & Barcikowski, R. S. (1992). Type I error and the number of iterations in Monte Carlo studies of robustness. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *45*(2), 283–288. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.1992.tb00993.x

Robinson, M. E., & Riley, J. I. L. (1999). The role of emotion in pain. In R. J. Gatchel & D. C. Turk (Eds.), *Psychosocial factors in pain: Critical perspectives* (pp. 74–88). The Guilford Press.

Rogosa, D. R. (1979). Causal models in longitudinal research: Rationale, formulation, and interpretation. In J. R. Nesselroade & P. B. Baltes (Eds.), *Longitudinal methodology in the study of behavior and development*. Academic Press.

Rogosa, D. R. (1980). A critique of cross-lagged correlation. *Psychological Bulletin*, *88*(2), 245–258. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.88.2.245

Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *48*(2). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i02

Rousselet, G. A., Pernet, C. R., & Wilcox, R. R. (2021). The percentile bootstrap: A primer with step-by-step instructions in R. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, *4*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245920911881

Rubin, D. B. (1976). Inference and missing data. *Biometrika*, *63*(3), 581–592. https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/63.3.581

Rubin, D. B. (1987). *Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470316696

Russell, M. A., Linden-Carmichael, A. N., Lanza, S. T., Fair, E. V., Sher, K. J., & Piasecki, T. M. (2020). Affect relative to day-level drinking initiation: Analyzing ecological momentary assessment data with multilevel spline modeling. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, *34*(3), 434–446. https://doi.org/10.1037/adb0000550

Russell, M. A., Smyth, J. M., Turrisi, R., & Rodriguez, G. C. (2023). Baseline protective behavioral strategy use predicts more moderate transdermal alcohol concentration dynamics and fewer negative consequences of drinking in young adults' natural settings. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, *38*(3), 347–359. https://doi.org/10.1037/adb0000941

Russell, M. A., Turrisi, R. J., & Smyth, J. M. (2022). Transdermal sensor features correlate with ecological momentary assessment drinking reports and predict alcohol-related consequences in young adults' natural settings. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, *46*(1), 100–113. https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.14739

Ryan, O., & Hamaker, E. L. (2021). Time to intervene: A continuous-time approach to network analysis and centrality. *Psychometrika*, *87*(1), 214–252. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-021-09767-0

Ryan, O., Kuiper, R. M., & Hamaker, E. L. (2018). A continuous-time approach to intensive longitudinal data: What, why, and how? In *Continuous time modeling in the behavioral and related sciences* (pp. 27–54). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77219-6_2

Sacks, J. J., Gonzales, K. R., Bouchery, E. E., Tomedi, L. E., & Brewer, R. D. (2015). 2010 national and state costs of excessive alcohol consumption. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *49*(5), e73–e79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.05.031

SAMHSA. (2020). *Key substance use and mental health indicators in the United States: Results from the 2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (HHS Publication No. PEP20-07-01-001, NSDUH Series H-55)*. Rockville, MD, Center for Behavioral Health Statistics; Quality, Substance Abuse; Mental Health Services Administration. https://www.samhsa.gov/data/

SAMHSA. (2023). *Key substance use and mental health indicators in the United States: Results from the 2022 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (HHS Publication No. PEP23-07-01-006, NSDUH Series H-58)*. Rockville, MD, Center for Behavioral Health Statistics; Quality, Substance Abuse; Mental Health Services Administration. https://www.samhsa.gov/data/report/2022-nsduh-annual-national-report

Savalei, V., & Rosseel, Y. (2021). Computational options for standard errors and test statistics with incomplete normal and nonnormal data in SEM. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *29*(2), 163–181. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2021.1877548

Schafer, J. L. (1997). *Analysis of incomplete multivariate data*. Chapman; Hall/CRC. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780367803025

Schafer, J. L., & Graham, J. W. (2002). Missing data: Our view of the state of the art. *Psychological Methods*, *7*(2), 147–177. https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989x.7.2.147

Schenker, N. (1987). Better bootstrap confidence intervals: Comment. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *82*(397), 192. https://doi.org/10.2307/2289150

Schermerhorn, A. C., Chow, S.-M., & Cummings, E. M. (2010). Developmental family processes and interparental conflict: Patterns of microlevel influences. *Developmental Psychology*, *46*(4), 869–885. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019662

Schouten, R. M., Lugtig, P., & Vink, G. (2018). Generating missing values for simulation purposes: A multivariate amputation procedure. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, *88*(15), 2909–2930. https://doi.org/10.1080/00949655.2018.1491577

Schulenberg, J. E., Patrick, M. E., Johnston, L. D., O'Malley, P. M., Bachman, J. G., & Miech, R. A. (2021). *Monitoring the Future national survey results on drug use, 1975-2020: Volume ii, college students and adults ages 19–60*. Ann Arbor, MI, Institute for Social Research, The University of Michigan.

Schultzberg, M., & Muthén, B. (2017). Number of subjects and time points needed for multilevel time-series analysis: A simulation study of dynamic structural equation modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *25*(4), 495–515. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1392862

Schuurman, N. K., & Hamaker, E. L. (2019). Measurement error and person-specific reliability in multilevel autoregressive modeling. *Psychological Methods*, *24*(1), 70–91. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000188

Schuurman, N. K., Houtveen, J. H., & Hamaker, E. L. (2015). Incorporating measurement error in n = 1 psychological autoregressive modeling. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01038

Selig, J. P., & Preacher, K. J. (2009). Mediation models for longitudinal data in developmental research. *Research in Human Development*, *6*(2-3), 144–164. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427600902911247

Serlin, R. C. (2000). Testing for robustness in Monte Carlo studies. *Psychological Methods*, *5*(2), 230–240. https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989x.5.2.230

Serlin, R. C., & Lapsley, D. K. (1985). Rationality in psychological research: The good-enough principle. *American Psychologist*, *40*(1), 73–83. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.40.1.73

Shao, J. (2003). Impact of the bootstrap on sample surveys. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994974

Shapiro, A., & Browne, M. (1990). On the treatment of correlation structures as covariance structures. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, *127*, 567–587. https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(90)90362-g

Shaygan, M., & Karami, Z. (2020). Chronic pain in adolescents: Predicting role of emotional intelligence, self-esteem and parenting style. *International Journal of Community Based Nursing & Midwifery*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.30476/ijcbnm.2020.83153.1129

Shiffman, S. (2009). Ecological momentary assessment (EMA) in studies of substance use. *Psychological Assessment*, *21*(4), 486–497. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017074

Shiffman, S., Stone, A. A., & Hufford, M. R. (2008). Ecological momentary assessment. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, *4*(1), 1–32. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.3.022806.091415

Shrout, P. E. (2011). Commentary: Mediation analysis, causal process, and cross-sectional data. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *46*(5), 852–860. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2011.606718

Shrout, P. E., & Bolger, N. (2002). Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental studies: New procedures and recommendations. *Psychological Methods*, *7*(4), 422–445. https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989x.7.4.422

Shumway, R. H., & Stoffer, D. S. (2017). *Time series analysis and its applications: With R examples*. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-52452-8

Singer, H. (2012). SEM modeling with singular moment matrices part II: ML-estimation of sampled stochastic differential equations. *The Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, *36*(1), 22–43. https://doi.org/10.1080/0022250x.2010.532259

Smith, K. E., & Juarascio, A. (2019). From ecological momentary assessment (EMA) to ecological momentary intervention (EMI): Past and future directions for ambulatory assessment and interventions in eating disorders. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, *21*(7). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-019-1046-8

Sobel, M. E. (1982). Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models. *Sociological Methodology*, *13*, 290. https://doi.org/10.2307/270723

Sobel, M. E. (1986). Some new results on indirect effects and their standard errors in covariance structure models. *Sociological Methodology*, *16*, 159. https://doi.org/10.2307/270922

Sobel, M. E. (1987). Direct and indirect effects in linear structural equation models. *Sociological Methods & Research*, *16*(1), 155–176. https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124187016001006

Soltis, P. S., & Soltis, D. E. (2003). Applying the bootstrap in phylogeny reconstruction. *Statistical Science*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1063994980

Staudenmayer, J., & Buonaccorsi, J. P. (2005). Measurement error in linear autoregressive models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *100*(471), 841–852. https://doi.org/10.1198/016214504000001871

Stoffer, D. S., & Wall, K. D. (1991). Bootstrapping state-space models: Gaussian maximum likelihood estimation and the Kalman filter. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *86*(416), 1024–1033. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1991.10475148

Swift, R. (2000). Transdermal alcohol measurement for estimation of blood alcohol concentration. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, *24*(4), 422–423. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2000.tb02006.x

Taylor, A. B., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2012). Four applications of permutation methods to testing a single-mediator model. *Behavior Research Methods*, *44*(3), 806–844. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-011-0181-x

Taylor, A. B., MacKinnon, D. P., & Tein, J.-Y. (2007). Tests of the three-path mediated effect. *Organizational Research Methods*, *11*(2), 241–269. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428107300344

Tibshirani, R. (1996). Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, *58*(1), 267–288. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1996.tb02080.x

Tibshirani, R. (2011). Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso: A retrospective. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, *73*(3), 273–282. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2011.00771.x

Tofighi, D., & Kelley, K. (2019). Indirect effects in sequential mediation models: Evaluating methods for hypothesis testing and confidence interval formation. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *55*(2), 188–210. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2019.1618545

Tofighi, D., & Kelley, K. (2020). Improved inference in mediation analysis: Introducing the model-based constrained optimization procedure. *Psychological Methods*, *25*, 496–515. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000259

Tofighi, D., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2015). Monte Carlo confidence intervals for complex functions of indirect effects. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *23*(2), 194–205. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2015.1057284

Turk, D. C., & Monarch, E. S. (2018). Biopsychosocial perspective on chronic pain. In D. C. Turk & R. J. Gatchel (Eds.), *Psychological approaches to pain management: A practitioner's handbook* (3rd ed.). The Guilford Press.

Uhlenbeck, G. E., & Ornstein, L. S. (1930). On the theory of the brownian motion. *Physical Review*, *36*(5), 823–841. https://doi.org/10.1103/physrev.36.823

Usami, S. (2020). On the differences between general cross-lagged panel model and random-intercept cross-lagged panel model: Interpretation of cross-lagged parameters and model choice. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *28*(3), 331–344. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2020.1821690

Usami, S., Murayama, K., & Hamaker, E. L. (2019). A unified framework of longitudinal models to examine reciprocal relations. *Psychological Methods*, *24*(5), 637–657. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000210

van Buuren, S. (2018). *Flexible imputation of missing data* (2nd ed.). Chapman; Hall/CRC. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429492259

van Buuren, S., Brand, J. P. L., Groothuis-Oudshoorn, C. G. M., & Rubin, D. B. (2006). Fully conditional specification in multivariate imputation. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, *76*(12), 1049–1064. https://doi.org/10.1080/10629360600810434

van Buuren, S., & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K. (2011). mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *45*(3). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v045.i03

van Egmond, K., Wright, C. J. C., Livingston, M., & Kuntsche, E. (2020). Wearable transdermal alcohol monitors: A systematic review of detection validity, and relationship between transdermal and breath alcohol concentration and influencing factors. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, *44*(10), 1918–1932. https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.14432

van Montfort, K., Oud, J. H. L., & Satorra, A. (Eds.). (2010). *Longitudinal research with latent variables*. Springer.

van Montfort, K., Oud, J. H. L., & Voelkle, M. C. (Eds.). (2018). *Continuous time modeling in the behavioral and related sciences*. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77219-6

Vanhasbroeck, N., Ariens, S., Tuerlinckx, F., & Loossens, T. (2021). Computational models for affect dynamics. In *Affect dynamics* (pp. 213–260). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-82965-0_10

Venables, W. N., & Ripley, B. D. (2002). *Modern applied statistics with S*. Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-21706-2

Venzon, D. J., & Moolgavkar, S. H. (1988). A method for computing profile-likelihood-based confidence intervals. *Applied Statistics*, *37*(1), 87. https://doi.org/10.2307/2347496

Ver Hoef, J. M. (2012). Who invented the delta method? *The American Statistician*, *66*(2), 124–127. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2012.687494

Voelkle, M. C., & Oud, J. H. L. (2012). Continuous time modelling with individually varying time intervals for oscillating and non-oscillating processes. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *66*(1), 103–126. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.2012.02043.x

Voelkle, M. C., Oud, J. H. L., Davidov, E., & Schmidt, P. (2012). An SEM approach to continuous time modeling of panel data: Relating authoritarianism and anomia. *Psychological Methods*, *17*(2), 176–192. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027543

Von Korff, M., & Simon, G. (1996). The relationship between pain and depression. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *168*(S30), 101–108. https://doi.org/10.1192/s0007125000298474

Vuorre, M., & Bolger, N. (2017). Within-subject mediation analysis for experimental data in cognitive psychology and neuroscience. *Behavior Research Methods*, *50*(5), 2125–2143. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0980-9

Waller, N. G. (2022). *fungible: Psychometric functions from the Waller Lab*. The R Foundation. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=fungible

Wang, L., & Zhang, Q. (2020). Investigating the impact of the time interval selection on autoregressive mediation modeling: Result interpretations, effect reporting, and temporal designs. *Psychological Methods*, *25*(3), 271–291. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000235

White, H. (1980). A heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroskedasticity. *Econometrica*, *48*(4), 817–838. https://doi.org/10.2307/1912934

Wichers, M., Groot, P. C., Psychosystems, ESM Group, & EWS Group. (2016). Critical slowing down as a personalized early warning signal for depression. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, *85*(2), 114–116. https://doi.org/10.1159/000441458

Wills, T. A., & Filer, M. (1996). Stress-coping model of adolescent substance use. In *Advances in clinical child psychology* (pp. 91–132). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-0323-7_3

Wright, S. (1918). On the nature of size factors. *Genetics*, *3*(4), 367–374. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/3.4.367

Wright, S. (1920). The relative importance of heredity and environment in determining the piebald pattern of guinea-pigs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *6*(6), 320–332. http://www.jstor.org/stable/84353

Wright, S. (1934). The method of path coefficients. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, *5*(3), 161–215. https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177732676

Wu, W., & Jia, F. (2013). A new procedure to test mediation with missing data through nonparametric bootstrapping and multiple imputation. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *48*(5), 663–691. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2013.816235

Yuan, K.-H., & Bentler, P. M. (2000). Three likelihood-based methods for mean and covariance structure analysis with nonnormal missing data. *Sociological Methodology*, *30*(1), 165–200. https://doi.org/10.1111/0081-1750.00078

Yuan, K.-H., & Chan, W. (2011). Biases and standard errors of standardized regression coefficients. *Psychometrika*, *76*(4), 670–690. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-011-9224-6

Yuan, Y., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2009). Bayesian mediation analysis. *Psychological Methods*, *14*(4), 301–322. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016972

Yzerbyt, V., Muller, D., Batailler, C., & Judd, C. M. (2018). New recommendations for testing indirect effects in mediational models: The need to report and test component paths. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *115*(6), 929–943. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000132

Zeileis, A. (2004). Econometric computing with HC and HAC covariance matrix estimators. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *11*(10). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v011.i10

Zeileis, A. (2006). Object-oriented computation of sandwich estimators. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *16*(9). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v016.i09

Zeileis, A., Köll, S., & Graham, N. (2020). Various versatile variances: An object-oriented implementation of clustered covariances in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *95*(1). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v095.i01

Zhang, Z., & Wang, L. (2012). Methods for mediation analysis with missing data. *Psychometrika*, *78*(1), 154–184. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-012-9301-5

Zhang, Z., Wang, L., & Tong, X. (2015). Mediation analysis with missing data through multiple imputation and bootstrap. In *Quantitative psychology research* (pp. 341–355). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19977-1_24

Zyphur, M. J., Allison, P. D., Tay, L., Voelkle, M. C., Preacher, K. J., Zhang, Z., Hamaker, E. L., Shamsollahi, A., Pierides, D. C., Koval, P., & Diener, E. (2019). From data to causes I:

Building a general cross-lagged panel model (GCLM). *Organizational Research Methods*, *23*(4), 651–687. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428119847278

Zyphur, M. J., Voelkle, M. C., Tay, L., Allison, P. D., Preacher, K. J., Zhang, Z., Hamaker, E. L., Shamsollahi, A., Pierides, D. C., Koval, P., & Diener, E. (2019). From data to causes II: Comparing approaches to panel data analysis. *Organizational Research Methods*, *23*(4), 688–716. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428119847280