


CS3485

Deep Learning for Computer Vision



Lec 3: The Multilayer Perceptron and Intro to Deep Learning

Announcements

- Lab 1:
 - Due on Friday!
 - Let me know if you haven't found any partner yet.
- Quiz 1:
 - This Thursday, make sure to check our [schedule](#) for next quizzes!
- I will (try to) upload slides a day before each lecture.


Announcements

- A mind boggling algorithm:




(Tentative) Lecture Roadmap

Basics of Deep Learning



Deep Learning and Computer Vision in Practice




Limitations of the Perceptron Model

- Last time we saw that the Perceptron Model is useful to **model linear classifiers** and the Perceptron Algorithm is effective at **learning the parameters** of the model.
- They, however, have two main limitations in terms of its applicability to most realistic datasets (like MNIST, on the right):
 - a. The model can only perform **binary classification**, i.e., handle datasets with two classes,
 - b. The algorithm expects the data to be **linearly separable**.
- Today we'll improve the Perceptron Model, so it can tackle both of the above issues.
- This new model, **the Multilayer Perceptron**, will form the basis for Deep Learning algorithms.

MNIST Dataset

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9


MNIST Dataset Projected in 2D



Multiclass Problems

- For the purpose of this course, assume that the Perceptron model our best solution* for **binary** classification problems.
- Now consider the problem of **classifying the points on the right into 3 classes**: squares, triangles and circles?
- How can we use only the Perceptron to do it?


* In fact, there are many better algorithms for binary classification using linear classifiers than the perceptron, such as Support Vector Machines.




Multiclass Problems

- We can use three classifiers!


1. Is this a square?



2. Is this a triangle?




3. Is this a circle?




Multiclass Problems

- We can use three classifiers!


1. Is this a square?



2. Is this a triangle?




3. Is this a circle?




Multiclass perceptron

- We have a perceptron (or **neuron/unit**) for each class, so we can represent them as:




Multiclass perceptron

- Now each weight set of each neuron will be an entry of a $3 \times (D+1)$ **matrix of weights** W .




Multiclass perceptron

- Now each weight set of each neuron will be an entry of a $3 \times (D+1)$ **matrix of weights** W .




Multiclass perceptron

- Assuming we trained the three perceptrons, we can do a forward pass on them:




Multiclass perceptron

- Assuming we trained the three perceptrons, we can do a forward pass on them:




Multiclass perceptron

- Assuming we trained the three perceptrons, we can do a forward pass on them:




Multiclass perceptron

- What do we do when there is ambiguity?




Multiclass perceptron

- What do we do when there is ambiguity?



Multiclass perceptron

- Via pre-activations (also called **logits**), we can check the class that the point “prefers” the most.



The Softmax Function


- Using **pre-activations** seems like a great way to avoid ambiguities, but the way they are set up is not ideal to compare them to the real classes (*as we'll see later*).
- A better approach would be to transform them into a **probability distribution** (i.e. make them all positive and summing to 1).
- Call the pre-activations $\mathbf{z} = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_K]$. One way to turn \mathbf{z} into a distribution is via the **softmax** function:

$$\text{softmax}(z_i) = \frac{\exp(z_i)}{\sum_{c=1}^K \exp(z_c)}$$

- The `exp()` function turns the z 's into positive numbers.
- The normalization makes the resulting numbers sum to 1.
- Example: $\mathbf{z} = [-3, 3, 1] \rightarrow \text{softmax}(\mathbf{z}) = [0.002, 0.878, 0.118]$
- In practice, we **replace the perceptrons' activation functions** by a softmax operation.

Multiclass perceptron with softmax

- With softmax the softmax operation, we have now the following model:



One-hot Encoding

- If the input of the model is called x^* its output can be computed using the **multiclass perceptron formula**:

$$\hat{y} = \text{softmax}(Wx)$$

- But how good is the output of our model (say, $\hat{y} = [0.22, 87.89, 11.89]$) for a point x in relation to the true label of that point y (which can be “circle”)?
- In Neural Networks, we use a **one-hot encoding** of the true labels, so we can compare them to our predictions.

* We'll keep the strategy of appending a 1 to the beginning of each input vector x .

- If we have K classes, the one-hot encoding of the labels will be vectors of K dimensions, **mostly made of zeros except at the dimension corresponding to the label value, where it is one**.
- *Example:* in our dataset, we may have

$$y_1 = \text{“Triangle”} \rightarrow y_1 = [1, 0, 0]$$

$$y_2 = \text{“Square”} \rightarrow y_2 = [0, 0, 1]$$

$$y_3 = \text{“Circle”} \rightarrow y_3 = [0, 1, 0]$$


...

$$y_n = \text{“Square”} \rightarrow y_n = [0, 0, 1]$$

- These encodings are also distributions (made of positive numbers that sum to 1)!


Multiclass perceptron with softmax

- Say the third point's true label is “circle”, which is encoded as $[0, 1, 0]$:



Multiclass perceptron with softmax

- We can now compare our prediction to the true label using a **loss function**.



Loss functions

- We need a set of weights that achieves the **best classification performance**.
- To that end, we can then use **loss (or cost) functions** $l(\hat{y}, y)$, that compares how similar our prediction \hat{y} is to the true one-hot encoded label y .
- One of the most used loss functions* for multiclass problems is the **cross-entropy loss**:

$$l(\hat{y}, y) = -y^\top \log(\hat{y}) = -\sum_{j=1}^K y_j \log(\hat{y}_j)$$

- If we have a labeled dataset of size n , we can consider the **average loss** $L(\theta)$ on it:


$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$$

where θ represents all the parameters (weights, in the case of the perceptron or in Deep Learning generally speaking) used to compute \hat{y} from x (*more on it next time*).

* There are many other losses used in Deep learning, and we'll see them as we go.

Multiclass perceptron with softmax

- We use the loss value to update the weights and improve the classifier (*more next time*).



Exercise (*In pairs*)


- How many weights are there to learn if we have input data in D dimensions and K classes in the output of our multiclass perceptron?
- Compute the cross-entropy loss for the following pairs true label/prediction (assume you only have these three data points in your dataset):
 - True labels:
$$y^{(1)} = [1, 0, 0], y^{(2)} = [0, 1, 0], y^{(3)} = [0, 0, 1]$$
 - Predictions:
$$\hat{y}^{(1)} = [1, 0, 0], \hat{y}^{(2)} = [0.1, 0.8, 0.1], \hat{y}^{(3)} = \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right]$$
- Repeat the above exercise using the **Squared Error** loss*:

$$l(\hat{y}, y) = \|y - \hat{y}\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^K (y_j - \hat{y}_j)^2$$

*The dataset's Average Loss $L(\theta)$ when using the squared error loss is the famous **Mean Squared Error (MSE) loss**.


Learning Representations

- Multiclass Perceptrons are still not performant when the classes are non-linearly separable (as on the right).
- Traditionally, this problem would be solved by **handcrafting a feature transformation** that would make the data separable:




- Example:* a feature that easily separates cats and dogs is the “ear pointiness”. We could **represent** cats and dogs by that.
- In Deep Learning, we aim at **learning these representations** from a labeled dataset.
- To achieve that goal, we have to “evolve” our perceptrons.

Linearly Separable




Non-Linearly Separable




Perceptron Evolution

- The first perceptron had **1** neuron and only handled binary linearly separable problems.




Perceptron Evolution

- Stacking up some neurons, we were able to “solve” multiclass problems.




Perceptron Evolution

- We could, however, consider the outputs of the neurons as a “transformed” input ...




Perceptron Evolution

- ... and then pass this new data into new sums, like what we did to x , ...




Perceptron Evolution

- ... and only then make the results of the sums go through the softmax function.



Perceptron Evolution


- We don't need to have only 3 neurons in the middle, and we can also add a bias term.



Here, the input x is “transformed into” a better representation for our classification problem


Perceptron Evolution

- This same idea can be expanded for the cases when we have any number of classes.




Perceptron Evolution

- Nobody will stop us from adding another hidden layer.




Perceptron Evolution

- Or in fact, as many hidden layers as we need.



Multilayer Perceptron

- *Et voila!* Our last perceptron “stage”, the **multilayer perceptron (MLP)**!



Mathematically Speaking...

- The previous illustrations are useful for intuition, but we need to **describe the MLP mathematically**, so we can find the best weights for them (*more on that next time*).
- Let's first recall the simple multiclass perceptron. Calling its weight matrix W_0 , we have:

$$\hat{y} = \text{softmax}(W_0x)$$

- When we added the first hidden layer, we changed the above formula to:

$$\hat{y} = \text{softmax}(W_1a(W_0x))$$


where a is the activation function applied to each of the outputs of the previous layer, i.e., if $W_0x = [10, 20, 5]$, $a(W_0x) = [a(10), a(20), a(5)]$.

- With L hidden layers now, we have the following expression MLP's output:

$$\hat{y} = \text{softmax}(W_L a(W_{L-1} \cdots a(W_0x) \cdots))$$

Other Activation Functions

- The activation function we've used is the $\text{sign}(x)$ function:




- It is, however, not great for backpropagation, as we shall see in next class, and it does not perform well in practice.

- Two more suitable activations are the sigmoid and the hyperbolic tangent (\tanh), as they are **smooth** versions of the the sign function:


Sigmoid

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$



tanh


$$\tanh(x)$$



- The most widely used activation is the Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU):

ReLU

$$\max(0, x)$$



which, despite not smooth, works well in practice.

Hands-on Neural Networks

- How good is this new network to solve non-linearly separable classification problems?
- We'll see this in practice using a function from **Python's sklearn library!**
- First, we create* our dataset, called "blobs":

```
from sklearn.datasets import make_blobs
X, y = make_blobs(n_samples=400, centers=4,
                    cluster_std=2, random_state=10)
```

- Then, we split* our dataset in training and test using the function "train_test_split" from `sklearn`:

```
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y,
                                                    test_size=0.4, random_state=2)
```


* In both functions we set the random states to those values, so you can reproduce the exact same results as in here.

Hands-on Neural Networks

- As it is usually a good practice, we then visualize the training data:

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt  
plt.scatter(X_train[:, 0],  
            X_train[:, 1],  
            c=y_train)  
plt.title("Training Data")  
plt.show()
```

- Notice that, despite the classes being somewhat well defined, this is **not** a linearly separable dataset.
- Therefore, we should use a multilayer perceptron here.



Hands-on Neural Networks

- Now we can train a Multilayer Perceptron Classifier using `MLPClassifier`:

```
from sklearn.neural_network import MLPClassifier
clf = MLPClassifier(hidden_layer_sizes=5, random_state=10)
clf.fit(X_train, y_train) # This command trains the MLP on the training data
```

- In this example, we only have **one hidden layer with 5 neurons***.

```
np.set_printoptions(suppress=True,
                    precision=2)
print(clf.predict_proba(X_test[0:3, :]))
print(clf.predict(X_test[0:3, :]))
print(y_test[0:3])
print(clf.score(X_test, y_test))
```

```
[ [0.84 0.04 0.13 0.   ]
  [0.07 0.26 0.03 0.64]
  [0.22 0.22 0.26 0.29] ]
[0 3 3]
[0 3 1]
0.7416666666666667
```

- Not bad, as a random classification would have around $1/4 = 25\%$ accuracy.

* Here are the functions we are using: `predict_proba` gives the softmax response to each dataset, `predict` gives the predicted class for the listed points accord to `predict_proba`, and `score` outputs the overall accuracy of the classifier.

Hands-on Neural Networks

- Let's see if we can improve this result by changing the number of neurons:

```
from sklearn.neural_network import MLPClassifier  
clf = MLPClassifier(hidden_layer_sizes=10, random_state=10)  
clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

- Now we have **one hidden layer with 10 neurons**. Let's see how it performs:

```
np.set_printoptions(suppress=True,  
                    precision=2)  
print(clf.predict_proba(X_test[0:3, :]))  
print(clf.predict(X_test[0:3, :]))  
print(y_test[0:3])  
print(clf.score(X_test, y_test))
```

```
[ [0.97 0.    0.03 0.   ]  
 [0.    0.01 0.    0.99]  
 [0.02 0.97 0.01 0.   ] ]  
[0 3 1]  
[0 3 1]  
0.9416666666666667
```

- Much better! Notice how the predictions' probabilities are more “certain” of what class these points should belong to!

Hands-on Neural Networks

- Now, let's more layers, instead of just more neurons in one layer:

```
from sklearn.neural_network import MLPClassifier
clf = MLPClassifier(hidden_layer_sizes=(20, 10), random_state=10)
clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

- Now we have **two hidden layers with 20 and 10 neurons**, resp. So, it performs like:

```
np.set_printoptions(suppress=True,
                    precision=2)
print(clf.predict_proba(X_test[0:3, :]))
print(clf.predict(X_test[0:3, :]))
print(y_test[0:3])
print(clf.score(X_test, y_test))
```

```
[ [1.  0.  0.  0.  ]
  [0.  0.01 0.01 0.99]
  [0.  0.99 0.  0.  ] ]
[0 3 1]
[0 3 1]
0.9833333333333333
```

- Almost perfect! And the softmax probabilities are almost sure about their predictions!
- But, how long did “`fit`” take to run?

Hands-on Neural Networks


- We can also visualize the decision boundaries using the function:

```
def plot_decision_boundaries(X, y, model_class, **model_params):  
    model = model_class(**model_params, random_state=10)  
    model.fit(X, y)  
  
    x_min, x_max = X[:, 0].min() - 1, X[:, 0].max() + 1  
    y_min, y_max = X[:, 1].min() - 1, X[:, 1].max() + 1  
  
    h = .01 * np.mean([x_max - x_min, y_max - y_min])  
    xx, yy = np.meshgrid(np.arange(x_min, x_max, h), np.arange(y_min, y_max, h))  
    Z = model.predict(np.c_[xx.ravel(), yy.ravel()]).reshape(xx.shape)  
  
    plt.contourf(xx, yy, Z, alpha=0.3)  
    plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=y, alpha=0.8)  
    plt.show()
```

Hands-on Neural Networks

- Now we can visualize the training data with the decision boundary:

```
plot_decision_boundaries(X_train, y_train, MLPClassifier,  
                         hidden_layer_sizes=(20, 10))
```



Exercise (*Homework*)

- How many weights we need to learn if our MLP has **3** layers with **10**, **5** and **20** neurons, respectively, and we have points of dimension **100**, belonging to **3** different classes?
- Consider an MLP with input dimension **2**, one hidden layer of **3** neurons with ReLU activation, and output dimension **1**. The weights/biases are (remember to convert it to the MLP schematic we saw in class):

$$W_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W_2 = [2 \quad -1 \quad 1], \quad b_2 = 0.$$

What is the output of this MLP for an input $x = [1, 2]$?