#### Schur decomposition

matrix A can be expressed as similar transform of matrix U:

$$A = QUQ^{-1}$$

where U is upper triangular and is called the Schur form of A. Q is unitary, and U has all zeros below the diagonal, which means the eigenvalues of A will be on the diagonal of U. Find Schur decomp = expose eigenvalues.

#### Real Schur Decomposition

If A has real eigenvalues it is a pure schur form as above. [2x2]-block schur form for complex (conjugate) eigenvalues. [2x2] block i on the diagonal has eigenvalues  $\lambda_{i,1}$  and  $\lambda_{i,2} = \lambda_{i,1}^*$ , where  $\lambda_*$  is eigenvalues of the original matrix A.

### Real analog of power method (7.3.1)

(7.4.1) is a real analog to the power method of finding eigenvalues. At a stage  $(H_k = R_k U_k)$ , the machinery breaks down for real matrices having complex eigenvalues (no schur form can be achieved). However, calculating this term in a different way can yield a real Schur decomposition (eigenvalues come in complex conjugate pairs) and save the day.

### Hessenberg QR step

The term  $H_k = R_k U_k$  from the first section can be calculated efficiently if we initialize the calculation with a matrix  $(H_0)$  on reduced hessenberg form (order of magnitude O(2) instead of O(3)). if  $H_0$  is on reduced hessenberg form, it will stay that way if we calculate  $H_k = R_k U_k$  by a **Hessenberg QR step.** 

### The Hessenberg reduction

It reads

$$U_0^T A U_0 = H$$

everything below subdiagonal is zero in H.  $U_0$  is a product of matrices  $P_k$  who's purpose is to zero the k'th column below the subdiagonal.

Code for solving this:

```
#include <armadillo>
#include <iostream>
#include <math.h>

using namespace std;
using namespace arma;
```

```
void house(double & beta, colvec & v, colvec & x) {
    double sigma, mu;
    int n = x.n_rows;
    colvec xspan = x(span(1, n - 1));
    sigma = dot(xspan, xspan);
    v = zeros<colvec > (n);
    v(span(1, n - 1)) = xspan;
    //zero if sigma is zero
    if (sigma == 0) {
        beta = 0;
    } else {
        mu = sqrt(x(0) * x(0) + sigma);
        if (x(0) \le 0) {
            v(0) = x(0) - mu;
        } else {
            v(0) = -sigma / (x(0) + mu);
        beta = 2 / (sigma / (v(0) * v(0)) + 1);
        v /= v(0);
    }
}
void houseRedHessenberg(mat & A) {
    double beta;
    colvec v, x;
    mat ImBvvT;
    int n = A.n_cols;
    mat I = eye < mat > (n - 1, n - 1);
    for (int k = 0; k < n - 2; k++) {
        x = A(span(k + 1, n-1), k);
        house(beta, v, x);
        A(span(k + 1, n - 1), k) = x;
        ImBvvT = I - beta * v * strans(v);
        A(\text{span}(k + 1, n - 1), \text{span}(k, n - 1)) = \text{ImBvvT} * A(\text{span}(k + 1, n - 1), \text{span}(k, n - 1))
        A(span(), span(k + 1, n - 1)) = A(span(), span(k + 1, n - 1)) * ImBvvT;
    }
}
int main() {
```

```
mat A;
    A << 1 << 5 << 7 << endr
             << 3 << 0 << 6 << endr
             << 4 << 3 << 1 << endr;
    cout << A << endl;</pre>
    houseRedHessenberg(A);
    cout << A << endl;</pre>
    return 0;
}
   Runtime:
    1.0000
              5.0000
                       7.0000
   3.0000
                       6.0000
   4.0000
             3.0000
                       1.0000
   1.0000
             8.6000
                     -0.2000
   5.0000
             4.9600
                     -0.7200
             2.2800
                     -3.9600
        0
```

which is the example on page 345. Flops:  $10n^3/3$ .

# Hessenberg matrix properties

Hessenberg decomposition is not unique. However, if there are no subdiagonal zero elements it is unique. Then it is called *unreduced*.

### Companion matrix form

Non unitary analog of hessenberg decomposition. Using Krylov matrices K  $(K^{-1} \neq K^H)$ , we can express the companion matrix C as

$$det(I\lambda - K^{-1}AK) = det(I\lambda - C) = c_0 + c_1\lambda_A + ... + c_{n-1}\lambda_A^{n-1} + \lambda^n$$

which is the characteristic polynomial of A, which can be solved for the eigenvalues  $\lambda$ .

# Hessenberg reduction via Gauss Transform

Uses only half the flops contra Householder. Chance that it uses more since it, like Gaussian elimination's partial pivoting, has a chance of  $2^n growth$ .

Eigenvalue condition numbers  $s(\lambda)^{-1}$  are not preserved, which gives a complicated error estimation process. This is the case of non-orthogonal similarities. (Flipping rows, multiplying rows etc. changes the signs and factors of the eigenvalues, whilst orthogonal similarities preserve everything).