04. Randomization 1/19

# 04. Randomization CPSC 535 $\sim$ Fall 2019

Kevin A. Wortman



September 16, 2019



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.



04. Randomization 2/19

#### Randomization

Big idea: a randomized algorithm deliberately makes random choices

- con: behavior and/or performance becomes stochastic
- pro: other aspects can get better (speed, simplicity)
- often algorithm gets faster/simpler but analysis gets harder (recall this is a win)

E.g. quicksort, recall

- every sorting algorithm takes  $\Omega(n \log n)$  time
- ▶ merge sort takes  $\Theta(n \log n)$  worst-case time but  $\Theta(n)$  temporary space
- quicksort is randomized, takes  $\Theta(n \log n)$  expected time but only  $\Theta(\log n)$  space (in-place), better constant factors

04. Randomization 3/19

### Kinds of Time Bounds

#### Suppose algorithm *A* takes...

- $\Theta(n)$  deterministic worst-case time: for *every* input, A takes  $\Theta(n)$  time
- $\Theta(n)$  average time: the mean time, averaging over every possible input, is  $\Theta(n)$ 
  - only relevant when each input is equally likely
  - not true for e.g. sorting, maximum subarray
  - in principle we could take a weighted average, but we'd need to know the probability distribution of inputs, unlikely
- $\Theta(n)$  expected time: the mean time, averaging over every sequence of random choices A could make, is  $\Theta(n)$ 
  - no assumption about input; still assume worst case
- ▶ by default, " $\Theta(n)$  time" means  $\Theta(n)$  deterministic worst-case time

04. Randomization 4/1

# Deterministic versus Randomized Algorithms

If alg A is **deterministic**: its deterministic worst-case time and expected time bound are always the same

- $\blacktriangleright$  technically, we can say linear search takes " $\Theta(n)$  expected time"
- but this is kind of misleading/distracting

A is randomized: usually expected-case is faster than worst-case

- (because expected-case is an average, worst-case is a maximum)
- ▶ hash table insert:  $\Theta(1)$  expected time,  $\Theta(n)$  worst-case time
- ▶ treap insert:  $\Theta(\log n)$  expected time,  $\Theta(n)$  worst-case time
- ▶ quicksort:  $\Theta(n \log n)$  expected time,  $\Theta(n^2)$  worst-case time

04. Randomization 5/19

# Multiplying Randomized Bounds

- Multiplying works normally
- ▶ If running A once takes O(E) expected time and O(W) worst-case time...
- ▶ ...then running A(k) times takes O(kE) expected time and O(kW) worst-case time.
- So
  - $\blacktriangleright$  k hash table inserts takes O(k) expected time and O(kn) worst-case time
  - ▶ n hash table inserts takes O(n) expected time and  $O(n^2)$  worst-case time
  - ▶ *n* treap inserts takes  $O(n \log n)$  expected time and  $O(n^2)$  worst-case time

# Adding Randomized Bounds

## adding works normally with two caveats

- 1. the expected qualifier is "sticky"
  - O(D) worst-case time + O(E) expected time  $= O(\max\{D, E\})$  expected-time
  - insert n elements into hash table, then loop through hash table = O(n) expected + O(n) worst-case = O(n) expected
- however, you have the option of using a randomized alg's worst-case bound
  - insert n elements into hash table, then sort elements with insertion sort = O(n) expected  $+ O(n^2)$  worst-case  $= O(n^2)$  expected time
  - but we could also use hash tables' worst-case bound and say  $= O(n^2)$  worst-case  $+ O(n^2)$  worst-case  $= O(n^2)$  worst-case

#### Ordinarily

- ightharpoonup O(T) worst-case time is better than O(T) expected time
- faster expected-time is better than slower worst-case time

04. Randomization 7 / 19

## Maximum

```
    function MAXIMUM(A)
    best = NIL
    for x in A do
    if best is still NIL or x > best then
    best = x
    end if
    end for
    return best
    end function
```

- (CLRS calls this hiring, but it generalizes to any kind of find-the-best process.)
- Suppose the "best = x" step is expensive (e.g. moving your house).
- ▶ Q: how many times is best reassigned in the best case?
- Q: what about the worst case?

04. Randomization 8 / 19

# Maximum (continued)

```
    function MAXIMUM(A)
    best = NIL
    for x in A do
    if best is still NIL or x > best then
    best = x
    end if
    end for
    return best
    end function
```

A best-case: A in decreasing order; reassigned only once

A worst-case: A in increasing order; reassigned n times

04. Randomization 9 / 19

#### Randomized Maximum

```
1: function RANDOMIZED-SMAXIMUM(A)
2:
      permute A randomly
                                                                ▷ only change
3:
      best = NIL
4.
     for x in A do
5:
         if best is still NIL or x > best then
6:
            best = x
         end if
7.
8:
      end for
g.
      return best
10: end function
 best-case: luckily visit maximum first, only one reassign
 worst-case: unluckily visit in increasing order, reassign n times
 (same)
```

but what about the expected number of reassigns?

# Randomized Maximum Analysis

Define

 $X_i = \{1 \text{ if best is reassigned in iteration } i, 0 \text{ otherwise} \}.$ 

Observe

 $X_i=1$  when the ith element is the maximum so far and since A is permuted randomly,

$$Pr\{X_i = 1\} = 1/i \text{ so } E[X_i] = 1/i,$$

and the total number of reassigns is

$$X = 1/1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + 1/4 + \ldots + 1/n \in O(\log n).$$

 $\implies$  expected number of reassigns is  $O(\log n)$ .

04. Randomization 11/19

## Randomization Patterns

Randomization pattern: approach for using randomization, along with analysis

Best from random order pattern: maximum only gets reassigned expected  $O(\log n)$  times, worst case  $\Theta(n)$  times

04. Randomization 12 / 19

## Balls and Bins

#### Story to help think about probabilities:

- b bins that can hold balls
- ▶ throw *n* balls
- a ball is equally likely to fall into each bin
- (sketch)
- corresponds to a game called *plinko*

#### Answers to questions:

- Q: After n throws, how many balls does a given bin have? expected n/b
- Q: How many throws before a given bin has a ball? expected b
- ▶ *Q:* How many throws before every bin has a ball? expected  $b \ln b \in \Theta(b \log b)$

04. Randomization 13 / 19

# Random Load Balancing

- ightharpoonup suppose n = b
- suppose the #balls in a bin is its load, high load is bad
- After n throws, what is the maximum load?

$$\frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \text{ w.h.p.}$$

• ( w.h.p. = with high probability = probability  $O(1/n^k)$  for  $k \ge 1$  )

04. Randomization 14 / 19

#### The Power of Two Random Choices

- elegant result by Michael Mitzenmacher
- two random choices: pick two bins at random, put the ball in the less-loaded bin; maximum load becomes

$$\frac{\log\log n}{\log 2} + \Theta(1) \text{ w.h.p.}$$

generally, if we make d random choices, maximum load is

$$\frac{\log\log n}{\log d} + \Theta(1) \text{ w.h.p.}$$

▶ almost constant; truly constant if we set  $d \in \Omega(\log n)$ 

04. Randomization 15 / 19

## Load Balancing Patterns

Balance load with one random choice: for n balls in  $\Theta(n)$  bins, expected load is  $\Theta(1)$  and maximum load is  $\Theta(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n})$  w.h.p.

Balance load with d random choices: expected load is still  $\Theta(1)$ , and maximum load is  $\Theta(\frac{\log \log n}{\log d})$  w.h.p.

#### Trade-off:

- one random choice: choosing bin involves only one random number,  $\Theta(1)$  time, and does not involve state of bins; *but* load can be more uneven
- ▶ d random choices: choosing bin involves d random numbers,  $\Theta(d)$  time, and needs to know current load of bins; but load is distributed very evenly

04. Randomization 16/19

## Application: Web Server Load Balancer

- $\blacktriangleright$  scenario: we have b webservers, n requests coming in, need to route each request to one of the servers  $1, \ldots, b$
- adversary could make expensive requests, so if we take turns in a deterministic way, we are vulnerable to a denial-of-service attack
- : route requests randomly somehow
- ightharpoonup choose a random server in  $\{1,\ldots,b\}$ 
  - very simple
  - balls-and-bins: expect n/b requests/server, b requests before a given server is working,  $\Theta(b \log b)$  requests before all servers working
  - ► maximum requests/server  $\Theta(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n})$  w.h.p.
- choose two random servers, ask for their current load, route to the less-loaded server
  - ▶ good: better server utilization, maximum requests/server is lower at  $\Theta(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n})$  w.h.p.
  - bad: routing involves querying two servers for current load
  - ► trade-off: which is worse, spending time on these current-load queries, or letting some servers get more overloaded?

04. Randomization 17 / 19

## Application: Chained Hash Tables

- ► Recall *chained hash table:* use a random hash function to map each key to a list of collisions called a *chain*
- search or delete involves looping through one chain (also insert that checks for duplicates)
- ▶ chain length is expected  $\Theta(1)$  but worst-case  $\Theta(n)$
- (sketch)
- power of two random choices:
  - two random hash functions
  - to insert, find **two** random chains, add to the *shorter* chain
  - length is still  $\Theta(1)$  expected but worst-case  $\Theta(\log \log n)$  w.h.p.
  - better for applications intolerant to outliers
- ▶ could find  $\Theta(\log n)$  random chains for worst-case  $\Theta(1)$  chain length, but then table operations take  $\Theta(\log n)$  time and we might as well use a binary search tree

04. Randomization 18 / 19

## Streaks

- ightharpoonup suppose we flip a fair coin, so  $Pr\{\text{heads}\}=Pr\{\text{tails}\}=rac{1}{2}$
- streak: sequence of the same result (seq. of heads, or seq. of tails)
- Q: After n flips, what is the longest streak?
- ▶ A: expected length of the longest streak is  $\Theta(\log n)$

04. Randomization 19 / 19

# Application: Skip Lists

- skip list: alternative to a binary search tree
- ▶ layer 1 (bottom) is a sorted linked list
- ▶ layer 2: contains subset of layer 1; each layer-1 element is present with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$
- ▶ layer *i*: contains subset of layer (i-1); each lower element is present with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$
- ► (sketch)
- ► search takes time Θ(# layers)
- # layers = length of streak after n flips =  $\Theta(\log n)$
- ightharpoonup searching a skip list takes  $\Theta(\log n)$  expected time