10. Computational Geometry and Line Segment Intersection

CPSC 535 ~ **Spring** 2019

Kevin A. Wortman



April 22, 2019



Big Idea: Generality versus Specialization

Common sense: very general problems are harder to solve than specific problems.

Mathematics:

- ▶ Theorems are stated "If A then B."
- A the antecedent, B the consequent.
- More constraints in A means either B is easier to prove; or we can prove a stronger version of B.

Example: Shortest Paths

single source shortest paths problem input: weighted graph G = (V, E), start vertex $s \in V$ output: for each $v \in V$, a shortest path from s to v

As stated: Bellman-Ford algorithm takes $\Theta(mn)$ time.

Constrain all edge weights to be non-negative \implies Dijkstra's algorithm takes only $\Theta(m + n \log n)$ time.

The constraint that weights are nonnegative makes the problem easier to solve (negative cycles d.n.e.) so it admits a faster algorithm.

Big Idea: Output Sensitive Algorithm

- ▶ input sensitive: (time) efficiency is a function of the input e.g. size n, # edges m, maximum word W
- output sensitive: efficiency is also a function of the output e.g. # items returned
- most relevant when the size of the output may or may not be a bottleneck

Example: Matching Index Pairs

matching index pairs problem input: sets $L[0..\ell]$, R[0..r] output: each pair (i,j) where L[i] = R[j]

Let $k \equiv$ number of pairs in output

Nested for loops: $\Theta(\ell r)$, regardless of k

Using a hash table:

- $\Theta(\ell + r + k)$
- ▶ $k \le \ell r$; hash alg. is same speed for large k
- but is much faster for small k
- improvement when small k is likely or guaranteed

Computational Geometry

computational X: interdisciplinary study of computer science with X (computational sociology/epidemiology/physics/finance/etc.)

computational geometry (CG): algorithms, data structures, asymptotic analysis, of geometric objects: points, lines, circles, triangle meshes, etc.

Applications

- computer graphics, user interfaces
- GIS, geographic databases
- scene reconstruction (e.g. LIDAR)
- business operations research (e.g. linear programming, aircraft control)
- manufacturing (e.g. feasibility of assembly, castings)

Putting the Geo in CG

Some general algorithms can actually solve geometric problems efficiently, without any awareness of the geometry.

bounding box problem

input: set of 2D points $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$

output: points $tl = (x_l, y_t)$ and $rb = (x_r, y_b)$ such that the rectangle with top-left corner tl and bottom-right corner rb contains P

Naïve, optimal algorithm: $x_l, y_t, x_r, y_b = \min x$, $\min y$, $\max x$, $\max y$ respectively; $\Theta(n)$

Computational geometers are most interested when geometric properties matter.

Line Segment Predicates

We can use arithmetic to answer any of the following predicates (questions) about points p_0 , p_1 , p_2 , p_3 in $\Theta(1)$ time:

- 1. Is line segment $\overline{p_0p_1}$ clockwise from $\overline{p_0p_2}$ around the common endpoint p_0 ?
- 2. If we follow $\overline{p_0p_1}$ and then $\overline{p_1p_2}$, do we turn right or left?
- 3. Do line segments $\overline{p_0p_1}$ and $\overline{p_2p_3}$ intersect?
- ⇒ We may use any of these in pseudocode.

Degeneracy and Non-Degeneracy Assumptions

degenerate object: has the proper shape/type, but the values are a special case that betrays the spirit of the definition

```
Example: triangle \equiv three points (p_1, p_2, p_3) degenerate triangle: p_1 = p_2 = p_3; or p_1, p_2, p_3 colinear; etc.
```

Non-degeneracy assumption:

- constraint that input to a CG algorithm is not degenerate in specific ways
- simplifies algorithm design
- assume that in practice, some combination of
 - degeneracies do not occur
 - input can be preprocessed to remove degeneracies
 - implementer can modify algorithm to handle degeneracies

Line Segment Intersection

line segment intersection problem

input: set of *n* line segments

$$L = \{((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) : x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

output: some pair $\ell,\ell'\in L$ that intersect, or NIL if no segments in L intersect

Non-degeneracy assumptions:

- no segments are vertical
- no three segments intersect in a common point

Thought exercise: How realistic is this? How hard would it be to sanitize input without affecting the output?

Baseline algorithm: nested for loops, $\Theta(n^2)$ time.

Sweep Algorithms

A pattern in CG algorithms:

- ▶ line sweep: envision a line "sweeping" through the input
- e.g. a vertical line sweeping left-to-right
- helps us visualize a 2D situation as a 1D situation that changes over time
- like duality, doesn't actually change the problem, but might help us problem-solve
- generalizes to higher dimensions e.g. plane sweep in 3D, hyperplane sweep in any dimension

Geometric Insight

- Visualize vertical line sweeping left-to-right.
- Consider some segment ℓ; at some point ℓ's left endpoint will strike the sweep line; then the common point will slide a bit as the sweep continues; then the sweep will move past the ℓ's right endpoint.
- These time steps are discrete events that matter; fast-forward past in-between moments.
- Consider the ordering of active line segments along the sweep line in top-to-bottom order.
- ▶ If two segments swap order between time events, then they must intersect.

Line Segment Intersection Pseudocode

```
segment_intersection(L):
 T = new binary search tree of points ordered by y-coordinate
 S = sort the endpoints of L by x-coordinate
 for p in S:
        if p is a left endpoint:
                 T.insert(p)
        if p intersects with a predecessor or successor in S:
                 return p and that predecessor/successor
        else: # p must be a right endpoint
                 if (p has both an predecessor and successor in S) and
                 (they intersect each other):
                          return the predecessor and successor
                 T.delete(p)
return NIL
```

Line Segment Intersection Pseudocode

```
1: function LINE-SEGMENT-INTERSECTION(L)
2:
       T = \text{new BST of points ordered by } v\text{-coordinate}
3:
       S = sort all endpoints in L by x-coordinate
4:
       for endpoint p in S do
5:
           if p is a left endpoint then
6:
               T.insert(p)
7:
              if p intersects with p's predecessor or successor then
8:
                  return p and that intersecting neighbor
9.
              end if
10:
           else
                                                > p must be a right endpoint
11:
              if p's pred. and succ. both exist and intersect then
12:
                  return the predecessor and successor
13:
              end if
               T.delete(p)
14:
           end if
15:
16.
       end for
17:
       return NII
18 end function
```

Non-Degeneracy Assumptions Revisited

Algorithm assumes that

sweep line ∩ each line segment

is only one point

→ require that no segment is vertical.

Algorithm assumes that intersecting segments only move *one* step in top-to-bottom order

 \implies require that 3+ segments may never intersect at the same point.

BST Operations Review

```
create empty: \Theta(1) search, insert, delete: \Theta(\log n)
```

Predecessor/successor query:

- esoteric BST operation, yet still available in e.g. C++ STL
- given pointer to a node, find its inorder predecessor/successor
- can visualize as moving to the previous/next step in an Euler tour (sketch)
- $\Theta(\log n)$

Line Intersection Analysis

sort points: $\Theta(n \log n)$

for loop: $2n \in \Theta(n)$ events body of loop involves $\Theta(1)$ BST operations $\implies \Theta(\log n)$ time per iteration

 $\Theta(n \log n + n \log n) = \Theta(n \log n)$ total time

Example of reduction to both sorting and BST operations.