12. Dynamic Programming for Matrix Chain Multiplication

CPSC 535

Kevin A. Wortman

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
FULLERTON

Big Idea: 2D Table

- *Recall:* dynamic programming
  - problem has recursive structure
  - overlapping subproblems
  - use table to store solutions, avoid duplicated effort
  - top-down or bottom-up
- so far: **1D table** – has one index
- now: **2D table** – has *two* indices

Matrix Multiplication

for matrices A_1, A_2 :

$$A_1 A_2$$

Recall:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 12 & 5 \\ 16 & 9 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 19 & 2 \\ 9 & 5 \\ 8 & 11 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \times 19 + 12 \times 9 + 5 \times 8 & 125 \\ 417 & 121 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix Multiplication Algorithms

Recall:

- Naïve algorithm: three nested loops, $O(n^3)$
- Strassen's algorithm: divide-and-conquer, $\approx O(n^{2.8074})$
- Those analyses assumed A_1, A_2 are both square $n \times n$ matrices
- Now: matrix sizes may differ
- **Compatible:** A_1 and A_2 are compatible when $A_1.columns = A_2.rows$

Naïve Matrix Multiplication Algorithm

```
1:  function MATRIX-MULTIPLY(A, B)
2:      C = new A.rows × B.columns matrix
3:      for i from 1 to A.rows do
4:          for j from 1 to B.columns do
5:              c_ij = 0
6:              for k from 1 to A.columns do
7:                  c_ij = c_ij + a_ik · b_kj
8:              end for
9:          end for
10:     end for
11:     return C
12: end function
```

Analysis: $\Theta(A.rows \cdot A.columns \cdot B.columns)$

Matrix Chain Multiplication

Given n compatible matrices $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$, compute

$$A_1 A_2 \ldots A_n$$

- Recall: matrix multiplication is **associative**
- May parenthesize $A_1 A_2 \ldots A_n$ in any order
- Q: which order is most efficient?

Equivalent Parenthesizations

$$\begin{aligned} A_1A_2A_3A_4 &= A_1(A_2(A_3A_4)) \\ &= A_1((A_2A_3)A_4) \\ &= (A_1A_2)(A_3A_4) \\ &= (A_1(A_2A_3))A_4 \\ &= ((A_1A_2)A_3)A_4 \end{aligned}$$

Total runtime depends on the dimensions of $A_1 \ldots A_4$.

Example: Different Runtimes

Given three matrices A_1, A_2, A_3 with dimensions

matrix	rows	columns
A_1	10	100
A_2	100	5
A_3	5	50

- $((A_1A_2)A_3)$ costs
  $10 \cdot 100 \cdot 5 + 10 \cdot 5 \cdot 50 = 5,000 + 2,500 = 7,500$ scalar multiplies
- $(A_1(A_2A_3))$ costs
  $100 \cdot 5 \cdot 50 + 10 \cdot 100 \cdot 50 = 25,000 + 50,000 = 75,000$ scalar multiplies
- first is order of magnitude faster

Matrix Chain Multiplication Problem

matrix chain multiplication problem
input: a sequence $\langle A_1, A_1, \ldots, A_n \rangle$ of $n > 0$ compatible matrices, and sequence $p = \langle p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$ of integers, where matrix A_i has p_{i-1} rows and p_i columns
output: a parenthesization of $A_1 A_2 \ldots A_n$ that minimizes scalar multiplications

matrix chain multiplication value problem
input: a sequence $\langle A_1, A_1, \ldots, A_n \rangle$ of $n > 0$ compatible matrices, and sequence $p = \langle p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$ of integers, where matrix A_i has p_{i-1} rows and p_i columns
output: the minimum number of scalar multiplies necessary to multiply $A_1 A_2 \ldots A_n$

Design Process

1. Identify the problem's **solution** and **value**, and note which is our **goal**.
2. Derive a **recurrence** for an optimal value.
3. Design a divide-and-conquer algorithm that computes an **optimal value**.
4. Design a dynamic programming algorithm that computes an **optimal value**.
   - 4.1 **top-down** alternative: add table base case (**memoization**)
   - 4.2 **bottom-up** alternative: rewrite to use bottom-up loops instead of recursion
5. (if goal is a solution algo.) Design a dynamic programming algorithm that computes an **optimal solution**.

Matrix Chain Multiplication Step 1

1. Identify the problem's **solution** and **value**, and note which is our **goal**.

matrix chain multiplication value problem
input: a sequence $\langle A_1, A_1, \ldots, A_n \rangle$ of $n > 0$ compatible matrices, and sequence $p = \langle p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$ of integers, where matrix A_i has p_{i-1} rows and p_i columns
output: the minimum number of scalar multiplies necessary to multiply $A_1 A_2 \ldots A_n$

- **solution:** parenthesized expression e.g. $(A_1(A_2A_3))(A_4A_5)$
- **value:** number of multiplications e.g. $75,000$
- goal: **value**

Matrix Chain Multiplication Step 2

2. Derive a **recurrence** for an optimal value.

- define $r_{i,j}$ = minimum number of multiplies for $A_i A_{i+1} \dots A_j$
- (note: **two** indices)
- solution to whole problem is $r_{1,n}$
- base case: A_i by itself; so when $i = j$, $r_{i,j} = 0$
- general case:
  - **think** divide-and-conquer; define $r_{i,j}$ in terms of $r_{<i,<j}$
  - make the problem **one piece** smaller
  - given $A_i A_{i+1} \dots A_j$, split w/ parenthesis at index k :

$$A_i A_{i+1} \dots A_j = (A_i A_{i+1} \dots A_k)(A_{k+1} A_{k+2} \dots A_j)$$

  - try every option and keep the optimal one

$$r_{i,j} = \min_{1 \le k \le j} r_{i,k} + r_{k+1,j} + p_i p_k p_j$$

Matrix Chain Multiplication Step 3

3. Design a divide-and-conquer algorithm that computes an **optimal value**.

```
1: function MATRIX-CHAIN-VALUE-DC(p[0..n])
2:     return MC-DC(p, 0, n)
3: end function
4: function MC-DC(p[0..n], i, j)
5:     if i == j then
6:         return 0
7:     end if
8:     q = ∞
9:     for k from 1 to j − 1 do
10:        q = min(q, MC-DC(p, i, k) + MC-DC(p, k + 1, j) + p[i] × p[k] × p[j])
11:    end for
12:    return q
13: end function
```

Sidebar: Analysis of MATRIX-CHAIN-VALUE-DC

- MC-DC-REC calls itself $O(n)$ times in general case
- like CUT-ROD-DC
- exponential time
- again, dynamic programming will circumvent all this recursion

Matrix Chain Multiplication Step 4.a

4. Design a dynamic programming algorithm that computes an **optimal value**.
   4.1 **top-down** alternative: add table base case (**memoization**)

- Recall **memoization:** use a hash dictionary to make a "memo" of pre-calculated solutions
- create hash table T
- use pair (i, j) as key in table T, storing $r_{i,j}$

Matrix Chain Multiplication Step 4.a

```
1: function MATRIX-CHAIN-VALUE-MEMOIZED(p[0..n])
2:     HASH-TABLE-CREATE(T)
3:     return MC-M(T, p, 0, n)
4: end function
5: function MC-M(T, p[0..n], i, j)
6:     q = HASH-TABLE-SEARCH(T, (i, j))
7:     if q ≠ NIL then
8:         return q
9:     end if
10:    if i == j then
11:        q = 0
12:    else
13:        q = ∞
14:        for k from 1 to j − 1 do
15:            q = min(q, MC-M(p, i, k) + MC-M(p, k + 1, j) + p[i] × p[k] × p[j])
16:        end for
17:    end if
18:    q.key = (i, j)
19:    HASH-TABLE-INSERT(q)
20:    return q
21: end function
```

Memoized Algorithm Analysis

- T contains $\Theta(n^2)$ pairs (i, j)
- each entry is inserted exactly once
- in the general case, MC-M takes $\Theta(n)$ expected time
- $\Rightarrow$ MATRIX-CHAIN-VALUE-MEMOIZED takes $\Theta(n^3)$ expected time

Matrix Chain Multiplication Step 4.b

4. Design a dynamic programming algorithm that computes an **optimal value**.
   - 4.1 **top-down** alternative: add table base case (**memoization**)
   - 4.2 **bottom-up** alternative: rewrite to use bottom-up loops instead of recursion

- create 2D array m where $m[i][j] = r_{i,j}$
- **bottom-up:** write an explicit **for** loop that computes and stores every general case
- need to order loops so we never use an uninitialized element
- $\therefore$ initialize chain length 1(base case)$, 2, \ldots, n$

Matrix Chain Multiplication Step 4.b

```
1:  function MATRIX-CHAIN-BU(p[0..n])
2:      Create array m[1..n][1..n]
3:      for i from 1 to n do
4:          m[i][i] = 0                          ▷ base case, length=1
5:      end for
6:      for ℓ from 2 to n do                     ▷ ℓ = general-case length
7:          for i from 1 to (n − ℓ + 1) do
8:              j = i + ℓ − 1
9:              q = ∞
10:             for k from i to j − 1 do
11:                 q = min(q, m[i][k] + m[k + 1][j] + p[i] × p[k] × p[j])
12:             end for
13:             m[i][j][k] = q
14:         end for
15:     end for
16:     return m[1, n]
17: end function
```

Matrix Chain Multiplication Analysis

- MATRIX-CHAIN-BU is clearly $\Theta(n^3)$ time
- top-down memoized algorithm: $\Theta(n^3)$ expected time
- bottom-up algorithm: $\Theta(n^3)$ time with faster constant factors