

How do dispersal effect weed dynamics? Sensitivity analysis of landscape-scale model to Kernel curves

Willian Vieira ^{1,2} Benoit Ricci ¹ Nathalie Colbach ¹

¹: INRA, UMR 1347 Agroécologie, BP 86510, F-21000 Dijon, France; ²: Département de Biologie, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada

1 Paper idea

Theoretical question - What is the role of dispersal on weed dynamics? - Could spatial arrangement of management decisions at a landscape scale decrease weed spread and persistence in agro-ecosystems? If so, how can proportion of permanent meadows impact weed spread?

Technical question - Are different ways of dispersal representation (curves) important to consider?

Main results - the better fit of the 2Dt curve to represent weed dispersion (this answers the first theoretical question and the technical one). - And the impact of permanent meadows on the spread of weed dispersion. Briefly, increasing permanent meadows decreases the propagation speed of weed seeds (this answers the second theoretical question)

Paper structure:

Based in the above ideas and results, the paper will be structured in first answering if there is an impact of dispersion representation on weed dynamics. We will show that there is a significant impact of the curve but not considerable when compared with land use variation, in anyways, the 2Dt curve fitted best the data. Next, to answer if land use variation can change weed dynamics, we will use a case study with simulations based in the introduction of weed species in non-colonized landscapes. Here we show that increasing permanent meadows decreases propagation speed, where the proportion of land use, together with the type of land use, plays a major role in weed dynamics.

Keywords: dispersal curves - population dynamics - crops - Virtual landscapes



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License.

Correspondence to Willian Vieira – willian.vieira@usherbrooke.ca

May 17, 2017 - Last update: July 7th, 2017

2 Introduction

28

to be done: step 4

29

One of the issues in agroecology is to design innovative crop systems and identify arrangements of natural habitats in the landscape that allow both to control the abundances of weeds and to preserve their role in the ecosystem services. It is also important to understand ecological process of landscape effects on population dynamics.

30

31

32

33

Discuss the overall theoretical knowledge of plant dispersal with an emphasis in short-lived plants and weed species.

34

35

Try and show the well-known role that dispersal plays in plant dynamics and what could be true/false for weed communities in a human environment.

36

37

In the above context, DynWeed was created to represent the dynamics of weed in a landscape scale, which makes necessary take dispersal into account. However, Modeling the dispersion is difficult because of the high variability of dispersion distance and the lack of empirical data. This is the context of the “technical question”.

38

39

40

Discuss the technical part of dispersal (e.g. curves) and how it has been modeled.

41

Here we ask the following questions: (i) what is the role of dispersal on weed dynamics? (ii) Does dispersal curve really matters at landscape-scale? (iii) Could spatial arrangement of management decisions at landscape scale decrease weed spread and persistence in agro-ecosystems? If so, (iv) how can proportion of permanent meadows impact weed spread? Using a landscape-scale model, our hypothesis is that different curves to represent the dispersal process will change dispersal distance and hence the weed dynamics. Furthermore, increasing the proportion permanent meadows in the agricultural landscape will reduce the speed of weed spread through the landscape. These will provide mechanist insights into local weed management and into landscape spatial arrangement of management decisions, with suggestions to empirical research and decision makers.

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

3 Methods

51

3.1 Population dynamics model

52

We used a spatially explicit model of population dynamics for four different weed species in agricultural landscape (Ricci et al. 2017). Based in the population dynamics of weed species, the model has five parameters representing the natural process: the seed germination (gr) and mortality (sm) rate, the quantity of seed produced per plant (sp), the plant mortality rate (pm) and the environmental carrying capacity (K). Let P be the density of plants at time t (eq. 1), this variable increases with viable seeds germinating at rate $(1 - sm)gr$, and with the number of survival plants $(1 - pm)$ times the seed production (S). The density of viable seeds (S ; eq. 2) is a function of either germinated seeds $(1 - sm)$ and the seed bank $(1 - gr)$, plus the proportion of dispersed seeds from other patches, which is calculated by different dispersal curves D (Table 1).

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

$$P_{t,x,y} = \min\{K, (1 - sm_{t,x,y})gr \times (1 - pm_{t,x,y})S_{t-1,x,y}\} \quad (1)$$

$$S_{t,x,y} = (1 - sm_{t,x,y}) \times (1 - gr_{t,x,y})S_{t-1,x,y} + \iint_{(x',y')} (P_{t,x',y'} sp_{t,x',y'}) D(x - x', y - y') \quad (2)$$





3.2 Dispersal curves and parameterization

61

We tested four kernel curves to represent the process of weed dispersion (Table 1).

62

Table 1 Kernel curves to calculate the probability of seed dispersal, the parameter assumptions and the distribution form.

Curve	Equation	Parameters	Distribution
Gaussian	$f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-x^2}{\theta y^2}}$	$\theta > 0$	
Weibull	$f(x) = \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon\phi^\epsilon} \epsilon x^{\epsilon-1} e^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon\phi^\epsilon} x^\epsilon}$	$\epsilon > 1 \quad \phi > 0$	
Exponential	$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\lambda^2} e^{\frac{-x}{y}}$	$\lambda > 0$	
2Dt	$f(x) = \frac{\beta - 1}{\alpha^2\pi} 1 + \left(\frac{x^2}{y^2}\right)^{-\beta}$	$\alpha > 0 \quad \beta > 1$	

Show here how I chose each value of parameter and where this values come from (curve fitted based in 63

Thomson et al. (2011))

64

3.3 Dispersal calculation

65

The seed spread calculation was based. . .

66

3.4 Simulation plan

67

Explain the variation of each simulation.

68

3.5 Statistics analysis

69

Test (Gaba et al. 2010)

70

4 Results

71

to be done: step 2

72

The speed of seed spread over time shows a median of 262.2 meters.year⁻¹ (from 62.7 to 402.9) for all simulations. This speed is mostly influenced by land use ($\omega_{2\text{mean}} = 15.4\%$) and by the interaction of curve and proportion of land use ($\omega_{2\text{mean}} = 10\%$; table 1). Both the proportion of land use and the curve alone has a moderate effect on the speed of spread (5.8% and 5.3%, respectively). Among the landscape with a unique land use, the permanent meadows presented the slowest median dissemination speed (80 metersyear⁻¹) compared with conventional (266.6) and organic (279). Increasing the proportion of permanent meadows to 50% in the landscape significantly decreased the dissemination speed, but there was no difference when increased to 3% (Figure 1). The significantly but weak effect of the dispersion curve on dissemination speed ($\omega_{2\text{mean}} = 5.3\%$), shows a general higher median dissemination speed for the 2Dt curve (282.3 metersyear⁻¹) compared with Exponential (268.8), Gaussian (265.3) and Weibull (265.3; Figure 1).

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

5 Discussion	83
<i>to be done: step 3</i>	84
References	85
Gaba et al. (2010). Weed species richness in winter wheat increases with landscape heterogeneity. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment</i> . Elsevier B.V. 138:318–23.	86 87
Ricci et al. (2017). How much can a large scale planning reduce local weed infestations ? A landscape-scale modelling approach. <i>Ecological Modelling</i> .:Submitted.	88 89
Thomson et al. (2011). Seed dispersal distance is more strongly correlated with plant height than with seed mass. <i>Journal of Ecology</i> . 99:1299–307.	90 91