

# The Theoretical Minimum

## Quantum Mechanics - Solutions

L04E06

M. Bivert

May 1, 2023

**Exercise 1.** Carry out the Schrödinger Ket recipe for a single spin. The Hamiltonian is  $H = \frac{\omega\hbar}{2}\sigma_z$  and the final observable is  $\sigma_x$ . The initial state is given as  $|u\rangle$  (the state in which  $\sigma_z = +1$ ).

After time  $t$ , an experiment is done to measure  $\sigma_y$ . What are the possible outcomes and what are the probabilities for those outcomes?

Congratulations! You have now solved a real quantum mechanics problem for an experiment that can actually be carried out in the laboratory. Feel free to pat yourself on the back.

**Remark 1.** There's a typo in the statement of this exercise: the final observable is said first to be  $\sigma_x$  and then  $\sigma_y$ . The French version of the book uses  $\sigma_y$  for both, so that's what I'll do here.

1. Derive, look up, guess, borrow, or steal the Hamiltonian operator  $H$ ;  
Well, let's take it from the authors:

$$H = \frac{\omega\hbar}{2}\sigma_z = \frac{\omega\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

2. Prepare an initial state  $|\Psi(0)\rangle$ ;

Again, from the exercise statement, let's prepare an up state:

$$|\Psi(0)\rangle = |u\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

3. Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $H$  by solving the time-independent Schrödinger equation,

$$H|E_j\rangle = E_j|E_j\rangle$$

I don't recall us already diagonalizing  $\sigma_z$  before, so let's do it, but I'll be shorter than usual. The eigenvalues are given by the non-invertibility condition of  $H - I\lambda$ , as the solutions of

$$\det(H - I\lambda) = \left(\frac{\omega\hbar}{2} - \lambda\right)\left(\lambda - \frac{\omega\hbar}{2}\right) = 0$$

Hence the two eigenvalues:

$$E_1 = \frac{\omega\hbar}{2}; \quad E_2 = -\frac{\omega\hbar}{2}$$

From which we can derive the two eigenvectors:

$$\underbrace{\frac{\omega\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}}_H |E_1\rangle = \frac{\omega\hbar}{2} |E_1\rangle$$

Assuming an eigenvector of a general form  $(a \ b)^T$  yields the following system:

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a = a \\ -b = b \end{cases}$$

So  $b = 0$ ; furthermore, as  $|E_1\rangle$  must be unitary (from the fundamental theorem/real spectral theorem, we know the eigenvectors of a Hermitian operator, which  $H$  most definitely is, are unitary, because the eigenvectors make an orthonormal basis), we must have  $a = \pm 1$ ; let's choose more or less arbitrarily  $a = 1$ . Hence:

$$|E_1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly for  $|E_2\rangle$ , assume a general form of  $(c \ d)^T$ , this yields the following system:

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} c = -c \\ -d = -d \end{cases}$$

By a similar argument, as before we find:

$$|E_2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Remark 2.** *I'm not sure why we have an extra degree of freedom via the signs on the non-zero component of the eigenvectors; I can't think of an extra constraint.*

4. Use the initial state-vector  $|\Psi(0)\rangle$ , along with the eigenvectors  $|E_j\rangle$  from step 3, to calculate the initial coefficients  $\alpha_j(0)$ :

$$\alpha_j(0) = \langle E_j | \Psi(0) \rangle$$

That's an elementary computation:

$$\alpha_1(0) = 1; \quad \alpha_2(0) = 0$$

5. Rewrite  $|\Psi(0)\rangle$  in terms of the eigenvectors  $|E_j\rangle$  and the initial coefficients  $\alpha_j(0)$ :

$$|\Psi(0)\rangle = \sum_j \alpha_j(0) |E_j\rangle$$

Again, quite elementary given the quantities involved:

$$|\Psi(0)\rangle = 1|E_1\rangle = |u\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

6. In the above equation, replace each  $\alpha_j(0)$  with  $\alpha_j(t)$  to capture its time-dependence. As a result,  $|\Psi(0)\rangle$  becomes  $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ :

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_j \alpha_j(t) |E_j\rangle$$

Naturally:

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \alpha_1(t) |E_1\rangle + \alpha_2(t) |E_2\rangle$$

7. Using Eq. 4.30<sup>1</sup>, replace each  $\alpha_j(t)$  with  $\alpha_j(0) \exp(-\frac{i}{\hbar} E_j t)$ :

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_j \alpha_j(0) \exp(-\frac{i}{\hbar} E_j t) |E_j\rangle$$

Because  $\alpha_2(0) = 0$ , it only remains:

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \exp(-\frac{i}{\hbar} t) |u\rangle$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This equation corresponds exactly to what this step describes

OK, then the idea is that if we have an observable  $L$ , the probability to measure  $\lambda$  (where  $\lambda$  is then an eigenvalue of  $L$ ) is given by:

$$P_\lambda(t) = |\langle \lambda | \Psi(t) \rangle|^2$$

The authors are asking us to consider as an observable  $L = \sigma_y$ . Recall:

$$\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This is a matrix corresponding to the spin observable following the  $y$ -axis: we *must* expect its eigenvalues to be  $\pm 1$  and its eigenvectors to be  $|i\rangle$  and  $|o\rangle$ , but let's compute them all anyway for practice:

$$\det(\sigma_y - I\lambda) = \lambda^2 + i^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \pm 1$$

For the eigenvectors, again we can assume a general form and solve the corresponding system of equations:

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\sigma_y} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = (+1) \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} -ib = a \\ ia = b \end{cases}$$

Both equations are actually equivalent (multiply the first one by  $i$  to get the second). We furthermore have an additional constraint as the eigenvectors are supposed to be unitary, which yields:

$$|E_1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ ia \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } a^2 + (ia)(-ia) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |E_1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ i/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} = |i\rangle$$

Similarly:

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\sigma_y} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = (-1) \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} -id = -c \\ ic = -d \end{cases}$$

Again, the two equations are equivalent (multiply the first by  $-i$  to get the second one), but we have an additional constraint, as the vector must be unitary. In the end, this yields:

$$|E_2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ -ic \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } c^2 + (ic)(-ic) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |E_2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -i/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} = |o\rangle$$

We may now apply our previous probability formula (Principle 4):

$$P_{+1}(t) = |\langle i | \Psi(t) \rangle|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp(-\frac{it}{\hbar}) \right|^2 = \boxed{\frac{1}{2}}$$

And either because the sum of probabilities must be 1, or by explicit computation:

$$P_{-1}(t) = |\langle o | \Psi(t) \rangle|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp(-\frac{it}{\hbar}) \right|^2 = \boxed{\frac{1}{2}}$$