1 Modal Particles and At-Issue Presuppositions

1.1 Reasoning

1.1.1 Presuppositions are non-at-issue

Presupposition triggers require that their prejacent be entailed by the common ground (**stalnaker2002common**). In other words, utterances with presuppositions are only defined when the presupposition is already part of the accepted facts. In (**??**), no truth-value can be assigned since, in the actual world, there is no king of France, which causes a presupposition failure with respect to the definite determiner heading the subject constituent. On the other hand, in the parallel case (**??**), the presupposition is met and the utterance can be assigned a truth-value.

- (1) a. The king of France is bald.
 - b. The prince of Monaco is bald.

Taking this as a starting point, we can say that presuppositions (in the ideal case) refer to old pieces of information. This being the case, a further property is revealed: presuppositions are entailments that are not (usually) up for discussion; they are not at-issue (aravind2017factivity). To see this, consider the direct denial in (??) where the only possible interpretation refers to the at-issue content of A's utterance – the fact that Peter gave up stripping – but not the presupposed, non-at-issue component – the fact Peter used to strip (tonhauser2012diagnosing).

- (2) A: Peter stopped stripping.B: # That's not true.Intended: Peter never stripped before.
- (3) Lexikoneinträge