Graded Homework 1, exercise 5

Marco Milanta

October 25, 2021

Forbidden Words (20 points)

We are given n bits and m bit strings (forbidden words) S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m . We say an n bit string is legal if it does not contain any S_i as a consecutive substring. For example, let n=5, and $S_1=010$, then 11101 is a legal string, but 10101 is not because $x_2x_3x_4=010$. Moreover, suppose that $x_1\cdots x_l$ is a forbidden word, then $\overline{x_1}\cdots x_k$ for $1\leq k\leq l$ are not forbidden words where $\overline{x_1}=1$ if $x_1=0$, and $\overline{x_1}=0$ if $x_1=1$. In your algorithm, you can call a procedure Legal-Generator that generates a uniformly random legal string given its length and a set of forbidden words. Devise a fully polynomial randomized approximation scheme (FPRAS) for estimating the total number of legal n bit strings.

Hint. Can you say anything in the case k > l?

Solution

Let's define L_i be the number of legal bit strings of length n according to the forbidden words $S_1, \ldots S_i$. L_0 will just be the number of bit strings of length n, which is 2^n . L_m is what we need to approximate. It's easy to see that

$$L_m = \underbrace{\frac{L_m}{L_{m-1}}}_{q_m} \underbrace{\frac{L_{m-1}}{L_{m-2}}}_{q_{m-1}} \dots \underbrace{\frac{L_2}{L_1}}_{q_2} \underbrace{\frac{L_1}{L_0}}_{q_1} \underbrace{\frac{L_0}{2^n}}_{2^n}.$$

Now, the idea is to approximate each of the q_i by sampling.

Estimate q_i : To estimate q_i we call Legal Generator generating strings legal according to S_1, \ldots, S_{i-1} . Then we check weather the string is also legal according to S_i . We now compute the probability of such an event to occur

Pr (legal according to
$$S_i$$
 | legal according to S_1, \ldots, S_{i-1}) = $\frac{L_i}{L_{i-1}} = q_i$

Now, we iterate this process k times, and the ratio between the number of success and k will be an approximation of q_i . Assuming $q_i \ge 1/2$, we can use Chernoff bound to show that we get that

$$\hat{q}_i := \frac{\#\text{successes}}{k} \in [q_i(1 - \tilde{\epsilon}), q_i(1 + \tilde{\epsilon})] \quad \text{with prob. } 1 - \tilde{\delta}$$
$$k \ge \frac{3}{q_i \tilde{\epsilon}^2} \log \left(\frac{2}{\tilde{\delta}}\right)$$

If we take $k \ge \frac{6}{\tilde{\epsilon}^2} \log \left(\frac{2}{\tilde{\delta}}\right)$, assuming that $q_i \ge 1/2$ (proven later), we can guarantee the same error with the same probability.

Combine errors: The number of samplings we need to run to approximate $q_i \forall i$ is just $\frac{6m}{\tilde{\epsilon}^2} \log \left(\frac{2}{\tilde{\delta}}\right)$. This is polynomial in both $m, \frac{1}{\tilde{\epsilon}}$ and $\frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}}$. Let's impose that the probability to have at least one of the q_i outside of the error boundary to be no more that δ :

$$\delta \ge \Pr(\text{at least one error}) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{\delta} = m\tilde{\delta}.$$

Therefore, by taking $\tilde{\delta} = \frac{\delta}{m}$ we achieved the bound. Now, let's look at the total error assuming that all of q_i are inside the required interval:

$$\hat{L}_m := 2^n \prod_{i=1}^m \hat{q}_i \in \left[(1 - \tilde{\epsilon})^m 2^n \prod_{i=1}^m q_i, (1 + \tilde{\epsilon})^m 2^n \prod_{i=1}^m q_i \right]$$

$$\subseteq \left[(1 - 2m\tilde{\epsilon}) L_m, (1 + 2m\tilde{\epsilon}) L_m \right]$$

Therefore, if we want the error to be less than ϵ , it's enough to take $\tilde{\epsilon} = \frac{\epsilon}{2m}$. To conclude we have an algorithm that estimates L_m with \hat{L}_m with a multiplicative error of ϵ with probability no less than $1 - \delta$ that runs in $O\left(\frac{6(2m)^2}{\epsilon^2}\log\left(\frac{2m}{\delta}\right)\right)$. Now we only need to prove that $q_i \geq 1/2 \forall i$.

Prove that $q_i \geq 1/2$: We can rewrite q_i as:

$$q_i = \frac{L_i}{L_{i-1}} = \underbrace{\frac{L_i}{\#\{\text{ legal according to } S_1, \dots, S_{i-1} \text{ and not to } S_i\}}_{\tilde{L}_i} + L_i}.$$

If we can show that $L_i \geq L_{i-1}$, then we have

$$q_i = \frac{L_i + \tilde{L}_i - \tilde{L}_i}{\tilde{L}_i + L_i} = 1 - \underbrace{\frac{\tilde{L}_i}{\tilde{L}_i + L_i}}_{>1/2},$$

which is enough to conclude the proof. Now, I show that for every element in \tilde{L}_i there is a unique corrispondent element in L_i . Let such element be

$$e = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k x_{k+1} \cdots x_{k+|S_i|} \cdots x_j x_{j+1} \cdots x_{j+|S_i|}$$