Graded Homework 2, exercise 1

Marco Milanta

November 30, 2021

Randomized Ski Rental and Yao's Principle (25 points)

Recall that the in the lecture we demonstrated a deterministic 2-competitive algorithm for Ski Rental and noted that no $(2 - \varepsilon)$ -competitive deterministic algorithm exists for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

- 1. Show that, given a known input distribution (the algorithm knows in advance the probability of the season lasting exactly i days), there exists an at most 1.99-competitive deterministic algorithm. Hint: the standard algorithm shown in class is 1-competitive if the season length is of length at most B.
- 2. [Nothing to submit] Convince yourself that Yao's principle is equivalent to proving "any randomized algorithm running on an unknown input distributions cannot be α -competitive" by proving "any deterministic algorithm running on a known input distribution cannot be α -competitive". Conclude that, due to subtask 1, it is impossible to show a lower bound of 2 for competitiveness of randomized Ski Rental algorithms using Yao's principle.
- 3. Yao's principle is tight under very mild technical conditions. In particular, Yao's principle is tight for Ski Rental. Demonstrate this by designing a 1.99-competitive randomized algorithm for Ski Rental (the algorithm does not know the probabilities in advance). Note: the competitive ratio in this subtask does not need to match nor reference the one in subtask 1). Hint: since the algorithm does not know the input distribution, the worst-case input can be assumed to be deterministic.

Solution

To make the solution easier to reed we will follow this notation:

- $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of days until the end of the season.
- A_t is the algorithm that for the first t days rent the skies, and then it buys them.
- $c(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$ is the cost of the algorithm \mathcal{A} over the input σ .
- $OPT(\sigma) = \min{\{\sigma, B\}}$ is the cost of the optima offline algorithm.
- 1. First, we notice that the algorithm A_{B-1} yields a competitive ratio of $\frac{2B-1}{B}$. If $\sigma < B$,

$$c(\mathcal{A}_{B-1}, \sigma) = \sigma = OPT(\sigma).$$

On the other hand, if $\sigma \geq B$,

$$c(A_{B-1}, \sigma) = B + B - 1 = \frac{2B-1}{B}B = \frac{2B-1}{B}OPT(\sigma).$$

If B < 100, then $\frac{2B-1}{B} < 1.99$. And \mathcal{A}_{B-1} is the desired algorithm. Now we focus on the case where B > 100. Let \mathcal{P} be the distribution over the inputs. We define the following elements to work better with \mathcal{P} distribution:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{B} &:= \lceil 0.8B \rceil \\ p_1 &:= \Pr(\sigma < \tilde{B}) \\ p_2 &:= \Pr(\tilde{B} \le \sigma < B) \\ p_3 &:= \Pr(\sigma \ge B) \\ \Lambda_1 &:= \mathbb{E}[\sigma \mid \sigma < \tilde{B}] \\ \Lambda_2 &:= \mathbb{E}[\sigma \mid \tilde{B} \le \sigma < B]. \end{split}$$

Our algorithm \mathcal{B} will be either $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{B}}$ or \mathcal{A}_{B} depending on \mathcal{P} . In particular,

if:
$$p_3 \le \frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon} 0.8 p_2$$
 then: $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{A}_B$ (1)

else if:
$$p_3 \ge \frac{0.8(1-\epsilon)-1}{\epsilon-0.2+0.01}p_2$$
 then: $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{B}}$ (2)

We now present two claims that we will prove later:

Claim 1 (A_B completitive ratio). As long as condition 1 is true, A_B is $2 - \epsilon$ competitive.

Claim 2 ($\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{B}}$ completitive ratio). As long as condition 2 is true, $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{B}}$ is $2 - \epsilon$ competitive.

Notice that for $\epsilon = 0.1$ at least one of the two conditions must be true. The first condition becomes $p_3 \le 7.2p_2$, the second one becomes $p_3 \ge \frac{28}{9}p_2 \simeq 3.11p_2$.

This yields that, fixing $\epsilon = 0.1$, \mathcal{R} will be a 2-0.1 = 1.9 competitive algorithm for any possible input distribution \mathcal{P} . The only thing left is to prove the two claims. To help us with the proof of the claims, I first want to notice that we can write $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[c(\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{B}}, \sigma)]$, $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[c(\mathcal{A}_B, \sigma)]$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[OPT(\sigma)]$ as a function of $p_1, p_2, p_3, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[c(\mathcal{A}_B, \sigma)] = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[c(\mathcal{A}_B, \sigma) \mid \sigma < \tilde{B}] \Pr(\sigma < \tilde{B})$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[c(\mathcal{A}_B, \sigma) \mid \tilde{B} \le \sigma < B] \Pr(\tilde{B} \le \sigma < B)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[c(\mathcal{A}_B, \sigma) \mid \sigma \ge B] \Pr(\sigma \ge B)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[\sigma \mid \sigma < B] \Pr(\sigma < B)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[\sigma \mid B \le \sigma < B] \Pr(B \le \sigma < B)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[2B \mid \sigma \ge B] \Pr(\sigma \ge B)$$

$$= \Lambda_1 p_1 + \Lambda_2 p_2 + 2B p_3.$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[c(\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{B}}, \sigma)] = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[c(\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{B}}, \sigma) \mid \sigma < \tilde{B}] \Pr(\sigma < \tilde{B})$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[c(\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{B}}, \sigma) \mid \tilde{B} \leq \sigma < B] \Pr(\tilde{B} \leq \sigma < B)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[c(\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{B}}, \sigma) \mid \sigma \geq B] \Pr(\sigma \geq B)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[\sigma \mid \sigma < B] \Pr(\sigma < B)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{B + \tilde{B} \sim \mathcal{P}}[\sigma \mid B \leq \sigma < B] \Pr(B \leq \sigma < B)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[B + \tilde{B} \mid \sigma \geq B] \Pr(\sigma \geq B)$$

$$= \Lambda_1 p_1 + (B + \tilde{B}) p_2 + (B + \tilde{B}) p_3.$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[OPT(\sigma)] = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[OPT(\sigma) \mid \sigma < \tilde{B}] \Pr(\sigma < \tilde{B})$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[OPT(\sigma) \mid \tilde{B} \le \sigma < B] \Pr(\tilde{B} \le \sigma < B)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[OPT(\sigma) \mid \sigma \ge B] \Pr(\sigma \ge B)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[\sigma \mid \sigma < B] \Pr(\sigma < B)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[\sigma \mid B \le \sigma < B] \Pr(B \le \sigma < B)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[B \mid \sigma \ge B] \Pr(\sigma \ge B)$$

$$= \Lambda_1 p_1 + \Lambda_2 p_2 + B p_3.$$

Proof of claim 1. We start by observing that

$$p_3 \le \frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon} 0.8 p_2 = \frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon} \frac{0.8B}{B} p_2 \le \frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon} \frac{\Lambda_2}{B} p_2.$$

Where the last inequality is true since

$$\Lambda_2 = \mathbb{E}[\sigma \mid \tilde{B} \le \sigma < B] \ge \tilde{B} = \lceil 0.8B \rceil \ge 0.8B.$$

Now, with some algebra, we can rewrite this as:

$$p_{3} \leq \frac{1 - \epsilon}{\epsilon} \frac{\Lambda_{2}}{B}$$

$$\Rightarrow B\epsilon p_{3} \leq (1 - \epsilon)\Lambda_{2}p_{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq (1 - \epsilon)\Lambda_{2}p_{2} + Bp_{3}(1 - \epsilon - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow Bp_{3} \leq (1 - \epsilon)(\Lambda_{2}p_{2} + Bp_{3})$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \epsilon \geq \frac{Bp_{3}}{\Lambda_{2}p_{2} + Bp_{3}} \geq \frac{Bp_{3}}{\Lambda_{2}p_{2} + Bp_{3} + p_{1}\Lambda_{1}} \qquad \text{since } \Lambda_{1} \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 - \epsilon \geq 1 + \frac{Bp_{3}}{\Lambda_{2}p_{2} + Bp_{3} + p_{1}\Lambda_{1}} = \frac{\Lambda_{2}p_{2} + 2Bp_{3} + p_{1}\Lambda_{1}}{\Lambda_{2}p_{2} + Bp_{3} + p_{1}\Lambda_{1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\Lambda_{2}p_{2} + 2Bp_{3} + p_{1}\Lambda_{1}}_{\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[c(\mathcal{A}_{B}, \sigma)]} \leq (2 - \epsilon) \underbrace{(\Lambda_{2}p_{2} + Bp_{3} + p_{1}\Lambda_{1})}_{\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[OPT(\sigma)]}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[c(\mathcal{A}_{B}, \sigma)]}_{\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \mathcal{P}}[OPT(\sigma)]} \leq 2 - \epsilon.$$

The final statement is the definition of a $2-\epsilon$ competitive ratio algorithm. Hence, we have concluded the proof.

Proof of claim 2. We start by observing that

$$p_3 \ge \frac{0.8(1-\epsilon)-1}{\epsilon+0.8-1+0.01} p_2 \ge \frac{0.8(1-\epsilon)-1}{\epsilon+0.8-1+\frac{1}{B}} p_2.$$

Where this is true since we are assuming that B > 100. Now, with some algebra, we can

rewrite this as:

$$p_{3} \geq \frac{0.8(1-\epsilon)-1}{\epsilon+0.8-1+\frac{1}{B}}p_{2} \geq \frac{0.8(1-\epsilon)-1-\frac{1}{B}}{\epsilon+0.8-1+\frac{1}{B}}p_{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_{3}\left(\epsilon+0.8-1+\frac{1}{B}\right) \leq p_{2}\left(0.8(1-\epsilon)-1-\frac{1}{B}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow -Bp_{3}(1-\epsilon)+0.8Bp_{3}+\frac{1}{B}\mathcal{B}p_{3} \leq 0.8(1-\epsilon)Bp_{2}-(B+1)p_{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (B+1)p_{2}+(0.8B+1)p_{3} \leq (1-\epsilon)(0.8Bp_{2}+Bp_{3})$$

$$\Rightarrow 1-\epsilon \geq \frac{(B+1)p_{2}+(0.8B+1)p_{3}}{0.8Bp_{2}+Bp_{3}} \geq \frac{(B+1)p_{2}+\tilde{B}p_{3}}{0.8Bp_{2}+Bp_{3}} \qquad \text{since } \tilde{B} = \lceil 0.8B \rceil \leq 0.8B+1$$

$$\Rightarrow 2-\epsilon \geq 1+\frac{(B+1)p_{2}+\tilde{B}p_{3}}{0.8Bp_{2}+Bp_{3}} \geq 1+\frac{(B+1)p_{2}+\tilde{B}p_{3}}{p_{1}\Lambda_{1}+0.8Bp_{2}+Bp_{3}} \qquad \text{since } \tilde{\Lambda}_{1} \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2-\epsilon \geq \frac{p_{1}\Lambda_{1}+(B+0.8B+1)p_{2}+(B+\tilde{B})p_{3}}{p_{1}\Lambda_{1}+0.8Bp_{2}+Bp_{3}} \qquad \text{since } \tilde{B} = \lceil 0.8B \rceil \leq 0.8B+1$$

$$\Rightarrow 2-\epsilon \geq \frac{p_{1}\Lambda_{1}+(B+\tilde{B})p_{2}+(B+\tilde{B})p_{3}}{p_{1}\Lambda_{1}+0.8Bp_{2}+Bp_{3}} \qquad \text{since } \tilde{B} = \lceil 0.8B \rceil \leq 0.8B+1$$

$$\Rightarrow 2-\epsilon \geq \frac{p_{1}\Lambda_{1}+(B+\tilde{B})p_{2}+(B+\tilde{B})p_{3}}{p_{1}\Lambda_{1}+0.8Bp_{2}+Bp_{3}} \qquad \text{since } \tilde{B} = \lceil 0.8B \rceil \leq 0.8B+1$$

$$\Rightarrow 2-\epsilon \geq \frac{p_{1}\Lambda_{1}+(B+\tilde{B})p_{2}+(B+\tilde{B})p_{3}}{p_{1}\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}p_{2}+Bp_{3}} \qquad \text{since } 0.8B \leq \tilde{B} \leq \Lambda_{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_{1}\Lambda_{1}+(B+\tilde{B})p_{2}+(B+\tilde{B})p_{3} \leq (2-\epsilon)\underbrace{(p_{1}\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}p_{2}+Bp_{3})}_{\mathbb{E}_{\sigma\sim\mathcal{P}}[OPT(\sigma)]} \qquad \text{since } 0.8B \leq \tilde{B} \leq \Lambda_{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\sigma\sim\mathcal{P}}[c(A_{\tilde{B}},\sigma)]}_{\mathbb{E}_{\sigma\sim\mathcal{P}}[OPT(\sigma)]} \leq 2-\epsilon$$

The final statement is the definition of a $2 - \epsilon$ competitive ratio algorithm. Hence, we have concluded the proof.

- 2. [Nothing to submit]
- 3. In the case where B > 100, we propose the following randomized algorithm \mathcal{R} :

$$\mathcal{R}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_B(\sigma) & w.p. \ 0.5 \\ \mathcal{A}_{\lceil 0.8B \rceil}(\sigma) & w.p. \ 0.5 \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, we call $\tilde{B} = [0.8B]$.

Case 1: $(\sigma < \tilde{B})$ In this scenario, our algorithm yields the same output of the optimal algorithm. This yields a competitive ratio of 1.

Case 2: $(\tilde{B} \leq \sigma < B)$ In this scenario, the expected cost of our algorithm is:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}}[c(\mathcal{R},\sigma)] = \frac{1}{2}\underbrace{\sigma}_{\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{A}_B} + \frac{1}{2}\underbrace{(B + \tilde{B})}_{\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{B}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}B + \frac{1}{2}(B + 0.8B + 1) = \frac{2.8}{2}B + \frac{1}{2} = 1.4B + 0.5 \overset{(i)}{\leq} 1.5B.$$

Where in (i) we use that B > 100. In this same setting, the optimal algorithm yields a result of $\sigma \geq \tilde{B} \geq 0.8B$. Hence, we can notice that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}}[c(\mathcal{R}, \sigma)] \le 1.5B = 1.875 \times 0.8B \le 1.875\sigma = 1.875 \, OPT(\sigma).$$

Therefore, in this scenario, the competitive ratio is 1.875

Case 3: $(\sigma \geq B)$ In this scenario, the expected cost of our algorithm is:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}}[c(\mathcal{R},\sigma)] = \frac{1}{2}2B + \frac{1}{2}(B+\tilde{B}) = \frac{3}{2}B + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{B} \le \frac{3}{2}B + \frac{1}{2}(0.8B+1) \le 1.9B + 1 \stackrel{(i)}{\le} 1.91B$$

Where in (i) we use that B > 100. Whereas, the optimal algorithm yields a result of B. Hence, we can notice that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}}[c(\mathcal{R}, \sigma)] \le 1.91B = 1.91 \, OPT(\sigma).$$

Therefore, in this scenario, the competitive ratio is 1.91.

Conclusion: Since in the worst case input, the competitive ratio is 1.91, we can write:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{R}}[c(\mathcal{R}, \sigma)] \leq \begin{cases} OPT(\sigma) & \text{in case 1} \\ 1.875OPT(\sigma) & \text{in case 2} \end{cases} \leq 1.91OPT(\sigma).$$

$$1.91OPT(\sigma) & \text{in case 3}$$

Hence, we have a competitive ratio of 1.91 which is less than 1.99.

Finally, if $B \leq 100$, then we use the deterministic algorithm \mathcal{A}_{B-1} that achieves a competitive ratio of $\frac{2B-1}{B}$. Notice that if $B \leq 100$, then $\frac{2B-1}{B} \leq 1.99$.

Yao's principle: Now that we have found this algorithm, we can use Yao's principle to "solve again" point 1 without explicating the algorithm.