This is a high-level specification of SCP focusing on the nomination protocol.

Currently, as implemented, before voting for a txset hash, nodes wait to obtain its preimage. Delaying the point at which we wait for the pre-image would leave more room for disseminating the txset in parallel to nomination. However this has to be done carefully to maintain the main property of nomination: assuming that there is a nomination round with a good leader and during which the network is fast engough, at least a Tier-1 quorum must eventually enter balloting.

In the version specified in this document, we do not wait on the pre-image to vote for a txset hash, but we do wait for the pre-image before accepting it.

In the previous version of this document, we even accepted without a pre-image. There is a problem with this: it could create a situation in which not enough nodes can start balloting (i.e. not a full quorum) and the whole system is stuck.

The problem stems from the fact that, in the nomination protocol, nodes that confirm a candidate then stop voting for new values (otherwise nomination is not guaranteed to converge). So if a blocking set B confirms a candidate but somehow other nodes cannot get the pre-images they need to do so, more nomination rounds will not help because the members of B have stopped voting, which blocks the progress of any new candidate. Depending on how pre-images are disseminated, this can potentially be exploited by an attacker to halt the system.

So accepting without a pre-image is only workable if there is some way to guarantee that, once a Tier-1 blocking set has a pre-image, then everybody in Tier-1 eventually gets it.

Another problem is that we want it to be likely that a quorum starts balloting already in agreement and roughly at the same time. If we delay checking pre-images to the confirm stage, an attacker could first send the pre-image to a set A of nodes, which then enter balloting at time  $T_-A$ , but not send the pre-image to another set B of nodes, which then enter balloting at time  $T_-B \ T_-A$  because they need to get the pre-image from A before starting balloting. For example, if it takes 500ms for members of B to get the pre-image from members of A, then  $T_-B = T_-A + 500ms$ . This can cause the first ballot to end without a decision. Members of B could also start a new nomination round before  $T_-B$  and then enter balloting not only late but also with a different value than members of A.

## EXTENDS Naturals, FiniteSets

```
CONSTANTS
```

```
V, validators
```

TxSet, blocks

Bot, default value

 $Quorum(\_)$ , Quorum(v) is the set of quorums of validator v

 $Blocking(\_)$ , Blocking(v) is the set of blocking sets of validator v

Combine(\_), the functions that combines candidates to produce a txset for balloting

H, domain of hashes

Hash(\_) hash function

## --algorithm SCP{

```
variables global variables (e.g. representing messages or cross-component variables like balloting TxSet): balloting TxSet = [v \in V \mapsto Bot]; \text{ for each validator, the nominated txset for } balloting \\ decision = [v \in V \mapsto Bot]; \text{ for each validator, the } balloting \text{ decision} \\ voted = [v \in V \mapsto \{\}]; \text{ X in the whitepaper (nomination Section)}
```

```
accepted = [v \in V \mapsto \{\}]; Y in the whitepaper (nomination Section)
   process ( nomination \in V )
        variables local variables:
            round = 0; nothing happens in round 0; the protocol start at round 1
            candidates = \{\}; Z in the whitepaper (nomination Section)
            preImage = [h \in H \mapsto Bot]; the pre-images the validator knows about
            leader = Bot; leader for the current round
ln1:
       while (TRUE)
       either { timeout and go to next round (this also starts round 1)
            round := round + 1;
            with (l \in V) pick a leader
                leader := l;
                if (l = self) if the leader is the current node, pick a txset and vote for it
                with ( txs \in TxSet ) {
                    preImage[Hash(txs)] := txs;
                    voted[self] := voted[self] \cup \{Hash(txs)\}
            }
       or if (candidates = \{\}) vote for what the leader voted for, unless we have a candidate already
            when leader \neq Bot;
            with ( hs = voted[leader] ) {
                await hs \neq \{\}; wait to hear from the leader
                voted[self] := voted[self] \cup hs vote for what the leader has voted for
                  in the whitepaper version, we would only vote for the hashes for which we have a pre-image:
                  with (hsWithPreimage = \{h \in hs : preImage[h] \neq Bot\})
                  voted[self] := voted[self] \cup hsWithPreimage
             }
        }
        or with Q \in Quorum(self), h \in H ) { accept when voted or accepted by a quorum and we have the pre-im
            when preImage[h] \neq Bot; we must have received the block
            when \forall w \in Q : h \in voted[w] \lor h \in accepted[w]; a quorum has voted or accepted h:
            accepted[self] := accepted[self] \cup \{h\}; accept h
       or with (Bl \in Blocking(self), h \in H) accept when accepted by a blocking set and we have the pre-image
            when preImage[h] \neq Bot; we must have received the block
            when \forall w \in Bl : h \in accepted[w];
            accepted[self] := accepted[self] \cup \{h\}; accept h
        }
       or with ( txs \in TxSet ) { receive a txset
            preImage[Hash(txs)] := txs;
       or with (Q \in Quorum(self), h \in H) confirm b as candidate
            when preImage[h] \neq Bot; we must have received the block
```

```
when \forall w \in Q : h \in accepted[w]; a quorum has accepted h:
             candidates := candidates \cup \{preImage[h]\}; add h to the confirmed candidates
               update the block used in balloting:
             ballotingTxSet[self] := Combine(candidates); this starts the balloting protocol (see below)
         }
     }
     as a first approximation, balloting just decides on one of the balloting blocks:
     note we cannot reuse the process ID identifiers used in nomination, so we add the "balloting" tag
    process ( balloting \in \{\langle v, \text{ "balloting"} \rangle : v \in V\} ) {
lb1:
        await ballotingTxSet[self[1]] \neq Bot; wait for a confirmed candidate from nomination
        with ( b \in \{ballotingTxSet[v] : v \in V\} \setminus \{Bot\} ) {
lb2:
            when \forall w \in V : decision[w] \neq Bot \Rightarrow b = decision[w];
             decision[self[1]] := b;
         }
     }
```

The type-safety invariant:

```
TypeOkay \triangleq \\  \land ballotingTxSet \in [V \rightarrow TxSet \cup \{Bot\}] \\  \land decision \in [V \rightarrow TxSet \cup \{Bot\}] \\  \land voted \in [V \rightarrow \text{SUBSET } H] \\  \land accepted \in [V \rightarrow \text{SUBSET } H] \\  \land round \in [V \rightarrow Nat] \\  \land candidates \in [V \rightarrow \text{SUBSET } TxSet] \\  \land preImage \in [V \rightarrow [H \rightarrow TxSet \cup \{Bot\}]] \\  \land leader \in [V \rightarrow V \cup \{Bot\}]
```

Next we specify a liveness property that we can easily check with the TLC model-checker.

This property is that, if a validator v enters balloting, then eventually all validators enter balloting. This will hold in simple configurations where the whole network is top tier.

For the property to hold, we also need to add fairness assumptions (e.g. if a node can vote for a value, it will eventually do so). Unfortunately the TLA+ code generated from the PlusCal specification is in a form that makes stating fairness assumptions hard. It seems that we would need to rewrite this in pure TLA+ to tackle liveness.

```
NominationLiveness \triangleq \forall v, w \in V : \Box(ballotingTxSet[v] \neq Bot \Rightarrow \Diamond(ballotingTxSet[w] \neq Bot))
```

Definition for model-checking:

```
Concrete hashing for the model-checker:  TestH \triangleq 1 \dots Cardinality(TxSet)   TestHash(b) \triangleq  Let f \triangleq \text{Choose } f \in [TxSet \rightarrow H] : \forall txs1, txs2 \in TxSet : txs1 \neq txs2 \Rightarrow f[txs1] \neq f[txs2]
```

## IN f[b]

Debugging canaries:  $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Canary1} \; \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \; \forall \, v \in \, V : decision[v] = Bot \\ \textit{Canary2} \; \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \; \forall \, v \in \, V : \textit{Cardinality}(\textit{candidates}[v]) \leq 1 \\ \textit{Canary3} \; \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \; \forall \, v \in \, V : \textit{ballotingTxSet}[v] = Bot \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{TestQuorums} & \triangleq \{Q \in \textit{SUBSET} \ V : 2 * \textit{Cardinality}(Q) > \textit{Cardinality}(V)\} \\ \textit{TestBlocking} & \triangleq \{Bl \in \textit{SUBSET} \ V : \textit{Cardinality}(Bl) > 1\} \end{array}$ 

- $\backslash \ * \ \operatorname{Modification} \ \operatorname{History}$
- \ \* Last modified Thu Mar 30 20:16:04 PDT 2023 by nano
- \* Created Thu Mar 30 20:15:37 PDT 2023 by nano