

Return to "Computer Vision Nanodegree" in the classroom

# Image Captioning

REVIEW CODE REVIEW 1 HISTORY

## **Meets Specifications**

You've done a fantastic job completing the Image Captioning Project!

You might want to check out the resources below to further improve your model and solidify your understanding of the material:

- Rich Image Captioning in the Wild
- Power of Combining CNN-RNN architectures
- Image Captioning and Visual Question Answering Based on Attributes and External Knowledge

Keep up the great work 👍

#### **Files Submitted**

- The submission includes model.py and the following Jupyter notebooks, where all questions have been answered:
  - 2\_Training.ipynb, and
  - 3\_Inference.ipynb.
  - 🎉 Well done submitting all of the required files and answering all of the questions in the notebook!

### model.py

- The chosen CNN architecture in the content class in model.py makes sense as an encoder for the image captioning task.
  - **K** Great choice selecting the **ResNet** architecture as a CNN Encoder!

Suggestion: you could also try out the Inception model for the CNN as implemented in the open source Show and Tell Image Captioning by Google

- The chosen RNN architecture in the RNNDecoder class in model.py makes sense as a decoder for the image captioning task.
  - >> Well done crafting a LSTM model with an embedding layer!

## 2\_Training.ipynb

- When using the get\_loader function in data\_loader.py to train the model, most arguments are left at their default values, as outlined in Step 1 of 1\_Preliminaries.ipynb. In particular, the submission only (optionally) changes the values of the following arguments: transform , mode , batch\_size , vocab\_threshold , vocab\_from\_file .
  - >> Nicely done correctly setting the arguments with reasonable values!
- The submission describes the chosen CNN-RNN architecture and details how the hyperparameters were selected.

Great job describing the chosen CNN-RNN architecture!

Tips for further improvement:

• Consider using weight initialization and Batch Normalization for the CNN

```
class EncoderCNN(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, embed_size):
        """Load the pretrained ResNet-152 and replace top fc layer."""
        super(EncoderCNN, self).__init__()
        resnet = models.resnet152(pretrained=True)
        modules = list(resnet.children())[:-1]  # delete the last fc layer.
```

```
self.resnet = nn.Sequential(*modules)
self.linear = nn.Linear(resnet.fc.in_features, embed_size)
self.bn = nn.BatchNormld(embed_size, momentum=0.01)
self.init_weights()

def init_weights(self):
    """Initialize the weights."""
self.linear.weight.data.normal_(0.0, 0.02)
self.linear.bias.data.fill_(0)
```

• Consider initializing the weights for the RNN as well

```
class DecoderRNN(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, embed_size, hidden_size, vocab_size, num_layers):
        """Set the hyper-parameters and build the layers."""
        super(DecoderRNN, self).__init__()
        self.embed = nn.Embedding(vocab_size, embed_size)
        self.lstm = nn.LSTM(embed_size, hidden_size, num_layers, batch_first=True)
        self.linear = nn.Linear(hidden_size, vocab_size)
        self.init_weights()

def init_weights(self):
        """Initialize weights."""
        self.embed.weight.data.uniform_(-0.1, 0.1)
        self.linear.weight.data.uniform_(-0.1, 0.1)
        self.linear.bias.data.fill_(0)
```

- The transform is congruent with the choice of CNN architecture. If the transform has been modified, the submission describes how the transform used to pre-process the training images was selected.
  - > The transform implementation is congruent with the CNN architecture!
- The submission describes how the trainable parameters were selected and has made a well-informed choice when deciding which parameters in the model should be trainable.
  - Nicely done describing the trainable and untrainable parameters in your answer! You have made a well-informed choice to stick with the default values and tweak if necessary
- The submission describes how the optimizer was selected.

Great job choosing the Adam algorithm as an optimizer! Here are some of the benefits of the Adam algorithm:

- Straightforward to implement
- Computationally efficient
- Little memory requirements
- Invariant to diagonal rescale of the gradients
- Well suited for problems that are large in terms of data and/or parameters
- Appropriate for non-stationary objectives
- Appropriate for problems with very noisy/or sparse gradients
- Hyper-parameters have intuitive interpretation and typically require little tuning
- The code cell in Step 2 details all code used to train the model from scratch. The output of the code cell shows exactly what is printed when running the code cell. If the submission has amended the code used for training the model, it is well-organized and includes comments.
  - Kereat job training your model in Step 2! The cell does have output of running the code and the code is wellorganized!

#### 3\_Inference.ipynb

The transform used to pre-process the test images is congruent with the choice of CNN architecture. It is also consistent with the transform specified in transform\_train in 2\_Training.ipynb.

The same congruent transform has been used for both testing and training data.

- The implementation of the sample method in the RNNDecoder class correctly leverages the RNN to generate predicted token indices.
  - Superb! The entries from the output of the sample method do leverage the LSTM architecture to generate valid token indices. Each output entry corresponds to the integer indicating the token in the vocabulary!
- The clean\_sentence function passes the test in Step 4. The sentence is reasonably clean, where any clean and cendo tokens have been removed.

