

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder  
Schema Refinement and  
Normal Forms

CS430/630  
Lecture 16

# Why Schema Refinement?

---

- ▶ We have learnt the advantages of relational tables ...
- ▶ ... but how to decide on the relational schema?

- ▶ At one extreme, store everything in single table
  - ▶ Huge redundancy
  - ▶ Leads to anomalies!

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

- ▶ We need to break the information into several tables
  - ▶ How many tables, and with what structures?
  - ▶ Having too many tables can also cause problems
    - ▶ E.g., performance, difficulty in checking constraints



# Sample Relation

---

Hourly\_Emps (ssn, name, lot, rating, wage, hrs\_worked)

- ▶ Denote relation schema by attribute initial: SNLRWH
- ▶ Constraints (dependencies)
  - ▶ *ssn* is the key:  $S \rightarrow SNLRWH$
  - ▶ *rating* determines *wage*:  $R \rightarrow W$ 
    - ▶ E.g., worker with rating A receives 20\$/hr

# Anomalies

## ► Problems due to $R \rightarrow W$ :

- Update anomaly: Change value of  $W$  only in a tuple – dependency violation
- Insertion anomaly: How to insert employee if we don't know hourly wage for that rating?
- Deletion anomaly: If we delete all employees with rating 5, we lose the information about the wage for rating 5!

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

S	N	L	R	W	H
123-22-3666	Attishoo	48	8	10	40
231-31-5368	Smiley	22	8	10	30
131-24-3650	Smethurst	35	5	7	30
434-26-3751	Guldu	35	5	7	32
612-67-4134	Madayan	35	8	10	40

# Removing Anomalies

Hourly\_Emps2

S	N	L	R	H
123-22-3666	Attishoo	48	8	40
231-31-5368	Smiley	22	8	30
131-24-3650	Smethurst	35	5	30
434-26-3751	Guldu	35	5	32
612-67-4134	Madayan	35	8	40

Wages

R	W
8	10
5	7

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powder Greater 2 smaller tables!

- ▶ Updating rating of employee will result in the wage “changing” accordingly
  - ▶ Note that there is no physical change of W, just a “pointer change”
- ▶ Deleting employee does not affect rating-wages data

# Dealing with Redundancy

---

- ▶ *Redundancy* is at the root of **redundant storage, insert/delete/update anomalies**
- ▶ Integrity constraints, in particular **functional dependencies**, can be used to identify redundancy
- ▶ Main refinement technique: **decomposition** (replacing ABCD with, say, AB and BCD, or ACD and ABD)
- ▶ Decomposition should be used judiciously:
  - ▶ Decomposition may sometimes affect performance. **Why?**
  - ▶ What problems (if any) does decomposition cause?
    - ▶ Incorrect data
    - ▶ Loss of dependencies



# Functional Dependencies (FDs)

---

- ▶ A functional dependency  $X \rightarrow Y$  holds over relation R if for every instance  $r$  of R

- ▶  $t1, t2 \in r, \pi_X(t1) = \pi_X(t2)$  implies  $\pi_Y(t1) = \pi_Y(t2)$

- ▶ given two tuples in  $r$ , if the X values agree, Y values must also agree

<https://powcoder.com>

- ▶ FD is a statement about all allowable relations.

- ▶ Identified based on semantics of application (business logic)
  - ▶ Given an instance  $r$  of R, we can check if it violates some FD  $f$ , but we cannot tell if  $f$  holds over R!



# FDs and Keys

---

- ▶ FDs are a **generalization** of keys
  - ▶ A key uniquely identifies all attribute values in a tuple
  - ▶ That is a particular case of FD ...
  - ▶ ... but not all FDs must determine ALL attributes

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

- ▶ K is a **key** for R means that  $K \rightarrow R$ 
  - ▶ However,  $K \rightarrow R$  does not require K to be **minimal**!
  - ▶ K can be a **superkey** as well





# Reasoning About FDs

---

- ▶ Given FD set  $F$ , we can usually infer additional FDs:
    - ▶  $F^+$  = *closure of  $F$*  is the set of all FDs that are implied by  $F$
- Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

- ▶ Armstrong's Axioms ( $X, Y, Z$  are sets of attributes):

- ▶ Reflexivity: If  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $X \rightarrow Y$
- ▶ Augmentation: If  $X \rightarrow Y$ , then  $XZ \rightarrow YZ$  for any  $Z$
- ▶ Transitivity: If  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $Y \rightarrow Z$ , then  $X \rightarrow Z$

- ▶ These are *sound* and *complete* inference rules for FDs!
- 



# Reasoning About FDs (cont'd)

---

- ▶ Additional rules

- ▶ Not necessary, but helpful

- ▶ Union and decomposition (splitting)

- ▶  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $X \rightarrow Z \Rightarrow X \rightarrow YZ$

- ▶  $X \rightarrow YZ \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$  and  $X \rightarrow Z$

Add WeChat powcoder



# An Example of FD Inference

---

- ▶ **Contracts**(*cid, sid, jid, did, pid, qty, value*), and:
  - ▶ Contract id, supplier, project, department, part
  - ▶ C is the key:  $C \rightarrow CSJDPQV$
  - ▶ Project purchases each part using single contract.  $JP \rightarrow C$
  - ▶ Dept purchases at most one part from a supplier:  $SD \rightarrow P$
- ▶  $JP \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow CSJDPQV$  imply  $JP \rightarrow CSJDPQV$
- ▶  $SD \rightarrow P$  implies  $SDJ \rightarrow JP$
- ▶  $SDJ \rightarrow JP, JP \rightarrow CSJDPQV$  imply  $SDJ \rightarrow CSJDPQV$



# Attribute Closure

---

- ▶ Attribute closure of  $X$  (denoted  $X^+$ ) wrt FD set  $F$ :
  - ▶ Set of all attributes  $A$  such that  $X \rightarrow A$  is in  $F^+$
  - ▶ Set of all attributes that can be determined starting from attributes in  $X$  and using FDs in  $F$
- ▶ Apply split rule such that all FDs have single attr in RHS
  - $X^+ = X$
  - Repeat
    - $Y = X^+$
    - Search all FDs in  $F$  with LHS completely included in  $X^+$
    - Add RHS of those FDs to  $X$
  - Until  $Y = X$

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

# Verifying if given FD in FD-set closure

---

- ▶ Computing the closure of a set of FDs can be expensive
  - ▶ Size of closure is exponential in number of attributes!

- ▶ But if we just want to check if a given FD  $X \rightarrow Y$  is in the closure of a set of FDs  $F$ :
  - ▶ Can be done efficiently without need to know  $F^+$
  - ▶ Compute  $X^+$  wrt  $F$
  - ▶ Check if  $Y$  is in  $X^+$

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder



# Verifying if attribute set is a key

---

- ▶ Key verification can also be done with attribute closure
- ▶ To verify if  $X$  is a key, two conditions needed:
  - ▶  $X^+ = R$
  - ▶  $X$  is minimal
- ▶ How to test minimality
- ▶ Removing an attribute from  $X$  results in  $X'$  such that  $X'^+ \neq R$

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

