

Feb 10, 2021

# Lake biofilms sampling for both downstream DNA analysis and microscopic counts

Frederic Rimet<sup>1</sup>, Rainer Kurmayer<sup>2</sup>, Nico Salmaso<sup>3</sup>, Camilla Capelli<sup>4</sup>, Cecile Chardon<sup>5</sup>, Agnès Bouchez<sup>1</sup>, Julie Gueguen<sup>5</sup>, Marine Vautier<sup>1</sup>, Isabelle Domaizon<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>INRAE, CARTELE, Pole R&D ECLA, Thonon les bains, France;

<sup>2</sup>University of Innsbruck, Research Dep. for Limnology, Innsbruck, Austria;

<sup>3</sup>Edmund Mach Foundation, San Michele all'Adige, Trento, Italy;

<sup>4</sup>University of Applied Sciences Southern Switzerland, SUPSI, Cannobio, Switzerland; <sup>5</sup>INRAE, CARTELE, Thonon les bains, France

1

Works for me

[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bfk4jkyw](https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bfk4jkyw)

EcoALpsWater



Marine Vautier

SUBMIT TO PLOS ONE

## ABSTRACT

The objective of this protocol is to provide a reliable and replicable method for the sampling of lake micro-phytobenthos and associated microbes in biofilms, to be used in both downstream DNA analysis and algal microscopic counts.

The field protocol is optimized for routine sampling and is in agreement with CEN guidance (NF EN 13946) and CEN technical report (CEN/TR 17245) for the analysis of benthic diatoms from rivers and lakes. The application proposed here in the context of EcoALpsWater aims at comparing DNA inventories to traditional inventories (microscopy).

The sampling strategy has been designed to assess localized hotspots pollutions/perturbations impacts on the shoreline, taking into account shoreline's heterogeneity.

This protocol is part of the deliverables provided by the WP1 of the Eco-AlpsWater project. All members of the EcoALpsWater consortium have contributed to the optimization of this protocol.

The use of microalgae to assess ecological quality of lakes is traditionally based on phytoplankton that allow to evaluate the trophic status. A single depth-integrated water sample from one sampling site above the deepest point of the lake is chosen, and samplings are carried out in the euphotic zone at different seasons.

Though not always included as biological quality indicator in lake monitoring, phytobenthos has relevance for lake ecological quality assessment and might be used as a complementary indicator beside phytoplankton in the future (e.g. DeNicola & Kelly 2013).

Phytobenthic communities of the lake's shorelines are generally heterogeneous. The reason for this heterogeneity can be due to many factors, including substrate characteristics as well as anthropogenic factors such as eutrophication, the presence of pollution hotspots, like arrivals of polluted rivers in the lakes or arrivals of wastewaters through drains (e.g. Crossetti et al. 2013, Rimet et al. 2015/2016/2018, Cicek & Yamuc, 2017). But it can also be caused by the nature of the neighboring terrestrial habitats and land use (for instance closed forest vs open fields, or pasture vs steep rock falls).

The objective of this protocol is to provide a reliable and replicable method for the sampling of lake micro-phytobenthos and associated microbes biofilms to be used in both downstream DNA analysis and algal microscopic counts. Examples of applications to assess localized hotspots pollutions impact on the shoreline, taking into account shoreline's heterogeneity, will be provided.

The field protocol is based on routine methods used for biofilms sampling and is in agreement with :

- CEN 2014. Water quality - NF EN 13946 - Guidance for the routine sampling and preparation of benthic diatoms from rivers and lakes. Afnor, 1-23.

- CEN 2018. Water quality - CEN/TR 17245 - Technical report for the routine sampling of benthic diatoms from rivers and lakes adapted for metabarcoding analyses. CEN, 1-8.

I-Cicek N.L. & Yamuc F. (2017) Using Epilithic Algae Assemblages to Assess Water Quality in Lake Kovada and Kovada Channel (Turkey), and in Relation to Environmental Factors. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 17, 701–711.

-Crossetti L.O., Stenger-Kovacs C. & Padisak J. (2013) Coherence of phytoplankton and attached diatom-based ecological status assessment in Lake Balaton. *Hydrobiologia* 716, 87–101.

-DeNicola, D.M., Kelly, M., 2014. Role of periphyton in ecological assessment of lakes. *Freshw. Sci.* 33 (2), 619–638.

-Rimet F., Bouchez A. & Montuelle B. (2015) Benthic diatoms and phytoplankton to assess nutrients in a large lake: Complementarity of their use in Lake Geneva (France-Switzerland). *Ecological Indicators* 53, 231–239.

-Rimet F., Bouchez A. & Tapolczai K. (2016) Spatial heterogeneity of littoral benthic diatoms in a large lake: monitoring implications. *Hydrobiologia* 771, 179–193.

-Rimet F., Féret L, Bouchez A., Dorioz J.M., & Dambrine E. (2018) Factors influencing the heterogeneity of benthic diatom communities within alpine lakes. *Hydrobiologia* 839(1)

DOI

[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bfk4jkyw](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bfk4jkyw)

PROTOCOL CITATION

Frederic Rimet, Rainer Kurmayer, Nico Salmaso, Camilla Capelli, Cecile Chardon, Agnès Bouchez, Julie Gueguen, Marine Vautier, Isabelle Domaizon 2021. Lake biofilms sampling for both downstream DNA analysis and microscopic counts. **protocols.io**  
<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bfk4jkyw>

MANUSCRIPT CITATION please remember to cite the following publication along with this protocol

I-Cicek N.L. & Yamuc F. (2017) Using Epilithic Algae Assemblages to Assess Water Quality in Lake Kovada and Kovada Channel (Turkey), and in Relation to Environmental Factors. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 17, 701–711.

-Crossetti L.O., Stenger-Kovacs C. & Padisak J. (2013) Coherence of phytoplankton and attached diatom-based ecological status assessment in Lake Balaton. *Hydrobiologia* 716, 87–101.

-DeNicola, D.M., Kelly, M., 2014. Role of periphyton in ecological assessment of lakes. *Freshw. Sci.* 33 (2), 619–638.

-Rimet F., Bouchez A. & Montuelle B. (2015) Benthic diatoms and phytoplankton to assess nutrients in a large lake: Complementarity of their use in Lake Geneva (France-Switzerland). *Ecological Indicators* 53, 231–239.

-Rimet F., Bouchez A. & Tapolczai K. (2016) Spatial heterogeneity of littoral benthic diatoms in a large lake: monitoring implications. *Hydrobiologia* 771, 179–193.

-Rimet F., Féret L, Bouchez A., Dorioz J.M., & Dambrine E. (2018) Factors influencing the heterogeneity of benthic diatom communities within alpine lakes. *Hydrobiologia* 839(1)

KEYWORDS

lake, biofilm, diatoms, sampling, biodiversity, DNA analysis, microscopic counts

LICENSE

— This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

CREATED


Apr 27, 2020

LAST MODIFIED

Feb 10, 2021

## OWNERSHIP HISTORY

Apr 27, 2020  Cecile Chardon

Feb 03, 2021  Marine Vautier

## PROTOCOL INTEGER ID

36220

## GUIDELINES

- When & where to sample
  - Choice of the sampling season and period
  - Choice of the sampling stations (example of application in Eco-AlpsWater monitoring)
- Biofilms sampling procedure & preservation
  - If stones are available
  - If stones are not available
  - Blank samples
- Label standard and sampling filed datasheet

## MATERIALS TEXT

- Reagents
  - for materials cleaning
    - \* 10% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution
    - \* DNA free water (Millipore Water (Milli-Q) 18.2 MΩcm (at 25 °C))
  - for sampling
    - \* DNA free water (Millipore Water (Milli-Q) 18.2 MΩcm (at 25 °C)), plan 1L for 10 stations
    - \* for the preservation of DNA samples: absolute ethanol (high quality - for analysis), plan approx. 500mL (for 10 stations)
    - \* for the preservation of samples dedicated to microscopic counts: absolute ethanol, plan approx. 500mL (for 10 stations) **OR** another solution as formaldehyde (according to the countries the traditional protocol for fixation of samples can differ).
    - \* for blank sample: DNA free water (Millipore Water (Milli-Q) 18.2 MΩcm (at 25 °C)), plan 50mL per blank sample and absolute ethanol (high quality - for analysis), plan approx. 50mL per blank sample
- Materials
  - DNA free tray, 1 per sampling station and 1 per blank sample
- Consumables
  - new nylon brush (e.g. toothbrush), 1 per sampling station (to avoid contaminations)
  - 50mL Falcon tube (sterile) :
    - \* at least 3 tubes per sampling station:  
*1 tube for DNA analysis, 1 tube for microscopic counts and 1 tube for additional sample kept without fixation (if some samples have to be inspected live under the microscope, or for cyanotoxins analysis)*
    - \* 1 per blank sample: it is recommended to make a sample blank every 10 samples approximately
  - gloves

## SAFETY WARNINGS

- Absolute ethanol
  - CAS number : 64-17-5
  - Signal word : Harmful and Flammable
  - Hazard phrases : 225, 319
  - Precaution phrases : 210, 305+351+338
- Formaldehyde solution
  - CAS number : 50-00-0

Signal word : Flammable, Corrosive substance, Toxic, Health hazard

Hazard phrases : 226, 301+311+331, 314, 317, 335, 341, 350, 370

Precaution phrases : 201, 210, 280, 301+310+330, 303+361+353, 305+351+338+310

- 10% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution, Hydrogen peroxide solution at 10%

CAS number : 7722-84-1

Signal word : Harmful and Corrosive substance

Hazard phrases : 302, 318, 412

Precaution phrases : 273, 280, 301+312+330, 305+351+338+310

#### BEFORE STARTING

- Read and follow the step 1 - When & where to sample
- Watch the sampling demonstration video: [https://youtu.be/\\_6Q48nSMjNA](https://youtu.be/_6Q48nSMjNA)
- The following cleaning precautions must be applied, to avoid contaminations :
  - Nylon brushes (e.g. toothbrush) must be new
  - At the lab, the tray is cleaned with 10% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, then rinsed with DNA free water and dried
  - Wear gloves throughout the sampling process and change them between different sampling stations

### When & where to sample

#### 1 ■ Choice of the sampling season and period

- Phytobenthic communities' composition are changing along seasons. In large lakes, the major variables explaining these temporal changes are nutrients (and phosphorus especially).

- Moreover, the heterogeneity between the communities present along the shoreline vary from a season to another, and is more important in summer (e.g. Lake Geneva in Rimet et al. 2015).

For this reason, **samplings must be carried out during summer.**

- In general sampling sites free of submerge (and emerge) macrophytes should be selected to exclude shadowing effects on phytobenthic growth which is (ironically) most effective during summer.

- **If wind induced strong waves** during several days and scoured the biofilms (e.g. storms, days with continuous strong winds), then, you have to **wait for 2-3 weeks** before collecting the samples, to allow biofilms to restructure.

- Water level fluctuations would also have a significant effect on biofilms community composition. **Water level should be stabilized at least over the last 3 weeks and the samples should be taken at 50cm depth from minimum water level** (recorded annual data).

#### ■ Choice of the sampling stations (example of application in Eco-AlpsWater monitoring)

■

In the framework of Eco-AlpsWater, at least **10 stations** must be sampled in each lake. Usually, samples are taken every 0.5 to 1.5 km along the shoreline (depending on lake size). Since 10 samples are a quite reduced number of samples to cover the entire perimeter of a lake, we propose to **choose a small stretch shoreline** of the lake. This stretch should be chosen by expert judgment and **should present as small heterogeneity in substrate.**

#### Sampling site selection strategy (figure 1):

- When shoreline is homogeneous (when natural, or semi-natural), position one sampling site every 0.5 to 1.5 km (depending on lake size).

- When heterogeneity is present along the shoreline, densify the number of sampling sites, for instance:

- \* near the arrival of a river (e.g. in river mouth, and one on each bank)
    - \* near the arrival of a wastewater treatment plant
    - \* inside/outside harbors (inside=in the harbor, outside=in the lake)
    - \* near quarry exploitation (aggregate, stones, sand exploitation)




Figure 1: Example of positioning of the 10 sampling stations along the shoreline of a lake

## Biofilms sampling procedure & preservation

- 2
  - The following cleaning precautions must be applied, to avoid contaminations:
    - Nylon brushes (e.g. toothbrush) must be new
    - At the lab, the tray is cleaned with 10% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, then rinsed with DNA free water and dried
    - Wear gloves throughout the sampling process and change them between different sampling stations

### 2.1 If stones are available (figure 2):

A demonstration video is available at [https://youtu.be/\\_6Q48nSMjNA](https://youtu.be/_6Q48nSMjNA)

- Take at least 5 stones (it can be more, depending on stones sizes and biofilms amounts), for a total brushed surface of at least 100 cm<sup>2</sup>. Stones are taken at 20-50 cm depth from the minimal water level (annual data) in an area of 100 m<sup>2</sup>. The area is at least 2 m wide (a 2 m wide strip corresponds to a 50 m long stretch can be sampled).
- Let the stones drain for a few minutes
- Fill the bottom of the tray with 50 ml of free DNA water
- Brush the stones in the tray.

*Note: Brush the stones above and on the sides, but not the surface in contact with the ground.*

- The obtained biological material (mixture of biofilms and water) is then sub-sampled:

\* For DNA samples (figure 2):

- Take the biofilm/water mixture from the tray and fill the tube up to 10 ml
- Complete the tube with absolute ethanol up to 50 ml (add ~40 mL of absolute ethanol)
- Shake to homogenize, label
- The samples are stored at 4°C in the dark for a maximum of 1 month, or can also be frozen at -20 or -80°C for a maximum of 3 months



Figure 2: Schematic sampling procedure in the field when stones are available

samples:

\* For microscopy samples:

- Take a second sub sample (10 mL) of the biofilm/water mixture from the same tray

- Add the appropriate preservative solution for the microscopy analysis according to the case, preservative solution can be Ethanol (70%) or Formaldehyde (2%). Store at ~ +4°C, in the dark.
- If the sample has to be inspected live under the microscope (in particular for soft algae), a third sub-sample can be kept without any fixation. Store at ~ +4°C, in the dark.

## 2.2

### If stones are not available:

- Sample on artificial and hard substrates (figure 3) (e.g. riprap, artificial concrete banks)
- Use a hoe equipped with a net
- Scrap a minimal surface of 100 cm<sup>3</sup> at 20-40 cm depth




Figure 3: Schematic sampling procedure in the field when no stone is available

- If artificial and hard substrates are not available, sample on macrophytes
- At 20-40 cm depth: squeeze submerged filaments (e.g. Elodea, Potamogeton) or scrap the macrophyte stem (e.g. Typha). At least 20 cm of stem must be scrapped.

**Important note:** if samples are taken on macrophytes, this must be indicated on the sample, and only diatom analyses will be performed on these samples.

### Blank sample

- It is recommended to make a blank sample during the sampling for DNA analysis:
  - If number of stations < 10, make 1 blank sample
  - If number of stations > 10, make 1 blank sample every 10 samples approximately
- Fill the bottom of a clean tray with 50 ml of free DNA water.
  - Fill a 50 mL sterile Falcon tube up to 10 ml with the water from the tray.
  - Complete the tube with absolute ethanol up to 50 ml (add ~40 mL of absolute ethanol).
  - Shake to homogenize and label.
  - Store the blanks like the other samples (at ~ +4°C in the dark for a maximum of 1 month, or frozen at -20 or -80°C for a maximum of 3 months).

### Label standard & sampling field datasheet

- Sample labeling: "Biofilm", "River Name", "Station", "Date"
  - Accompanying documents:
    - field sheets : we propose a field sheet (Table 1) for mesological accompanying data with four subparts (Figure 4):
      - \* A: Station description
      - \* B: Sample description
      - \* C: Shore description
      - \* D: Land use description
    - photographic documentation : if possible, add a photographic documentation of the sampling area




Figure 4: Presentation of the description sheet for one station



| BIOFILM sampling - Lakes                                |  | ECO-ALPSWATER INTERREG     |        |
|---|--|----------------------------|--------|
| (Fields marked with * are required)                     |  |                            |        |
| Name of the lake *                                      |  |                            |        |
| Sampling person(s) *                                    |  |                            |        |
| Sampling date and time *                                |  |                            |        |
| <b>A: STATION DESCRIPTION</b>                           | Area in which the stones are taken (100 m <sup>2</sup> ) |                            |        |
| Name of the sampling Station *                          |  |                            |        |
| Coordinates *   |  |                            |        |
| Nearest place, municipality                             |  |                            |        |
| Waves (tick) *  | no   | a little                   | a lot  |
| Weather condition (tick) *                              | Nice   | Mixed                      | Bad    |
| Wind (tick) *   | No   | Weak                       | Strong |
| Current wind direction *                                |  |                            |        |
| Current wind speed *                                    |  |                            |        |
| Wind direction (last 2 weeks)                           |  |                            |        |
| Wind speed (last 2 weeks)                               |  |                            |        |
| Shading (tick) *  | Open   | semi-open                  | Closed |
| Shading of survey site [%] *                            |  |                            |        |
| Water level (as referred to annual minimum water level) |  |                            |        |
| Possible pollution sources (eutrophication)             |  |                            |        |
| Station average depth *                                 |  |                            |        |
| Average slope (%) *                                     |  | Distance (cm)              |        |
|   |  | Depth (cm)                 |        |
| <b>Water Physico-chemistry</b>                          |  |                            |        |
| pH  |  |                            |        |
| Dissolved oxygen (mg.L <sup>-1</sup> )                  |  |                            |        |
| Dissolved oxygen (%)                                    |  |                            |        |
| Conductivity (µS.cm <sup>-1</sup> )                     |  |                            |        |
| Temperature (°C)  |  |                            |        |
| turbidity   |  |                            |        |
| PAR/ light  |  |                            |        |
| Chl a (µg/L)  |  |                            |        |
| Biofilm dry weight                                      |  | Surface (cm <sup>2</sup> ) |        |



| Hydrology*                         | Code   | Tick | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------------|--|------|----------------|
| River coming                       |  |      |                |
| River outing                       |  |      |                |
| Substrate *                        | 2 substrates to tick, 1 dominant + a 2nd                         |      |                |
| Vase (<2µm)                        |  |      |                |
| Sand, silt (2µm-2mm)               |  |      |                |
| Gravels (2mm-2cm)                  |  |      |                |
| Stones (2cm-20cm)                  |  |      |                |
| Blocks (>20cm)                     |  |      |                |
| Flagstone                          |  |      |                |
| Vegetation                         |  |      |                |
| Helophyte                          |  |      |                |
| Overhanging vegetation             |  |      |                |
| Alive emerging ligneous vegetation |  |      |                |
| Dead ligneous vegetation           |  |      |                |
| Hairy root                         |  |      |                |
| litter or coarse organic debris    |  |      |                |
| bryophytes                         |  |      |                |
| Floating hydrophytes               |  |      |                |
| Submerged hydrophytes              |  |      |                |
| Additional Notes                   |  |      |                |
| <b>B: SAMPLE DESCRIPTION</b>       | Substrate on which the biofilm is sampled (100 cm <sup>2</sup> ) |      |                |
| Sampling depth (cm)*               |  |      |                |
| Sampling substrate *               | Code   | Tick | Percentage (%) |
| Gravels (2mm-2cm)                  |  |      |                |
| Stones (2cm-20cm)                  |  |      |                |
| Blocks (>20cm)                     |  |      |                |
| Additional Notes                   |  |      |                |

| <b>C: SHORE DESCRIPTION</b> | Shoreline bordering the sampled station (50 m) |      |                |
|-----------------------------|--|------|----------------|
| Shore composition           | Code   | Tick | Percentage (%) |
| Rockfill / riprap           |  |      |                |
| Sediments / clays           |  |      |                |
| Sand                        |  |      |                |
| Gravel / Stones             |  |      |                |
| Cliff                       |  |      |                |
| Blocks                      |  |      |                |
| Bridge                      |  |      |                |
| Dam / Wall                  |  |      |                |
| Harbor                      |  |      |                |
| Pier / Dock                 |  |      |                |
| Launching / Wedge           |  |      |                |
| Duckboard                   |  |      |                |
| Additional Notes            |  |      |                |

| <b>D: LAND DESCRIPTION</b>     | Land bordering the sampled station (50 m x 50 m) |      |                |
|--------------------------------|--|------|----------------|
| Land composition               | Code   | Tick | Percentage (%) |
| Bridge                         |  |      |                |
| Harbor                         |  |      |                |
| Pier                           |  |      |                |
| Dock                           |  |      |                |
| Track / Road                   |  |      |                |
| City / Housing                 |  |      |                |
| Forest                         |  |      |                |
| Beach                          |  |      |                |
| Forest                         |  |      |                |
| Pond / Watercourse             |  |      |                |
| Reeds                          |  |      |                |
| Parks / gardens                |  |      |                |
| Agricultural fields / Vineyard |  |      |                |
| Additional Notes               |  |      |                |

Table 1: Field datasheets in 4 parts (A, B, C, D)