



Jun 25, 2020

Protocol of a systematic review with meta-analysis: Acute effects of physical exercise on blood pressure responsiveness to non-cardiopulmonary stress tests

Igor Mariano¹, Ana Luiza Amaral¹, Guilherme Morais Puga¹¹Laboratory of Cardiorespiratory and Metabolic Physiology. Federal University of Uberlândia.**1** Works for me dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bhw3j7gn

Igor Mariano

ABSTRACT

Laboratory stress tests can help compose the clinical profile of individuals and predict the development of future cardiovascular events and depression. These tests work by disturbing the individual's homeostasis to assess the body's responses to it. To assess responses to these stressors, several markers are used, of which we will highlight the blood pressure (BP). One of BP's control strategies is to perform physical exercises. It is known that even after a single exercise session, blood pressure levels can be below baseline levels at rest, but its influence on blood pressure levels under stress is still poorly understood. Thus, the objective of the scientific review proposed here is to answer the following question: what are the acute effects of physical exercise on BP responsiveness to non-cardiopulmonary stress tests in adults? For this, we will carry out a systematic review with meta-analysis on digital bases (PUBMED, LILACS, EMBASE and Psycinfo), of controlled studies that are carried out in adult humans and that indicate BP values under stress after a single session of physical exercises.

ATTACHMENTS

[Complete Protocol.pdf](#)

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bhw3j7gn

PROTOCOL CITATION

Igor Mariano, Ana Luiza Amaral, Guilherme Morais Puga 2020. Protocol of a systematic review with meta-analysis: Acute effects of physical exercise on blood pressure responsiveness to non-cardiopulmonary stress tests. **protocols.io**
dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bhw3j7gn

KEYWORDS

Blood Pressure, Exercise, Stress, Reactivity, Aerobic, Resistance, Stroop, Cold pressor

LICENSE

————— This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

CREATED

Jun 25, 2020

LAST MODIFIED

Jun 25, 2020

PROTOCOL INTEGER ID

38587

GUIDELINES

This protocol was written following the recommendations of PRISMA-P.

BEFORE STARTING

Authors' contributions: IMM participated in the idealization, planning, original manuscript, and approval of the final version; ALA participated in the planning, review, and approval of the final version; GMP participated in the idealization, planning, review, and approval of the final version.

Funding and conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they do not receive financial support and that they have no conflicts of interest.

The complete protocol PDF can be downloaded here: [Complete Protocol.pdf](#)

Background

1 Background

Laboratory stress tests can help compose the clinical profile of individuals and predict, among others, the development of future cardiovascular events and depression [1]. These tests work by disturbing the individual's homeostasis in a controlled way to assess the body's responses to it. This is accomplished through physical stressors (Physiological or environmental), mental stressors (emotional or cognitive) or a mix of both [2]. To assess responses to these stressors, several biochemical (e.g. cortisol, interleukins, norepinephrine), physiological (e.g. heart rate variability, pupil size) and cardiovascular (e.g. blood pressure (BP), heart rate) markers are used [2], of which we will highlight the BP.

High BP is one of the main preventable factors associated with premature death globally [3] and is associated with the risk of cardiovascular events, strokes and kidney disease [4]. One of BP's control strategies is to perform physical exercises. It is known that even after a single exercise session, blood pressure levels can be below baseline levels at rest [5] but its influence on blood pressure levels under stress is still poorly understood. In a 2006 meta-analysis, Hamer and colleagues [6] evaluated the acute effects of aerobic exercise on BP under stress and found promising results. However, in addition to new studies being produced since then, responses to non-aerobic exercise are still unclear.

Thus, the objective of the review proposed here is to answer the following question: what are the acute effects of physical exercise on BP responsiveness to non-cardiopulmonary stress tests in adults?

Methods

2 Methods

Eligibility criteria

Studies with the following characteristics will be eligible: **1) Population:** Human, both sexes, adults; **2) Intervention:** Acute physical exercise session of any modality; **3) Control:** Session without exercise; **4) Outcome of interest:** peak BP (Systolic, diastolic and/or mean) during non-cardiopulmonary stress test or BP variation (values during stress minus basal BP); **5) Languages:** English, Portuguese and Spanish; **6) Study designs:** Randomized clinical trials, or balanced crossover; **7) Publication dates:** No time limit.

The exclusion criteria are: Literature reviews, meta-analysis, letters to the editor, observational studies, animal studies, studies in children, studies written in other languages not described above, studies whose exercise intervention is relaxation sessions, breathing exercises, stretching or cardiovascular rehabilitation after serious cardiovascular events, and studies that do not measure BP during the stress tests.

3 Search strategy

The searches will be carried out in digital databases (PUBMED, LILACS, EMBASE and Psycinfo), in the references of the main articles, and through manual search in the CORE and Google Scholar platforms. If necessary, there will be contact with authors of the studies requesting relevant data.

The search will be divided into three categories of terms: Exercise, Blood Pressure and Stress Tests. Within each category the terms will be separated by union operators (i.e. "OR") and the categories will be separated by parentheses and intersection operators (i.e. "AND"). All terms that will be added to the search are shown in table 1.

Table1 - Categorized search terms.

	Exercise		Blood Pressure	Stress test
Exercise	Hand grip	Tai chi	Arterial pressure	Reactivity
Exercise Therapy	Hand-grip	Tai-chi	Blood pressure	Cold pressor
Physical activity	Handgrip	Isometric	Diastolic	Stroop
Physical training	Walking	Hitt	Systolic	Stress test
Aerobic	Walk	Hit		Psychosocial
Cycling	Weight training	Sitt		Psychosocial test
Bicycle	Weight-training	Sit		Psychosocial stress
Treadmill	Weight exercise	High intensity		Psychosocial task
Cycle ergometer	Weight-exercise	Moderate intensity		Stress task
Cyclergometer	Resistance exercise	Low intensity		math task
Cycle-ergometer	Resistance training	Combined training		Speech task
Swimming	Strength	Combined exercise		Speech
Swim	Pilates	Concurrent training		Math
Running	Yoga	Concurrent exercise		Arithmetic
Run	Ioga			Arithmetic test
				Arithmetic task

4 Study records

During the screening, eligibility, inclusion in the meta-analysis and data extraction phases, the studies will be evaluated in duplicate by 2 independent reviewers. After checking the reviewers' responses, the disagreements will be resolved by a third reviewer. These studies will be organized in the Mendeley reference manager (<https://www.mendeley.com/>) and subsequently registered in a spreadsheet for data extraction and organization. If there are studies in which the data are presented only in graphs or figures without clear numerical representation, the data will be extracted by the web-based software WebPlotDigitalizer.

The data extraction will include: 1) the values referring to the primary outcome of the study (peak BP or BP variation during stress test in mmHg); 2) characteristics of the population (sex, exercise training level, average age, and health status), exercise (modality, intensity, and volume), stress test (type of test, method of BP measurement, and moment of application), and study design (Type of design, sample size, and type of control); 3) Other characteristics (conflicts of interest, other cardiovascular results, and idiosyncrasies of the exercise and the study population).

5 Risk of bias in individual studies

The analysis of risk of bias will be carried out at the level of studies using the tool "Risk of Bias 2.0" from the Cochrane collaboration [7]. This analysis will be divided into the following domains: randomization process, deviations from intended interventions, missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome, selection of the reported result and overall bias. These data will be presented in the results section in textual and/or graphic form and will help to explore the weaknesses of the studies in the discussion section. In addition, we will describe the conflicts of interest reported by the studies.

6 Data synthesis and quantitative approaches

The data will be evaluated using the programming language "R" [8] through the supplements "meta" [9] and "metafor" [10]. They will be analyzed based on weighted or standardized mean differences. Kendall's tau and I² consistency measures will be presented. The summary meta-analysis values will be presented through a forest plot, carried out from a random effects approach by Hunter Smith method. The random effects model was defined due to the inherent heterogeneity of the characteristics of the studies, such as exercises of different modalities and varied stress tests. If there are sufficient studies, there will be a subgroup analysis dividing them based on the type of exercise intervention (aerobic exercises, dynamic resistance exercises, isometric exercises, and alternative exercises).

The sensitivity analysis will be done through the search for outliers using the "externally standardized residuals"

method, and the search for influential points using the Difference in Fits (DFFITS), Covariance Ratio and Cook's distance methods. Publication bias analyzes will be carried out through a funnel plot and asymmetry hypothesis tests (fail-safe n, Egger and Beggs).

References

7 References

1. Turner AI, Smyth N, Hall SJ, Torres SJ, Hussein M, Jayasinghe SU, et al. Psychological stress reactivity and future health and disease outcomes: A systematic review of prospective evidence. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* [Internet]. Elsevier Ltd; 2020;114:104599. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2020.104599>
2. Bali A, Jaggi AS. Clinical experimental stress studies: methods and assessment. *Rev Neurosci* [Internet]. 2015;26. Available from: <https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/revneuro.2015.26.issue-5/revneuro-2015-0004/revneuro-2015-0004.xml>
3. Arima H, Barzi F, Chalmers J. Mortality patterns in hypertension. *J Hypertens* [Internet]. 2011;29:S3–7. Available from: <http://content.wkhealth.com/linkback/openurl?sid=WKPTLP:landingpage&an=00004872-201112001-00002>
4. Muntner P. Response to Letter to editor “2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults.” *J Am Soc Hypertens* [Internet]. 2018;12:239. Available from: <http://hyper.ahajournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1161/HYP.000000000000065>
5. Halliwill JR, Buck TM, Lacewell AN, Romero SA. Postexercise hypotension and sustained postexercise vasodilatation: What happens after we exercise? *Exp Physiol* [Internet]. 2013;98:7–18. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1113/expphysiol.2011.058065>
6. Hamer M, Taylor A, Steptoe A. The effect of acute aerobic exercise on stress related blood pressure responses: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biol Psychol*. 2006;71:183–90.
7. Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, et al. RoB 2: A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2019;366:1–8.
8. R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing [Internet]. Vienna R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2019. Available from: <https://www.r-project.org>
9. Balduzzi S, Rücker G, Schwarzer G. How to perform a meta-analysis with R: a practical tutorial. *Evid Based Ment Health*. 2019;
10. Viechtbauer W. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. *J Stat Softw*. 2010;36:1–48.