



Mar 01,
2020

Radiographic protocol to quantify cranial tibial translation in dogs

Adolfo Maria Tambella¹, Stefano Martin¹, Anna Rita Attili¹, Cecilia Vullo¹, Luca Omini²

¹University of Camerino, School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Matelica (MC), Italy, ²Clinica Veterinaria Omini, Chiaravalle (AN), Italy

1 Works for me dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bc48iyzw



Adolfo Maria Tambella
University of Camerino



ABSTRACT

This protocol describes a radiographic procedure to objectively quantify *in vivo* the cranial tibial translation using a simple device in dogs.

This protocol was used in the following publication:

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228621>

Tambella AM, Omini L, Attili AR, Vullo C, Martin S (2020) Evaluation of cranial tibial translation in dogs: Diagnostic accuracy of radiographic method using a simple device. PLoS ONE 15(2): e0228621. (ISSN: 1932-6203) (DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0228621)

EXTERNAL LINK

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228621>

THIS PROTOCOL ACCOMPANIES THE FOLLOWING PUBLICATION

Tambella AM, Omini L, Attili AR, Vullo C, Martin S (2020) Evaluation of cranial tibial translation in dogs: Diagnostic accuracy of radiographic method using a simple device. PLoS ONE 15(2): e0228621. (ISSN: 1932-6203) (DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0228621) <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228621>

1 BACKGROUND

Canine cranial cruciate ligament (CrCL), in addition to other biomechanical functions, prevents cranial tibial translation [1-4]. The detection of tibial translation can aid revealing stifle joint instability as a result of CrCL injury. However, clinical diagnosis of CrCL insufficiency is subjective and difficult to quantify accurately [5,6]. In order to thoroughly assess the joint stability as well as joint stabilization after surgery, it is imperative to quantify and compare joint stability between and within subjects over time. Several studies described radiographic techniques to assess translational stifle stability and CrCL integrity [6-12], but they may have some limitations: tricky execution; need for complex devices; absence of validation; failure to include a specific angle or a controlled force; tested only on experimental lesion or only *ex vivo*; poor applicability *in vivo*. This protocol aims to assess the integrity of CrCL and objectively quantifying the *in vivo* cranial canine stifle translation using a simple, radiolucent translator device keeping fixed the joint angle during the thrust.

This protocol was validated in the following article:

Tambella AM, Omini L, Attili AR, Vullo C, Martin S (2020) Evaluation of cranial tibial translation in dogs: Diagnostic accuracy of radiographic method using a simple device. PLoS ONE 15(2): e0228621. (ISSN: 1932-6203) (DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0228621)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228621>

2 DEVICE

Radiolucent, custom-designed device having a mobile platform and a non-mobile platform, keeping 135° of joint angle.

3 DOG POSITIONING

Place the dog under general anaesthesia in lateral recumbence with the hind limb on the translator device: allocate the femur on the immobile platform while secure the tibia to the mobile platform with polystyrene blocks in the respective housings. Apply a manual force in caudal direction obtaining a zero point for the translation measurement.

4 RADIOGRAPHIC PROJECTION BEFORE FORCE APPLICATION

Take a mediolateral radiographic projection of the stifle to be evaluated. The central radiographic beam is consistently centered on each stifle.

5 FORCE APPLICATION

Apply a standard force (49 N) to the mobile tibial platform on the horizontal plane and cranial direction. The force can be measured using a digital dynamometer. Maintain the force application during the execution of the radiograph in phase 6.

6 RADIOGRAPHIC PROJECTION DURING FORCE APPLICATION

Take a mediolateral radiographic projection of the stifle during the force application (phase 5).

7 DIGITAL MEASUREMENT OF ABSOLUTE CRANIAL TIBIAL TRANSLATION

Draw on each radiographic image two vertical, parallel lines, perpendicularly to the vector force and tangent to the apex of tibial crest or the caudal edge of femoral condyles respectively. Measure in mm the distance between these two lines on both radiographic images.

The absolute tibial translation (ΔS) is obtained with the following formula:

$$\Delta S = D2 - D1$$

D1: distance between the two lines on the radiographic image obtained before force application (phase 4);

D2: distance between the two lines on the radiographic image obtained during force application (phase 6).

8 NORMALIZATION OF TIBIAL TRANSLATION

Measure the tibial width (TW) in mm in a mediolateral projection on the distal portion of the tibial crest, perpendicularly to the long axis of the tibia.

The normalized tibial translation (ΔN) is obtained with the following formula:

$$\Delta N = \Delta S / TW \times 100$$

9 REFERENCES

- [1] Moore KW, Read RA. Rupture of the cranial cruciate ligament in dogs. *Compend Contin Educat Pract Vet.* 1996; 18(3): 223-233.
- [2] Xerogeanes JW, Fox RJ, Takeda Y, Kim HS, Ishibashi Y, Carlin GJ, et al. A functional comparison of animal anterior cruciate ligament models to the human anterior cruciate ligament. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 1998; 26(3): 345-352.
- [3] Korvick DL, Pijanowski GJ, Schaeffer DJ. Three-dimensional kinematics of the intact and cranial cruciate ligament-deficient stifle of dogs. *J Biomech.* 1994; 27(1): 77-87.
- [4] Tinga S, Kim SE, Banks SA, Jones SC, Park BH, Pozzi A, et al. Femorotibial kinematics in dogs with cranial cruciate ligament insufficiency: a three-dimensional in-vivo fluoroscopic analysis during walking. *BMC Vet Res.* 2018; 14(1): 85. DOI: 10.1186/s12917-018-1395-2
- [5] Carobbi B, Ness MG. Preliminary study evaluating tests used to diagnose canine cranial cruciate ligament failure. *J Small Anim Pract.* 2009; 50(5): 224-226. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-5827.2008.00723.x
- [6] Castaneda KA, Hudson CC, Beale BS. Ex vivo preliminary investigation of radiographic quantitative assessment of cranial tibial displacement at varying degrees of canine stifle flexion with or without an intact cranial cruciate ligament. *BMC Vet Res.* 2018; 14(1): 270. DOI: 10.1186/s12917-018-1599-5
- [7] De Rooster H, Van Ryssen B, Van Bree H. Diagnosis of cranial cruciate ligament injury in dogs by tibial compression radiography. *Vet Rec.* 1998; 142(14): 366-368.
- [8] De Rooster H, Van Bree H. Radiographic measurement of craniocaudal instability in stifle joints of clinically normal dogs and dogs with injury of a cranial cruciate ligament. *Am J Vet Res.* 1999; 60(12): 1567-1570.
- [9] Lopez MJ, Hagquist W, Jeffrey SL, Gilbertson S, Markel MD. Instrumented measurement of in vivo anterior-posterior translation in the canine knee to assess anterior cruciate integrity. *J Orthop Res.* 2004; 22(5): 949-954. DOI: 10.1016/j.orthres.2003.10.017
- [10] Kim SE, Lewis DD, Pozzi A, Seibert RL, Winter MD. Radiographic quantitative assessment of cranial tibial subluxation before and after tibial plateau leveling osteotomy in dogs. *Am J Vet Res.* 2011; 72(3): 410-416. DOI: 10.2460/ajvr.72.3.410
- [11] Bielecki MJ, Schwandt CS, Scharvogel S. Effect of tibial subluxation on the measurements for tibial tuberosity advancement in dogs with cranial cruciate ligament deficiency. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol* 2014; 27: 470–477. DOI: 10.3415/VCOT-14-02-0018.
- [12] Ober CA, Factor G, Meiner Y, Segev G, Shipov A, Milgram J. Influence of tibial plateau leveling osteotomy and tibial tuberosity advancement on passive laxity of the cranial cruciate deficient stifle in dogs. *Vet Surg.* 2019; 48(3): 401-407. DOI: 10.1111/vsu.13177



This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited