



# Meta-analysis of scope harvesting radial artery

PLOS One

Tzu-yen Huang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Keelung

**1** Works for me dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bhrdj526

Tzu-yen Huang

## EXTERNAL LINK

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236499>

## THIS PROTOCOL ACCOMPANIES THE FOLLOWING PUBLICATION

Huang T, Huang T, Cheng Y, Wang Y, Chen T, Yin S, Yeh C (2020) Radial artery harvesting in coronary artery bypass grafting surgery—Endoscopic or open method? A meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 15(7): e0236499. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0236499](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236499)

## DOI

[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bhrdj526](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bhrdj526)

## PROTOCOL CITATION

Tzu-yen Huang 2020. Meta-analysis of scope harvesting radial artery. **protocols.io**  
[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bhrdj526](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bhrdj526)

## MANUSCRIPT CITATION please remember to cite the following publication along with this protocol

Huang T, Huang T, Cheng Y, Wang Y, Chen T, Yin S, Yeh C (2020) Radial artery harvesting in coronary artery bypass grafting surgery—Endoscopic or open method? A meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 15(7): e0236499. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0236499](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236499)

## EXTERNAL LINK

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236499>

## LICENSE

— This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

## CREATED

Jun 22, 2020

## LAST MODIFIED

Jun 22, 2020

## PROTOCOL INTEGER ID

38405

1 Check MeSH terms, set reaserch keywords: radial artery and harvest.

5d

2 Searches in Pubmed, Medline, the Cochrane Library, and EMBASE, to find studies published from January 1974 to July<sup>1m</sup>

2019.

- 3 Articles with comparisons of open and endoscope artery harvesting were included as long as there were adult patients<sup>3m</sup> with coronary artery disease undergoing CABG.  
Systemic-reviews, case series and case reports were excluded. Studies comparing open artery harvesting and minimal invasive radial artery harvesting were also excluded. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and non-randomized observational articles including prospective or retrospective studies were included.
- 4 Primary outcomes included the wound infection rate, the wound complication rate, harvesting site neurological complications of the forearm during hospitalization, in-hospital mortality, long-term survival (over one year), and the patency rate (duration may be defined differently by research, from in-hospital to 3–5 years).<sup>1m</sup>
- 5 The risk of bias of randomized controlled trials was evaluated by the Cochrane Collaboration risk-of-bias tool—Revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2).<sup>1m</sup>  
The methodological quality of non-randomized controlled trials (NRCTs) was assessed by the Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS) .
- 6 Data synthesis and statistical analysis were conducted using Review Manager<sup>1m</sup>
- 7 Complete study.<sup>1m</sup>