



Aug 06, 2020

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration values (MICs) against *Sporothrix brasiliensis* and *Sporothrix schenckii*

luanaborba¹¹Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro*In Development* dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bjfbkjin

L. P. Borba-Santos

luanaborba

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bjfbkjin

DOCUMENT CITATION

luanaborba 2020. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration values (MICs) against *Sporothrix brasiliensis* and *Sporothrix schenckii*. **protocols.io**
<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bjfbkjin>

LICENSE

————— This is an open access document distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

CREATED

Aug 06, 2020

LAST MODIFIED

Aug 06, 2020

DOCUMENT INTEGER ID

40131

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration values (MICs) against *Sporothrix brasiliensis* and *Sporothrix schenckii*

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of compounds was determined using the broth microdilution technique described by the CLSI¹ with modifications.

1. Stock solutions of compounds in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 1 mM were diluted in RPMI 1640 medium² supplemented with 2% glucose and buffered to pH 7.2, with 0.165 M MOPS (from here on referred to as “supplemented RPMI”) to obtain solutions 4-fold concentrated using sterile microtubes;
2. Itraconazole² (reference antifungal) was also included in the experiment;
3. Aliquots of 100 µl of compounds were added in two wells of the first column of a flat-bottom 96-well microplate (KASVI, Brazil);
4. Aliquots of 100 µl of supplemented RPMI were added in all microplate wells (including wells containing compounds) using a multichannel pipette and a serial 2-fold dilution was performed to the tenth column;
5. The eleventh column corresponded to the positive controls (containing 100 µl supplemented RPMI without compounds) and the

twelfth to the negative controls (containing 200 µl supplemented RPMI);

6. A standardized suspension of *Sporothrix* yeasts was adjusted using a Neubauer chamber, prepared in supplemented RPMI, and 100 µl containing 2×10^5 CFU/ml was added in microplates containing compounds (except in the twelfth column);

7. The final concentration of compounds ranged from 0.002 to 1 µM, while yeasts were 1×10^5 CFU/ml;

8. Samples were incubated at 35 °C for 48 h, in a 5 % CO₂ atmosphere;

9. Fungal growth was analyzed by visual inspection in an inverted light microscope (Axiovert 100, ZEISS Company, Germany);

10. After visual inspection, samples were homogenized and the optical density was quantified by spectrophotometric readings at 492 nm, in a microtiter plate reader (EMax Plus, Molecular Devices, USA);

11. The absorbance value for each well was subtracted from the mean value for the negative controls;

12. Inhibition of fungal growth (I) relative to positive controls was calculated according to the following equation: $I = 100 - (A \times 100/C)$, where A is the absorbance of treated wells, and C is the absorbance of positive controls;

13. Concentrations that inhibit 50% and 80% of fungal growth (IC₅₀ and IC₈₀, respectively) were estimated.

¹CLSI. Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts. 4th ed. CLSI standard M27. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2017.

²Sigma Chemical Co., USA.