



14 ▼

Apr 11, 2022

# Stranded Transcript Count Table Generation from Long Reads V.14

Transcript Coverage Analysis from Long Reads

David A Eccles<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Malaghan Institute of Medical Research (NZ)

1



[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.5qpvn2bl4o/v14](https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.5qpvn2bl4o/v14)



David Eccles

Malaghan Institute of Medical Research (NZ)

This protocol is for comparing different samples at the transcript level, using long reads that are mapped to transcripts.

**Input(s):** demultiplexed and oriented fastq files (see protocol [Preparing Reads for Stranded Mapping](#)), transcript reference fasta file, annotation file

**Output(s):** transcript table, sorted by differential coverage, annotated with gene name / description / location

DOI

[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.5qpvn2bl4o/v14](https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.5qpvn2bl4o/v14)

David A Eccles 2022. Stranded Transcript Count Table Generation from Long Reads. **protocols.io**  
<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.5qpvn2bl4o/v14>  
David Eccles



minor fix to shift method name to end of final output file name

[Transcript Coverage Analysis from Long Reads, David Eccles](#)

RNASeq, cDNASeq, transcript, Differential expression, nanopore, long reads

protocol ,

Apr 11, 2022

Apr 11, 2022

Obtain a transcript fasta file, and an annotation file. For the mouse genome, I use the following files:

1. Comprehensive transcript sequences from [GENCODE](#); this should be the union of cDNA, CDS, and ncRNA sequences, usually the first file in the 'FASTA Files' section, named 'Transcript sequences'.
2. Annotation file obtained from [Ensembl BioMart](#) (Ensembl Genes -> Mouse Genes) as a compressed TSV file with the following attribute columns from the 'Features' section:
  - Transcript stable ID
  - Gene name
  - Gene description
  - Chromosome/scaffold name
  - Gene start (bp)
  - Gene end (bp)
  - Strand

A recent version of these files can be obtained from [This Zenodo Repository](#)

## Demultiplex Reads

1

Demultiplex and orient reads as per the protocol [Preparing Reads for Stranded Mapping](#). It is expected that these demultiplexed reads will be split up in the current directory, and coupled with a '*barcode\_counts.txt*' file. If that's the case, the following should work:

```
for bc in $(awk '{print $2}' barcode_counts.txt);
do ls oriented/${bc}_reads_dirAdjusted.fq.gz;
done
```

Example expected output:

```
oriented/BC03_reads_dirAdjusted.fastq.gz
oriented/BC04_reads_dirAdjusted.fastq.gz
oriented/BC05_reads_dirAdjusted.fastq.gz
oriented/BC06_reads_dirAdjusted.fastq.gz
oriented/BC07_reads_dirAdjusted.fastq.gz
oriented/BC08_reads_dirAdjusted.fastq.gz
```

If the '*barcode\_counts.txt*' file is not present, this error will appear:

```
awk: fatal: cannot open file `barcode_counts.txt' for reading (No
such file or directory)
```

If one or more of the oriented read files is missing, it will look something like this:

```
oriented/BC03_reads_dirAdjusted.fastq.gz
oriented/BC04_reads_dirAdjusted.fastq.gz
ls: cannot access 'oriented/BC05_reads_dirAdjusted.fastq.gz':
```

```
No such file or directory
ls: cannot access 'oriented/BC06_reads_dirAdjusted.fastq.gz':
No such file or directory
oriented/BC07_reads_dirAdjusted.fastq.gz
oriented/BC08_reads_dirAdjusted.fastq.gz
```

## Transcript Index Preparation

### 2 Prepare transcript index (see Guidelines for data sources).

The GENCODE transcript file is first modified so that the mapped reference sequence name is the first value (i.e. the Transcript id):

```
perl -pe 's/>(.*?)\\|/>$1 /' gencode.vM28.transcripts.fa >
trName_gencode.vM28.transcripts.fa
```

### 2.1 If using LAST

Following [Martin Frith's recommendation](#), the '-uNEAR' seeding scheme is used to slightly increase sensitivity. This will generate seven additional files of the form <index name>.XXX:

```
lastdb -uNEAR trName_gencode.vM28.transcripts.fa
trName_gencode.vM28.transcripts.fa
```

Prepare a substitution matrix for transcript mapping. The default substitution matrix is swayed too much by INDELs in the barcode sequences, so here's one that I've developed using last-train with a recent nanopore cDNA sequencing run:

```
#last -Q 1
#last -t4.27969
#last -a 15
#last -A 17
#last -b 3
#last -B 4
#last -S 1
# score matrix (query letters = columns, reference
letters = rows):
      A      C      G      T
A      5     -37    -14    -33
C     -34      6    -36    -19
```

G	-15	-37	6	-35
T	-35	-19	-36	6

 **cDNA.mat**

The variant matrix here was generated using last-train on one of the read files from a recent cDNA run, called using super-accuracy basecalling with guppy v5.1.15:

```
last-train -Q 1 trName_gencode.vM28.transcripts.fa
oriented/BCXX_reads_dirAdjusted.fq.gz
```

*[note: this is a **different** matrix from that used for demultiplexing and read orientation]*

## 2.2 If using minimap2

A reference index is generated with the *-d* command-line argument:

```
minimap2 -d trName_gencode.vM28.transcripts.fa.idx
trName_gencode.vM28.transcripts.fa
```

*Note that minimap2 doesn't allow adjustment of alignment scores to have different penalties for insertions and deletions, or different base changes, and this could affect the mappability of reads. Nanopore basecalling has a higher likelihood of mis-calling transitions over transversions (presumably due to a more similar electrochemical structure), and slightly favours deletions (i.e. signal loss) over insertions (i.e. signal misinterpretations).*

### Transcriptome Mapping

- 3 Reads are mapped to the transcriptome to create an output associating each read with a transcript. The outcome of this process is a tab-separated file containing barcode/transcript/read/direction quads (in that order). Reads may be mapped to multiple transcripts in this process, which is fine as long as the total sum of mapped transcript/read pairs doesn't play a role in subsequent downstream normalisation.

## 3.1 If using LAST

Reads are mapped to the transcriptome using LAST. The results of that mapping can be piped through *last-split* and *last-postmask* to exclude unlikely

hits, then through '*maf-convert -n tab*' to convert to a one-line-per-mapping CSV format. This CSV format is further processed to make sure that there is only one mapping per transcript-read pair.

```
mkdir -p mapped
for bc in $(awk '{print $2}' barcode_counts.txt);
do echo "** ${bc} **";
lastal -P 10 -p cDNA.mat
trName_gencode.vM28.transcripts.fa <(pv
oriented/${bc}_reads_dirAdjusted.fq.gz | zcat) | \
    last-split -n -m0.99 | last-postmask | maf-convert -
n tab | \
    cut -f 2,7,10 | sort | \
    uniq | gzip >
mapped/trnMapping_LAST_${bc}_vs_Mmus_transcriptome.txt.g
z;
done
```

### 3.2 If using minimap2

Reads are mapped to the transcriptome using minimap2. The results of that mapping (as PAF format) are further processed to make sure that there is only one mapping per transcript-read pair:

```
mkdir -p mapped
for bc in $(awk '{print $2}' barcode_counts.txt);
do echo "** ${bc} **";
minimap2 -t 10 trName_gencode.vM28.transcripts.fa.idx
<(pv oriented/${bc}_reads_dirAdjusted.fq.gz | zcat) | \
    awk -v 'OFS=\t' '{print $6,$1,$5}' | \
    sort | uniq | gzip >
mapped/trnMapping_mm2_${bc}_vs_Mmus_transcriptome.txt.gz
;
done
```

## 4 The result is then aggregated to sum up counts per transcript:

```
mapper="LAST" # use if LAST is used for mapping
mapper="mm2" # use if minimap2 is used for mapping
for bc in $(awk '{print $2}' barcode_counts.txt);
do echo "** ${bc} **";
zcat
mapped/trnMapping_${mapper}_${bc}_vs_Mmus_transcriptome.txt.gz | \
    awk -F'\t' -v "bc=${bc}" '{print bc,$1,$3}' | sort | uniq -c |
```

```
\
  gzip >
mapped/trnCounts_${mapper}_${bc}_vs_Mmus_transcriptome.txt.gz;
done
```

Note: I've split this up into two steps (compared to previous versions of this protocol) so that an intermediate count of the total number of mapped transcripts per barcode can be done:

```
for bc in $(awk '{print $2}' barcode_counts.txt);
do echo -n "${bc} ";
  zcat
mapped/trnMapping_${mapper}_${bc}_vs_Mmus_transcriptome.txt.gz | \
  awk '{print $2}' | sort | uniq | wc -l;
done
```

## Annotation and Result generation

5

### count\_analysis.r

Transcript counts are merged with ensembl gene annotation, then converted into wide format (one line per transcript) using an R script.

The transcript annotation in this case is from ensembl BioMart (see Guidelines for more details).

```
#!/usr/bin/env Rscript

library(tidyverse);

## load used barcode identifiers
bcNames <- read.table("barcode_counts.txt", stringsAsFactors=FALSE)
[,2];

## set gene annotation file location
annotationFile <- "ensembl_GRCm39_geneFeatureLocations.txt.gz";

## load ensemble transcript metadata (including gene name)
ensembl.df <- read_delim(annotationFile, delim="\t");

colnames(ensembl.df) <-
  c("Transcript stable ID" = "transcript",
    "Gene description" = "Description",
    "Gene name" = "Gene",
    "Gene start (bp)" = "Start",
    "Gene end (bp)" = "End",
```

```

    "Strand" = "Strand",
    "Chromosome/scaffold name" = "Chr")[colnames(ensembl.df)];

ensembl.df$Description <- sub(" \\[.*$", "", ensembl.df$Description);
ensembl.df$Description <- sub("^(.
{50}).+$", "\\1...", ensembl.df$Description);

options(scipen=15); ## don't show scientific notation for large
positions

for(mapper in c("LAST", "mm2")){
  checkName <-
  sprintf("mapped/trnCounts_%s_%s_vs_Mmus_transcriptome.txt.gz",
          mapper, bcNames[1]);
  if(!file.exists(checkName)){
    cat(sprintf("Warning: %s does not exist. If you are using
mapper '%s', consider this an error\n", checkName, mapper));
    next;
  }
  ## load count data into "narrow" array (one line per count)
  trn.counts <- tibble();
  for(bc in bcNames){
    trn.counts <-
      bind_rows(trn.counts,

sprintf("mapped/trnCounts_%s_%s_vs_Mmus_transcriptome.txt.gz",
        mapper, bc) %>%
      read_table2(col_names=c("count", "barcode",
                              "transcript", "dir")));

  }

  ## remove revision number from transcript names (if present)
  trn.counts$transcript <- sub("\\.[0-
9]+$", "", trn.counts$transcript);

  ## convert to wide format (one line per transcript)
  trn.counts.wide <- spread(trn.counts, barcode, count) %>%
    mutate(dir = c("+=" "fwd", "-=" "rev")[dir]);
  for(bd in colnames(trn.counts.wide[, -1])){
    trn.counts.wide[[bd]] <-
replace_na(trn.counts.wide[[bd]], 0);
  }

  ## merge ensembl metadata with transcript counts
  gene.counts.wide <- inner_join(ensembl.df, trn.counts.wide,
by="transcript");
  gene.counts.wide <- gene.counts.wide[order(-

```

```
rowSums(gene.counts.wide[, -(1:8)])),);
  ## write result out to a file
  write.csv(gene.counts.wide,
            file=sprintf("wide_transcript_counts_%s.csv", mapper),
            row.names=FALSE);
}
```

## Downstream Workflows

- 6 Here is a downstream workflow that carries out transcript-level differential expression analysis using [DESeq2](#):

- [Creating Differential Transcript Expression Results with DESeq2](#)

I would like to emphasise that batch effects should be considered for nanopore sequencing, given how frequently the technology changes. Make sure that at least the sequencing *library* (i.e. samples prepared in tandem on the same day from the same kit) is added into the statistical model, and try to make sure that sequencing libraries are fairly heterogeneous - replicates from a sample with skewed transcript distributions could influence the outcome of statistical tests.