Sep 16, 2020

Early versus delayed feeding after endoscopic submucosal dissection: a systematic review and meta-analysis (protocol)

Jun Watanabe[1], Joji Watanabe[2], Kazuhiko Kotani[1]

[1]Division of Community and Family Medicine, Jichi Medical University, Shimotsuke-City, Japan.;

[2]Department of Surgery, Iwami hospital, Iwami-tyou, Japan

1 Works for me dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bmejk3cn

Jun Watanabe
Division of Community and Family Medicine, Jichi Medical Uni...

ABSTRACT

Background: Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) is increasingly performed worldwide due to its safety and effectiveness. This study will aim to assess the evidence of early versus delayed feeding after ESD on quality of care, which remains to be fully determined.

Methods: Electronic database (PubMed, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE) and the trial registry (the World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Platform Search Portal and ClinicalTrials.gov) wii be searched. Study selection, data abstraction and quality assessment will be independently performed using Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation approach. Self-rated satisfaction and hospital stay will be chiefly analyzed.

ATTACHMENTS

Protocol_Early versus delayed initiation of oral intake after endoscopic submucosal dissection a meta-analysis.pdf

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bmejk3cn

PROTOCOL CITATION

Jun Watanabe, Joji Watanabe, Kazuhiko Kotani 2020. Early versus delayed feeding after endoscopic submucosal dissection: a systematic review and meta-analysis (protocol). **protocols.io** https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bmejk3cn

KEYWORDS

diet, endoscopic submucosal dissection, fasting, meta-analysis, systematic review, stomach neoplasms, patient satisfaction, quality of life

CREATED

Sep 16, 2020

LAST MODIFIED

Sep 16, 2020

PROTOCOL INTEGER ID

42155

1

Citation: Jun Watanabe, Joji Watanabe, Kazuhiko Kotani (09/16/2020). Early versus delayed feeding after endoscopic submucosal dissection: a systematic review and meta-analysis (protocol). https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bmejk3cn