

Jul 22, 2020

# Mass testing equity during infectious disease outbreaks: a scoping review. V.2

Katarina Ost<sup>1</sup>, Kate Zinszer<sup>1</sup>, Isadora Mathevet<sup>1</sup>, Lola Traverson<sup>2</sup>, Valery Ridde<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université de Montréal; <sup>2</sup>CEPED / IRD / Université de Paris

In Development dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bivske6e

Katarina Ost

DOI

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bivske6e

PROTOCOL CITATION

Katarina Ost, Kate Zinszer, Isadora Mathevet, Lola Traverson, Valery Ridde 2020. Mass testing equity during infectious disease outbreaks: a scoping review.. **protocols.io** 

dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bivske6e

LICENSE

This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

CREATED

Jul 22, 2020

LAST MODIFIED

Jul 22, 2020

PROTOCOL INTEGER ID

39570

## Title and author identification

1 Mass testing equity during infectious disease outbreaks: a scoping review.

Katarina Ost<sup>1</sup>, Isadora Mathevet<sup>2</sup>, Lola Traverson<sup>2</sup>, Valéry Ridde<sup>2</sup>, Kate Zinszer<sup>1,3</sup>

- 1. Centre de recherche en santé publique, Montréal, Canada.
- 2. CEPED, Institute for Research on Sustainable Development, IRD-Université de Paris, ERL INSERM SAGESUD, Paris, France.
- 3. Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada.

#### Rationale

It is essential to understand how COVID-19 testing services are being offered in the current pandemic situation, in order to improve their equitable implementation. It has been shown that racialized and marginalized communities have been disproportionately affected by COVID-19, and improving equitable access to COVID-19 testing would be a vital step in reducing disease propagation (1). Mass testing is instrumental for surveillance, directly informing prevention and control of measures of infectious diseases (2–5). The goal of mass testing interventions is to reduce transmission rates through detection, leading to treatment (when available), isolation, or other control and prevention measures (6). Mass testing programs often act as a link to care and support programs, which should be provided equitably, based on risk of infection (7). There is also the potential that mass testing interventions can further health inequities by failing to incorporate proportionate universalism - action that is proportionate to needs and levels of disadvantage in a population - into their delivery (8,9). Understanding the way in which health inequities are taken into account (or fail to be taken into account) in the design of mass testing programs is central to this review, and is a central aim of the HoSPiCOVID research project (10).

Citation: Katarina Ost, Kate Zinszer, Isadora Mathevet, Lola Traverson, Valery Ridde (07/22/2020). Mass testing equity during infectious disease outbreaks: a scoping review.. <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bivske6e">https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bivske6e</a>

## Review objective

3 The purpose of this scoping review is to study how equity of access to testing is currently being incorporated into the design and implementation of mass COVID-19 testing interventions but also historically, how equity of access and implementation was considered with other infectious disease testing and screening programs.

### Search Strategy

4 We will conduct a rapid literature search of PubMed and Web of Science [v.5.35]. The searches will be conducted in French and English. All study designs will be included in the searches. The search strategies for each database will be designed in consultation with a librarian from the French National Research Institute for Sustainable Development (IRD). We will follow the PRISIMA extension for scoping review (11).

## 4.1 Search terms:

- (Testing), (Mass testing), (Dépistage), (Screening);
- (TB), (Tuberculosis), (Tuberculose);
- (HIV), (VIH), (Human immunodefficiency virus);
- (COVID-19), (SARS-CoV-2), (coronavirus);
- (Design), (Planification), (Planning);
- (Equit\*), (Equal\*), (Inégalités), (Inégalités sociales en santé), (ISS), (Social inequities in health);
- (Pandemi\*), (Epidemic), (Outbreak), (Endemic);
- (Infectious disease), (Maladie infectieuse).
- 4.2 We will then use Automated Text Classification of Empirical Records (ATCER) (12) to classify the data and retain data with an empirical degree ≥90.

## 4.3 Inclusion and exclusion criteria:

We will include publications that meet these criteria:

- peer reviewed publications;
- mass testing program descriptions
- published in English or French;
- focus on the design of mass testing or screening interventions in the context of an infectious disease:
- empirical according to the ATCER tool (empirical degree ≥ 90).

We will exclude publications that not meet these criteria:

- articles that do not focus on infectious diseases;
- publications which are not based on scientific research (e.g. letters and editorials);
- articles which do not describe the design of testing or screening programs.
- studies which are not empirical according to the ATCER tool (empirical degree ≥ 90).

## 4.4 Main outcomes:

- Whether or not health inequities were considered in the design of an infectious disease screening or testing intervention.
- If health inequities were considered in the design of a mass testing intervention, which inequities
  were considered and how were incorporated into the intervention, including the use of a specific
  theory and instruments/tools/activities.

### Data extraction

All identified studies will be imported from PubMed and Web of Science into Rayyan QCRI, a systematic review application, for screening of the titles, abstracts and full texts. Two reviewers will independently assess the relevance of titles and abstracts based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and when there is discordance between the two

 reviewers, a third reviewer will review the titles and abstracts of the discordant results. The second stage of review will involve each reviewer independently identifying potentially relevant publications based on a full article review, any discordance will involve a third reviewer, and data abstraction will occur for articles that meet the inclusion criteria.

The information that will be extracted from the articles will include:

- characteristics of the paper (title, authors, year);
- context of the paper (country, epidemic);
- characteristics of the testing/screening program implementation;
- whether or not health inequities/inequalities were considered in the design or implementation of the program or intervention:
- if health inequities were considered; which inequities were considered, how, and any use of a specific theory;
- main results of the study.

#### Risk of bias (quality) assessment

6 The quality of the studies will be evaluated using the Mixed Method Appraisal Tool (MMAT)(13).

#### Strategy for data synthesis

We will follow the recommendations of the PRISMA method for the synthesis of articles (1). The criteria for the data synthesis will be based on the number of studies that have reported the outcomes of interests. The outcomes of the anlaysis will be reported in a descriptive manner in addition to being subject to thematic analysis. Workshops involving five countries participating in the HoSPiCOVID project (10) will take place in the fall of 2021. These multidisciplinary meetings will bring together researchers and decision makers to produce recommendations based on the experiences of the individual countries. This knowlege transfer strategy will promote lesson sharing about equitable testing and screening design at an operational level.

#### References

- 8 1. Benjamin GC. Ensuring health equity during the COVID-19 pandemic: the role of public health infrastructure. 2020 Jun;4.
  - 2. CDC. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the U.S. [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020 [cited 2020 Mar 30]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/cases-in-us.html
  - 3. CDC. HIV Testing [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jun 25]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/testing/index.html
  - 4. Ontario HIV Treatment Network. Effectiveness of HIV testing interventions for high-risk populations [Internet]. Toronto, ON; 2013 Sep [cited 2020 Jun 25]. Available from: https://www.ohtn.on.ca/rapid-response-71-effectiveness-of-hiv-testing-interventions-for-high-risk-populations/
  - 5. WHO. Systematic screening for active tuberculosis [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Jun 25]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789241548601
  - 6. WHO. Chapter 10: Controlling the spread of infectious disease. In: Advancing the right to health: the vital role of law [Internet]. 2016. p. 151–64. Available from: https://www.who.int/healthsystems/topics/health-law/chapter10.pdf? ua=1
  - 7. Institute of Medicine (US), Committee on Perinatal Transmission of HIV, National Research Council (US), Institute of Medicine (US), Board on Children, Youth, and Families. Reducing the Odds; Preventing Perinatal Transmission of HIV in the United States; Chapter 2: Public Health Screening Programs. In: Public Health Screening Programs; Chapter 2 [Internet]. National Academies Press (US); 1999 [cited 2020 Jun 15]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK230552/
  - 8. Marmot M, Allen J. COVID-19: exposing and amplifying inequalities. J Epidemiol Community Health [Internet]. 2020 Jul 14 [cited 2020 Jul 17]; Available from: https://jech.bmj.com/content/early/2020/07/15/jech-2020-214720

- 9. Carey G. Towards health equity: a framework for the application of proportionate universalism. Int J Equity Health. 2015;14(81):8.
- 10. HoSPiCOVID [Internet]. HoSPiCOVID. [cited 2020 Jul 20]. Available from: https://u-paris.fr/hospicovid/
- 11. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ [Internet]. 2009 Jul 21 [cited 2020 Jul 20];339. Available from: https://www.bmj.com/content/339/bmj.b2700
- 12. Automated Text Classification of Empirical Records (ATCER) User Guide. Available from : <a href="https://babel.iro.umontreal.ca/xres/ATCER\_instructions\_final.pdf">https://babel.iro.umontreal.ca/xres/ATCER\_instructions\_final.pdf</a>.
- 13. Hong QN, Fàbregues S, Bartlett G, Boardman F, Cargo M, Dagenais P, et al. The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. Educ Inf. 2018 Jan 1;34(4):285–91.