

Nov 26, 2024

## Testing Framework Protocol

DOI

**[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.36wggjmbxvk5/v1](https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.36wggjmbxvk5/v1)**

Alex Lepauvre<sup>1</sup>, Rony Hirschorn<sup>2</sup>, Lucia Melloni<sup>1</sup>, mudrikli<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institute for Empirical Aesthetics; <sup>2</sup>Sagol School of Neuroscience, Tel Aviv University

mudrikli: School of Psychological Sciences, Tel Aviv University;

testing\_framework



Alex Lepauvre

Max Planck Institute for Empirical Aesthetics

OPEN  ACCESS



DOI: **[dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.36wggjmbxvk5/v1](https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.36wggjmbxvk5/v1)**

**Protocol Citation:** Alex Lepauvre, Rony Hirschorn, Lucia Melloni, mudrikli 2024. Testing Framework Protocol. **protocols.io**  
**<https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.36wggjmbxvk5/v1>**

**License:** This is an open access protocol distributed under the terms of the **[Creative Commons Attribution License](#)**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

**Protocol status:** Working

**We use this protocol and it's working**

**Created:** January 24, 2023

**Last Modified:** November 26, 2024

**Protocol Integer ID:** 75766

**Funders Acknowledgement:**

Templeton World Charity  
Foundation

Grant ID: TWCF0389



## Abstract

This protocol provides a step-by-step guide for testing and validating experimental setups in visual event-related research. It includes **Testing Preparation** required to conduct the protocol, **Visual Angle Check** for stimulus size accuracy, **Controlled and Uncontrolled Event Content Logging** to verify the correctness of event and response logs, **Controlled and Uncontrolled Event Timing Logging** to assess timing precision, **Validating Experimental Design Parameters** to confirm design adherence, and **Peripheral Testing** for devices like EEG, MEG, and eye trackers. By standardizing setup testing, this protocol enhances reproducibility, robustness, and cross-study integration. Shared on protocols.io, it supports transparent and reliable research practices.

## Materials

Photodiode

Contact microphone

## Testing preparation

- 1 Adjust the experiment scripts to conduct the testing protocol
  - 1.1 Present a black square on a corner of the screen that switches to white on the same frame as the stimulus onset and then turns back to black after three frames.
  - 1.2 Add functionality to record sound from a microphone during the execution of the experiment to record button presses - "click" sounds - to assess response box latencies.
- 2 Create a pre-defined response sequence to be executed during the test run to compare against the log file to identify any log file issues. The event sequence must sample all possible answers, as well as unexpected button presses to assess the robustness of the experiment.
- 3 Prepare for a test run:
  - 3.1 Attach a photodiode recording device (see material) over the displayed black/white square flashed upon stimulus onset and record the measured voltage to a file for later processing
  - 3.2 Place a contact microphone (see materials) on the response devices used to record the sound made by button presses and ensure quietness in the room (avoid speaking, opening/closing doors, etc.) to facilitate later processing stages
  - 3.3 Prepare to take notes of the presented events on the screen for assessing logging content accuracy. In the case of a fast paced experiment, set up a camera to capture the screen for slow paced annotations of events presentation.

## Visual angle check

- 4 The visual angle of each stimulus of interests was tested using by measuring the size of the stimulus presented on the screen with a ruler. The size of each stimulus was converted to degrees of visual angle using this converter: <https://www.sr-research.com/visual-angle-calculator/> with the participant's expected distance from the display. The eccentricity was computed using the same converter (if applicable).
  - 4.1 Obtain Screen Height and Width in pixels

**Required**

Screen height (px)

**Required**

Screen width (px)

#### 4.2 Measure screen height and width in cm

**Required**

Screen height (cm)

**Required**

Screen width (cm)

#### 4.3 Distance between participant nasion and screen

**Required**

d (cm)

#### 4.4 Measured stimuli sizes (if more than one, comma separated)

**Required**

Expected width (d.v.a.)

**Required**

Measured width(d.v.a)

**Required**

Expected height (d.v.a.)

**Required**

Measured height (d.v.a)



#### 4.5 Eccentricity (if more than one, comma separated)

**Required**

Expected horizontal offset (d.v.a.)

**Required**

Measured horizontal offset (d.v.a.)

**Required**

Expected vertical offset (d.v.a.)

**Required**

Measured vertical offset (d.v.a.)

### Controlled Event content logging

#### 5 Describe method used to test:

##### Note

The experimenter ran the experiment in the exact same way it would have run for a subject. As the experiment progressed, the experimenter noted down all features of each stimulus being presented in the order they were presented. Each noted event was compared to the log file to ensure that logging was correct.

trial	category	orientation
1	face	left
2	face	right
3	letter	center
4	object	center
5	object	center
6	letter	left
7	face	left
8	face	center
9	face	right
10	letter	center

Example of notes that can be taken during the experiment. Importantly, each feature of the presentation relevant to the experiment should be noted

## 5.1 Number of tested events conditions

**Note**

Conditions here refer to any unique combination of experimental conditions in case of nested designs. If presented stimuli are of different categories (e.g. faces and objects) and presented in different contexts (e.g. task relevant and irrelevant), a condition would be 'stimulus of a given category in a given context' (i.e. task relevant faces and task irrelevant faces are interpreted as two different conditions)

**Required**

M =

5.2 Number of tested events per condition:

**Required**

N =

5.3 Confirmation that the test was performed and that no discrepancies remain

I hereby confirm that the test was conducted and  
that no discrepancies remain

## Uncontrolled events content logging

- 6 The experiment was conducted entirely while a human actuator executed a pre-defined sequence of button presses. Each press content and timing was saved in the log file. In addition, a contact microphone was located close to the keys to be pressed and recorded on the experimental computer. The response devices' latencies were computed as the difference between the detected onset of button press in the response device and the recorded and parsed sound file.
- 6.1 Compare the logged response description against the planned response sequence. An inaccurate response logging would be identified as a discrepancy between the two, such as the log file recording a response as "No" when in fact the button mapped to the "Yes" logging was



pressed for example. Such issues must be addressed before data collection and the report i below must be 0.

6.2 Number of tested responses types:

Required

M =

6.3 Number of tested responses per response types:

Required

N =

6.4 Confirmation that the test was performed and that no discrepancies remain (between the logged responses and the planned response sequence)

Required

I hereby confirm that the test was conducted and that no discrepancies remain

## Controlled event timing logging

7 Describe method used to test:

### Note

A black square (RGB: 0, 0, 0) was turned to white (RGB: 255, 255, 255) on the bottom right corner of the screen for 3 frames on the exact same frame as an event was displayed and then turned back to black. A photodiode device was placed on top of this square and the signal was recorded to a file.

7.1 Select threshold  $k$  for binarization:

k =

7.2 Binarize the signal:

$$y_{bin} = x > k$$





Where  $x$  is the recorded signal

- 7.3 Compute discrete difference on the binarized photodiode signal:

$$y_{diff\ i} = y_{bin\ i+1} - y_{bin\ i}, \text{ for } i = 1 : n$$

Where  $n$  is the number of samples in the signal

- 7.4 Detect event observed onset ( $t_{photo}$ ):

$$t_{photo} = y_{diff\ i} = 1, \text{ for } i = 1 : n$$

- 7.5 Compute  $\Delta_{photo}$ :

$$\Delta_{photo} = t_{photo\ k+1} - t_{photo\ k}, \text{ for } k = 1 : n$$

Where  $n$  is the number of detected photodiode events

- 7.6 Compute  $\Delta_{log}$ :

$$\Delta_{log} = t_{log\ k+1} - t_{log\ k}, \text{ for } k = 1 : n$$

Where  $t_{log}$  is the log file time stamp of all events ( $n$ ) in the log file

- 7.7 Compute and report the log file average timing inaccuracies ( $\mu$ )

$$\mu(\Delta_{log} - \Delta_{photo})$$

**Required**

mu (s) =

- 7.8 Compute and report the log file timing inaccuracies standard deviation ( $\sigma$ )

$$\sigma(\Delta_{log} - \Delta_{photo})$$

**Required**

sig (s) =

## Uncontrolled events timing

- 8 The experiment was conducted entirely while a human actuator executed a pre-defined sequence of button presses. Each press content and timing was saved in the log file. In addition, a contact microphone was located close to the keys to be pressed and recorded on the experimental computer. The response devices' latencies were computed as the difference between the detected onset of button press in the response device and the recorded and parsed sound file.

- 8.1 Select threshold  $k$  for binarization:



k =

## 8.2 Binarize the signal:

$$y_{bin} = x_{audio} > k$$

Where  $x_{audio}$  is the recorded audio signal containing the button presses sounds

## 8.3 Compute discrete difference on the binarized audio signal:

$$y_{diff i} = y_{bin i+1} - y_{bin i}, \text{ for } i = 1 : n$$

Where n is the number of samples in the signal

## 8.4 Detect event observed onset ( $t_{audio}$ ):

$$t_{audio} = y_{diff i} = 1, \text{ for } i = 1 : n$$

## 8.5 Compute $\Delta_{audio}$ :

$$\Delta_{audio} = t_{audio k+1} - t_{audio k}, \text{ for } k = 1 : n$$

Where n is the number of detected audio events

## 8.6 Compute $\Delta_{log}$ :

$$\Delta_{log} = t_{log k+1} - t_{log k}, \text{ for } k = 1 : n$$

Where  $t_{log}$  is the log file time stamp of response events (n) in the log file

## 8.7 Compute and report the log file average timing inaccuracies ( $\mu$ )

$$\mu(\Delta_{log} - \Delta_{audio})$$

**Required**

mu (s) =

## 8.8 Compute and report the log file timing inaccuracies standard deviation ( $\sigma$ )

$$\sigma(\Delta_{log} - \Delta_{audio})$$

**Required**

sig (s) =

Validating experimental design parameters



## 9 Test Experiment counter-balancing

Describe method used to test:

### Note

To test that the expected counter-balancing was correct, the total number of trials and the number of trials per condition in a full experimental run were counted based on the log file and compared to the expected number of trials according to the experimental design

### 9.1 Total number of trials

**Required**

Expected number of trials (total)

**Required**

Observed number of trials (total)

### 9.2 Number of trials per condition

**Required**

Number of conditions

**Required**

Expected number of trials (per condition)

**Required**

Observed number of trials (per condition)

## 10 Test observed stimulus duration against the expected stimulus duration



Describe the method used:

#### Note

To test the observed duration of the stimuli against the expected duration, we compared the duration of each stimulus calculated based on the photodiode recording against the expected duration stored in the log file.

10.1 Compute observed stimulus duration:

$$Obs\ Duration_k = t_{offset\ k+1} - t_{onset\ k},\ for\ k = 1 : n_{trial}$$

10.2 Compute and report the mean difference between observed and expected ( $\mu$ ):

$$\mu(ObsDuration - Planned\ duration)$$

Required

mu (s) =

10.3 Compute and report the standard deviation of the difference between observed and expected ( $\mu$ ):

$$\sigma(ObsDuration - Planned\ duration)$$

Required

sig (s) =

11 Peripherals that will be used to collect data during the experiment should be tested and reported according in the step case below.

### STEP CASE

#### Eyetracker

7 steps

This section should be filled out if an eyetracker device was used to record participants gaze

12 The experiment was conducted entirely while recording data on the Eyetracker. For each event, a trigger was sent from the experimental computer to the device's computer to identify each event's content as well as timing. The triggers' timing accuracy was computed by comparing

them to the photodiode. The triggers' content accuracy was computed by comparing them to the log file event content.

- 12.1 Compute finite difference of each Eyetracker trigger time stamp  $Device_t$ :

$$\Delta_{ET} = t_{ET\ i+1} - t_{ET\ i}, \text{ for } i = 1 : n$$

- 12.2 Compute and report the device triggers average timing inaccuracies ( $\mu$ ):

$$\mu(\Delta_{ET} - \Delta_{photo})$$

**Required**

mu (s) =

Where  $\Delta_{photo}$  is the finite difference of photodiode onsets computed in step 3.4

- 12.3 Compute the log file file timing inaccuracies standard deviation ( $\sigma$ )

$$\sigma(\Delta_{ET} - \Delta_{photo})$$

**Required**

sig (s) =

- 12.4 Compare the  $ET_{triggers}$  information to the log file using scripted test

#### Note

All triggers recorded in one run of the experiment should be parsed and automatically compared to the information stored in the log file. All events in one run should therefore be tested.

- 12.5 Tested events counts (should be equal to the total number of events in one experimental run):

**Required**

N =

- 12.6 Confirmation that the test was performed and that no discrepancies remain (between the logged responses and the planned response sequence)



**Required**

I hereby confirm that the test was conducted and that no discrepancies remain