

VERSION 2

JUN 29, 2023

OPEN ACCESS

DOI:
dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.e6nvwjo87lmk/v2

Collection Citation: Emily A Aery Jones 2023. Chronic Recoverable Neuropixels in Mice. **protocols.io** <https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.e6nvwjo87lmk/v2> Version created by Emily A Aery Jones

License: This is an open access collection distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Protocol status: Working
 We use this collection and it's working

Created: Jun 29, 2023

Last Modified: Jun 29, 2023

COLLECTION integer ID:
 84260

🌐 Chronic Recoverable Neuropixels in Mice V.2

Emily A Aery Jones¹

¹Stanford University



Emily A Aery Jones
 Stanford University

ABSTRACT

This protocol collection explains how to build a low-cost, lightweight system to implant 1 Neuropixels 1.0 probe or 2 Neuropixels 2.0 probes into mice, record during freely moving behavior, then recover the probes for future use.

Other chronic recoverable designs:

- single 1.0 probe in mice: Juavinett et al, 2019 (<https://elifesciences.org/articles/47188>)
- multiple 1.0 probes in rats: Luo & Bondy et al, 2020 (<https://elifesciences.org/articles/59716>)
- single 2.0 probe in mice and dual or moveable 2.0 probes in rats: van Daal, Aydin, & Michon et al, 2021 (<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41596-021-00539-9>)
- overview of unpublished designs: Neuropixels 2021 course lecture 3.7 by Yoh Isogai (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7cZqYliGvBQ&list=PLfhWmWntvj164ti_a-MzHlwqwEU0ZIALb&index=18)

Advantages of this design:

- Lightweight (entire assembly, including headbar, dental cement, and tape, weighs <3g for single 1.0 probe or <4g for dual 2.0 probes)
- Allows headfixed or freely moving recordings
- Quickly attaches to a headstage holder, which provides LEDs for tracking and a counterweight to encourage running
- Position and angle of internal mount on the probe adjustable before gluing, allowing implantation into any brain region, but custom adjustment is not required, allowing the same probe to be re-inserted into a variety of sites
- Unlike completely enclosed designs, the shank is uncovered during insertion for better visualization, yet doesn't require delicately surrounding the shank with a glue column
- Dual 2.0 probes: probes are independently insertable, so can set custom positions, depths, and angles for each

Disadvantages of this design:

- Not suitable for larger animals (lightweight design likely can't withstand larger

Keywords:


electrophysiology, Neuropixels, silicon probe, hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, spatial navigation, freely moving recording, electrode

forces, flex cable remains exposed, moisture known to wick up shank into PCB in rats)

- External components are assembled around the probe during surgery rather than during assembly, so surgeries take slightly longer
- Less elegant than completely enclosed designs and requires a larger skull surface area for gluing
- Dual 2.0 probes: how close together the probes can get is limited by some of the components

Assembly preview:

Single 1.0 probe



Dual 2.0 beta probes



FILES

Protocol



NAME

Assembly: Chronic recoverable Neuropixels in mice

VERSION 7

CREATED BY



Emily A Aery JonesStanford University

OPEN →

Protocol



NAME

Implant Surgery: Chronic recoverable Neuropixels in mice

VERSION 5

CREATED BY



Emily A Aery JonesStanford
University

OPEN →

Protocol



NAME

Explant Surgery: Chronic recoverable Neuropixels in mice

VERSION 4

CREATED BY



Emily A Aery JonesStanford
University

OPEN →

Protocol



NAME

Building a SpikeGLX Rig with camera: Chronic recoverable Neuropixels in mice

VERSION 2

CREATED BY



Emily A Aery JonesStanford
University

OPEN →

Protocol



NAME

Freely moving recording: Chronic recoverable Neuropixels in mice

VERSION 5

CREATED BY



Emily A Aery JonesStanford
University

OPEN →