



Version 2 ▾

Apr 01, 2021

Protocol: Association between visual acuity and subsequent psychotic-like experiences in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children V.2

Natalie Shoham¹¹University College London, University of London, Camden and Islington NHS Foundation Trust*In Development* This document is published without a DOI.

Natalie Shoham

SUBMIT TO PLOS ONE

ABSTRACT

This is a protocol for a planned secondary analysis of longitudinal data, investigating whether there is an association between visual acuity and future psychotic symptoms in children.

DOCUMENT CITATION

Natalie Shoham 2021. Protocol: Association between visual acuity and subsequent psychotic-like experiences in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. **protocols.io**
<https://protocols.io/view/protocol-association-between-visual-acuity-and-sub-btvdnn26>
Version created by Natalie Shoham

WHAT'S NEW

Exposure variable has been defined in greater detail

LICENSE

This is an open access document distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

CREATED

Apr 01, 2021

LAST MODIFIED

Apr 01, 2021

DOCUMENT INTEGER ID

48773

DISCLAIMER:

DISCLAIMER – FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY; USE AT YOUR OWN RISK

The protocol content here is for informational purposes only and does not constitute legal, medical, clinical, or safety advice, or otherwise; content added to [protocols.io](#) is not peer reviewed and may not have undergone a formal approval of any kind. Information presented in this protocol should not substitute for independent professional judgment, advice, diagnosis, or treatment. Any action you take or refrain from taking using or relying upon the information presented here is strictly at your own risk. You agree that neither the Company nor any of the authors, contributors, administrators, or anyone else associated with [protocols.io](#), can be held responsible for your use of the information contained in or linked to this protocol or any of our Sites/Apps and Services.

ABSTRACT

This is a protocol for a planned secondary analysis of longitudinal data, investigating whether there is an association between visual acuity and future psychotic symptoms in children.

Protocol: Association between visual acuity and subsequent psychotic-like experiences in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children

Background and Rationale

Evidence exists for an association between visual acuity impairment and psychosis^{1, 2}. The reasons for this are not fully understood³. Possible explanations include: that visual acuity impairment contributes to causing psychosis⁴; that the same neuropathological processes underlie both visual acuity impairment and psychosis⁵; that there is poorer access to eye care for people with psychotic illnesses²; or that confounding variables create an apparent association. It has been hypothesised that both perfect vision and complete congenital blindness could protect against schizophrenia in the 'Protection Against Schizophrenia' model^{4, 6}. A longitudinal association between reduced visual acuity and subsequent hospitalisation due to schizophrenia has been robustly demonstrated in a sample of over 1 million men⁷, whilst a similarly large 2009 study found the reverse association⁸. Improved understanding of the association between visual impairment and psychosis could ultimately improve the quality of care provided to people with both conditions.

Aims

1) To explore the relationship between visual impairment and subsequent psychotic symptoms in a large longitudinal birth cohort.

Hypotheses

1) In the ALSPAC birth cohort lower best corrected visual acuity scores at age 7 and 11 will be associated with higher odds of scoring positive on the Psychosis-like Symptoms Semi-structured Interview (PLIKSi) at age 13, 17, and 24.

Study Design and Plan

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) is a longitudinal birth cohort of 14,500 families from the Avon area⁹. The cohort was enrolled between April 1991 and December 1992. Data from when the children were aged 24 have been released. We will employ secondary analysis of this pre-existing observational data set for this study.

Sample Size

The sample-size will be determined by the number of participants in the original ALSPAC study who have complete data for exposure and outcome variables.

Exposure Variables

The exposure variables will be best corrected visual acuity at ages 7 and age 11, visual acuity impairment category, between-eye difference in visual acuity, and wearing glasses.

Trained orthoptists carried out detailed visual assessments with children in the ALSPAC cohort, overseen by ophthalmologists, when children were aged 7 and 11.

Primary exposure Variables: Best Corrected Visual Acuity aged 7 and 11

Visual acuity was measured, with participants wearing their glasses if needed, using an Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) chart. Children wore their glasses unless they had not used the glasses for 6 months or more. Testing was performed monocularly only, using patches to occlude one eye. For children who did not know the alphabet, carers supported with matching cards. Children were encouraged to guess when unsure. The test was repeated using a pinhole. Best visual acuity was defined as whichever was the better measurement, with or without the pinhole. Children were moved to 0.5, 1, or 2 metres away from the chart if needed to allow them to read some of the letters.

We will use the best eye LogMAR score as a continuous exposure variable, with the unit change being 0.1 on the LogMAR scale.

Although a small number of children had visual acuity measured using Cardiff cards due to inability to cooperate with testing using the standard chart, we will not combine these results with the others due to evidence that they may not be fully compatible¹⁰.

Wearing glasses

During the visual assessment, carers were also asked whether children wore glasses. We analysed this as a binary exposure variable (yes / no).

Visual Acuity Impairment Category

We will also test visual acuity impairment as a categorical variable with four categories: normal vision (LogMAR \leq 0) without glasses; normal vision with glasses; visual acuity impairment (LogMAR $>$ 0) without glasses; or visual impairment with glasses.

Between-Eye Visual Acuity Difference

Lastly, we will between-eye visual acuity difference as a continuous exposure variable.

Outcome Variables

Psychotic-Like Experiences

Psychotic-Like Experiences Interview (PLIKSi): The PLIKSi is a semi-structured interview designed to elicit psychotic symptoms in the general population. It includes core questions designed to detect positive symptoms of psychosis (hallucinations, delusions, and thought interference). Each item is rated as “suspected” or definite by interviewers, and symptoms occurring only in the context of partial sleep states or fever are excluded¹¹. The PLIKSi was administered to participants at ages 13, 17, and 24, and has been validated in ALSPAC data¹¹. The primary outcome measure will be suspected or definite symptoms suspected on PLIKSi at age 24. PLIKSi result at ages 13 and 17 will be tested separately. We will assess these as a binary variable dichotomised according to whether there are suspected or definite psychotic symptoms vs none.

Confounding Variables

The following variables have been measured in the ALSPAC dataset and will be considered a priori as putative confounders:

Basic Minimal Adjustment

- 1) Birth sex of child (male / female): there is some evidence that male gender might increase risk of psychosis¹²
- 2) Ethnicity of mother and mother’s partner: people who are in an ethnic minority in their country of residence are also known to be at higher risk¹³
- 3) Categorical socioeconomic group of mother and mother’s partner: being in a lower socioeconomic group may increase the risk of psychosis¹³ and lessen opportunity to access opticians.

Others

- 4) Age of mother and mother’s partner in pregnancy and mother’s partner’s age in pregnancy as categorical variables: There is evidence that older paternal age is associated with schizophrenia, and maternal age is well-known to be associated with risk of chromosomal abnormalities which can increase risk of eyesight problems and mental illness¹⁴. Parental age <20 has also been associated with psychotic illness in offspring, which is why this variable will not be included as a continuous variable¹⁴. Categories will be <20, 21-29, 30-34, and 35+.
- 5) Requirement for resuscitation at birth: This is a marker of birth hypoxia. There is clear evidence that birth hypoxia can cause both visual impairment and psychosis^{15, 16}. We will assess this as a dichotomous variable, i.e. resuscitation at birth or no resuscitation at birth, as it is expected that the need for resuscitation rather than the method used will be the confounder.
- 6) IQ: Intellectual disability is a risk factor for psychosis¹⁷ as well as being associated with visual impairment¹⁸. IQ aged 8 will be analysed as a continuous variable.
- 7) Higher educational level is known to predispose to myopia¹⁹, and might also be a protective factor against psychosis¹², so could plausibly lead to negative confounding of the relationship between visual impairment and psychosis. Parental educational level will be used as a proxy as this has been measured before the exposure, unlike participant educational level. This will be a categorical variable.
- 8) Hearing impairment: Hearing impairment co-occurs with visual impairment in certain genetic conditions and birth complications²⁰. It is also a risk factor for psychosis, and therefore may confound the association²¹. Presence of significant hearing impairment at age 7 will be analysed as a binary variable (normal hearing vs any impairment).
- 9) Standardised Polygenic Risk Scores (PRS) for schizophrenia and bipolar affective disorder will be tested²²; to mitigate against the possibility that an association between myopia and psychosis is purely due to shared genetic vulnerability. These will be continuous variables.
- 10) Parity: There is evidence that higher parity increases risk of psychosis¹⁴ but being first-born increases risk of myopia²³, leading to a possibility of negative confounding by birth order. This will be included as a continuous variable.

11) Mother's daily vitamin D intake in pregnancy: vitamin D deficiency has been shown to be associated with both myopia and schizophrenia^{24, 25}. This will be included as a continuous variable.

12) Maternal diagnosis of diabetes or hypertension reported during pregnancy: there has been some evidence linking diabetes in pregnancy with hallucinatory experiences in offspring²⁶, and in mouse models diabetes disrupts ocular development²⁷. Similarly maternal hypertension is a risk factor for psychosis¹⁴ and myopia²³. These will be assessed as binary variables (present / absent).

13) Infection during pregnancy: there is evidence that in-utero exposure to infection can increase the risk of both psychosis and ocular pathology^{28, 29, 30}. Again, this will be assessed as a binary variable (present / absent).

14) Mother used alcohol in 1-3 months of pregnancy, maternal smoking in first 3 months of pregnancy, and maternal use of recreational drugs in pregnancy: There is evidence that maternal use of alcohol and tobacco during pregnancy increases the risk of psychosis and ocular pathology in offspring^{31, 32}, and recreational drug use has been linked with ocular and cerebral changes³³. Alcohol use will be a categorical variable: none; < 1 glass per week; or > 1 glass per week, due to low numbers in higher categories and limited evidence that drinking < 1 unit per week in pregnancy is harmful³⁴. Tobacco use will be a binary variable (yes / no). Drug use will also be binary; reported use of any recreational drug vs none.

15) Parental history of depression: these variables will be dichotomised as present / absent. Parent depression could plausibly be associated with neglect of children's eyesight and shared genetic vulnerability to mental illness.

16) Parental history of sight problems at time of pregnancy. This is to aim to mitigate against the possibility of shared genetic liability to myopia and psychosis accounting for any association.

The above putative confounding variables will be included in final models if there is evidence of attenuation of the effect size. Variables will not be included as confounders if their introduction leads to significant problems with sparsity or missing data. Where this is a problem, we will consider testing the association in the subset who do have this information available as a sensitivity analysis.

Sensitivity Analysis

As a sensitivity analysis, we will also explore different components of the PLIKSi individually.

Statistical Test

We will use the statistical package STATA SE 16³⁵ to analyse the results. We will use univariable (unadjusted) and multivariable (adjusted) multilevel logistic regression models to assess for an association between these variables. Odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals, and p-values will be reported.

Missing Data

If there is evidence that data is missing at random then we will use multiple imputation to assess and report on the likely effects of the missing data³⁶.

Anticipated Strengths and Limitations

Strengths of the proposed study include use of one of the largest, most detailed birth cohorts available to seek longitudinal evidence of an association between visual impairment and psychosis beginning in childhood⁹. To our knowledge this would be the first study to test an association using a childhood exposure of this type, and the first longitudinal study to test this association including female participants.

Limitations include the potential for attrition bias. There is likely to be a significant amount of missing data given that ALSPAC is a longitudinal cohort study. Previous studies have found that only half of the cohort had complete data necessary for analysis³⁷. It is plausible that people who develop psychotic illnesses are less likely to remain in the study, which might lead to weakening of any genuine association. Missing data may introduce similar bias into the results, though the planned use of multiple imputation aims to address this.

A further limitation is the inability to link ALSPAC to hospital records in this study. The PLIKSi is designed to measure psychotic-like experiences, rather than psychotic illnesses, which are of greater clinical relevance. In keeping with this the PLIKSi has shown poor correlation with polygenic risk scores for schizophrenia, showing that psychotic-like experiences do not entirely overlap with propensity to develop psychotic illness³⁸. The use of self-reported schizophrenia diagnosis as a secondary outcome measure is intended to strengthen validity of findings.

Residual and unmeasured confounding remains a possibility given that the exposure in this study cannot be allocated at random.

Acknowledgements

This work has been funded by the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR300703).

1. Moreno C, Nuevo R, Chatterji S, Verdes E, Arango C, Ayuso-Mateos JL. Psychotic symptoms are associated with physical health problems independently of a mental disorder diagnosis: results from the WHO World Health Survey. *World Psychiatry* 2013;12(3):251-257.
2. Viertiö S, Laitinen A, Perälä J, Saarni SI, Koskinen S, Lönnqvist J, Suvisaari J. Visual impairment in persons with psychotic disorder. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 2007/09/10 2007;42(11):902.
3. Pollak TA, Corlett PR. Blindness, Psychosis, and the Visual Construction of the World. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 2019.
4. Landgraf S, Osterheider M. "To see or not to see: that is the question." The "Protection-Against-Schizophrenia" (PaSZ) model: evidence from congenital blindness and visuo-cognitive aberrations. 2013-July-01 2013;4(352).
5. Adams SA, Nasrallah HA. Multiple retinal anomalies in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia research* May 2018;195:3-12.
6. Morgan VA, Clark M, Crewe J, Valuri G, Mackey DA, Badcock JC, Jablensky AJSR. Congenital blindness is protective for schizophrenia and other psychotic illness. A whole-population study. 2018;202:414-416.
7. Hayes JF, Picot S, Osborn DPJ, Lewis G, Dalman C, Lundin A. Visual Acuity in Late Adolescence and Future Psychosis Risk in a Cohort of 1 Million Men. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 2018;45(3):571-578.
8. Caspi A, Vishne T, Reichenberg A, et al. Refractive errors and schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia research* 2009/02/01/ 2009;107(2):238-241.
9. University of Bristol. Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: About. Available at: <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/about/>. Accessed 8th October, 2020.
10. HOWARD C, FIRTH AY. Is the Cardiff Acuity Test Effective in Detecting Refractive Errors in Children? 2006;83(8):E577-E582.
11. Rossi R, Zammit S, Button K, Munafò M, Lewis G, David A. Psychotic Experiences and Working Memory: A Population-Based Study Using Signal-Detection Analysis. *PloS one* 04/27 2016;11:e0153148.
12. Fusar-Poli P, Tantardini M, De Simone S, et al. Deconstructing vulnerability for psychosis: Meta-analysis of environmental risk factors for psychosis in subjects at ultra high-risk. 2017;40:65-75.
13. Kirkbride JB, Barker D, Cowden F, Stamps R, Yang M, Jones PB, Coid JJTBJoP. Psychoses, ethnicity and socio-economic status. 2008;193(1):18-24.
14. Davies C, Segre G, Estradé A, et al. Prenatal and perinatal risk and protective factors for psychosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 2020/05/01/ 2020;7(5):399-410.
15. Dalman C, Thomas HV, David AS, Gentz J, Lewis G, Allebeck P. Signs of asphyxia at birth and risk of schizophrenia: Population-based case-control study. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 2001;179(5):403-408.
16. Van Hof-Van Duin J, Mohn G. Visual defects in children after cerebral hypoxia. *Behavioural Brain Research* 1984/11/01/ 1984;14(2):147-155.
17. Cooper SA, Smiley E, Morrison J, Allan L, Williamson A, Finlayson J, Jackson A, Mantry D. Psychosis and adults with intellectual disabilities. Prevalence, incidence, and related factors. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* Jul 2007;42(7):530-536.
18. Warburg MJJoDr. Visual impairment in adult people with intellectual disability: literature review. 2001;45(5):424-438.
19. Mountjoy E, Davies NM, Plotnikov D, Smith GD, Rodriguez S, Williams CE, Guggenheim JA, Atan DJb. Education and myopia: assessing the direction of causality by mendelian randomisation. 2018;361:k2022.
20. NHS. Causes: Deafblindness. Available at: <https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/deafblindness/causes/>. Accessed 28th October, 2020.
21. Thewissen V, Myin-Germeys I, Bentall R, de Graaf R, Vollebergh W, van Os JJSR. Hearing impairment and psychosis revisited. 2005;76(1):99-103.
22. Jones HJ, Heron J, Hammerton G, et al. Investigating the genetic architecture of general and specific psychopathology in adolescence. *Translational Psychiatry* 2018/08/08 2018;8(1):145.
23. Yu B, Dai L, Chen J, Sun W, Chen J, Du L, Deng N, Chen D. Prenatal and neonatal factors for the development of childhood visual impairment in primary and middle school students: a cross-sectional survey in Guangzhou, China. *BMJ Open* 2020;10(9):e032721-e032721.
24. Kinney DK, Teixeira P, Hsu D, Napoleon SC, Crowley DJ, Miller A, Hyman W, Huang EJSb. Relation of schizophrenia prevalence to latitude, climate, fish consumption, infant mortality, and skin color: a role for prenatal vitamin d deficiency and infections? 2009;35(3):582-595.
25. Yazar S, Hewitt AW, Black LJ, et al. Myopia is associated with lower vitamin D status in young adults. 2014;55(7):4552-4559.
26. Yamasaki S, Ando S, Richards M, et al. Maternal diabetes in early pregnancy, and psychotic experiences and depressive symptoms in 10-year-old offspring: A population-based birth cohort study. *Schizophrenia research* 2019/04/01/ 2019;206:52-57.
27. López-Escobar B, Cano DA, Rojas A, de Felipe B, Palma F, Sánchez-Alcázar JA, Henderson D, Ybot-González P. The effect of maternal diabetes on the Wnt-PCP pathway during embryogenesis as reflected in the developing mouse eye. *Dis Model Mech* 2015;8(2):157-168.
28. Bouthry E, Picone O, Hamdi G, Grangeot-Keros L, Ayoubi JM, Vauloup-Fellous CJPd. Rubella and pregnancy: diagnosis, management and outcomes. 2014;34(13):1246-1253.
29. Khandaker GM, Zimbron J, Lewis G, Jones PB. Prenatal maternal infection, neurodevelopment and adult schizophrenia: a

systematic review of population-based studies. *Psychol Med* 2013;43(2):239-257.

30. Cai L, Wan C-L, He L, Jong Sd, Chou K-CJMC. Gestational influenza increases the risk of psychosis in adults. 2015;11(7):676-682.

31. Strömland KJAOS. Ocular abnormalities in the fetal alcohol syndrome. 1985;171:1-50.

32. Zammit S, Thomas K, Thompson A, et al. Maternal tobacco, cannabis and alcohol use during pregnancy and risk of adolescent psychotic symptoms in offspring. 2009;195(4):294-300.

33. Chomchai S, Phudithshinnapatra J, Mekavuthikul P, Chomchai C. Effects of unconventional recreational drug use in pregnancy. Paper presented at: Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2019.

34. Mamluk L, Edwards HB, Savović J, et al. Low alcohol consumption and pregnancy and childhood outcomes: time to change guidelines indicating apparently 'safe' levels of alcohol during pregnancy? A systematic review and meta-analyses. 2017;7(7):e015410.

35. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 16* [computer program]. Version; 2019.

36. Srinivasan R, Pearson RM, Johnson S, Lewis G, Lewis G. Maternal perinatal depressive symptoms and offspring psychotic experiences at 18 years of age: a longitudinal study. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 2020/05/01/ 2020;7(5):431-440.

37. Wiles NJ, Northstone K, Emmett P, Lewis G. 'Junk food' diet and childhood behavioural problems: results from the ALSPAC cohort. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2009/04/01 2009;63(4):491-498.

38. Zammit S, Hamshire M, Dwyer S, et al. A population-based study of genetic variation and psychotic experiences in adolescents. 2014;40(6):1254-1262.