

# Practices for Scientific Computation

## Principles, Corollaries, and Simple Applications

Patrick Sanan

Institute of Geophysics, ETH Zurich  
[patrick.sanan@erdw.ethz.ch](mailto:patrick.sanan@erdw.ethz.ch)

[https://github.com/psanan/practices\\_for\\_scientific\\_computation](https://github.com/psanan/practices_for_scientific_computation)

## Setting

## Principles

“You will forget”

“Good practices are good science”

“Numerical codes are special”

“Less.”

## Practices

The art of the README

Good login files

Asking good technical questions

Using version control

# Setting

- ▶ Scientists rely, increasingly, on computational tools, yet often feel frustrated by them
- ▶ People often repeat the same mistakes as they develop workflows based on short-term goals
- ▶ Later, I will tell you that you need to learn about version control (git). Why should you bother?

# Assumed Audience

- ▶ You're a scientist who works with numerical, computational tools (simulation, data processing and analysis, etc.), beyond the (exclusive) use of commercial packages
- ▶ You know what “the command line” is
- ▶ You're interested in using the available tools more efficiently

# Outline and Scope

- ▶ I will attempt to provide you with a few principles that I feel are often “learned the hard way”
- ▶ I will present a few concrete applications of these principles, focusing on common tasks often considered boring.

# Beating the “Greedy Algorithm”

- ▶ Smart people working quickly tend to make similar choices when presented with the available tools
- ▶ Often, these work well, but not always, because they make “locally optimal”, not “globally optimal” choices
- ▶ The principles that follow are about adding rules to try to avoid getting trapped in “local minima”.

# Principles



“You will forget”

# Principle: “You will forget”

- ▶ After a given project leaves your immediate attention, you will remember few of the technical details
- ▶ The Greedy Algorithm:
  - ▶ Only consider the exact, current use case
  - ▶ Don't document the boring details
- ▶ Observations
  - ▶ Your own code, from a few months ago, looks like a stranger's code
  - ▶ Context switching has large overheads
  - ▶ “Getting it to run again” is often a very time-consuming and inspiration-sucking exercise.

# Corollaries: “You will forget”

- ▶ State is your enemy
- ▶ Encapsulation is essential
- ▶ Document, but avoid documentation which you have to remember to update

“Good practices are good science”

# Principle: “Good practices are Good Science”

- ▶ Treating computational tools as first-class parts of the scientific process is effective in increasing the quality of scientific output.
- ▶ The Greedy Algorithm
  - ▶ Treat computational methods as simply another tool in the toolbox, applying when needed
  - ▶ When presenting results, consider computational details to be uninteresting and trivial
- ▶ Observations
  - ▶ The “Third Pillar of Science” concept is a useful idea
  - ▶ It can be surprisingly difficult to reproduce computational results (including your own)
  - ▶ Applying scientific ethics to the use of computational tools can be effective

# Corollaries: “Good practices are Good Science”

- ▶ Challenge your code like you challenge ideas: try to prove it wrong. Get rid of things that don't work.
- ▶ The value of clarity, reproducibility and transparency extends to your code
- ▶ Document your work as you progress

“Numerical codes are special”

# Principle: “Numerical codes are special”

- ▶ Numerical codes present distinct challenges compared to both general computation / computer science, and general scientific endeavors; these need to be dealt with directly.
- ▶ The Greedy Algorithm:
  - ▶ If it seems to work, good enough
- ▶ Observations
  - ▶ Floating-point arithmetic, particularly in parallel, requires special thought
  - ▶ Seemingly-simple code can rely on a great deal of mathematical or physical expertise
  - ▶ Numerical methods are often not robust to seemingly-minor changes in the problem setting



# Corollaries: “Numerical codes are special”

- ▶ “If you see something strange- there is a bug!”<sup>1</sup>
- ▶ It pays to learn the “Numerical facts of life”<sup>2</sup>, for example the fundamental limits of finite-precision arithmetic.
- ▶ Numerical codes need (easy-to-run) tests
- ▶ “Don’t believe it until you can run it”<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Taras Gerya, “Numerical Geodynamic Modelling”, Cambridge University Press, 2009

<sup>2</sup>Ed Beuler, “PETSc for Partial Differential Equations” (coming from SIAM Press)

<sup>3</sup>Matt Knepley (?)

“Less.”

# Principle: “Less.”

- ▶ Complexity and volume of information inevitably creates work
- ▶ The Greedy Algorithm
  - ▶ Copy-paste instead of encapsulating and re-using
  - ▶ Multiple copies of the same data
  - ▶ Leave commented-out code, just in case
- ▶ Observations
  - ▶ It's easier to write code than read it
  - ▶ Unforeseen problems and difficult bugs become much more prevalent, the more complicated a system is
  - ▶ Code “rots”
  - ▶ Hierarchy, encapsulation and data-hiding make big things small

# Corollaries: “Less.”

- ▶ Treat code as a liability, as much as an asset
- ▶ Look out for ways to delete and encapsulate things
- ▶ Try to present work to other people in chunks of less than a “screen”.

# Practices

How can we apply our principles?

1. “You will forget”
2. “Good practices are good science”
3. “Numerical codes are special”
4. “Less.”

# The art of the README

# Practice: The art of the README

- ▶ The common README file in the root directory of most software projects is a great example of application of “You will forget”, and “Less.”.
- ▶ This is your one and only change to say something to people when they first come across your project.
- ▶ A quickstart is incredibly valuable
  - ▶ Get a user to a “working” state as quickly as possible
  - ▶ Show, don’t explain
  - ▶ Have copy-paste-able commands (test them, exactly, on more than one system)
  - ▶ Some expected output is very helpful



## Good login files

# Practice: Good login files

- ▶ “You will forget” :
  - ▶ Avoid state
  - ▶ Be very careful about adding things to `PATH`, `PYTHONPATH`, `LD_DYNAMIC_LIBRARY_PATH`, etc.
  - ▶ Explicit (define helper functions) is better than implicit (hard-coding environment variables)
- ▶ “Less.”: Use a single set of login files on all systems, for all projects
- ▶ “Good practices are good science”: login files are very often undocumented state for your experiments.

## Asking good technical questions

# Practice: Asking good technical questions

- ▶ “You will forget”: You will very likely come back to this question and answer again, later. Write it clearly.
- ▶ “Less.”: Try to describe the problem as briefly as you can, while still being accurate. This very often leads you to the answer, yourself (“rubber ducking”).
- ▶ “Numerical code is special”:
  - ▶ Reproducibility is essential
  - ▶ “Bisectability” is important - a similar, working state is invaluable
- ▶ “Good practices are good science”:
  - ▶ State your assumptions to avoid the XY problem
  - ▶ Ask your question in public, so that others can learn

For more, see [my post, “It doesn’t work!”](#), on the EGU blog.

## Using version control

# Practice: Using version control

Good use of version control helps satisfy all of our principles:

- ▶ “You will forget”: keep track of past states, build repositories with documentation
- ▶ “Good practices are good science”: Identify exact versions of software, distribute code, collaborate on code.
- ▶ “Numerical codes are special”: Manage working states effectively, easily move to new machines
- ▶ “Less.”: maintain a single, canonical history of a project.

For these and many other reasons, there is an entire git tutorial available at [github.com/psanan/git\\_tutorial](https://github.com/psanan/git_tutorial).