

Successive Convexification for Trajectory Optimization with Continuous-Time Constraint Satisfaction

CT-SCvx

Autonomous Controls Laboratory
University of Washington, Seattle

Contents

1	Notation	2
2	Problem Formulation	2
2.1	Time-Dilation and Constraint Reformulation	2
2.2	Discretization	3
2.2.1	Linearization	4
3	Miscellaneous	5
3.1	Parsing to Canonical QP	5
3.1.1	Convex Subproblem with Scaled Variables	6
3.1.2	Parsed Quantities	6
3.1.3	PIPG	7
3.2	Signed Distance	8
4	Examples	8
4.1	doubleint-circle-obs	8
4.1.1	node-only-cnstr	8
4.1.2	ctcs	9
4.2	doubleint-ellip-obs	9
4.2.1	node-only-cnstr	9
4.2.2	ctcs	9
4.3	doubleint-polytope-obs	9
4.3.1	ctcs	9
4.3.2	node-only-cnstr	10

4.3.3	ctcs-fixed-step-integrate	10
4.4	rocket-landing	10
4.4.1	node-only-cnstr	10
4.4.2	ctcs	10

1 Notation

$\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$	Vectors are matrices with one column
$1_n, 0_n$	Vector of ones and zeros, respectively, in \mathbb{R}^n (subscript inferred whenever omitted)
$0_{n \times m}$	Matrix of zeros in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$
I_n	Identity matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$
$ v _+$	$\square \mapsto \max\{0, \square\}$ applied element-wise for vector v
v^2	$\square \mapsto \square^2$ applied element-wise for vector v
(u, v)	Concatenation of vectors $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ to form a vector in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}
$[A \ B]$	Concatenation of matrices A and B with same number of rows
$\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}$	Concatenation of matrices A and B with same number of columns

2 Problem Formulation

Dynamical system:

$$\frac{d\tilde{\zeta}(t)}{dt} = \dot{\tilde{\zeta}}(t) = F(\tilde{\zeta}(t), \nu(t)) \quad (1)$$

for $t \in [t_i, t_f]$, with state $\tilde{\zeta}$ and control input ν .

Path constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\tilde{\zeta}(t), \nu(t)) &\leq 0 \\ h(\tilde{\zeta}(t), \nu(t)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where g and h are vector-valued functions. Operators “ \leq ” and “ $=$ ” are interpreted element-wise.

2.1 Time-Dilation and Constraint Reformulation

Time-dilation:

$$\frac{d\tilde{\zeta}(t(\tau))}{d\tau} = \overset{\circ}{\tilde{\zeta}}(\tau) = \frac{d\tilde{\zeta}(\tau)}{dt} s(\tau) = s(\tau) F(\tilde{\zeta}(\tau), \nu(\tau)) \quad (2)$$

for $\tau \in [0, 1]$, where $t(\tau)$ is a strictly increasing function with domain $[0, 1]$. Note that $\tilde{\zeta}$ and ν are re-defined to be functions of $\tau \in [0, 1]$ instead of t .

Free-final-time problems are converted to fixed-final-time problems via time-dilation. The dilation factor:

$$s(\tau) = \frac{dt(\tau)}{d\tau}$$

is treated as an additional control input and is required to be positive.

The control input is re-defined to be $u = (v, s)$, and the final time is given by:

$$t_f = t_i + \int_0^1 s(\tau) d\tau$$

Constraint reformulation augments path constraints to the time-dilated system dynamics (2) as follows:

$$\dot{x}(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\xi}(\tau) \\ \dot{y}(\tau) \end{bmatrix} = s(\tau) \begin{bmatrix} F(\xi(\tau), v(\tau)) \\ 1^\top |g(\xi(\tau), v(\tau))|_+^2 + 1^\top h(\xi(\tau), v(\tau))^2 \end{bmatrix} = f(x(\tau), u(\tau)) \quad (3)$$

for $\tau \in [0, 1]$, where $x = (\xi, y)$ is the re-defined state.

Boundary condition on the constraint violation integrator:

$$y(0) = y(1) \quad (4)$$

ensures that the path constraints are satisfied for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$. Note that we can also have an exclusive state for each constraint.

In the subsequent development, we treat

$$\dot{x}(\tau) = f(x(\tau), u(\tau)) \quad (5)$$

with $x(\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$ and $u(\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$, for $\tau \in [\tau_i, \tau_f]$, as the template for describing a dynamical system irrespective of whether the time-dilation and constraint reformulation operations have occurred. The domain of the independent variable τ is defined accordingly to handle problems that originally have fixed-final-time. State x and control input u are appropriately defined for problems that are subjected time-dilation and constraint reformulation.

2.2 Discretization

Discretization of interval $[\tau_i, \tau_f]$ into a grid with K nodes:

$$\tau_i = \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_K = \tau_f \quad (6)$$

If time-dilation is performed, then time duration over sub-interval $[\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}]$ is given by:

$$\Delta t_k = \int_{\tau_k}^{\tau_{k+1}} s(\tau) d\tau$$

for $k = 1, \dots, K - 1$.

Constraints on dilation factor and time duration:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\min} &\leq s(\tau) \leq s_{\max} \\ t_f &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \Delta t_k \leq t_{f,\max} \\ \Delta t_{\min} &\leq \Delta t_k \leq \Delta t_{\max} \end{aligned}$$

The constraints above are defined in `misc.time_cnstr`

Parameterization of control input:

Zero-order-hold (ZOH):

$$u(\tau) = u_k$$

for $\tau \in [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1})$ and $k = 1, \dots, K-1$. Define $u_K = u_{K-1}$ for convenience.

First-order-hold (FOH):

$$u(\tau) = \left(\frac{\tau_{k+1} - \tau}{\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k} \right) u_k + \left(\frac{\tau - \tau_k}{\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k} \right) u_{k+1}$$

for $\tau \in [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}]$ and $k = 1, \dots, K-1$.

Note that $u(\tau_k) = u_k$ holds for both ZOH and FOH. The node points values of the state and control input: x_k and u_k , respectively, are treated as decision variables.

Convex constraints on the control input need not be subjected to constraint reformulation when ZOH or FOH parameterization is chosen. It is sufficient to impose the convex constraints only at the node points.

We will use the term “discretization” to refer to the combined discretization and control input parameterization operations. This is because the form of ZOH and FOH parameterizations that we adopt are dependent on the choice of discretization grid (6).

Discretized dynamics:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \int_{\tau_k}^{\tau_{k+1}} f(x(\tau), u(\tau)) d\tau \quad (7)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, K-1$, where $x(\tau)$ is the solution to (5) over $[\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}]$ with initial condition x_k and $u(\tau)$ is ZOH- parameterized using u_k or FOH-parameterized using u_k and u_{k+1} .

If constraint reformulation is performed, then the boundary condition (4) is relaxed to

$$y_{k+1} - y_k \leq \epsilon$$

for $k = 1, \dots, K-1$, so that all feasible solutions do not violate LICQ. Note that y_k is value of the state y in (3) at node τ_k .

Parameter	Code variable(s)	Meaning
g	cnstr_fun	Inequality constraint function
h	cnstr_eq_fun	Equality constraint function
s_{\min}, s_{\max}	smin, smax	Upper- and lower-bounds on dilation factor
$\Delta t_{\min}, \Delta t_{\max}$	dtmin, dtmax	Upper- and lower-bound on sub-interval time duration
$t_{f,\max}$	ToFmax	Upper bound on final time
x_k	$x(:, k)$	State at node τ_k
u_k	$u(:, k)$	Control input at node τ_k
y_k	$y(:, k)$	Constraint violation integrator at node τ_k
ϵ	eps_cnstr	Constraint relaxation tolerance

2.2.1 Linearization

At each iteration of SCP, the discretized dynamics (7) is linearized with respect to the previous iterate, denoted by \bar{x}_k, \bar{u}_k , for $k = 1, \dots, K$.

For each $k = 1, \dots, K-1$, let $\bar{x}^k(\tau)$ denote the solution to (5) over $[\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}]$ generated with initial condition \bar{x}_k and control input $\bar{u}(\tau)$, which is parameterized using \bar{u}_k , for $k = 1, \dots, K$. Note that, in general, $\bar{x}^k(\tau_{k+1}) \neq \bar{x}_{k+1}$ before SCP converges.

The Jacobian of f in (5) evaluated on $\bar{x}^k(\tau), \bar{u}(\tau)$ are denoted by:

$$A^k(\tau) = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x}^k(\tau), \bar{u}(\tau))}{\partial x}$$

$$B^k(\tau) = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x}^k(\tau), \bar{u}(\tau))}{\partial u}$$

for $\tau \in [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}]$.

The discretized dynamics (7) is linearized as follows.

ZOH:

$$x_{k+1} = A_k x_k + B_k u_k + w_k \quad (8)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, K-1$, where A_k, B_k, w_k result from the solution to the following initial value problem over $\tau \in [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Phi}_x(\tau, \tau_k) &= A^k(\tau) \Phi_x(\tau, \tau_k) \\ \dot{\Phi}_u(\tau, \tau_k) &= A^k(\tau) \Phi_u(\tau, \tau_k) + B^k(\tau) \left(\frac{\tau_{k+1} - \tau}{\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k} \right) \\ \Phi_x(\tau_k, \tau_k) &= I_{n_x} \\ \Phi_u(\tau_k, \tau_k) &= 0_{n_x \times n_u} \\ A_k &= \Phi_x(\tau_{k+1}, \tau_k) \\ B_k &= \Phi_u(\tau_{k+1}, \tau_k) \\ w_k &= \bar{x}^k(\tau_{k+1}) - A_k \bar{x}_k - B_k \bar{u}_k \end{aligned}$$

FOH:

$$x_{k+1} = A_k x_k + B_k^- u_k + B_k^+ u_{k+1} + w_k \quad (9)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, K-1$, where A_k, B_k^-, B_k^+, w_k result from the solution to the following initial value problem over $\tau \in [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Phi}_x(\tau, \tau_k) &= A^k(\tau) \Phi_x(\tau, \tau_k) \\ \dot{\Phi}_u^-(\tau, \tau_k) &= A^k(\tau) \Phi_u^-(\tau, \tau_k) + B^k(\tau) \left(\frac{\tau_{k+1} - \tau}{\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k} \right) \\ \dot{\Phi}_u^+(\tau, \tau_k) &= A^k(\tau) \Phi_u^+(\tau, \tau_k) + B^k(\tau) \left(\frac{\tau - \tau_k}{\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k} \right) \\ \Phi_x(\tau_k, \tau_k) &= I_{n_x} \\ \Phi_u^-(\tau_k, \tau_k) &= 0_{n_x \times n_u} \\ \Phi_u^+(\tau_k, \tau_k) &= 0_{n_x \times n_u} \\ A_k &= \Phi_x(\tau_{k+1}, \tau_k) \\ B_k^- &= \Phi_u^-(\tau_{k+1}, \tau_k) \\ B_k^+ &= \Phi_u^+(\tau_{k+1}, \tau_k) \\ w_k &= \bar{x}^k(\tau_{k+1}) - A_k \bar{x}_k - B_k^- \bar{u}_k - B_k^+ \bar{u}_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

3 Miscellaneous

3.1 Parsing to Canonical QP

Canonical QP:

$$\text{minimize } \frac{1}{2} z^\top \hat{P} z + \hat{p}^\top z \quad (10a)$$

$$\text{subject to } \hat{G}z = \hat{g} \quad (10b)$$

$$\hat{H}z \leq \hat{h} \quad (10c)$$

3.1.1 Convex Subproblem with Scaled Variables

$$\text{minimize } w_{\text{cost}} e_x^\top \hat{x}_K + \frac{1}{2} w_{\text{tr}} \sum_{k=1}^K \|\hat{x}_k - \hat{x}_k\|_2^2 + \|\hat{u}_k - \hat{u}_k\|_2^2 + w_{\text{vc}} \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} 1_{n_x}^\top (\mu_k^+ + \mu_k^-) \quad (11a)$$

$$\text{subject to } -\hat{x}_{k+1} + \hat{A}_k \hat{x}_k + \hat{B}_k^- \hat{u}_k + \hat{B}_k^+ \hat{u}_{k+1} + \mu_k^+ - \mu_k^- + \hat{w}_k = 0 \quad 1 \leq k \leq K-1 \quad (11b)$$

$$E_y(\hat{x}_{k+1} - \hat{x}_k) \leq \hat{\epsilon}_k \quad 1 \leq k \leq K-1 \quad (11c)$$

$$\mu_k^+ \geq 0, \mu_k^- \geq 0 \quad 1 \leq k \leq K-1 \quad (11d)$$

$$\hat{u}_{k,\min} \leq \hat{u}_k \leq \hat{u}_{k,\max} \quad 1 \leq k \leq K \quad (11e)$$

$$E_i \hat{x}_1 = \hat{z}_i, E_f \hat{x}_K = \hat{z}_f \quad (11f)$$

Affinely-scaled absolute variables:

$$x_k = S_x \hat{x}_k + c_x$$

$$\hat{x}_k = S_x^{-1}(x_k - c_x)$$

$$\bar{x}_k = S_x^{-1}(\bar{x}_k - c_x)$$

$$\hat{A}_k = S_x^{-1} A_k S_x$$

$$\hat{B}_k^- = S_x^{-1} B_k^- S_u$$

$$\hat{B}_k^+ = S_x^{-1} B_k^+ S_u$$

$$\hat{w}_k = S_x^{-1}(w_k + A_k c_x + B_k^- c_u + B_k^+ c_u - c_x)$$

$$\hat{\epsilon}_k = \epsilon E_y S_x^{-1} E_y^\top 1_{n_y}$$

$$\hat{u}_{k,\min/\max} = S_u^{-1}(u_{\min/\max} - c_u)$$

$$\hat{z}_{i/f} = E_{i/f} S_x^{-1} E_{i/f}^\top (z_{i/f} - E_{i/f} c_x)$$

Linearly-scaled deviation variables:

$$x_k = S_x \hat{x}_k + \bar{x}_k$$

$$\hat{x}_k = S_x^{-1}(x_k - \bar{x}_k)$$

$$\hat{x}_k = S_x^{-1}(\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_k) = 0_{n_x}$$

$$\hat{A}_k = S_x^{-1} A_k S_x$$

$$\hat{B}_k^- = S_x^{-1} B_k^- S_u$$

$$\hat{B}_k^+ = S_x^{-1} B_k^+ S_u$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{w}_k &= S_x^{-1}(w_k + A_k \bar{x}_k + B_k^- \bar{u}_k + B_k^+ \bar{u}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_{k+1}) \\ &= S_x^{-1}(\bar{x}^k(\tau_{k+1}) - \bar{x}_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\epsilon}_k = \epsilon E_y S_x^{-1} E_y^\top 1_{n_y} - E_y S_x^{-1}(\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k)$$

$$\hat{u}_{k,\min/\max} = S_u^{-1}(u_{\min/\max} - \bar{u}_k)$$

$$\hat{z}_i = E_i S_x^{-1} E_i^\top (z_i - E_i \bar{x}_1)$$

$$\hat{z}_f = E_f S_x^{-1} E_f^\top (z_f - E_f \bar{x}_K)$$

3.1.2 Parsed Quantities

$$z = (\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_K, \hat{u}_1, \dots, \hat{u}_K, \mu_1^-, \dots, \mu_{K-1}^-, \mu_1^+, \dots, \mu_{K-1}^+) \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_x+n_u)K+2n_x(K-1)}$$

$$\hat{P} = \text{blkdiag}(w_{\text{tr}} I_{(n_x+n_u)K}, 0_{2n_x(K-1) \times 2n_x(K-1)})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p} &= w_{\text{cost}}(0_{n_x(K-1)}, e_x, 0_{n_u K + 2n_x(K-1)}) \\ &\quad - w_{\text{tr}}(\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_K, \hat{u}_1, \dots, \hat{u}_K, 0_{2n_x(K-1)}) \\ &\quad + w_{\text{vc}}(0_{(n_x+n_u)K}, 1_{2n_x(K-1)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{G}_{i,f} & 0_{(n_i+n_f) \times n_u K} & 0_{(n_i+n_f) \times 2n_x(K-1)} \\ \hat{G}_x & \hat{G}_u & \hat{G}_\mu \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{G}_{i,f} = \begin{bmatrix} E_i & 0_{n_i \times n_x(K-1)} \\ 0_{n_f \times n_x(K-1)} & E_f \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{G}_x = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A} & 0_{n_x(K-1) \times n_x} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n_x(K-1) \times n_x} & I_{n_x(K-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{G}_u = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{B}^- & 0_{n_x(K-1) \times n_u} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n_x(K-1) \times n_u} & \hat{B}^+ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{G}_\mu &= \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_x(K-1)} & -I_{n_x(K-1)} \end{bmatrix} \\
\hat{A} &= \text{blkdiag}(\hat{A}_1, \dots, \hat{A}_{K-1}) \\
\hat{B}^- &= \text{blkdiag}(\hat{B}_1^-, \dots, \hat{B}_{K-1}^-) \\
\hat{B}^+ &= \text{blkdiag}(\hat{B}_1^+, \dots, \hat{B}_{K-1}^+) \\
\hat{g} &= (\hat{z}_i, \hat{z}_f, -\hat{w}_1, \dots, -\hat{w}_{K-1}) \\
\hat{H}_{u,\mu} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0_{2n_u K \times n_x K} & I_{n_u K} & 0_{2n_u K \times 2n_x(K-1)} \\ 0_{2n_x(K-1) \times n_x K} & -I_{n_u K} & -I_{2n_x(K-1)} \end{bmatrix} \\
\hat{H}_y &= - \begin{bmatrix} I_{K-1} \otimes E_y & 0_{n_y(K-1) \times n_x} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n_y(K-1) \times n_x} & I_{K-1} \otimes E_y \end{bmatrix} \\
\hat{H} &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_{u,\mu} \\ \hat{H}_y & 0_{n_y(K-1) \times n_u K + 2n_x(K-1)} \end{bmatrix} \\
\hat{h} &= (\hat{u}_{1,\max}, \dots, \hat{u}_{K,\max}, -\hat{u}_{1,\min}, \dots, -\hat{u}_{K,\min}, 0_{2n_x(K-1)}, \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \hat{\varepsilon}_{K-1})
\end{aligned}$$

3.1.3 PIPG

Algorithm 1 xPIPG implementation with FOH

Require: $j_{\max}, \alpha, \beta, \rho$

Initialize: ζ^1, η^1, χ^1

```

1: for  $j = 1, \dots, j_{\max}$  do
2:    $z^{j+1} \leftarrow \zeta^j - \alpha(\hat{P}\zeta^j + \hat{p} + \tilde{G}^\top \eta^j + \tilde{H}^\top \chi^j)$ 
3:    $E_i \hat{x}_1^{j+1} \leftarrow z_i$ 
4:    $E_f \hat{x}_K^{j+1} \leftarrow z_f$ 
5:    $\hat{u}_k^{j+1} \leftarrow \max\{\hat{u}_{k,\min}, \min\{\hat{u}_{k,\max}, \hat{u}_k^{j+1}\}\} \quad k = 1, \dots, K$ 
6:    $(\mu_k^+)^{j+1} \leftarrow \max\{0, (\mu_k^+)^{j+1}\} \quad k = 1, \dots, K-1$ 
7:    $(\mu_k^-)^{j+1} \leftarrow \max\{0, (\mu_k^-)^{j+1}\} \quad k = 1, \dots, K-1$ 
8:    $w^{j+1} \leftarrow \eta^j + \beta(\tilde{G}(2z^{j+1} - \zeta^j) - \tilde{g})$ 
9:    $v^{j+1} \leftarrow \max\{0, \chi^j + \beta(\tilde{H}(2z^{j+1} - \zeta^j) - \tilde{h})\}$ 
10:   $\zeta^{j+1} \leftarrow (1 - \rho)\zeta^j + \rho z^{j+1}$ 
11:   $\eta^{j+1} \leftarrow (1 - \rho)\eta^j + \rho w^{j+1}$ 
12:   $\chi^{j+1} \leftarrow (1 - \rho)\chi^j + \rho v^{j+1}$ 
13: end for

```

$$z^j = (\hat{x}_1^j, \dots, \hat{x}_K^j, \hat{u}_1^j, \dots, \hat{u}_K^j, (\mu_1^+)^j, \dots, (\mu_{K-1}^+)^j, (\mu_1^-)^j, \dots, (\mu_{K-1}^-)^j) \quad (12)$$

$$\tilde{G} = [\hat{G}_x \ \hat{G}_u \ \hat{G}_\mu] \quad (13)$$

$$\tilde{g} = -(\hat{w}_1, \dots, \hat{w}_{K-1}) \quad (14)$$

$$\tilde{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_y & 0_{n_y(K-1) \times n_u K + 2n_x(K-1)} \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

$$\tilde{h} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{K-1}) \quad (16)$$

Customization:

$$\hat{x}, \tilde{x} \quad (17)$$

$$\hat{u}, \tilde{u} \quad (18)$$

$$\mu^\pm, \nu^\pm \quad (19)$$

$$\phi, \varphi \quad (20)$$

$$\theta, \vartheta \quad (21)$$

3.2 Signed Distance

The signed-distance of z to set \mathcal{Y} is defined as

$$\text{sd}(z, \mathcal{Y}) = \min_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \|z - y\|_2 - \min_{y \in \mathcal{Y}^c} \|z - y\|_2 \quad (22)$$

and its gradient with respect to z is defined as

$$\partial \text{sd}(z, \mathcal{Y}) = \begin{cases} \frac{z - \underset{y \in \mathcal{Y}}{\text{argmin}} \|z - y\|_2}{\text{sd}(z, \mathcal{Y})} & \text{if } z \notin \partial \mathcal{Y} \\ \hat{n}(z, \mathcal{Y}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

where $\partial \mathcal{Y}$ is the boundary of set \mathcal{Y} , and $\hat{n}(z, \mathcal{Y})$ is an outward unit-normal at a point $z \in \partial \mathcal{Y}$.

4 Examples

4.1 doubleint-circle-obs

4.1.1 node-only-cnstr

Solve free-final-time problem with time-dilation and constraints imposed at nodes τ_k .

Dynamical system:

$$\dot{x}(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{r}(\tau) \\ \dot{v}(\tau) \end{bmatrix} = s(\tau) \begin{bmatrix} v(\tau) \\ T(\tau) + a - c_d \|v(\tau)\|_2 v(\tau) \end{bmatrix} = f(x(\tau), u(\tau)) \quad (24)$$

for $\tau \in [0, 1]$, where $x = (r, v)$ and $u = (T, s)$.

Quantity	Code variable	Meaning (non-dimensional)	Membership
r	<code>r</code>	Position	\mathbb{R}^2
v	<code>v</code>	Velocity	\mathbb{R}^2
T	<code>T</code>	Acceleration input	\mathbb{R}^2
a	<code>acc1</code>	External acceleration	\mathbb{R}^2
c_d	<code>c_d</code>	Drag coefficient	\mathbb{R}_+

This system model is provided in `plant.doubleint.dyn_func`.

Constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} \|r(\tau) - r_{\text{obs}}^i\|_2 &\geq q_{\text{obs}}^i & i = 1, 2 & \quad (\text{nonconvex}) \\ \|v(\tau)\|_2 &\leq v_{\text{max}} \\ \|T(\tau)\|_2 &\leq T_{\text{max}} \\ T_{\text{min}} &\leq \|T(\tau)\|_2 & & \quad (\text{nonconvex}) \end{aligned}$$

Quantity	Code variable(s)	Meaning	Membership
$r_{\text{obs}}^i, q_{\text{obs}}^i$	<code>robs(:, i), qobs(i)</code>	Center and radius of i th circular obstacle	$\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}_+$
v_{max}	<code>vmax</code>	Speed upper-bound	\mathbb{R}_+
$T_{\text{min}}, T_{\text{max}}$	<code>Tmin, Tmax</code>	Upper- and lower-bounds on input magnitude	\mathbb{R}_+

4.1.2 ctcs

Solve free-final-time problem with time-dilation and constraints augmented to the system dynamics (24).

Inequality constraints function:

$$g(r, v, T) = \begin{bmatrix} -\|r - r_{\text{obs}}^1\|_2 + q_{\text{obs}}^1 \\ -\|r - r_{\text{obs}}^2\|_2 + q_{\text{obs}}^2 \\ \|v\|_2^2 - v_{\text{max}}^2 \\ -\|T\|_2 + T_{\text{min}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Dynamical system:

exclusive-integrator-states

$$\dot{\tilde{x}}(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\tilde{r}}(\tau) \\ \dot{\tilde{v}}(\tau) \\ \dot{\tilde{y}}(\tau) \end{bmatrix} = s(\tau) \begin{bmatrix} v(\tau) \\ T(\tau) + a - c_d \|v(\tau)\|_2 v(\tau) \\ |g(r, v, T)|_+^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (25)$$

for $\tau \in [0, 1]$, where $y(\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^4$.

single-integrator-state

$$\dot{\tilde{x}}(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\tilde{r}}(\tau) \\ \dot{\tilde{v}}(\tau) \\ \dot{\tilde{y}}(\tau) \end{bmatrix} = s(\tau) \begin{bmatrix} v(\tau) \\ T(\tau) + a - c_d \|v(\tau)\|_2 v(\tau) \\ \mathbf{1}_4^\top |g(r, v, T)|_+^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (26)$$

for $\tau \in [0, 1]$, where $y(\tau) \in \mathbb{R}$.

4.2 doubleint-ellip-obs

4.2.1 node-only-cnstr

4.2.2 ctcs

4.3 doubleint-polytope-obs

4.3.1 ctcs

Solve free-final-time problem with time-dilation and constraints augmented to the system dynamics (24).

Inequality constraints functions:

$$g(r, v, T) = \begin{bmatrix} -\text{sd}(r, \mathcal{P}_{\text{obs}}^1) \\ -\text{sd}(r, \mathcal{P}_{\text{obs}}^2) \\ \|v\|_2^2 - v_{\text{max}}^2 \\ -\|T\|_2 + T_{\text{min}} \end{bmatrix}$$

The obstacle $\mathcal{P}_{\text{obs}}^i$, for $i = 1, 2$, is a polytope define by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{obs}}^i = \{z \mid H_{\text{obs}}^i z \leq h_{\text{obs}}^i\}$$

The code variables for the i th polytope parameters are `Hobs{i}` and `hobs{i}`.

[Dynamical system](#) is the same as (25).

4.3.2 node-only-cnstr

Notes:

- Obtain solution on a coarse grid, which inevitably exhibit inter-sample violation.
- Use coarse-grid solution to warm-start SCP for a finer grid. Such a successive warm-start process is very effective and reliable for obtaining high-quality (low-cost) solutions.

4.3.3 ctcs-fixed-step-integrate

4.4 rocket-landing

4.4.1 node-only-cnstr

4.4.2 ctcs