PyTorch 2 Benchmark

Boyuan Feng, Bin Bao PyTorch

Research Papers: < 10 Models

(limited coverage)

PyTorch Benchmark: ~180 Models

(extensive coverage)

Torch Bench

(75 highly cited research models)

Hugging Face

(46 models, mostly transformers)

TIMM

(61 models, primarily vision models)

eager peak memory

compiled peak memory

peak memory compression ratio

(higher is better)

num of supported models

total num of models

pass rate

(higher is better)

eager latency

compiled latency

speedup

(higher is better)

time of end-to-end compile

compilation time

(lower is better)

```
--accuracy or --performance: selects between checking correctness and measuring speedup (both are run for dashboard).

--training or --inference: selects between measuring training or inference (both are run for dashboard).

--device=cuda or --device=cpu: selects device to measure.

--amp, --bfloat16, --float32: selects precision to use --amp is used for training and --bfloat16 for inference.

--cold-start-latency: disables caching to accurately measure compile times.
```

--backend=inductor: selects TorchInductor as the compiler backend to measure. Many more are available, see --help.

Backend Examples: eager, aot_eager, inductor, cudagraphs

Command for PyTorch 2 [ASPLOS'24] Artifact Evaluation

```
TORCHINDUCTOR_MAX_AUTOTUNE=1 ./benchmarks/dynamo/huggingface.py \
    --performance --no-skip \
    -dcuda --float16 --inference \
    --inductor --freezing \
    --output=`pwd`/results.csv
```

Common Mistakes

bfloat16 vs float32 tf32 on/off

ignore important flags
(e.g., max autotune, freezing)

skip cudagraph

More Info

https://pytorch.org/assets/pytorch2-2.pdf

TorchInductor Performance DashBoard

Time Range —	Granular	Suite —	Mode —	- Precision -	Branch —	Base Commit		Branch —	New Commit
Last 7 Days ▼	hour 🔻	Torchbench ▼	training ~	amp ▼	main ▼	5669334175 (2024/04/12) 🕶	—Diff→	main 🕶	704fac5618 (2024/04/18) 🔻

*This report was generated by CI running on PyTorch main branch at commit 704fac5618 on 2024/04/18 comparing with main branch at commit 5669334175. The running logs per shard are: Torchbench (#1, #2, #3, #4) Huggingface (#1, #2, #3) TIMM models (#1, #2, #3, #4, #5).

Passrate (threshold = 90%) Base value (L) \rightarrow New value (R) ?							
Inductor config	Torchbench	Huggingface	TIMM models	[Dynamic]	[Blueberries]		
cudagraphs	96%, 65/68	98%, 45/46	100%, 61/61	78%, 7/9	67%, 2/3		
cudagraphs_d	91%, 61/67	65%, 30/46	98%, 60/61 → 979	78%, 7/9	67%, 2/3		
default	97%, 66/68	98%, 45/46	100%, 61/61	78%, 7/9	67%, 2/3		

Geometric mean speedup (threshold = 0.95x) 👩							
Inductor config	Torchbench	Huggingface	TIMM models	[Dynamic]	[Blueberries]		
cudagraphs	2.01x	$2.03x \rightarrow 2.09x$	$1.81x \rightarrow 1.82x$	1.87x → 1.88x	$2.81x \rightarrow 2.84x$		
cudagraphs_d	1.98x	2.07x	$1.61x \rightarrow 1.62x$	$1.87x \rightarrow 1.89x$	$2.81x \rightarrow 2.83x$		
default	$1.30x \rightarrow 1.27x$	$1.80x \rightarrow 1.82x$	$1.71x \rightarrow 1.72x$	$1.34x \rightarrow 1.32x$	$1.29x \rightarrow 1.25x$		

Mean compilati	Mean compilation time (seconds) ②							
Inductor config	Torchbench	Huggingface	TIMM models	[Dynamic]	[Blueberries]			
cudagraphs	96s → 97s	94s → 96s	143s	29s	62s → 63s			
cudagraphs_d	105s → 106s	139s → 142s	175s	38s	63s → 64s			
default	86s → 87s	88s → 90s	138s	27s → 28s	$59s \rightarrow 60s$			

Peak memory footprint compression ratio (threshold = 0.9x)							
Inductor config	Torchbench	Huggingface	TIMM models	[Dynamic]	[Blueberries]		
cudagraphs	0.79x	1.26x	1.11x	0.75x	0.94x		
cudagraphs_d	0.85x	1.08x	1.11x	0.75x	0.94x		
default	0.79x	1.26x	1.11x	0.75x	0.93x		

Notebook Demo

https://fb.me/pt2-bench-asplos24