

Table 1: Family-wise rejection proportions at $\alpha = 0.05$, when the data generating process is serially correlated

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Unadjusted	0.652	0.401	0.401
Bonferroni-Holm	0.187	0.049	0.049
Sidak-Holm	0.188	0.049	0.049
Westfall-Young	0.191	0.498	0.046
Num. observations	1,000	1,000	1,000
Num. hypotheses	10	10	10
Model std. errors	Homoskedastic	Clustered	Clustered
Cluster bootstrap	N	N	Y

Notes: Table reports the fraction of 2,000 simulations where at least one null hypothesis in a family of 10 hypotheses was rejected. The difference between columns (1) and (2) is the assumption about the standard errors (homoskedastic or clustered). The difference between columns (2) and (3) is the method of bootstrapping (resampling over individual observations versus clusters), which matters only for the Westfall-Young correction. All null hypotheses are true, i.e., lower rejection rates are better. Each simulation generated 100 panels (clusters) with 10 time periods. The Westfall-Young correction is performed using 1,000 bootstraps.