Supplementary Material

Table 1 describes the models submitted to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for forecasting COVID-19 deaths.

Table 1: Overview of Category 1 models submitted to CDC for forecasting COVID-19 deaths, including their descriptions, categories, and estimation methods[1,2].

| No. | Model | Description | Category | Estimation Method |
|-----|------------------|---|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Karlen[3] | The model employs discrete time difference equations with extended durations of a constant transmission rate. | _ | - |
| 2 | MOBS[4] | The method segments the world into various geographical regions, each representing a distinct subpopulation. Human movement between these regions is represented as a network, with a data layer monitoring the number of people traveling between them. This mobility data is then used as the foundation for an agent-based epidemic model, which simulates the spread of infection and the dynamics of the global population. | | Bayesian |
| 3 | PSI [5] | A stochastic SEIRX model. This predicts the statistical properties of possible outcomes by considering random variations in one or more parameters over time. The SEIR model is a compartmental model used to describe the spread of infectious diseases by dividing the population into four compartments: susceptible (S), exposed (E), infectious (I), and recovered (R). The SEIRX model builds upon the basic SEIR framework by potentially adding extra compartments or factors, such as quarantine or isolation measures (represented by 'X'), to more accurately reflect the complexities of disease transmission and control strategies within a stochastic setting. | | - |
| 4 | CovidComplete[6] | The forecasting method involves utilizing multiple models that use proxies, like positive test results and previous death counts, to estimate future deaths. The accuracy of each model is regularly evaluated, and models are selected weekly based on their past performance, with different models chosen for different states. Most forecasts are refined to correct errors identified in earlier predictions. | | - |

| No. | Model | Description | Category | Estimation Method |
|-----|--------------|--|---------------------|------------------------|
| 5 | UCSD-NEU[7] | This forecasting model combines | Deep Learning | - |
| | | the outputs of a discrete stochastic | | |
| | | epidemic model, which employs a | | |
| | | metapopulation approach (GLEAM), | | |
| | | with a deep learning system that is | | |
| | | designed to identify and correct spatial | | |
| | | and temporal biases from previous | | |
| | | predictions. The model assumes that | | |
| | | current intervention strategies, like | | |
| | | social distancing or travel restrictions, | | |
| | | will continue when predicting future | | |
| | | death tolls. | | |
| 6 | Columbia [8] | This county-level SEIR model, based | Compartmental model | Maximum Log-Likelihood |
| | | on a metapopulation approach, as- | | |
| | | sumes that future contact rates will | | |
| | | stay consistent with current levels. It | | |
| | | provides estimates of spatio-temporal | | |
| | | COVID-19 infections, hospitalizations, | | |
| | | and deaths for all counties in the United | | |
| | | States. | | |
| 7 | JHU-APL [9] | A spatial SEIR model designed to sim- | Compartmental model | - |
| | | ulate COVID-19 at the county level uti- | | |
| | | lizes public mobility data to construct | | |
| | | the spatial compartmental framework. | | |
| 8 | MIT-ORC [10] | This model forecasts future cases using | _ | - |
| | | a significantly modified SEIR model. It | | |
| | | introduces new states to account for un- | | |
| | | detected cases and includes a specific | 1 | |
| | | state for deaths. The infection rate is | 1 | |
| | | adjusted with a nonlinear curve to re- | | |
| | | flect the evolving governmental and so- | | |
| | | cietal responses, which are assumed to | | |
| | | vary with the severity of the outbreak. | | |
| | | Key disease parameters are set based | | |
| | | on a meta-analysis by the CovidAnalyt- | | |
| | | ics group, covering over 150 parameters, | | |
| | | while epidemiological parameters are | 1 | |
| | | calibrated using historical death counts | | |
| | D [44] | and detected cases. | 0 4 1 1 1 1 | |
| 9 | Bpagano[11] | A Death-based SIR model is employed | _ | |
| | | to predict COVID-19 deaths and cases | 1 | |
| | | by examining historical changes in the | | |
| | | virus's effective transmission rate. This | | |
| | | model utilizes previous transmission | | |
| 10 | TIM[10] | data to forecast future outcomes. | Markina Tarania | Do at at a consistence |
| 10 | UM[12] | This model uses ridge regression (penalized Ordinary Least Squares regression) | machine Learning | Bootstrapping |
| | | ized Ordinary Least Squares regression) | | |
| | | to make predictions without depending | | |
| | | on external assumptions. It employs Fi- | | |
| | | nite Impulse Response filtering to fore- | | |
| | | cast daily confirmed cases based on the | | |
| | | numbers from previous days. | <u> </u> | |

| No. | Model | Description | Category | Estimation Method |
|-----|------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------|
| 11 | ESG [13] | The model utilizes a skewed Gaussian | Statistical Learning | - |
| | | distribution with four empirical param- | | |
| | | eters: height, position, left growth rate, | | |
| | | and right decay rate. It operates with- | | |
| | | out any epidemiological assumptions or | | |
| | | parameters. | | |
| 12 | GT-DeepCOVID[14] | This data-driven deep learning model | Deep Learning | Bootstrapping |
| | | learns how hospitalization and mor- | | |
| | | tality rates depend on various de- | | |
| | | tailed factors, including syndromic, de- | | |
| | | mographic, mobility, and clinical data | | |
| | | (such as rising COVID-19 test posi- | | |
| | | tivity rates), based on historical data. | | |
| | | The trained model is then used to fore- | | |
| | | cast future mortality and hospitaliza- | | |
| | | tion rates at different times. To mitigate | | |
| | | the effects of noise in the data and ini- | | |
| | | tialization, the model is bootstrapped | | |
| | | across multiple subsamples and then | | |
| | | aggregated. Also, the model accounts | | |
| | | for data uncertainties to provide con- | | |
| | | fidence intervals in its forecasts. | | |
| 13 | MIT-LCP [15] | This model uses a gradient-boosted re- | Machine Learning | - |
| | | gressor with hyperparameter optimiza- | | |
| | | tion, utilizing prior COVID-19 cases | | |
| | | and deaths along with demographic, so- | | |
| | | cioeconomic, mobility, and healthcare- | | |
| | | related county-level variables. It pre- | | |
| | | dicts COVID-19 deaths at the county | | |
| | | level, which are then aggregated to pro- | | |
| | | vide state and national forecasts. While | | |
| | | the model does not explicitly consider | | |
| | | state reopenings and closures, it cap- | | |
| | | tures their effects indirectly through | | |
| | | changes in mobility metrics. | | |

References

- 1. Chharia A, Jeevan G, Jha RA, Liu M, Berman JM and Glorioso C (2024) Accuracy of US CDC COVID-19 forecasting models. Front. Public Health 12:1359368. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1359368
- 2. Zoltar Database https://zoltardata.com/project/44
- 3. Karlen by Karlen Working Group, https://pypm.github.io/home/
- 4. MOBS by Northeastern University, Laboratory for the Modeling of Biological and Socio-technical Systems, https://covid19.gleamproject.org/
- 5. PSI by Predictive Science Inc., https://github.com/predsci/DRAFT
- 6. CovidComplete by Steve McConnell, https://stevemcconnell.com/cdc-covid-19-forecast-evaluations/
- 7. UCSD-NEU University of California, San Diego and Northeastern University, https://sites.google.com/view/yianma/epidemiology/
- 8. Columbia by Columbia University, https://columbia.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=a de6ba85450c4325a12a5b9c09ba796c
- 9. JHU-APL by Johns Hopkins University, Applied Physics Lab, https://buckymodel.com/
- 10. MIT-ORC by Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Operations Research Center, https://www.covidanalytics.io/projections
- 11. BPagano by Bob Pagano, https://bobpagano.com/

- 12. UM by University of Michigan, https://gitlab.com/sabcorse/covid-19-collaboration
- 13. ESG by Robert Walraven, http://rwalraven.com/COVID19/
- 14. GT-DeepCOVID by Georgia Institute of Technology, College of Computing, https://deepcovid.github.io/
- 15. MIT-LCP by Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Laboratory of Computational Physiology, https://github.com/sakethsundar/covid-forecaster/