# Status of nuclear optical potentials and future prospects

A. J. Tropiano

Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA (Dated: July 11, 2019)

### I. INTRODUCTION

Things to include:

- Why are nuclear reactions important? (Processes that help us understand nuclear structure amongst other things. Exotic nuclei are short-lived and must used reactions to study them.) List examples.
- r-process for motivation.
- How do optical potentials help us understand nuclear reactions?
- References: ...

#### II. FORMALISM

Things to include:

- Projectile strikes a nucleus: elastic scattering and more.
- Derivation of the general optical potential (equation (2.15) in Feshbach). Check.
- More general things from Thompson/Nunes: define optical potentials as complex potentials and consequences of this. Reaction cross section derivation?
- Drawbacks to this derivation. Transition to projection operator method.
- Relation to observables. Use Lippmann-Schwinger equation to bridge gap to observables: phase shifts and cross sections. Maybe this makes more sense in phenomenology section?
- Generalization: derivation with projection operators.
- References: [1], [2], [3].

### Add Feshbach reference somewhere.

First, we present the optical potential for an A+1 particle system consisting of an incident nucleon and a target nucleus of mass number A. The system is described by the Schrödinger equation

$$\mathcal{H}\Psi = E\Psi,\tag{1}$$

with the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$  given below:

$$\mathcal{H} = H_A(\mathbf{r}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_A) + T_0 + V(\mathbf{r}_0, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_A). \tag{2}$$

The variables  $\mathbf{r}_k$  correspond to position, spin, and isospin for the incident nucleon (k=0) and each nucleon in the target nucleus  $(k=1\cdots A)$ .  $T_0$  is the kinetic energy of the incident nucleon and V is the potential energy of the A+1 system.  $H_A$  is the Hamiltonian for the target nucleus and satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$H_A(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_A)\psi_i(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_A) = \epsilon_i \psi_i(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_A),$$
 (3)

for nuclear wave functions  $\psi_i$  and energies  $\epsilon_i$ . Here, the index i corresponds to each state of the target nucleus with i=0 being the ground state. The nuclear wave functions  $\psi_i$  form a complete, orthonormal set; thus, we expand the wave function  $\Psi$  as follows:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_0, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_A) = \sum_i \psi_i(\mathbf{r}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_A) u_i(\mathbf{r}_0). \tag{4}$$

Note, the factors  $u_i$  carry the  $\mathbf{r}_0$  dependence.

Mention hard-core problem. In the following, we suppress the coordinate, spin, and isospin dependencies for brevity. We substitute 4 into the Schrödinger equation 1 and use the orthonormality of  $\psi_i$  to derive a system of equations for the amplitudes  $u_i$ :

$$(T_0 + V_{ii} + \epsilon_i - E)u_i = -\sum_{i \neq i} V_{ij}u_j, \tag{5}$$

where the potential matrix elements are

$$V_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_0) = \int d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \cdots d^3r_A \psi_i^* V \psi_j. \tag{6}$$

Next, we would like to derive an uncoupled equation for  $u_0$  to describe elastic scattering in which the target nucleus is in its ground state with an incident nucleon of energy E. The other indices i describe an emergent nucleon in a different state (e.g. energy, spin, isospin, etc.) from the incident nucleon. It is convenient to define the vectors

$$\Phi \equiv \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathbf{V}_0 = \left(V_{01}, V_{02}, \cdots\right) \tag{8}$$

and the matrix operator  $\mathbf{H}$ 

$$H_{ij} = T_0 \delta_{ij} + V_{ij} + \epsilon_i \delta_{ij}. \tag{9}$$

Then we can rewrite 5 as

$$(T_0 + V_{00} - E)u_0 = -\mathbf{V}_0\Phi, \tag{10a}$$

$$(\mathbf{H} - E)\Phi = -\mathbf{V}_0^{\dagger} u_0. \tag{10b}$$

We solve 10b for  $\Phi$ 

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{E - \mathbf{H} + i\eta} \mathbf{V}_0^{\dagger} u_0, \tag{11}$$

where  $\eta \to 0^+$  to ensure only outgoing waves are present in exit channels for  $u_i$  with  $i \ge 1$ . Lastly, we substitute  $\Phi$  into 10a to give

$$(T_0 + V_{00} - \mathbf{V}_0 \frac{1}{E - \mathbf{H} + i\eta} \mathbf{V}_0^{\dagger} - E) u_0 = 0, \tag{12}$$

and define the optical potential as

$$V_{opt} = V_{00} - \mathbf{V}_0 \frac{1}{E - \mathbf{H} + i\eta} \mathbf{V}_0^{\dagger}. \tag{13}$$

# Drawbacks of this derivation.

We can see from Eq. 13 that the optical potential is complex and energy dependent. The factor of  $i\eta$  leads to  $V^{opt}$  being complex, and thus, non-hermitian. The factor of  $i\eta$  accounts for incident particles leaving the entrance channel  $u_0$  to an exit channel  $u_i$  where  $i \geq 1$ . This only occurs if reactions are possible, that is,  $E > \epsilon_1$ . Because  $V^{opt}$  is not hermitian, the S matrix is not unitary giving rise to complex scattering phase shifts.

# Furthermore, the potential is non-local... Use reference [4].

- Generalization by using projection operators.
- What does P and Q do?
- Examples? (Feshbach.)

The projection operators satisfy the following relations: P + Q = 1,  $P^2 = P$ , and  $Q^2 = Q$ . We act on Eq. 1 with P and Q and use the projection operator relations to obtain two equations:

$$(E - \mathcal{H}_{PP})P\Psi = \mathcal{H}_{PO}Q\Psi, \tag{14a}$$

$$(E - \mathcal{H}_{QQ})Q\Psi = \mathcal{H}_{QP}P\Psi. \tag{14b}$$

Solving 14b for  $Q\Psi$  yields

$$Q\Psi = \frac{1}{E - \mathcal{H}_{QQ}} \mathcal{H}_{QP} P\Psi. \tag{15}$$

Note, if P does not include all open channels, then a factor of  $i\eta$  where  $\eta \to 0^+$  must be inserted in the denominator as before to account for... Finish this note. Substituting  $Q\Psi$  into Eq. 14a and rearranging gives

$$(E - \mathcal{H}_{PP} - \mathcal{H}_{PQ} \frac{1}{E - \mathcal{H}_{QQ}} \mathcal{H}_{QP}) P \Psi = 0, \tag{16}$$

where the effective Hamiltonian is

$$H_{eff} = \mathcal{H}_{PP} + \mathcal{H}_{PQ} \frac{1}{E - \mathcal{H}_{QQ}} \mathcal{H}_{QP}. \tag{17}$$

Advantages of the projection operator formulation. Wider applicability with generalization of P.

### III. PHENOMENOLOGY

Things to include:

- Form of the potential: Woods-Saxon shape, coulomb component, spin-orbit force. (Basic example in Thompson/Nunes.). Can start with discussion similar to Thompson/Nunes.
- Fit strength, radii, and diffuseness of complex potential.
- Surface and volume component from Dickhoff?
- Issue: fitting ambiguities, extractions to exotic regions of the nuclear chart.
- Make sure to touch on phenomenology of optical potentials in modern experimental analyses (key word is modern!)
- References: [5] section 3, [6].

## IV. MICROSCOPIC OPTICAL POTENTIALS

Things to include:

- Successes and limitations.
- Motivation: predictions for exotic region of the nuclear chart.

- Major methods: Multiple scattering (see references in Dickhoff paper) and Green's function based methods (coupled cluster). SRG evolution.
- Coupled cluster Green's function [7].
- References: [5] section 4, [8] G-matrix interaction, [9] self-consistent Green's function, [7].

### V. THEORETICAL ISSUES

Things to include:

- Fitting ambiguities for phenomenological potential.
- Uncertainty quantification.
- Add this to outlook in conclusion?
- References: [10].

### VI. CONCLUSION

Summary and outlook.

- [1] H. Feshbach, Annals Phys. **5**, 357 (1958).
- [2] H. Feshbach, Annals Phys. 19, 287 (1962).
- [3] I. J. Thompson and F. M. Nunes, Nuclear Reactions for Astrophysics: Principles, Calculation and Applications of Low-Energy Reactions (2009).
- [4] P. E. Hodgson, Reports on Progress in Physics 34, 765 (1971).
- [5] W. H. Dickhoff and R. J. Charity, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 105, 252 (2019), arXiv:1811.03111[nucl-th].
- [6] A. J. Koning and J. P. Delaroche, Nucl. Phys. A **713**, 231 (2003).
- [7] J. Rotureau, P. Danielewicz, G. Hagen, F. Nunes, and T. Papenbrock, Phys. Rev. C 95, 024315 (2017), arXiv:1611.04554 [nucl-th].
- [8] T. Furumoto, K. Tsubakihara, S. Ebata, and W. Horiuchi, Phys. Rev. C 99, 034605 (2019).
- [9] A. Idini, C. Barbieri, and P. Navrtil, (2019), arXiv:1903.04581 [nucl-th].
- [10] G. B. King, A. E. Lovell, and F. M. Nunes, Phys. Rev. C 98, 044623 (2018), arXiv:1810.06129 [nucl-th].