

Results

The “Playing With Fire” series prompted swift action from lawmakers, regulators and manufacturers.

 State of California	Gov. Jerry Brown announced the state would scrap the rule responsible for flame retardants’ presence in furniture nationwide. The new rule – the de facto national standard – is expected to take effect this summer. It will make flame retardants unnecessary in furniture and many baby products sold nationwide for the first time since 1975.
 U.S. Senate	The Tribune series prompted two Senate hearings, including one in which senators assailed executives from the world’s largest manufacturers of flame retardants. “Don’t you owe people an apology?” California Sen. Barbara Boxer asked. Also, a key Senate committee approved toxic reform legislation – the first time since 1976 that comprehensive changes to the Toxic Substances Control Act made it out of committee. Twenty-six senators also sent a letter to the EPA asking for quick action.
 Federal regulators	The Environmental Protection Agency announced it would conduct a broad investigation of flame retardants, and, in response to Tribune-sponsored lab testing, the Consumer Product Safety Commission said it would test babies’ exposure to flame retardants from crib mattresses.
 Citizens for Fire Safety	After the Tribune revealed Citizens for Fire Safety was a front group for manufacturers of flame retardants, the industry shut down the group. The Tribune also showed how the group’s star witness, burn surgeon David Heimbach, testified about fatally burned babies who did not exist. In response, the University of Washington, where Heimbach taught for years, admonished him for his testimony.
 Industry	Facing a tougher regulatory climate, the two largest manufacturers of a flame retardant linked to cancer vowed to end production. After Tribune testing found significant amounts of flame retardants in its products, retailer Wayfair said it would stop selling Angeles mattresses, popular at child-care centers.
Advocates	More than 100 nurses, mothers and cancer survivors marched on Capitol Hill for tougher regulations.
State lawmakers	Forty-six state legislators from 13 states asked the U.S. Senate to act. Twenty-one legislators sent a similar letter to the industry’s leading trade group.

Calls for reform

Playing With Fire inspired columnists and editorial boards around the nation to press for new laws and regulations that would better protect Americans from exposure to unnecessary toxic chemicals. **Nicholas Kristof of The New York Times** called for broad reforms: "The lesson is that we need not only safer couches but also a political system less distorted by toxic money."

NICHOLAS D. KRISTOF

Are You Safe on That Sofa?

If you want a case study of everything that is wrong with money politics, this is it.

Chances are that if you're sitting on a couch right now, it contains flame retardants. This will probably do no good if your house catches fire — although it may release toxic smoke. There is growing concern that the chemicals are hazardous, with evidence mounting of links to cancer, fetal impairment and reproductive problems.

For years, I've written about this type of chemical, endocrine disruptors, but The Chicago Tribune has just published a

scribes itself as "a coalition of fire professionals, educators, community activists, burn centers, doctors, fire departments and industry leaders."

But Citizens for Fire Safety has only three members, which also happen to be the three major companies that manufacture flame retardants: Albemarle Corporation, ICL Industrial Products and Chemtura Corporation.

Citizens for Fire Safety paid a prominent Seattle physician, Dr. David Heimbach, who testified in some states in favor of flame retardants. Dr. Heimbach, the former president of the American Burn Association, told lawmakers stories of children who had burned to death on cushioning that lacked flame retardants.

According to The Tribune, Dr. Heimbach made these stories up. Dr. Heimbach told me that the stories were real, with details changed to protect the survivors' privacy. He said he testified for flame retardants because he believed in them, not because of money he received.

The problem with flame retardants is that they migrate into dust that is ingested, particularly by children playing on the floor. R. Thomas Zoeller, a biologist at the University of Massachusetts, told me that while there have been many studies on animals, there is still uncertainty about the impact of flame retardants on humans. But he said that some retardants were very similar to banned PCBs, which have been linked to everything from lower I.Q. to diabetes, and that it was reasonable to expect certain flame retardants to have similar consequences.

"Despite all that we have learned about PCBs, we are making the same mistakes with flame retardants," he said.

Linda Birnbaum, the top toxicologist at the National Institutes of Health, put it to me this way: "If flame retardants really provided fire safety, there would be reason for them in certain circumstances,

like on an airplane. But there's growing evidence that they don't provide safety and may increase harm."

Arlene Blum, a chemist at the University of California, Berkeley, told me, "For pregnant women, they can alter brain development in the fetus." Her research decades ago led to the removal of a flame retardant, chlorinated Tris, from children's pajamas. But chlorinated Tris is still used in couches and nursing pillows (without any warning labels).

The European Union has banned one common flame retardant, Deca BDE, and has generally been more willing to regulate endocrine disruptors than the United States. Why the difference?

"The money is jingling," notes Senator Frank Lautenberg, a Democrat of New Jersey. Lautenberg has introduced legislation, the Safe Chemicals Act, that would tighten controls — but it has gotten nowhere.

It's not easy for a democracy to regulate technical products like endocrine disruptors that may offer great benefits as well as complex risks, especially when the hazards remain uncertain. A generation ago, Big Tobacco played the system like a violin, and now Big Chem is doing the same thing.

This campaign season, you'll hear fervent denunciations of "burdensome government regulation." When you do, think of the other side of the story: your home is filled with toxic flame retardants that serve no higher purpose than enriching three companies. The lesson is that we need not only safer couches but also a political system less distorted by toxic money.

A correction: My column on Thursday misstated the hometown of Paulina Puskala. It is Marquette, Mich.

The story of flame retardants: a toxic mix of money and politics.

devastating investigative series called "Playing With Fire" that breaks vast new ground. It is superb journalism.

It turns out that our furniture first became full of flame retardants because of the tobacco industry, according to internal cigarette company documents examined by The Tribune. A generation ago, tobacco companies were facing growing pressure to produce fire-safe cigarettes, because so many house fires started with smoldering cigarettes. So tobacco companies mounted a surreptitious campaign for flame retardant furniture, rather than safe cigarettes, as the best way to reduce house fires.

The documents show that cigarette lobbyists secretly organized the National Association of State Fire Marshals and then guided its agenda so that it pushed for flame retardants in furniture. The fire marshals seem to have been well intentioned, but utterly manipulated.

An advocacy group called Citizens for Fire Safety later pushed for laws requiring fire retardants in furniture. It de-

■ "Now that the Tribune's credible report has laid out the facts, California can't ignore them," the **San Jose Mercury News editorial board** wrote in urging lawmakers to update fire-safety standards.

■ Citing the Tribune's "devastating piece of investigative reporting," **Newsday editorial board** member Bob Keeler urged lawmakers in his state to ban the sale of children's products containing a popular flame retardant.

■ **Bloomberg's editorial board** pushed for changes at the EPA: "The agency's inadequate oversight of flame retardants, as revealed in a recent series of articles in the Chicago Tribune, illustrates the perils of weak federal rules."

Reach and reaction

“Playing With Fire” made an impression far beyond the Tribune’s Chicago-area readership. Blogs singled it out for praise, with Columbia Journalism Review’s Ryan Chittum concluding “this is how newspaper journalism ought to be done.”

■ **Columbia Journalism Review:** “The *Trib*’s series is a devastating piece of muckraking that shows how the chemical industry misleads lawmakers and the public to protect a cash cow.... The paper hits the chemical industry and the folks on its payroll hard, comes to a clear conclusion, and uses pointed language that amplifies the impact.... It doesn’t futz around with he said/she said. It just out and out says that flame retardant in household products ‘doesn’t work.’ The paper calls it like it sees it and makes a convincing case that it has it right.”

■ **Norman Lear** used the series to skewer chemical executives in a Huffington Post satirical piece. “These charges have to be hogwash! Just another cheap shot against Big Business.”

The screenshot shows the Columbia Journalism Review website. At the top, the header reads "COLUMBIA JOURNALISM REVIEW" with a "f" icon for Facebook. Below it, a sub-header says "The future of media is here". A navigation bar includes links for "The Industry", "Politics & Policy", "Business", "Science", "Culture", "Magazine", and "Resources". The main article, titled "The Audit on the business press", features a cartoon illustration of a man in a suit reading a newspaper. The headline is "The Chicago Tribune lights up the flame-retardant industry". The text discusses an investigation by the Chicago Tribune into chemical manufacturers. Below the article, there's a sidebar with a quote from "The Trib's" series and another paragraph about the chemical industry's push for deception. Further down, there's a section for "THE BLOG" featuring Norman Lear.

The screenshot shows the Huffington Post Business section. The header includes "HUFF POST BUSINESS". A search bar and a "Edition: U.S." dropdown are visible. The main content area has a headline "Rumored Obama Treasury Pick Lobbied For Morgan Stanley" with a photo of a woman. Another article, "The Real Impact Of Walmart's Plan To Hire Veterans", is shown with a photo of a Walmart store. The "THE BLOG" section features an article by Norman Lear with the title "Business Fights Back -- 'No More Mr. Nice Guy!'". The blog sidebar includes links for "GET UPDATES FROM NORMAN LEAR" and social media icons for Facebook, Twitter, and Email.

Reach and reaction

■ **The Atlantic:** “For us, the article hit the sweet spot of essential public service that also provides for a read as entertaining as a *Law & Order* episode.”

■ **Knight Science Journalism:** The stories “provide one of the best (albeit depressing) looks at the way industry manipulates our understanding of scientific research.”

■ **Boing Boing:** “The Tribune has also done a very good job of documenting both the existence and history of a pattern of corporate lies and manipulation.”

The screenshot shows the homepage of The Atlantic Wire. At the top, there's a banner for "THE SEXE" channel. Below it, a navigation bar includes links for POLITICS, BUSINESS, ENTERTAINMENT, TECHNOLOGY, NATIONAL, GLOBAL, and Open V. The main headline is "Flame Retardant Furniture Won't Save Your Imaginary Baby". Below the headline is a photograph of a woman holding a lit candle. Social sharing icons for Facebook, Twitter, and Google+ are visible, along with a comment count of 1.

This screenshot shows the Knight Science Journalism Tracker. The header features the logo "KNIGHT SCIENCE JOURNALISM AT MIT" and links for ABOUT and FELLOWSHIPS. The main article is titled "Pants on Fire: The Chicago Tribune Retardants", dated May 9, 2012. It includes author information for Deborah Blum and a share button. To the right is a large image of flames.


The screenshot shows the Boing Boing website. The header includes a search bar and links for FEATURES, PODCASTS, FAMILY, VIDEO, COMICS, MUSIC, TECH, SCIENCE, BOOKS, FILM & TV, GAMES, and a plus sign. The main article is titled "Lies, damned lies, and flame-retardant furniture" by Maggie Koerth-Baker. It includes social sharing icons and a sidebar with "FEATURED" content from lumosity.com.

Reach and reaction

Newspapers in 16 states republished parts of the series in their print or online editions; The Oregonian, for instance, ran the first four parts on the front page.

The collage includes:


- The Oregonian**: Front page with a large arrow pointing to the "Big Tobacco makes use of unlikely ally: fire marshals" article.
- The Seattle Times**: Front page with a large arrow pointing to the "Seattle doctor's untruths fan fears" article.
- The Sunday Oregonian**: Middle section of the newspaper.
- The Telegraph**: Middle Georgia's news source website.
- The Washington Post**: Middle section of the newspaper, with a large arrow pointing to the "CIA, allies foil al-Qaeda plot to attack plane" article.



This ad – created by advocates – ran in Politico: “Last month the Chicago Tribune exposed a decades-long campaign of deception sponsored by the chemical manufacturers, including lying about science and creating front groups to attack their opponents. Sound familiar? It’s the playbook of Big Tobacco.”

Citizens for Fire Safety Institute shuts down

Shortly after the Tribune series was published,
the chemical industry closed the group and its website (cffsi.org).



Reader response

Readers from across the country sent notes of gratitude to the Tribune.

Among them were doctors, researchers and professors who praised the thoroughness of the reporting.

Congratulations on a stunning piece of journalism. I was outraged in just the first five paragraphs. Think of the number of people that will be touched and affected by your work.

— *Chris Taschler Hegg*

You've done a tremendous justice for us all.

— *Carol Rosskam, Needham, Mass.*

This should be a journalism school standard case study of what investigative journalism is all about.

— *Lewis R. Elin, Chicago, Ill.*

Democracy depends upon deep, intellectually serious journalism. Thank you for your fine work today.

— *Catherine M. Wallace, Ph.D.*

The Tribune will definitely will make a difference in millions of lives.

— *Paul Laudick*

Your story demonstrates why we need newspapers and newspaper reporters doing what they do best — raking muck.

— *Rollin Olson*

The best print investigative reporting I have seen in a long while.

— *Adele Simmons, former president of the MacArthur Foundation and former Boston Globe board member*

If you people keep this type of reporting up, we might see an increase in newspaper readership.

— *Donald McLaren*

You could all be responsible for healthier people and even saving lives.

— *Anne Wisniewski, Smithsburg, Md.*

Thank you for the outstanding series. It is great journalism that is unfortunately rare these days.

— *Jeff Mark*

This is the kind of muckraking article that makes my subscription worthwhile.

— *Mad Bjerre*

Keep up the fine work exposing such deception on the American public.

— *John Wallace, Mill Valley, Calif.*

I had absolutely no idea that fire retardants were a sham. Thank you so much for bringing this to our attention.

— *Marlene Grossman*

The investigative series on flame retardants is extraordinary.

— *John Peterson Myers, Ph.D., Carnegie Mellon University*

Bravo! Bravo! Bravo! The investigative journalism by Tribune reporters Sam Roe and Patricia Callahan is the best I have ever read.

— *Thomas A. Braun, founder, N2E Health Education Foundation*

A great series! It is about time that we see old-time, dig-out-the-facts kind of journalism. I'm getting a subscription to the Chicago Tribune.

— *Dushan Lipensky*

I am a scientist fiercely concerned about the danger of fire retardants. Thanks for your excellent investigative reporting.

— *David Epel, professor emeritus of biological and marine sciences, Hopkins Marine Station, Stanford University*

I live in central Pennsylvania and my local daily recently picked up your series on flame retardants, and I just wanted to compliment you on your excellent reporting and writing. Great work, and I wanted you to know it is even making it out to the boonies.

— *Angela Sommers*

I read your story today with great enthusiasm. The description of the California lobbying abuse is extraordinary. The steps and tools of this particular special interest group is an education in dark politics.

— *Jim Conway*

I just wanted to tell you how much I am enjoying your articles. It is fascinating, sickening and scary.

— *Tim Fahey*

Thank you for your wonderful article on flame retardants. This was quite eye-opening. You really did your homework and followed the money and corruption.

— *Jeremy Youse, MD, Champaign, Ill.*

That is not an easy story to come to grips with or explain concisely, and you did both quite well. Lawyers like me can learn from good reporters.

— *Gary DiMuzio*

Excellent articles explaining the issues in an exciting way.

— *Peter Orris, MD, University of Illinois at Chicago School of Public Health*

I commend you on the above-mentioned article in the Chicago Tribune. For our world to become more sustainable, indeed, we need such investigative reporting.

— *Hugo Skoppek, The Netherlands*

This is one of the most thorough coverage of an issue that I have seen in all my years of consuming media coverage. It is simply an astounding review of what, at least to me, has been a story largely hidden from view.

— *Ron Gaftron, Hoffman Estates, Ill.*

chicagotribune.com/flames

The Tribune's website featured original documents and testimony cited in the series as well as videos of the reporters discussing the findings.

Chicago Tribune Investigations with impact Maps & apps

TRIBUNE WATCHDOG PLAYING WITH FIRE



Chemical companies, Big Tobacco and the toxic products in your home

The average American baby is born with 10 fingers, 10 toes and the highest recorded levels of flame retardants among infants in the world. The toxic chemicals are present in nearly every home, packed into couches, chairs and many other products. Two powerful industries — Big Tobacco and chemical manufacturers — waged deceptive campaigns that led to the proliferation of these chemicals, which don't even work as promised.

▶ Watch the introduction



INDUSTRY DECEPTION



Part one: Torching the truth

As evidence of health risks piled up, makers of flame retardants created a phony consumer watchdog that misled lawmakers and the public stoking the fear of fire. [Read »](#)

- Tests call effectiveness of flame retardants question


TOBACCO'S CLOUT



Part two: 'Our fire service friends'

With cigarettes starting deadly fires, tobacco companies created a new scapegoat — the furniture going up in flames — and invested in a nation group of fire officials that would deliver the message. [Read »](#)

DISTORTING SCIENCE



Part three: 'Flat-out deception'

Companies that make flame retardants say their products prevent fire deaths and to use, but the research they often cite is either seriously flawed or grossly misrepresented. [Read »](#)

TOXIC ROULETTE



Part four: 'Why do we not learn?'

Regulators have allowed generation after of flame retardants onto the market without thoroughly assessing the health risks. One touted as safe is now turning up in wildlife the world. [Read »](#)

- Reform efforts tied up in Washington

Documents

Big Tobacco's playbook

These records help illustrate the cigarette industry's sophisticated campaign to befriend firefighting officials and deflect controversy over cigarette fires. By fending off requirements for "fire-safe" cigarettes and refocusing attention on flammable furniture, Big Tobacco helped fuel the widespread use of flame retardants in upholstered couches and chairs.



A Philip Morris researcher traces the earliest calls for fire-safe cigarettes to the 1920s. The company didn't introduce a self-extinguishing cigarette until 2000. [Q](#)



Big Tobacco's public relations consultant Burson-Marsteller warns in 1980 that "sales could be dramatically affected" if fire-safe cigarette laws pass. [Q](#)



Tobacco executives had a two-pronged defense — insisting they couldn't make a fire-safe cigarette and shifting the focus to the furniture that burned — but executives voice concern that they were failing to combat "fire scarred victims." [Q](#)



The consulting company TriData suggests that establishing a fire safety program would improve tobacco's image and "provide a strong base from which to present industry views." [Q](#)



Fire-safety groups courted by Big Tobacco became allies in the industry's fight against laws on fire-safe cigarettes. So successful was the strategy that a Philip Morris executive cites it as an example of how to neutralize enemies. [Q](#)



Peter Sparber, a former Tobacco Institute executive, helps organize the National Association of State Fire Marshals and bills the Tobacco Institute \$200 an hour for what the marshals thought was volunteer work. The marshals shared a Washington office with Sparber and put him on the organization's letterhead. [Q](#)



Sparber helps the National Association of State Fire Marshals ask the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission for national rules requiring flame-retardant furniture. [Q](#)



The National Association of State Fire Marshals' petition to the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission for flame-retardant furniture plays a key role in Big Tobacco's efforts to delay rules requiring fire-safe cigarettes by shifting focus to the furniture fueling fires rather than the cigarettes that were

WRAP IT UP TO GRILL



Protect delicate food
from searing heat
while locking in flavor
and aroma **Good Eating**

WINNER OF THE 2012 PULITZER PRIZE FOR COMMENTARY

Chicago Tribune



QUESTIONS? CALL 1-800-TRIBUNE

WEDNESDAY, MAY 23, 2012

BREAKING NEWS AT CHICAGOTRIBUNE.COM

TRIBUNE WATCHDOG UPDATE PLAYING WITH FIRE

Findings spur calls to action

Tribune series prompts lawmakers, activists to target flame retardants

BY MICHAEL HAWTHORNE,
SAM ROE AND
PATRICIA CALLAHAN
Tribune reporters

In New York state, lawmakers are moving to ban a cancer-causing flame retardant from children's products.

At the U.S. Capitol, more than 100 lawmakers and cancer survivors marched for stronger federal regulation of the chemicals. And in Washington state, environmental groups are calling for a crackdown on a popular

flame retardant.

Since the Tribune published its "Playing With Fire" series, momentum has been building for stricter oversight of flame retardants and other toxic chemicals. The newspaper's investigation documented a decades-long campaign by industry that distorted science, created a phony consumer watchdog group to stoke the fear of fire and organized an association of top fire officials to

advocate for greater use of flame retardants in furniture and electronics.

Promoted as lifesavers, flame retardants added to furniture cushions actually provide no meaningful protection from fires, according to the Tribune, independent scientists. Some of the most widely used chemicals are linked to cancer, neurological deficits, developmental problems and impaired fertility.

"Your series was an eye-opener," said Joseph Erdman, legislative director for the New York Senate Committee on Environmental Conservation. "We hope other people around the state and nation read it."

The committee has revived legislation targeting a chemical known as chlorinated tris, or TDCPP, that was voluntarily tak-

Please turn to Page 6

So few kids have giant axonal neuropathy that a therapy isn't researched, leaving the patients' parents to hire their own scientists



NANCY STONE/TRIBUNE PHOTOS

Gelse Tkalec plays with her son Ethan, 8, before his pool therapy. "After Ethan was diagnosed, people would say to us, 'Don't worry, they'll find a cure,'" his mother said. "And I'd say, 'You don't understand ... there is no 'they.' We are the 'they.'"

Rare disease, rare dedication

BY BONNIE MILLER RUBIN
Tribune reporter

When it comes to advocating against her 8-year-old son's serious illness, Gelse Tkalec is on a much lonelier path than those strewn with pink ribbons and yellow bracelets.

There are only 25 to 30 children worldwide known to have giant axonal neuropathy, or GAN, the disease that afflicts her son, Ethan.

The disease damages the nerve pathways that carry signals to the brain and from the brain to the muscles, claiming most victims by early adulthood. The suburban Riverides boy must use a wheelchair; has difficulty swallowing and will get a feeding tube next month.



Eventually, he will be bedridden, trapped inside a failing body. "After Ethan was diagnosed,

people would say to us, 'Don't worry, they'll find a cure,'" Tkalec said. "And I'd say, 'You don't understand ... there is no

'they.' We are the 'they.'"

With so few afflicted with the disease, pharmaceutical and biotech companies have little financial incentive to study it. So parents such as Tkalec and others have taken it upon themselves to recruit scientists, underwrite clinical trials and raise money and awareness.

So far, they have funneled thousands of dollars to a few select scientists, including to a cellular biologist at Northwestern University and to the Feinberg School of Medicine, to accelerate the glacial pace of biomedical research in time to rescue their children. In essence, they've hired their own personal research team.

Please turn to Page 10

Iran, U.N. nuclear deal may be 'soon'

Watchdog expects
inspections to resume;
U.S., Israel have doubts

BY PAUL RICHTER
Tribune Washington Bureau

AMMAN, Jordan — The United Nations' atomic watchdog agency announced Tuesday it had reached a deal giving inspectors access to some of Iran's disputed nuclear sites, providing a dose of optimism as diplomats prepared for new talks to overcome their standoff with the Islamic Republic.

Yukiya Amano, director general of the International Atomic Energy Agency, said he expected to sign the deal with Iran "quite soon." He spoke to reporters in Vienna after returning from Tehran — the first time Iranian officials had been willing to meet with him in their capital since he became head of the agency in 2009.

Amano, who has pressed hard for Iran to make better accounting of its nuclear sites and personnel, told reporters he considered the deal to be "an important development," according to a transcript released by the agency.

The news came as diplomats from the U.N., the United States and five other major powers prepared to meet this week in Baghdad with Iranian officials to discuss over Iran's nuclear ambitions.

Taken together, the developments appeared to ratchet down tensions over the Iranian nuclear situation.

For months, talk of war has dominated discussions about Iran. Tehran insists its program is solely for peaceful purposes but offices in the U.S., Israel and some European countries suspect Iran is trying to build a nuclear weapon. Israeli officials have strongly suggested they might bomb Iranian nuclear sites if they believed the Iranian efforts had

Please turn to Page 14

Polish president gets
to know Chicago

In his first visit to Chicago, President Bronislaw Komorowski met with local politicians and residents to boost economic, cultural
ties. **Chicagoland**, Page 5



YOU CAN'T TOUCH THIS?

A Northwestern study shows how the brain changes after therapy to overcome fears — including being afraid of tarantulas. **Page 8**

Report shows jump in local home sales

April home sales in the Chicago area were up 19 percent from a year earlier, according to a report released Tuesday that raised optimism for the local housing market. **Business**

Praise for NATO duty, but will it last?

Instead of squeezing police pay, elected officials need to put their own skin in the game. The cops put their skin out there over the weekend and they delivered, John Kass writes. **Page 2**

Packers receiver Donald Driver wins 'Dancing With the Stars' **A+E**, Page 2



Tom Skilling's forecast High 81 Low 58

Chicago Weather Center:
Complete forecast on back of A+E

\$100 city and suburbs, \$125 elsewhere
165th year No. 144 © Chicago Tribune  7 49485 00001 2

Findings spur calls to action

Tribune series prompts lawmakers,
activists to target flame retardants

Wednesday, May 23, 2012

By MICHAEL HAWTHORNE, SAM ROE AND PATRICIA CALLAHAN

In New York state, lawmakers are moving to ban a cancer-causing flame retardant from children's products.

At the U.S. Capitol, more than 100 nurses, mothers and cancer survivors marched for tougher federal regulation of the chemicals. And in Washington state, environmental groups are calling for a crackdown on a popular flame retardant.

Since the Tribune published its "Playing With Fire" series, momentum has been building for stricter oversight of flame retardants and other toxic chemicals.

The newspaper's investigation documented a deceptive campaign by industry that distorted science, created a phony consumer watchdog group to stoke the fear of fire and organized an association of top fire officials to advocate for greater use of flame retardants in furniture and electronics.

Promoted as lifesavers, flame retardants added to furniture cushions actually provide no meaningful protection from fires, according to federal researchers and independent scientists. Some of the most widely used chemicals are linked to cancer, neurological deficits, developmental problems and impaired fertility.

"Your series was an eye-opener," said Joseph Erdman, legislative director for the New York Senate Committee on Environmental Conservation. "We hope other people around the state and nation read it."

The committee has revived legislation targeting a chemical known as chlorinated tris, or TDCPP, that was voluntarily taken out of children's pajamas more than three decades ago after studies found it could cause cancer. Recent tests have found that chlorinated tris now is commonly added to strollers, highchairs, rockers, diaper-changing pads and other baby products.

The bill, which must clear another committee before the full Senate considers sending it to Gov. Andrew Cuomo, would prohibit companies from selling children's products containing chlorinated tris as of December 2014. If signed into law, it could pressure retailers to keep the products off store shelves nationwide, as they did amid public outcry about plastic baby bottles made with hormone-disrupting bisphenol A.

New York's legislation is part of a wider battle being waged in statehouses and Congress over chemicals that industry is fiercely trying to protect amid growing concerns about their effects on people and wildlife.

States are acting in part because the federal Toxic Substances Control Act gives the government little power to assess or limit dangers from the scores of chemicals added to furniture, electronics, toys, cosmetics and household products. Even when health risks are identified, the federal law makes it practically impossible to ban chemicals.

For example, because chlorinated tris wasn't formally banned in the late 1970s, chemical companies can legally use it in other consumer products without informing government officials or the public. It has become one of the most widely used flame retardants in household furniture.

Critics say the most effective solution is a sweeping overhaul of the federal chemical law, which hasn't been updated since 1976.

The mothers and nurses who rallied Tuesday on Capitol Hill called on lawmak-

ers to back the Safe Chemicals Act, a bill sponsored by Sen. Frank Lautenberg, D-N.J., that would give the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency more authority to regulate chemicals and require manufacturers to prove their products are safe before putting them on the market.

Lautenberg's bill, co-sponsored by U.S. Sen. Dick Durbin, of Illinois, remains mired in a Senate committee amid strong anti-EPA sentiment from Republican lawmakers.

"Toxic chemicals are everywhere," said Jessica Burroughs, 40, of Durham, N.C., who led her 6-year-old son around the Capitol to meet with lawmakers. "I ask, why is the government not protecting us?"

At a news conference organized by Safer Chemicals, Healthy Families, a coalition of environmental and health groups, Hannah Pingree, the 35-year-old former speaker of the Maine House of Representatives, told the protesters about her experience in securing a ban on certain flame retardants in her state.

"In Maine we came face to face with the same lobbyists and sham tactics from the chemical industry that were just exposed in the Chicago Tribune," said Pingree, four months pregnant with her second child. "But Maine is a small state with limited resources, and while we hoped our actions would protect kids, we knew that Washington needed to act to really fix this problem."

The American Chemistry Council, the chief trade group for the chemical industry, says it prefers revising the federal law to dealing with a patchwork of state regulations. But it strongly opposes the Lautenberg bill and has rejected requests from Democratic senators to suggest changes.

"We will continue to work with both Democrats and Republicans in Congress to create a feasible science-based solution that will give consumers confidence that chemicals are being used safely and foster innovation and job creation in the U.S." the group said Tuesday in a statement.

At the state level, the chief opponent of legislation restricting the use of flame retardants is the Citizens for Fire Safety Institute, a group that has billed itself as "a coalition of fire professionals, educators, community activists, burn centers, doctors, fire departments and industry leaders."

But as the Tribune reported, the organization is actually a front group for the three largest makers of flame retardants, Albemarle Corp., Chemtura Corp. and ICL Industrial Products.

Since then, Citizens for Fire Safety has changed its website to acknowledge that it is a trade group funded by those companies. Quotes on the site from two physicians who spoke favorably about flame retardants and the group's commitment to saving lives also were deleted.

The chemical industry says chlorinated tris is safe as used, and Citizens for Fire Safety thwarted a proposed ban in Washington state this spring. But in response to the Tribune series, activists are asking the state's Department of Ecology to add the flame retardant to its list of chemicals "of high concern to children," which would require manufacturers of children's products to disclose their use of the chemical.

Last year, industry lobbyists fought successfully in New York to strip chlorinated tris out of legislation that banned a related chemical, TCEP, from children's prod-



BRENDAN HOFFMAN/PHOTOS FOR THE TRIBUNE
More than 100 nurses, mothers, cancer survivors and others gather Tuesday on Capitol Hill before marching for tougher federal regulation of chemicals. Maine's former House speaker told demonstrators about her experience in securing a ban on certain flame retardants in her state.

ucts. But on the eve of the recent vote by the New York committee, copies of the Tribune series were distributed to senators by Kathleen Curtis, a nurse who leads a group called Clean and Healthy New York, and Andrew McGuire, a burn survivor and advocate. The bill passed unanimously.

"We said (the series) proves what we've been saying all along," Curtis said. "They lie. They buy testimony. They misrepresent science. The chemicals don't work. They're unnecessary. They're harmful."

The Safer Chemicals, Healthy Families group has called on the American Chemistry Council to expel the major flame retardant makers for ethical lapses. In a letter, the advocates wrote that the Tribune series documented practices "that can only be described as deeply unethical, completely lacking in scientific integrity, and deliberately misleading of policy makers and the general public."

A spokeswoman for the industry group said it had received the letter but declined to comment.

Ian Duncan of the Tribune Washington bureau contributed.



Melanie Collins, of Falmouth, Maine, takes part in the march, calling on Congress to pass the Safe Chemicals Act, a bill that would give the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency more authority to regulate chemicals.

TRIBUNE WATCHDOG UPDATE PLAYING WITH FIRE

Agency may end toxic flame retardant use

A rule change in California could ripple across U.S.

BY PATRICIA CALLAHAN
Tribune reporter

SACRAMENTO, Calif. — The chief of the California state agency responsible for the rule that made toxic flame retardant chemicals common in American furniture told lawmakers here Tuesday that she is committed to scrapping that rule and replacing it with fire-safety tests that can be met without the use of toxic chemicals.

Tonya Blood, chief of the California agency that regulates furniture, said the new test will require furniture to resist a smoldering cigarette. The existing standard requires the foam in furniture cushions to withstand a cigarette flame, even though candles are far less common cause of fires.

Federal safety officials have said that the fabric covering most furniture is sufficient to meet a smolder standard, making it unnecessary to add chemicals to the foam underneath. Representatives from the furniture industry who testified Tuesday echoed that finding and said they are eager to see the change. While the rule technically governs furniture sold only in California, many manufacturers add flame retardants to products sold nationwide to address liability concerns and to avoid making two versions of the same product.

Blood also said she will work to exempt most baby products from the state's flammability standards; manufacturers have added flame retardants to many such products that contain polyurethane foam, to meet California's rules.

"This standard provides protection while reducing reliance on toxic chemicals," Blood told lawmakers in the California Assembly Committee on Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials.

In years past, a front group for the largest manufacturers of flame retardants — the Citizens for Fire Safety Institute — has successfully fought efforts by lawmakers to scale back use of chemicals or change the standard, which was established in 1975. But Tuesday's hearing demonstrated just how much the ground had shifted since the Tribune's "Playing With Fire" series in May exposed its deceptive tactics.

California state Sen. Mark Leno, who has re-



ROBERT DURELL/PHOTO FOR THE TRIBUNE

Lobbyist Joe Lang appears Tuesday before a California Assembly panel on behalf of the state's standards for flame retardant use.

peatedly tried but failed to reduce the use of flame retardants in furniture and baby products, accused Citizens for Fire Safety of abusing the legislative process and read aloud sections of the Tribune series.

At a state Senate hearing on one of the bill's bills last year, Citizens for Fire Safety's star witness, burn surgeon Dr. David Heimbach, testified about an infant patient from Alaska who suffered fatal burns on cushioning that lacked flame retardants, but the Tribune in May showed that the baby had described her as still existing.

"To make up a story like that is really not only unacceptable, as now revealed in this Tribune story, this industry has been dishonored, disgraced and discredited," Leno said.

Leno said anyone testifying at the hearing with his name or money from Citizens for Fire Safety should disclose that publicly because, he said, "Citizens for Fire Safety has already been discredited."

Although Heimbach did not testify Tuesday, the same lobbyist and chemist that Leno faced last year argued in favor of Citizens for Fire Safety. Joe Lang, the lobbyist, discussed an unpublished study that he said shows California's existing furniture rule works well and increases escape time in fires. That claim runs counter to the work of independent and government scientists.

Lang also showed a video of a Dutch television show that torched two couches, one made under the British fire safety standard, which is far more stringent than

California's rule, and one without flame retardants. The sofa without fire retardants quickly becomes an inferno. Last year Citizens for Fire Safety circulated a version of this video overlaid with sinister music and the caption, "Are you sitting comfortably?"

This time, there was no sinister music, and Lang offered no translation as the commentator explained the fires in Dutch. "A picture is worth a thousand words, and this clearly demonstrates the effectiveness of the standard," Lang said.

His testimony stood in sharp contrast to that of firefighters, public health advocates, state officials and researchers who testified before and after him.

Firefighters explained how their on-the-job exposure to flame retardants put them at risk for rare cancers normally found in chemical workers. Researchers explained how flame retardant chemicals have increased exponentially in the bodies of Americans and especially put the health of children and pregnant



"This industry has been dishonored, disgraced and discredited."

— California state Sen.
Mark Leno

women at risk.

Research has shown that flame retardants — some of which have been linked to cancer, neurological deficits, developmental problems and impaired fertility — migrate out of products into dust, wind up in the bodies of people and animals and linger in the environment for years.

Blood's public commitment to major change comes a week after California Gov. Jerry Brown called for an overhaul of the state's rule. Blood cautioned that it could take a year to move the changes through the state's administrative process.

Over the years, the chemical lobby has proved resilient in the face of health concerns associated with flame retardants. Just last week in New York state, a bill that would have made it illegal for the chemical industry to ban products to use a carcinogenic flame retardant known as chlorinated tris failed to pass in that state's Senate. While supporters said they had lined up enough votes to pass it, the bill was sidelined to a committee and never called for a vote.

pcallahan@tribune.com

**STARTS TODAY
4TH OF JULY
SALE**

PLUS ... **EXTRA 20% OFF**
get another 10% off with your Lord & Taylor card!

NEW MARKDOWNS! NEW STYLES ADDED!

**EXTRA STOREWIDE SUMMER
CLEARANCE!
40% OFF** TOTAL SAVINGS OF 60%-75%
ON A HUGE SELECTION
OF SUMMER STYLES
FOR HER, FOR HIM
AND FOR KIDS

20% OFF REGULAR, SALE
& CLEARANCE ITEMS *Kate Spade*

15% OFF OUR HOME COLLECTION & AT LORD & TAYLOR HOME STORES*
PRESENT THIS SAVINGS PASS TO YOUR SALES ASSOCIATE
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 27TH THROUGH WEDNESDAY, JULY 4TH

*NOT VALID ON BUY MORE SAVE MORE SANDAL EVENT AND SMART VALUE ITEMS
THIS LORD & TAYLOR SAVINGS PASS ALSO EXCLUDES: Ladies' Shorts Event; REGULAR-PRICE ITEMS from Aquatalia, B Brian Atwood, BOB GIBSON, Céline, Coach, Cole Haan shoes, Journee & Bouree, Eileen Fisher, FILIPPO, Fall Guy, Gap, Gap Kids, Gap Maternity, Gap Premium, Gap Premium Maternity, Gap Premium Women, Gap Premium Workwear, Lauren Polo/Ralph Lauren, L.K. Bennett, Marc by Marc Jacobs, Merrell, Michael Michael Kors, Nanette Lepore, Nicole Miller, Not Your Daughter's Jeans, Pagoda, Payless, Seven For All Mankind Denim, Stuart Weitzman, Theory, Tracy Reese, Vans, Victoria's Secret, and Zara. EXCLUDED FROM THE 15% OFF HOME COLLECTION: BOB GIBSON, Araks, Eco, FILIPPO, Fall Guy, Herve Leger, Hugo, Hugo Boss, Lauren Polo/Ralph Lauren, Merrell, Nicole Miller, Bridal, Payless, Theory, Tommy Bahama, Ugg & Under Armour; men's Buffalo, Cole Haan, Marc New York Outerwear; kids' Guess & boys' dresswear. DEPARTMENTS: Ladies' regular-price intimate apparel, sleepwear & hosiery; cosmetics, fragrances, day-to-day accessories, men's casual wear, men's dresswear, men's outerwear, men's swimwear, men's watches, diamond solitaire rings, designer jewelry & special event promotions in Fine Jewelry; Fifth Avenue Swarovski Shop; charity-related merchandise; Beauty Salons, restaurant services & gift cards. Home Collection excludes regular price Jonathan Adler, Jonathan Adler Home, Jonathan Adler Home Bedding, Jonathan Adler Home Bath, Jonathan Adler Home Kitchen, electric/electronics. Cannot be combined with any other Savings Pass. Not valid on Limited-Day Specials; selected special sale events or prior purchases. Bonus savings % applied to reduced prices. Online exclusions may differ; see website for details. This Savings Pass must be presented at the register at time of purchase to receive Savings Pass discount. Not valid on telephone or internet orders. Not valid at L&T Outlet Stores.

Barcode:

Barcode:

**SHOP ONLINE AND GET GREAT SAVINGS TOO! TEXT SALE TO 95555
TO GET OUR CURRENT SAVINGS PASS SENT TO YOUR PHONE**

You will receive approximately 4 texts from Lord & Taylor per month. Message and data rates may apply.
Text HELP to 95555 for help. Text STOP to 95555 to opt out.

Lord & Taylor

lordandtaylor.com

Study finds type of calories key to keep weight off

BY ERYN BROWN
Tribune Newspapers

LOS ANGELES — A calorie is a calorie is a calorie — or is it?

Maybe not, a small study has found. Once the pounds are shed, the proportions of carbohydrates and protein in overweight and obese adults ages 18 to 40 for diet studies from 2006 to 2010.

Study participants shed 10 to 15 percent of their body weight, then entered a weight-stabilization phase, in which each person was fed three different diets for four weeks: a low-carb, a low-fat diet, a high-carb diet, a diet with equal percentages of carbs and fat; and a high-fat, very low-carb diet.

The participants burned more than 300 additional calories on average when on the very low-carb diet compared with the low-fat diet, the study found.

However, Ludwig and others don't recommend a very low-carb diet because it can carry heart risks.

Weight loss experts not involved in the research praised the study, while acknowledging its limitations.

erbrown@tribune.com

published Tuesday in the Journal of the American Medical Association.

Scientists knew that weight loss is accompanied by a slowdown in the body's metabolism.

To test whether different foods might influence that, Ludwig and his colleagues followed 180 overweight and obese adults ages 18 to 40 for diet studies from 2006 to 2010.

Study participants shed 10 to 15 percent of their body weight, then entered a weight-stabilization phase, in which each person was fed three different diets for four weeks: a low-carb, a low-fat diet, a high-carb diet, a diet with equal percentages of carbs and fat; and a high-fat, very low-carb diet.

The participants burned more than 300 additional calories on average when on the very low-carb diet compared with the low-fat diet, the study found.

However, Ludwig and others don't recommend a very low-carb diet because it can carry heart risks.

Weight loss experts not involved in the research praised the study, while acknowledging its limitations.

erbrown@tribune.com

Agency may end toxic flame retardant use

A rule change in California could ripple across U.S.

Wednesday, June 27, 2012

BY PATRICIA CALLAHAN

The chief of the California state agency responsible for the rule that made toxic flame retardant chemicals common in American furniture told lawmakers here Tuesday that she is committed to scrapping that rule and replacing it with fire-safety tests that can be met without the use of toxic chemicals.

Tonya Blood, chief of the California agency that regulates furniture, said the new test will require furniture to resist a smoldering cigarette. The existing standard requires the foam in furniture cushions to withstand a candlelike flame, even though candles are a far less common cause of fires.

Federal safety officials have said that the fabric covering most furniture is sufficient to meet a smolder standard, making it unnecessary to add chemicals to the foam underneath. Representatives from the furniture industry who testified Tuesday echoed that finding and said they are eager to see the change. While the rule technically governs furniture sold only in California, many manufacturers add flame retardants to products sold nationwide to address liability concerns and to avoid making two versions of the same product.

Blood also said she will work to exempt most baby products from the state's flammability standards; manufacturers have added flame retardants to many such products that contain polyurethane foam to meet California's rule.

"This standard provides protection while reducing reliance on toxic chemicals," Blood told lawmakers in the California Assembly Committee on Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials.

In years past, a front group for the largest manufacturers of flame retardants — the Citizens for Fire Safety Institute — has successfully fought efforts by lawmakers to scale back use of chemicals or change the standard, which was established in 1975. But Tuesday's hearing demonstrated just how much the ground has shifted since the Tribune's "Playing With Fire" series in May exposed its deceptive tactics.

California state Sen. Mark Leno, who has repeatedly tried but failed to reduce the use of flame retardants in furniture and baby products, accused Citizens for Fire Safety of abusing the legislative process and read aloud sections of the Tribune series.

At a state Senate hearing on one of Leno's bills last year, Citizens for Fire Safety's star witness, burn surgeon Dr. David Heimbach, testified about an infant patient from Alaska who suffered fatal burns on cushioning that lacked flame retardants, but the Tribune in May showed that the baby as he described her did not exist.

"To make up a story like that is really not only unacceptable, as now revealed in this Tribune story, this industry has been dishonored, disgraced and discredited," Leno said.

Leno said anyone testifying at the hearing who has received any money from Citizens for Fire Safety should disclose that publicly because, he said, "Citizens for Fire Safety has already been discredited."

Although Heimbach did not testify Tuesday, the same lobbyist and chemist that Leno faced last year appeared again on behalf of Citizens for Fire Safety. Joe Lang, the lobbyist, discussed an unpublished study that he said shows California's existing furniture rule works well and increases escape time in fires. That claim runs coun-

ter to the work of independent and government scientists.

Lang also played a video of a Dutch television show that torched two couches, one made under the British fire safety standard, which is far more stringent than California's rule, and one without flame retardants. The sofa without fire retardants quickly becomes an inferno. Last year Citizens for Fire Safety circulated a version of this video overlaid with sinister music and the caption, "Are you sitting comfortably?"

This time, there was no sinister music, and Lang offered no translation as the commentator explained the fires in Dutch. "A picture is worth a thousand words, and this clearly demonstrates the effectiveness of the standard," Lang said.

His testimony stood in sharp contrast to that of firefighters, public health advocates, state officials and researchers who testified before and after him.

Firefighters explained how their on-the-job exposure to flame retardants put them at risk for rare cancers normally found in chemical workers. Researchers explained how flame retardant chemicals have increased exponentially in the bodies of Americans and especially put the health of children and pregnant women at risk.

Research has shown that flame retardants — some of which have been linked to cancer, neurological deficits, developmental problems and impaired fertility — migrate out of products into dust, wind up in the bodies of people and animals and linger in the environment for years.

Blood's public commitment to major change comes a week after California Gov. Jerry Brown called for an overhaul of the state's rule. Blood cautioned that it could take a year to move the changes through the state's administrative process.

Over the years, the chemical lobby has proved resilient in the face of health concerns associated with flame retardants. Just last week in New York state, a bill that would have made it illegal for the manufacturers of baby products to use a carcinogenic flame retardant known as chlorinated tris failed to pass in that state's Senate. While supporters said they had lined up enough votes to pass it, the bill was sidelined to a committee and never called for a vote.



ROBERT DURELL/PHOTO FOR THE TRIBUNE
Lobbyist Joe Lang appears Tuesday before a California Assembly panel on behalf of flame retardant makers. A change in the state's standards for furniture could affect the nation.

VIEWER'S GUIDE TO COLLEGE FOOTBALL

Illinois, Northwestern, Notre Dame kick off CHICAGO SPORTS



MAINE SOUTH
AVOIDS UPSET VS.
WHEATON SOUTH

COMPLETE COVERAGE OF
HIGH SCHOOL FOOTBALL
IN CHICAGO SPORTS

WINNER OF THE 2012 PULITZER PRIZE FOR COMMENTARY

Chicago Tribune



QUESTIONS? CALL 1-800-TRIBUNE

SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 2012

BREAKING NEWS AT CHICAGOTRIBUNE.COM

You-pick' opportunities are few after extreme swing in spring temperatures damages yields at area orchards



ALEX GARCIA/TRIBUNE PHOTO

Lisa and Brian Kasaalajtis, along with their children Katie, 3, and Liam, 5, of Pleasant Prairie, Wis., pick apples this week at Apple Holler orchard in Sturtevant, Wis. The orchard produced about 40 percent of its usual apple crop this year.

Apple crops sliced, diced

BY BRIDGET DOYLE
Tribune reporter

After a few freezing nights this spring, Apple Holler owner Dave Flannery feared the worst. He watched helplessly as deer his orchard's groves, eating into his orchard's blossoms, leaving behind black, rotting centers.

"When the seeds are black, they're rotting — and they're done," Flannery said. "When a few stay white there's a chance, but it's usually just a matter of days before they're dead too."

Flannery's Pleasant Prairie orchard, a popular autumn destination for Chicagoans, produced about 40 percent of its normal apple crop this year. With 74

acres and more than 30,000 trees, Apple Holler still will have a season, Flannery said, but it will have to supplement with apples brought in from other orchards to sell in its small market and make into pie fillings.

Apple Holler is one of the lucky ones. Other area apple orchards are canceling the fall "you-pick" season entirely because their crops were devastated by

fickle spring weather.

A blast of unseasonable warmth in March was followed by freezing temperatures in April, damaging plants awakened by the faux spring. March temperatures were about 16 degrees above normal in Chicago, said National Weather Service archivist Frank Wachowski.

Please turn to Page 2

Saturday planner

Chicago Jazz Festival
When: 11 a.m.-9:30 p.m. (also Sunday)
Where: Grant Park, Columbus Drive and Jackson Boulevard
Price: Free; 312-744-3316; chicagojazzfestival.us

Labor Day fireworks
Classic rock music will accompany the fireworks.
When: 9:30 p.m.
Where: MB Financial Park at Rosemont, 5501 Park Place, Rosemont
Price: Free; rosemont.com

Last Fling
The Naperville fest includes live music, Radio Disney, speeches and more.
When: 10 a.m.-5 p.m. (also Sunday and 10 a.m.-5 p.m. Monday)
Where: Naperville Riverwalk, 100 W. Jackson Ave., Naperville
Price: Free admission; fee for main-stage concerts; 630-961-4143, lastfling.org

—Regina Robinson



Emails detailed Vaughn's plans to stage death

A friend testified about the murder defendant's desire to escape to life in the Canadian Yukon.

Chicagoland, Page 4

Emanuel backs schools chief, discounts rumors

Chicagoland, Page 4

HOMICIDE THE MOUNTING TOLL

Federal agents to aid Chicago's effort to stem relentless violence

BY CYNTHIA DIZIKES AND KRISTEN MACK
Tribune reporters

As Mayor Rahm Emanuel touted his anti-violence initiatives at a news conference Friday morning, bullets ripped across the 4200 block of West Wilcox Street on Chicago's West Side, striking a teenager while she walked home from school.

The shooting happened in the heart of the Far South Side, one of two police districts targeted by Chicago police officers in a year when the city has drawn national attention for its mounting homicides. Although Emanuel has credited the effort with reducing murders in those two districts, Friday's shooting



underscored the difficultly police face in confronting the intractable violence in some of the city's most impoverished sections.

"We've obviously had a very

difficult August, so we have our work cut out for us," said Emanuel. While he has been pleased he will now be enlisting the help of the federal government to combat violence and drugs in the Grand Crossing and Ogden police districts on the South and West sides where the city has seen the highest spike in violence this year.

The new plan, modeled after the taskforce since mid-July in the far North and Northwest districts, calls for gang narcotics and patrol officers to saturate so-called conflict zones, according to Police Superintendent Garry McCarthy. U.S. marshals and agents from the FBI,

Please turn to Page 6



Tom Skilling's forecast High 80 Low 71

Chicago Weather Center: Complete forecast on back page of Sports section

\$100 city and suburbs, \$125 elsewhere
165th year No. 245 © Chicago Tribune



"DON'T LET THIS ONE GET AWAY"

\$8,495 Total Value*
PLUS
*\$1,000 Trade-In Cash Allowance**

Chevy's LABOR DAY EVENT Ends September 4th

2012 Chevy Silverado
Extended Cab All-Star Edition

Hall of Famer
Dan Hampton

Flame retardant industry blinks

Front group that lobbied for use of toxic chemicals is abandoned

Saturday, September 1, 2012

BY MICHAEL HAWTHORNE AND SAM ROE

The world's major manufacturers of flame retardants officially cut ties Friday with an industry-funded front group that waged a deceptive campaign to fuel demand for the chemicals in household furniture, electronics, baby products and other goods.

Albemarle Corp., Chemtura Corp. and ICL Industrial Products said in a statement that the companies have severed their relationships with the Citizens for Fire Safety Institute, a group they founded, funded and directed. The companies will shift their outside lobbying and advocacy efforts to the American Chemistry Council, the chemical industry's chief trade group.

It wasn't clear whether the front group has been formally disbanded, though its website was taken down Friday. The three companies declined to comment beyond a statement posted on their corporate websites.

"We will focus on educating policymakers and stakeholders about the contributions of flame retardants to fire safety, and the science that supports flame retardant chemistries as an important tool to protect lives and property by reducing the flammability of the products around us," the statement said.

The Tribune reported last month that the chemical manufacturers were reconsidering their involvement with Citizens for Fire Safety in response to the newspaper's "Playing With Fire" investigation, which documented the front group's role in a decades-long effort by the tobacco and chemical industries to promote the use of flame retardants.

Those efforts have helped load American homes with toxic chemicals linked to cancer, neurological deficits, developmental problems and impaired fertility. A typical American baby is born with the highest recorded concentrations of flame retardants among infants in the world.

Citizens for Fire Safety played an active role in states where legislators have proposed banning certain flame retardants. Its tactics included distributing videos featuring ominous music, footage of burning houses and narrators warning that restrictions on the chemicals would endanger children.

The group also sponsored witnesses who testified before state legislators in favor of flame retardants. Among them was a now-retired surgery professor at the University of Washington who told lawmakers stories about burned babies, though the Tribune investigation found that the infants as he described them did not exist.

Since the newspaper's series was published in May, Albemarle, Chemtura and ICL have faced blistering criticism from federal and state lawmakers who called the tactics of Citizens for Fire Safety unethical. It became increasingly apparent that the group's future was in doubt after several U.S. senators grilled representatives of the chemical companies at a July hearing.

"If there is any truth to the allegations, we will take decisive, appropriate action," Marshall Moore, director of technology, advocacy and marketing for Chemtura, wrote in a letter to the Tribune after the Senate hearing.

Seth Jacobson, who had been the spokesman for Citizens for Fire Safety, said Friday that he no longer represents the group. The organization's executive director, Grant Gillham, did not return telephone calls.

The group had billed itself as "a coalition of fire professionals, educators, com-

munity activists, burn centers, doctors, fire departments and industry leaders, united to ensure that our country is protected by the highest standards of fire safety.” In response to the Tribune series, the group altered its website to clarify that it is a trade association.

Its board of directors was composed of executives from Albemarle, Chemtura and ICL, which contributed about \$17 million to the group from 2008 to 2010, most of which was spent on lobbying and political expenses, according to federal tax records.

Citizens for Fire Safety was active in the California Legislature as recently as June, when a lobbyist for the group testified that flame-retardant furniture saves lives. At issue was the state’s flammability standard for residential furniture, which manufacturers typically meet by adding chemicals to foam cushions. Gov. Jerry Brown has vowed to replace the standard with one that could be met without the use of chemicals.

Lobbying for the three chemical manufacturers now will be handled by the American Chemistry Council, which said in a statement that it “will continue to communicate the science that addresses the effectiveness and safe use of flame retardants.”

The trade group, along with Citizens for Fire Safety, has been accused of distorting science to build support among policymakers for greater use of the chemicals. Flame retardants added to furniture cushions actually provide no meaningful protection from household fires, according to federal researchers and independent scientists.

Andy Igrejas, director of Safer Chemicals, Healthy Families, a coalition of environmental and health groups, called Friday’s announcement “purely cosmetic.”

The real problem, Igrejas said, is that the makers of flame retardants have repeatedly shown a “lack of scientific integrity and a disregard for public health.” Government and industry need to confront this behavior, he said. Otherwise, he feared the “same companies will plot the same deceptive campaigns.”

'CONAN' TRIUMPHANT

'Team Coco' spirit thrives as O'Brien returns to Chicago; Emanuel makes a surprise visit **A+E**

WINNER OF THE 2012 PULITZER PRIZE FOR COMMENTARY

Chicago Tribune



QUESTIONS? CALL 1-800-TRIBUNE

TUESDAY, JUNE 12, 2012

BREAKING NEWS AT CHICAGOTRIBUNE.COM

Teachers crank up the heat



Teachers union chief Karen Lewis called the strike vote an "indictment" of the strained relationship with CPS officials.

Overwhelming vote for strike gives union more clout

BY NOREEN S.
AHMED-ULLAH
AND JOEL HOOD
Tribune reporters

Chicago teachers countered Mayor Rahm Emanuel's aggressive approach to school reform with the most powerful weapon in their arsenal, giving overwhelming authorization for a strike that could still continue to fester.

Nearly 90 percent of Chicago Teachers Union mem-

bers, some 23,780 city employees, voted to support a strike if one is called, the union said Monday. Union President Karen Lewis said the three-day vote was an "indictment" of the increasingly strained relationship between teachers and Emanuel's hand-picked administration at Chicago Public Schools.

"I think what is a very significant number and should put an end to all the speculation about how peo-

ple in schools really feel," Lewis said.

While the union and CPS have been making progress in some areas, they remain far apart on several key issues, including compensation and the district's efforts to link teacher pay to student performance, union officials said.

The union entered negotiations asking for a 30 percent wage increase over two years, which it said was commensurate with work-

ing a school day that will be about 10 minutes longer in the fall. The district's opening offer was a 2 percent raise over two years and a form of merit pay in later years.

Since taking office a little more than a year ago, Emanuel has stripped the teachers of a 4 percent raise, successfully pushed for a longer day and advocated for more privately run charter schools.

Please turn to **Page 10**

TRIBUNE WATCHDOG
PLAYING WITH FIRE

School: Doctor violated policies

Ex-teacher blasted for helping flame retardant group

BY SAN ROE AND
PATRICIA CALLAHAN
Tribune reporters

The University of Washington has admitted a prominent surgeon who told lawmakers questionable stories about burned babies while testifying in favor of flame retardants.

A university spokeswoman said Dr. David Heimbach violated school policy by failing to obtain permission before doing consulting work for the Citizens for Fire Safety Institute, a front group for flame retardant makers.

"The UW is very disappointed in Dr. (David) Heimbach's actions."

— Tina Mankowski,
spokeswoman

The university also concluded that Heimbach violated federal privacy rules by bringing photographs of a burned infant at a medical conference without authorization.

"In sum, it is fair to say that the UW is very disappointed in Dr. Heimbach's actions in regard to this issue," university spokeswoman Tina Mankowski wrote in a statement.

Heimbach's attorney said the doctor followed the rules while educating people on how to prevent burns.

"The manner by which he serves in this educational role is within the bounds of the law and his professional ethical standards," the attorney, Deborah Dooz, wrote to the Tribune.

Until his retirement last year, Heimbach was a surgery professor at Washington and a physician at Harborview Medical Center.

Please turn to **Page 10**

State gave museum \$6 million to create jobs, but how much work can be credited to grant?



PHIL VELASQUEZ/TRIBUNE PHOTOS
The Media Tower looms large at the Museum of Broadcast Communications, which opens Wednesday at State and Kinzie streets in Chicago.

Broadcast museum opens with static

BY HEATHER GILLERS
Tribune reporter

Two years after a \$5 million jobs grant from the state jump-started construction on its new building, the Museum of Broadcast Communications has a lot to show for it: 18,000 square feet of television and radio exhibits, recordings and memorabilia that will open to the public Wednesday.

What is less apparent is how many jobs the project created.

The museum's founder, veteran broadcaster Bruce DuMont, has been planning the \$27 million four-story building on State Street near the House of Blues since moving out of its rented space in the Chicago Cultural Center in 2003. He secured the key \$6 million grant after telling the state he intended to create 200 yearlong

Please turn to **Page 8**

Now fund was given to it in 2010.

Please turn to **Page 8**

First accuser tells of abuse by Sandusky

Defense signals former Penn St. assistant coach to testify in case

BY PETER HALL,
ANDREW MCGILL
AND ADAM CLARK
Tribune Newspapers



MICHAEL KUBEL/
THE MORNING CALL PHOTO

Eight alleged victims in the sex abuse case are expected to testify against Jerry Sandusky.

world, it was routine for people to shower together and that he never sought sexual gratification while doing so.

Later in the day, the first of Sandusky's accusers, identified in court papers as Victim 4, testified for nearly four hours. The accuser, a Penn State sophomore, did not name alleged sex assault victims.

The man, now 28, said he started hanging out with Sandusky after his second summer in a camp for disadvantaged children. He testified that their relationship progressed from playing sports together and "soap

Please turn to **Page 8**

Apple offers a glimpse of what's to come

Apple is charting a path that could help it outsprint Google. And on Monday, it showed off the new Maps app, a smarter version of Siri, and a new line of laptops and software.

Business

Police to pay OT to try to curb violence

The move to boost patrols comes after a weekend in which 53 people were shot, nine of them fatally. The Fraternal Order of Police called it a "Band-Aid approach."

ChicagoLand, Page 4

Recession costly for U.S. families

Median net worth of American families fell to \$77,300 in 2010, a level not seen since the early 1990s, from \$126,400 three years earlier. Much of the loss in wealth is blamed on the decline in housing values. **Business**



Tom Skilling's forecast

High 77 Low 52

Chicago Weather Center:
Complete forecast on back of A+E

\$1.00 city and suburbs, \$1.25 elsewhere
165th year No. 164 © Chicago Tribune

7 149485 000001 2

School: Doctor violated policies

Ex-teacher blasted for helping flame retardant group

Tuesday, June 12, 2012

BY SAM ROE AND PATRICIA CALLAHAN

The University of Washington has admonished a prominent surgeon who told lawmakers questionable stories about burned babies while testifying in favor of flame retardants.

A university spokeswoman said Dr. David Heimbach violated school policy by failing to obtain permission before doing consulting work for the Citizens for Fire Safety Institute, a front group for flame retardant makers.

The university also concluded that Heimbach violated federal privacy rules by showing photographs of a burned infant at a medical conference without authorization.

"In sum, it is fair to say that the UW is very disappointed in Dr. Heimbach's actions in regard to this issue," university spokeswoman Tina Mankowski wrote in a statement.

Heimbach's attorney said the doctor followed the rules while educating people on how to prevent burns.

"The manner by which he serves in this educational role is within the bounds of the law and his profession's ethical standards," the attorney, Deborah Drooz, wrote to the Tribune.

Until his retirement last year, Heimbach was a surgery professor at Washington and a physician at Harborview Medical Center in Seattle, which is managed by the university.

The university began reviewing Heimbach's files after a Tribune series in May titled "Playing With Fire," which detailed how Heimbach told lawmakers in California and Alaska gripping stories of babies suffering fatal burns while on cushioning without flame retardants. But the infants as he described them did not exist.

Heimbach, former president of the American Burn Association, initially told the Tribune that his testimony was meant to be anecdotal and that he "wasn't under oath." Later, through his attorney, he said he intentionally changed the facts to protect patient privacy.

For the past several years, Heimbach has been a star witness for Citizens for Fire Safety, a group that has claimed to be a coalition of fire professionals, doctors and educators but is actually a trade group funded by companies that make flame retardants.

The makers of flame retardants, as well as their trade groups, have argued that the chemicals save lives, but federal and independent researchers say the compounds provide no meaningful protection from furniture fires. Meanwhile, the chemicals have been linked to health problems.

Mankowski said that to avoid conflicts of interest, faculty must obtain permission before conducting outside consulting work. She said Heimbach violated this policy but would not be penalized because the school learned of the infraction after the Tribune series and his retirement.

Heimbach's attorney did not directly address questions from the Tribune regarding this infraction. But she did write that Heimbach complied with university policy regarding faculty contributing expertise to advisory bodies.

In an earlier interview with the newspaper, Heimbach acknowledged that his testimony about babies dying in fires was not about different children but about the

same infant. He emailed the Tribune two photographs of a severely burned child whom he said he had in mind when testifying. He also said he used these images in a presentation at a medical conference.

But the Tribune series reported that the baby didn't die in the way that he described and that flame retardants were not a factor. Moreover, Heimbach did not have authorization to use the photos, according to the university.

The university subsequently concluded that Heimbach violated privacy rules known as HIPAA — the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996.

"We take patient privacy very seriously and are very concerned that he violated HIPAA," Mankowski said.

She said the university reports all HIPAA violations to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, which can conduct inquiries and impose fines.

Heimbach signed a statement with the university in which he agreed he "did not have authorization from the patient's family or UW Medicine for use of the photographs," according to a copy provided by the university.

He agreed to not use the photos again and to return them to the school.

In a letter to the Tribune, Heimbach's attorney said she disagreed that the doctor violated patient privacy. She said Heimbach followed standard protocol under the rules by "de-identifying" patients — that is, changing or omitting identifying information.

She wrote that he agreed to not use the photographs again not because his initial use violated any rule, "but because he has always enjoyed a collegial professional relationship with the university and was simply being cooperative."

In a related issue, the university said Citizens for Fire Safety falsely claimed that the school's Harborview burn center had sided with the trade group in a legislative fight in Washington state.

In January, a representative of Citizens for Fire Safety, sometimes known as CFFS, sent emails to the director of a low-income housing organization encouraging her to sign a letter opposing two bills that would limit the use of a flame retardant. One email claimed the burn center was against the measures.

But the university told the Tribune the burn center took no position on the bills.

"We were unaware that CFFS was making such claims," UW spokeswoman Mankowski wrote. "CFFS' claims are untrue and, we believe, misleading."

Seth Jacobson, spokesman for Citizens for Fire Safety, said the matter was a simple mistake by the trade group's representative, who meant to say in the email that Heimbach opposed the bills, not the burn center.

"It's a legitimate mistake on her part," Jacobson said. "No nefarious misrepresentations here."

Chicago Tribune

CHICAGOLAND



MARY SCHMICH

Nation also evolving on gay marriage

The first gay person I ever met was surely not the first gay person I ever heard of.

But until the day one of my best college friends told me he was gay, I wasn't aware of knowing any gay people. This was in the early 1980s, when "coming out" was a term still typically associated with debutantes.

A few weeks before David made his revelation, we had met for dinner in San Francisco, and after we'd exchanged our take a walk. Our stroll took us to the noisy bars on Castro Street, and David suggested we stop for a drink.

I had never seen so many men unbuffeted by women, or seen men enthralled with each other. I had never felt so different from everyone around me.

I don't remember exactly what I said, just that my remarks were slightly defensive and slightly derisive and that David didn't reply.

I later suspected that David was trying to sense my attitude toward homosexuality. The truth is, I didn't have clear attitude, beyond some vague uneasiness. I'd inherent the general culture. I didn't need to have an attitude. As hard as it is to believe now — in the age of "Modern Family" and Rachel Maddow — there was no wide public discussion of gay people, much less of gay marriage.

And I didn't know any gay people. Until David let me know I did. He was the beginning of my evolution.

When President Barack Obama came out in favor of same-sex marriage this week, he referred to his evolution on the subject. Politics being the cynicism factory that it is, the doubters howled.

Evolution? Right. Better to call it a flip-flop. Or a flip-flop-flip, since he supported gay marriage before he renounced it in the service of his political ambition.

Evolution, though, is a plausible word.

Evolution implies progress, slow progress. One dictionary defines it as "a gradual process in which something changes into a different and usually more complete or better form."

When David called Wednesday about his evolving thoughts on gay marriage, he focused on his relationships with gay people — neighbors, staff members, soldiers, the same-sex parents of his daughters' friends.

For him, as for so many straight people, it's those ordinary relationships that have added up, one by one, to a conviction: Gay people have the equal right to marry under the law.

Most revolutions are actually evolutions, shifts that gather force day by day, person by person, thought by thought, until they burst into broad view and are labeled change.

In the case of same-sex marriage, the change is not just in who Americans are willing to believe; it's not only in what we feel free to say — it's in what many of us feel obliged to say.

Obama would have waited longer to exercise his obligation if Vice President Joe Biden hadn't done it first; in a Sunday TV interview, but part of Obama's evolution, even if it was spurred by politics, is clear: That it was time. That's not just thinking that it was right, but to say it.

The number of Americans who favor legalizing same-sex marriage rises. Polling shows supporters now outnumber those opposed. The support is stronger among the young.

Support will never be unanimous, but even more acknowledge that all men and women deserve to be the American way. The change won't come primarily through argument. It will come through a more persuasive power — relationships — and it will one day be fortified by law.

Viva la evolution.

mschnich@tribune.com

TRIBUNE WATCHDOG UPDATE

PLAYING WITH FIRE

Fire-retardant reform urged

Durbin calls for action in wake of Tribune's 'Playing With Fire' series

BY PATRICIA CALLAHAN,
MICHAEL HAWTHORNE
AND SAM ROE
Tribune reporters

Reacting with outrage to the Chicago Tribune's investigation of deceptive tactics that have fueled the rise of toxic flame retardants in American homes, U.S. Sen. Dick Durbin on Thursday demanded answers from two federal agencies, urging them to act aggressively to rid homes of chemicals that pose health risks but don't stave off fires.

"The Tribune investigation makes it clear that this life-threatening issue has been swayed by self-interest, chemical companies and lack of oversight oversight by our government," Durbin, D-Ill., wrote to the heads of the Environmental Protection Agency and the Consumer Product Safety Commission.

The Tribune's series, "Playing With Fire," documented a decades-long campaign of deception by industry interests that has

loaded the furniture and electronics in American homes with pounds of toxic chemicals linked to cancer, neurological defects, developmental problems and impaired fertility.

"The 'Playing With Fire' series is really a challenge to us in Washington to get serious about these flame retardant chemicals and the impact they're having on babies and children," Durbin said in an interview. "The series makes it clear that there has been a great deal of deception and deception promulgated by chemical companies, tobacco companies, lobbyists and others that has created a fear factor that has led to the overuse of some of these chemicals."

Durbin, the Senate's assistant majority leader, asked CPSC Chairman Inez Tenenbaum why her agency had not taken action to ban flame retardants in her agency proposed in 2008 haven't been formalized. Durbin chairs the Senate committee in charge of the CPSC's budget.

The CPSC's rules would likely reduce the amount of flame retardants in American homes because the federal tests focus on smoldering cigarettes and can be met by choosing the right upholstery fabrics rather than adding

pounds of chemicals to the foam inside. Those rules would trump the California standard that permits manufacturers to add flame retardants to the foam in furniture and many baby products. Government and private tests have found no meaningful difference in safety between chairs with flame-retardant foam and those without.

"This (federal) rule has the potential to be one of the top lifesaving rules in CPSC's history and CPSC is fully committed to expediting approval of a final rule while she is chairman," Scott Wolfson, Tenenbaum's press secretary, said in a written statement on Thursday.

When it comes to upholstered furniture fires, he said, "90 percent of the addressable deaths are related to smoldering fires, and the vast majority of those caused by cigarette." CPSC staff has proposed a rule that would limit the fire spread in upholstered furniture without requiring the use of flame retardant chemicals.

In a separate letter, Durbin urged EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson to use all of her agency's powers to restrict the use of flame retardants linked to health problems.

*pcallahan@tribune.com
mhawthorne@tribune.com
sroe@tribune.com*

chicagotribune.com/flame

Read the Tribune's four-part investigation of flame retardants, "Playing With Fire."



Timothy Pitzen's whereabouts since his mother killed herself are unknown.

Year later, boy's fate remains a puzzle

Police continue seeking clues on disappearance of Timmy Pitzen

BY TED GREGORY
Tribune reporter

Eight days ago, on what would have been her late daughter's 44th birthday, Alana Anderson visited her grave.

"I yelled at her," Anderson said Thursday. "I told her this was a very stupid thing to do, that she had other options and that it hurt lots of people but that she probably hurt her son the most."

Exactly what Amy Fry-Pitzen did remains a mystery. Authorities do know that one year ago Friday, Fry-Pitzen took her son, Timothy, from his kindergarten class at an Aurora school. Three days later, Fry-Pitzen's body was found in a nearby pond.

She had committed suicide. Six-year-old Timothy was missing. In note, Fry-Pitzen said her bright, energetic, brown-eyed boy was safe, but she didn't elaborate.

Since then, Timothy has not surfaced and Alana Anderson has lived in agony and anger. She has not stopped searching for her son. "She came back now," Anderson said, "for what she's done to the family."

Anderson said she's "aged 20 years in the last year." Timothy's father, James Pitzen, reportedly has moved from the family's Aurora home and is living out of state. Efforts to reach him were unsuccessful.

Aurora police, who have a plan to release a video of the boy on Friday to map the status of the investigation, say they continue to investigate tips they receive.

They also are reinterviewing key people in the case. Two investigators visited Anderson on April 27 for nearly two hours at her home in Antioch and videotaped an interview, she said. They asked her to recount events



Alana Anderson, Timothy Pitzen's grandmother, sits next to a tree at left, that the family planted on the boy's seventh birthday. He was 6 when he was last seen, just before his mother's suicide a year ago.

leading to Timothy's disappearance and asked about Fry-Pitzen's background.

"She was a meticulous planner," Anderson said Thursday, "with lots of attention to detail, and she was extremely stubborn."

Fry-Pitzen also had a history of depression, but Anderson said her daughter "was not a crazy person." Fry-Pitzen was "patient and kind" with Timothy, Anderson said. "I would have to see evidence before I believed she hurt him," she added.

She maintains the love that Fry-Pitzen and Timothy exhibited for each other demonstrates that Fry-Pitzen would have left him with responsible adults, as the letter suggests, rather than harboring him.

Anderson said detectives told her "she was not one shred of evidence" indicating Fry-Pitzen harmed the boy.

They suggested that they "firmly believe he is out there," Anderson said, and that "they are actively looking for him."

An estimated 800,000 children are reported missing every year, according to the National Center

for Missing & Exploited Children, a clearinghouse on missing and exploited children. The vast majority of missing children are found quickly, and the percentage of recoveries is rising, said Robert Lowery, executive director for the Missing Children Division of the center, based in Alexandria, Va.

"Even though the circumstances surrounding this case seem dire," Lowery said, "there are still scenarios in which the child could be alive and well."

The NCMEC website has helped resolve more than 408 long-term cases. Lowery pointed out the recent cases of Jaycee Dugard, found in 2009 in California after being missing since 1991, and Shannan Hombeck, found in 2007 in Missouri after more than four years in captivity.

Lowery noted that "time is the enemy when children are missing." He had no statistics on how the passage of time reduces the chances of finding a missing child.

"We don't provide false hope," Lowery said, "but we don't give up hope."

Timothy Pitzen, who was 4 feet 2 inches tall and about 70 pounds at the time of his disappearance, was seen last with his mother, leaving a Wisconsin Dells water park on May 13.

Later that night, Fry-Pitzen checked into a Rockford motel alone. Employees found her body the next day after she killed herself with a knife, authorities said.

On May 19, officers used bloodhounds, all-terrain vehicles and planes to search an area 100 miles west of Chicago, where Fry-Pitzen's last cellphone calls were tracked.

Authorities examined Fry-Pitzen's I-PASS account and a secret email account.

Their efforts revealed two other, unexplained trips to the region west of Chicago but nothing that shed light on Timothy's fate.

"I'm not numb anymore," Anderson said. "Now I've learned what it's like to live without them, and I don't like it."

tgregory@tribune.com

Fire-retardant reform urged

Durbin calls for action in wake
of Tribune's 'Playing With Fire' series

Friday, May 11, 2012

BY PATRICIA CALLAHAN, MICHAEL HAWTHORNE AND SAM ROE

Reacting with outrage to the Chicago Tribune's investigation of deceptive tactics that have fueled the rise of toxic flame retardants in American homes, U.S. Sen. Dick Durbin on Thursday demanded answers from two federal agencies, urging them to act aggressively to rid homes of chemicals that pose health risks but don't stave off fires.

"The Tribune investigation makes it clear that this life-threatening issue has been swamped by self-serving chemical companies and lack of aggressive oversight by our government," Durbin, D-Ill., wrote to the heads of the Environmental Protection Agency and the Consumer Product Safety Commission.

The Tribune's series, "Playing With Fire," documented a decades-long campaign of deception by industry interests that has loaded the furniture and electronics in American homes with pounds of toxic chemicals linked to cancer, neurological deficits, developmental problems and impaired fertility.

"The 'Playing With Fire' series is really a challenge to us in Washington to get serious about these flame retardant chemicals and the impact they're having on babies and children," Durbin said in an interview. "The series makes it clear that there has been a great deal of misinformation and deception promulgated by chemical companies, tobacco companies, lobbyists and others that has created a fear factor that has led to the overuse of some of these chemicals."

Durbin, the Senate's assistant majority leader, asked CPSC Chairman Inez Tenenbaum why furniture flammability rules her agency proposed in 2008 haven't been formalized. Durbin chairs the Senate committee in charge of the CPSC's budget.

The CPSC's rules would likely reduce the amount of flame retardants in American homes because the federal tests focus on smoldering cigarettes and can be met by choosing the right upholstery fabrics rather than adding pounds of chemicals to the foam inside. Those rules would trump the California standard that prompts manufacturers nationwide to add flame retardants to the foam in furniture and many baby products. Government and private tests have found no meaningful difference in safety between chairs with flame-retardant foam and those without.

"This (federal) rule has the potential to be one of the top lifesaving rules in CPSC's history and Chairman Tenenbaum is committed to expediting approval of a final rule while she is chairman," Scott Wolfson, Tenenbaum's press secretary, said in a written statement on Thursday. When it comes to upholstered furniture fires, he said, "90 percent of the addressable deaths are related to smoldering fires, and the vast majority of those are caused by cigarettes. CPSC staff has proposed a rule that would limit the fire spread in upholstered furniture without requiring the use of flame retardant chemicals."

In a separate letter, Durbin urged EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson to use all of her agency's powers to restrict the use of flame retardants linked to health problems. The EPA, "wittingly or unwittingly, has allowed the manufacturers of these chemicals to flood American households with substances that abundant scientific evidence finds harmful," he wrote.

Durbin will urge Senate colleagues to revive a long-stalled bill that would give

the EPA more authority to restrict flame retardants and other compounds that pose health risks.

The American Chemistry Council, a trade group, said in a statement on Thursday, “We are committed to responsibly engaging in public policy discussions so that regulatory decisions related to chemistry are made on a scientific basis to fully protect public health and the environment while encouraging American innovation and job growth.”

TRIBUNE WATCHDOG UPDATE PLAYING WITH FIRE

3 GOP senators join flame retardant push

Bipartisan group backs restrictions, tells EPA safety law 'must be reformed'

By MICHAEL HAWTHORNE
Tribune reporter

The first signs of bipartisan support for overhauling the nation's chemical safety law emerged Monday, as three Republicans joined 23 others in calling for tough restrictions on toxic flame retardants.

Citing the Tribune's "Playing With Fire" investigation, which exposed a deceptive, decades-long campaign by the tobacco and chemical industries to promote flame retardants, the lawmakers called for a sweeping update of the Federal Toxic Substances Control Act.

The 1976 law gives the government little power to assess or limit dangers from flame retardants and the scores of other chemicals added to furniture, electronics, toys, cosmetics and household products.

"Americans deserve to know that the chemicals used in everyday consumer products are safe," the senators wrote in a letter to Lisa Jackson, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency administrator.

Senators who signed the letter included several supporters of legislation to revamp the chemical safety law, including Democrats Frank Lautenberg of New Jersey and Dick Durbin of Illinois.

For the first time, they were joined by Republicans Lisa Murkowski of Alaska and Olympia Snowe and



Sens. Frank Lautenberg, D-N.J., and Dick Durbin, D-Ill., speak in May at a rally for a bill that would toughen regulation of chemicals such as flame retardants. On Monday senators from both parties backed updating chemical safety law.

CHRIS MADDALONI/ROLL CALL PHOTO

'Americans deserve to know that the chemicals used in everyday consumer products are safe.'

—letter to EPA signed by 26 senators

Susan Collins of Maine, who broke from the strong anti-EPA sentiment among GOP lawmakers.

The letter stopped short of endorsing Lautenberg's proposed Safe Chemicals Act, which would give the EPA more authority to regulate chemicals and require manufacturers to

prove their products are safe before putting them on the market.

However, the senators lamented that current law requires a lengthy rule-making process even to obtain basic health and safety information about chemicals.

"This reinforces why

there is broad agreement that the chemical safety law must be reformed to protect American families from dangerous chemicals in a cost-effective way," they wrote.

The American Chemistry Council, the chief trade group for the chemical industry, fiercely opposes Lautenberg's bill and has repeatedly noted that no Republicans support it.

"We continue to support a bipartisan effort to propose legislation that will create a world class system to regulate the safe use of chemicals and foster American innovation and job creation," the group said in a statement.

"EPA also said should have clear authority to establish safety standards that are based on scientific risk as-

sessments, and authority to take action when chemicals do not meet the safety standard to reduce or eliminate risk," the statement said.

In their letter, the senators said they also support an EPA effort under existing law to crack down on a group of flame retardants known as polybrominated diphenyl ethers, or PBDEs.

Since the 1970s, levels of PBDE flame retardants have dramatically built up in people's bodies across the globe worldwide, and the chemicals have been linked to cancer, neurological deficits, impaired fertility and developmental problems. But the chemical safety law has made it difficult for the EPA to take action.

Invoking rarely used provisions of the law, the EPA has proposed rules that would allow it to ban manufacturing of PBDEs in the U.S. and block imports without extensive and expensive testing.

The Tribune series detailed how an obscure White House office had delayed the rules for months under intense pressure from industry lobbyists to back off.

Industry groups fear that adopting the rules would set a precedent that would make it easier to take action against other toxic chemicals.

While the rules have still been delayed for publication, several deadlines remain. After the EPA issues another version reflecting outside comments, the rules go back to the same White House office for another review.

mjhawthorne@tribune.com
Twitter @scribeguy

Obama ratchets up tax-cut talk

Continued from Page 1

depending on how voters view Americans earning more than \$250,000 — the top 2 percent of the income scale.

Even before Obama's announcement, the Romney campaign sought to push its interpretation, issuing a statement, echoed by congressional GOP leaders, accusing Obama of seeking to "raise taxes on families, job creators and small businesses."

Later, in a radio interview, Romney called Obama's plan "a massive tax increase on job creators and on small business."

"Successful small businesses will see their taxes go up dramatically, and that will kill jobs," he told conservative radio host Larry Elder, whose show is based in Hampton Roads, Va., in an interview taped to air Tuesday morning.

Obama was equally emphatic in framing the debate his way. "I'm calling on Congress to extend the tax cuts for the 98 percent of Americans who make less than \$250,000 a year," he said Monday in the White House East Room with a group the administration identified as working Americans behind him.

The president and his advisers hope to use the tax issue on three levels. Obama wants voters to see the election as a choice between two competing ideologies, rather than as simply a referendum on the economic conditions of the last 3½ years. Highlighting a major policy issue on which the Republicans disagree helps that effort.

The nation's economic recovery is being impeded by "two very different views about which direction we should go in as a country. And nowhere is that stalemate more pronounced than on the issue of taxes," Obama said.

The near certainty that Congress will not act on a tax bill in the next few months also allows Obama to continue one of his favorite campaign motifs: running against those who are "do-nothing Congress."

Finally, although he did not mention his rival by name, a public debate on



Standing with a group the White House identified as working Americans, President Barack Obama urges Congress to extend the George W. Bush-era tax cuts for one year.

ALEX WONG/GETTY PHOTO

takes place into the Obama campaign's separate effort to raise questions about Romney's taxes. Obama campaign aides have hammered at Romney in recent days, suggesting his decision to release only two years of returns means he must be hiding something.

The issue "sets up a contrast about the choices and priorities of the candidates," said a senior Obama campaign official, speaking anonymously to discuss campaign strategy.

The issue also creates stresses in both parties, which will become evident over the next few weeks. In the House, Speaker John Boehner, R-Ohio, has promised a vote to keep all tax rates at the levels established under Bush. A vote on extending all the tax cuts for one year revs up GOP voters but also is a concern for conservative-leaning swing districts.

Polls generally show voters are happy to tax the rich, with majorities agreeing with the president that those with the highest incomes should pay more taxes.

At the same time, polls also show that Republicans

Romney's fundraising again beats Obama's

By MELANIE MASON
Tribune Washington Bureau

even including money to pro-Romney super PACs," Habershaw wrote.

WASHINGTON — Mitt Romney and the Republican Party outraised the Democratic Party in the money race last month, out-raising President Barack Obama and the Democratic Party by \$35 million, according to figures put out by both campaigns Monday.

Obama and the Democratic National Committee had their strongest fundraising month of the campaign in June, reeling in a combined \$71 million from more than 706,000 individual donors. But that wasn't enough to surpass Romney, who along with the Republican National Committee and several state parties, pulled in \$106.1 million, marking the second consecutive month the GOP nominee's combined fundraising efforts outpaced those of the incumbent president. (In May, Romney joined forces with state parties to raise \$76.8 million, topping Obama's combined total of \$60 million.)

The nine-figure haul propelled Romney to his best fundraising month yet, as the former Massachusetts governor continued to capitalize on the large checks, up to \$75,800, he received through joint fundraising with the Republican Party and affiliated committees.

The total was boosted by the Supreme Court's decision to uphold Obama's health care law, a Romney representative claimed. "We said that step right away, and we argue about the rest later," he said.

"Let's not hold the vast majority of Americans and our entire economy hostage while we debate the merits of another tax cut for the wealthy," he said.

For the assembled audience, it was an applause line. Elsewhere, critics will be still firing off their rejoinders.

The Obama campaign's chief financial officer, Marie Habershaw, acknowledged the widening money gap in an email to donors that pressured them to give more.

"We still got beat — and not by a little bit. Romney and Republicans raised more than \$106 million, not

the tally of individual donors who gave to the campaign last month was not yet available.

For weeks, Obama campaign officials had anticipated a lopsided financial advantage in Romney's favor.

In an email to supporters in the last week of June, with the subject line "I will never give up," the Obama campaign sought to use Romney's fundraising prowess as a rallying point for Democrats.

"I will be the first president in modern history to be outspent in his reelection campaign, if things continue as they have so far," said the email signed by the president.

The former Massachusetts governor and the RNC ended June with \$160 million in the bank. The Obama campaign declined to release its cash on hand figures.

More information on the campaign's cash reserves and how they've been spending those resources will be available later this month, when the financial reports are due at the Federal Election Commission.

mjmason@tribune.com

3 GOP senators join flame retardant push

Bipartisan group backs restrictions, tells EPA safety law ‘must be reformed’

Tuesday, July 10, 2012

BY MICHAEL HAWTHORNE

The first signs of bipartisan support for overhauling the nation’s chemical safety law emerged Monday, as three Republicans joined 23 other senators calling for tough restrictions on toxic flame retardants.

Citing the Tribune’s “Playing With Fire” investigation, which exposed a deceptive, decadeslong campaign by the tobacco and chemical industries to promote flame retardants, the lawmakers called for a sweeping update of the federal Toxic Substances Control Act.

The 1976 law gives the government little power to assess or limit dangers from flame retardants and the scores of other chemicals added to furniture, electronics, toys, cosmetics and household products.

“Americans deserve to know that the chemicals used in everyday consumer products are safe,” the senators wrote in a letter to Lisa Jackson, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency administrator.

Senators who signed the letter included several co-sponsors of legislation to revamp the chemical safety law, including Democrats Frank Lautenberg of New Jersey and Dick Durbin of Illinois.

For the first time, they were joined by Republicans Lisa Murkowski of Alaska and Olympia Snowe and Susan Collins of Maine, who broke from the strong anti-EPA sentiment among GOP lawmakers.

The letter stopped short of endorsing Lautenberg’s proposed Safe Chemicals Act, which would give the EPA more authority to regulate chemicals and require manufacturers to prove their products are safe before putting them on the market.

However, the senators lamented that current law requires a lengthy rule-making process even to obtain basic health and safety information about chemicals.

“This reinforces why there is broad agreement that (the chemical safety law) must be reformed to protect American families from dangerous chemicals in a cost-effective way,” they wrote.

The American Chemistry Council, the chief trade group for the chemical industry, fiercely opposes Lautenberg’s bill and has repeatedly noted that no Republicans support it.

“We continue to support a bipartisan effort to produce legislation that will create a world class system to regulate the safe use of chemicals and foster American innovation and job creation,” the group said in a statement.

The EPA, meanwhile, said flame retardants highlight weaknesses in the chemical safety law.

“It is time for this badly outdated law to be strengthened so EPA has the tools to quickly and efficiently obtain information from manufacturers that is relevant to determining the safety of chemicals,” the agency said in a statement.

“EPA also should have clear authority to establish safety standards that are based on scientific risk assessments, and authority to take action when chemicals do not

meet the safety standard to reduce or eliminate risk," the statement said.

In their letter, the senators said they also support an EPA effort under existing law to crack down on a group of flame retardants known as polybrominated diphenyl ethers, or PBDEs.

Since the 1970s, levels of PBDE flame retardants have dramatically built up in breast milk and babies' blood worldwide, and the chemicals have been linked to cancer, neurological deficits, impaired fertility and developmental problems. But the chemical safety law has made it difficult for the EPA to take action.

Invoking rarely used provisions of the law, the EPA has proposed rules that would all but ban the manufacturing of PBDEs in the U.S. and block imports without extensive and expensive testing.

The Tribune series detailed how an obscure White House office had delayed the rules for months under intense pressure from industry lobbyists to back off.

Industry groups fear that adopting the rules would set a precedent that would make it easier to take action against other toxic chemicals.

While the rules have since been released for public comment, several hurdles remain. After the EPA issues another version reflecting outside comments, the rules go back to the same White House office for another review.



CHRIS MADDALONI/ROLL CALL PHOTO

Sens. Frank Lautenberg, D-N.J., and Dick Durbin, D-Ill., speak in May at a rally for a bill that would toughen regulation of chemicals such as flame retardants. On Monday senators from both parties backed updating chemical safety law.



Sen. Frank Lautenberg, D-N.J., has brought versions of the Safe Chemicals Act to Congress since 2005.

TRIBUNE WATCHDOG UPDATE PLAYING WITH FIRE

Senate committee OKs chemical bill

Measure requiring companies to be more transparent advances on party-line vote

BY MICHAEL HAWTHORNE
Tribune reporter

WASHINGTON — Chemical companies would need to provide more health and safety information about their products and regulators would have more

authority to force harmful substances off the market under legislation approved along party lines Wednesday by a Senate committee.

With research increasingly linking toxic chemicals to cancer, learning disabilities and other health problems, the vote repre-

sented a symbolic victory in a decades-long effort to keep harmful chemicals out of furniture, toys, cosmetics, electronics and other household products.

The Safe Chemicals Act would be the first overhaul of federal chemical law since 1976, but majority Democrats acknowledged the bill likely will not advance further without at least some bipartisan support. Every Republican senator and the Environmental and Public Works Committee opposed the measure.

Sen. Frank Lautenberg, D-N.J., has introduced various versions of the legislation every year since 2005. Democrats revived the bill following a Tribune investigative series about toxic flame retardants, many of which remain on

the market despite studies that link them to health problems.

In an attempt to garner more votes, Lautenberg pared back several of the bill's toughest provisions. One of the biggest concessions was language allowing chemical companies to keep putting new products on the market without authorizing safety testing, though the Environmental Protection Agency would get more authority to screen chemicals and require studies of potential health effects.

If research raised concerns about a chemical, the legislation would make it easier to force companies to stop using it.

"Too many toxic chemicals end up in everyday consumer products, and too many of our children are born with untested industrial chemicals in their bodies," said Lautenberg, citing support from more than 300 groups of physicians, scientists, environmental advocates and environmental organizations. "This legislation establishes a strong but practical system for guaranteeing the safety of chemicals, and that will protect American families."

Republicans said calling for a vote now disrupted behind-the-scenes negotiations on a水印 version of the bill. Industry lobbyists have suggested some changes in those meetings but have stopped short of going through the bill line by line as Democrats urged them to do.

Opponents declined to submit alternatives publicly, opting instead to short-schedule that Democrats said would tangle the EPA in bureaucratic knots and lead to further delays in chemical screenings. That proposal failed on a party-line vote.

"Everybody agrees we need ... reform," said Sen. David Vitter, R-La., who has been negotiating with Lautenberg for months on a potential compromise. "But I think this is a step backward."

After the vote, the American Chemistry Council, an industry trade group that spent \$10.3 million on lobbying last year, issued a statement calling the bill fundamentally flawed in many critical areas.

The group opposes the proposed safety standard for chemicals and said provisions requiring more public information about compounds would "undermine long-standing protections of trade secrets, seriously hampering innovations in new products and technologies."

Lautenberg's bill would revamp the Toxic Substances Control Act, a 1976 law that gives the government little power to assess or limit dangers from industrial chemicals. Citing loopholes in the law, the EPA acknowledges that it knows little, if anything, about the safety of most of the 84,000 industrial compounds in commercial use in the U.S.

Neither regulators nor consumers can tell what specific substances are used in products, making it can take years for independent scientists to identify chemicals, track them in the environment and determine if they cause harm.

"EPA has not been living up to what the American public expects," James Jones, the agency's top chemical safety official, told *Time* magazine earlier this year. "But a good deal of that has to do with the challenges that the law creates for us."

A growing list of critics — including the nation's leading group of pediatricians and the Government Accountability Office, the investigative arm of Congress — called for a dramatic overhaul.

"A lot of folks have worked hard to find middle ground," said Richard Denison, a senior scientist at the nonprofit Environmental Defense Fund who has been working on the issue for years. "It's a significant step that places the burden on chemical companies to prove their products are safe, rather than on the EPA to prove they are unsafe."

mjhawthorne@tribune.com
Twitter @scribebagay

Over 50% of the people who try cheap toner wish they hadn't.

Cheap toner fails over half of the people who try it.¹ The cartridges can leak, producing streaked, blotchy or faded prints, and some even come dead on arrival. Only Original HP Toner cartridges deliver unmatched quality for the most professional-looking prints – 100% of the time.² Because it matters, choose HP. Get the facts at hp.com/toner



Make it matter.



¹ From a 2012 NA Lyra Research study, commissioned by HP. Results based on a total of 1034 HP Color LaserJet users who have used both Original HP and non-HP toner cartridges, of whom 57 experienced problems with non-HP cartridges.

² Based on a QualityLogic 2010 study comparing Original HP LaserJet toner cartridges to seven brands of non-HP toner cartridges sold in North America for the HP LaserJet P1505 and P4015 printers. HP 36A and 64A and HP W4W return rates in 2011 for HP Color and Monochrome LaserJet toner cartridges. These results in no way guarantee HP cartridge performance and do not constitute a warranty of any kind. HP will not be responsible for any damage caused by a faulty cartridge.

Senate committee OKs chemical bill

Measure requiring companies to be more transparent advances on party-line vote

Thursday, July 26, 2012

BY MICHAEL HAWTHORNE

Chemical companies would need to provide more health and safety information about their products and regulators would have more authority to force harmful substances off the market under legislation approved along party lines Wednesday by a Senate committee.

With research increasingly linking toxic chemicals to cancer, learning disabilities and other health problems, the vote represented a symbolic victory in a decades-long effort to keep troublesome compounds out of furniture, toys, cosmetics, electronics and other household products.

The Safe Chemicals Act would be the first overhaul of federal chemical law since 1976, but majority Democrats acknowledged the bill likely will not advance further without at least some bipartisan support. Every Republican senator on the Environment and Public Works Committee opposed the measure.

Sponsoring Sen. Frank Lautenberg, D-N.J., has introduced versions of the legislation every year since 2005. Democrats revived the bill following a Tribune investigative series about toxic flame retardants, many of which remain on the market despite studies that link them to health problems.

In an attempt to garner more votes, Lautenberg pared back several of the bill's toughest provisions. One of the biggest concessions was language allowing chemical companies to keep putting new products on the market without thorough safety testing, though the Environmental Protection Agency would get more authority to screen chemicals and require studies of potential health effects.

If research raised concerns about a chemical, the legislation would make it easier to force companies to stop making the product.

"Too many toxic chemicals end up in everyday consumer products, and too many of our children are born with untested industrial chemicals in their bodies," said Lautenberg, citing support from more than 300 groups of physicians, scientists, public health advocates and environmental organizations. "This legislation establishes a strong but practical system for guaranteeing the safety of chemicals, and that will protect American families."

Republicans said that calling for a vote now disrupted behind-the-scenes negotiations on a new version of the bill. Industry lobbyists have suggested some changes in those meetings but have stopped short of going through the bill line by line as Democrats urged them to do.

Opponents declined to submit alternatives publicly, other than a short amendment that Democrats said would tangle the EPA in bureaucratic knots and lead to further delays in chemical screenings. That proposal failed on a party-line vote.

"Everybody agrees we need ... reform," said Sen. David Vitter, R-La., who has been negotiating with Lautenberg for months on a potential compromise. "But I think this is a step backward."

After the vote, the American Chemistry Council, an industry trade group that

spent \$10.3 million on lobbying last year, issued a statement calling the bill “fundamentally flawed in many critical areas.”

The group opposes the proposed safety standard for chemicals and said provisions requiring more public information about compounds would “undermine long-standing protections of trade secrets, seriously hampering innovations in new products and technologies.”

Lautenberg’s bill would revamp the Toxic Substances Control Act, a 1976 law that gives the government little power to assess or limit dangers from industrial chemicals. Citing loopholes in the law, the EPA acknowledges that it knows little, if anything, about the safety of most of the 84,000 industrial compounds in commercial use in the U.S.

Neither regulators nor consumers can tell what specific substances are used in many products, meaning it can take years for independent scientists to identify chemicals, track them in the environment and determine if they cause harm.

“EPA has not been living up to what the American public expects,” James Jones, the agency’s top chemical safety official, told the Senate panel at a hearing Tuesday. “But a good deal of that has to do with the challenges that the law creates for us.”

A growing list of critics -- including the nation’s leading group of pediatricians and the Government Accountability Office, the investigative arm of Congress -- have called for a dramatic overhaul.

“A lot of folks have worked hard to find middle ground,” said Richard Denison, a senior scientist at the nonprofit Environmental Defense Fund who has been working on the issue for years. “This bill is an important step that places the burden on chemical companies to prove their products are safe, rather than on the EPA to prove they are unsafe.”



GETTY PHOTO

Sen. Frank Lautenberg, D-N.J., has brought versions of the Safe Chemicals Act to Congress since 2005.



WINNER OF THE 2012 PULITZER PRIZE FOR COMMENTARY

Chicago Tribune



QUESTIONS? CALL 1-800-TRIBUNE

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 28, 2012

BREAKING NEWS AT CHICAGOTRIBUNE.COM

More questions raised on city speed cameras

Vendor vying for Chicago contract is under scrutiny for problems with Baltimore's 3-year-old program

By DAVID KIDWELL
Tribune reporter

Even as Chicago prepares to test speed cameras next week, problems in Baltimore's 3-year-old camera program are raising questions about one of the bidders for Mayor Rahm

Emanuel's controversial proposal that could target speeders in school and park zones over half the city. Xerox State & Local Solutions Inc., one of two firms selected by the Emanuel administration to test cameras in Chicago, has come under scrutiny in recent

months for faulty equipment and thousands of erroneous tickets issued in Baltimore over the past three years.

Judges sided with motorists in more than half of the cases and threw out 10 percent in a Nov. 18 investigative report by the Baltimore Sun, which like the Chicago Tribune is owned by Tribune Co. The report followed months of complaints and controversy about Baltimore's program, which is

under review by a mayoral task force. The Xerox firm, which is owned by the photocopier giant, is being replaced by another contractor in January.

Xerox officials said its problem tickets account for less than 1 percent of all the tickets issued under the program, and that the cameras have slowed down motorists and reduced accidents.

Please turn to Page 6



JOSÉ M. OSORIO/Tribune photo
Workers who did not want to identify the company they were working for install a device Tuesday on Pershing Road, a site where the city plans to test a speed camera.



NICCO DINUZZO/Tribune photo
Legal Prep Charter Academy freshman Marquez Clay, left, spends a school field trip working with attorney Andrew Avesc from Brinks, Hofer, Gilson & Lione in preparing for a mock trial this month at the firm's Chicago offices.

Charter turns to law to inspire its charges

Legal Prep's founders set bar high, hope to feed field in need of diversity

By BONNIE MILLER RUBIN | Tribune reporter

The boarded-up storefronts and abandoned buildings hardly seem like fertile ground to harvest a bumper crop of future lawyers, yet that is exactly what two educational visionaries are trying to accomplish in one of Chicago's most despairing

neighborhoods.

Legal Prep Charter Academy opened its doors in West Garfield Park earlier this year, the only legal-themed high school in Illinois. The goal is to prepare its students — all of whom are black or Latino — for a career in

law, a profession that ranks second only to veterinary medicine in its lack of diversity.

But even if none of the school's 200 freshmen ever pass the bar exam, its administrators hope to nurture the kind of academic excellence that will ratchet up their odds for success, whatever their career path.

"We focus on the skills

Please turn to Page 12

"(We) think we can ultimately make a difference in the legal profession."

— Sam Finkelstein,
co-founder and CEO
of Legal Prep


Vaughn gets 4 life terms for killing family
Chicagoland, Page 4

Bill pushes corporate tax transparency

Democratic state Senate President John Cullerton and House Majority Leader Barbara Flynn Currie are seeking passage of legislation that would set a stage for creating Illinois' tax code and whether businesses are paying their fair share.

Business



CPS chief takes schools plan to Springfield
Two panels back giving CPS chief Barbara Byrd Bennett until March 31 to announce plans for school closings.
Chicagoland, Page 10

'Life or death' for bus riders, Lincoln shops
Columnist Mary Schmid finds out why riders and merchants vehemently oppose CTA plans to cut a North Side bus route in December.
Chicagoland, Page 10

MARVIN MILLER 1917-2012

The man who changed professional sports

MLB union chief fought for players' right to free agency

By DAVID WHARTON
Tribune Newspapers

Nothing about Marvin Miller seemed feisty or controversial at first glance: the soft-spoken economist was a smallish man with gray hair and a tidy mustache.

But as a union leader, it was Miller who took on baseball's establishment in the 1960s, leading players to an era of megasalaries and free agency, thereby changing the landscape of American sports.

"Nobody realized how gargantuan the task was," he said years later. "Major league players were, at the time, truly brainwashed."

Miller died Thursday at his home in Manhattan, several months after being diagnosed with liver cancer, his family announced. He was 95.



GETTY PHOTO
Marvin Miller led the MLB players union from 1966-82.

In Business
Phil Rosenthal: Miller's union a model for all sports.

Historian Studs Terkel characterized him as "the most effective union organizer since John L. Lewis," the legendary mine workers leader. Hank Aaron, one of the game's most prolific

Please turn to Page 20



Tom Skilling's forecast High 40 Low 29

Chicago Weather Center: Complete forecast on back page of A+E section

\$1.00 city and suburbs, \$1.25 elsewhere
165th year No. 333 © Chicago Tribune

149485 00001 2

Dangerous for kids' pajamas, safe for sofas?

Flame retardant was removed from sleepwear over health worries, but study suggests it's in plenty of furniture

Wednesday, November 28, 2012

BY MICHAEL HAWTHORNE

More than three decades after manufacturers stopped making children's pajamas with a flame retardant suspected of causing cancer, new research suggests the same chemical has become the most widely used fire-resistant compound in upholstered furniture sold throughout the United States.

The study, led by Duke University chemist Heather Stapleton, found that foam samples from more than 40 percent of 102 couches bought from 1985 to 2010 contained the chemical, known as chlorinated tris or TDCPP. More than half of the couches bought since 2005 were treated with it.

Overall, 85 percent of the couches contained flame retardants, which escape over time and settle in household dust that people ingest, especially young children who play on the floor and frequently put their hands into their mouths. Several of the flame retardants detected in the new study have been linked to hormone disruption, developmental problems, lower IQ and impaired fertility.

The Tribune's Playing With Fire series, published in May, revealed how flame retardants are commonly found in American homes as a result of a decades-long campaign of deception by the tobacco and chemical industries. Among other things, the leading manufacturers of flame retardants created a phony consumer group that stoked the public's fear of fire to protect and expand the use of their chemicals in furniture, electronics and other products.

The new study, to be released Wednesday in the peer-reviewed journal Environmental Science & Technology, is the first to outline how widespread flame retardants have become in furniture and suggests their use has increased in recent years. Researchers also tracked how the chemical industry has shifted over time from one troublesome flame retardant to another and has introduced new, chemically similar compounds with little or no study about potential health effects.

Another new study in the scientific journal found that chlorinated tris was the most commonly detected flame retardant in dust from the homes tested.

"People just don't have a choice now," said Arlene Blum, a University of California at Berkeley chemist and co-author of the couch study. "These chemicals are in everybody's furniture and pose serious health problems."

Earlier research by Blum led to the voluntary removal of chlorinated tris from children's pajamas in the late 1970s. Because it wasn't formally banned, companies can legally add it to other products without informing government regulators or the public.

Responding to questions about the couch study, the American Chemistry Council, the chief trade group for the chemical industry, said flame retardants in furniture help save lives. "There is no data in this study that indicate that the levels of flame retardants found would cause any human health problems," the group said in a statement.

The trade group also cited an analysis of a government-funded study that it said

shows “flame retardants in upholstered furniture can provide valuable escape time” from house fires.

However, studies by the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission and Underwriters Laboratories found that flame retardants in household furniture cushions provide no meaningful protection from fires.

Two of the world's leading manufacturers of the chemicals, Louisiana-based Albemarle Corp. and Israel-based ICL Industrial Products, have pledged to stop making chlorinated tris after fiercely defending its use for years.

Albemarle said it no longer markets chlorinated tris and is in the process of shutting down its production of the flame retardant and related chemicals. ICL said it will stop selling chlorinated tris for use in furniture and children's products Jan. 1 and stop making it altogether by the end of 2015. Other overseas companies still make the flame retardant.

The announcements by Albemarle and ICL come after chemical manufacturers in October 2011 failed to block California from officially listing the flame retardant as a carcinogen and moving to require warnings on products that could expose people to unsafe levels. Other major health organizations already had concluded that the chemical is a cancer risk, including the World Health Organization, the National Cancer Institute and the National Research Council.

In a statement, ICL said its decision reflected its “commitment to market leadership, innovation and responsiveness to market conditions and customer needs.” Albemarle called its move part of an effort to restructure “underperforming assets.”


More changes could be on the way. In the wake of the Tribune series, California Gov. Jerry Brown is pushing to overhaul his state's 37-year-old flammability standard for residential furniture, which if adopted could lead to a dramatic reduction in the use of chemical flame retardants.

For now, furniture manufacturers say, the cheapest way to comply with the California standard is to add flame retardants to the foam cushions of couches and upholstered chairs sold in every state. California officials and the CPSC have concluded that a more effective solution is using upholstery that resists smoldering cigarettes, the leading cause of furniture fires, without requiring the use of chemical flame retardants.

In the new couch study, researchers collected foam samples from volunteers who were solicited for help at public meetings or signed up for mailing lists about toxic chemicals. The authors said that while the sample size was large, it might not be representative of the U.S. as a whole.

Flame retardants found in couches

Duke University and University of California at Berkeley scientists studied flame-retardant chemicals in 102 couches and found that when one toxic chemical was phased out (penta), another took its place (chlorinated tris).



SOURCE: Journal of Environmental Science & Technology

TRIBUNE

Furniture made with flame retardants sometimes features a label stating that it complies with Technical Bulletin 117, the California flammability standard. But the study found that nearly two-thirds of the couches without a label still contained the chemicals.

The new research provides evidence that the chemical industry started relying more heavily on chlorinated tris in 2005, when the sole manufacturer of another flame retardant known as penta agreed to stop making it in response to studies that revealed it builds up in people and triggers neurological problems in children.

Of the couches bought before 2005, 39 percent contained penta and 24 percent contained chlorinated tris. More than half of the couches bought since 2005 contained chlorinated tris.

Officials at the federal agency that regulates industrial chemicals, the Environmental Protection Agency, say they are largely powerless to do anything about chlorinated tris and other flame retardants. The EPA has cited industry's continued use of chlorinated tris as an example of why it backs an overhaul of the nation's chemical safety law, the 1976 Toxic Substances Control Act.

Existing law allows chemical companies to put products on the market without proving they are safe and makes it practically impossible to ban chemicals after health effects are documented.

The couch study suggests it is increasingly difficult to avoid flame retardants in furniture. More than a quarter of the older couches tested either did not contain the chemicals or had only trace amounts. After 2005, all but about 7 percent of the couches contained flame retardants.

If a newer couch didn't contain chlorinated tris, the study found, it likely was treated with another flame retardant known as Firemaster 550, which the EPA initially described as a safe, environmentally friendly alternative to penta. It was found in 18 percent of the newer couches tested.

The EPA now considers Firemaster 550 a potential health threat, citing widespread exposure from household products.

Philadelphia-based Chemtura, the manufacturer of Firemaster 550, says it is safe. But the EPA became more concerned after a recent study by Stapleton and Heather Patisaul, a toxicologist at North Carolina State University, showed that small doses triggered obesity, anxiety and developmental problems in baby rats.

In the new peer-reviewed study of flame retardants in household dust, researchers from the nonprofit Silent Spring Institute found chlorinated tris in 75 percent of the 16 California homes tested. Other flame retardants found in house dust included chemicals in Firemaster 550 and nearly 40 other compounds.

The researchers also found penta, the flame retardant phased out in 2005. The discovery suggests that Americans can continue to be exposed to chemicals even after manufacturers stop making them, in part because people typically own furniture for years and many flame retardants are designed to be long-lasting.

"These chemicals just shouldn't be in household products," said Ruthann Rudel, the nonprofit group's research director. "By now it doesn't take a rocket scientist to realize they are bad for us."

Chicago Tribune

Founded June 10, 1847

TONY W. HUNTER, Publisher • **VINCE CASANOVA**, President • **GEROULD W. KERN**, Editor • **R. BRUCE DOLD**, Editorial Page Editor
 @twhunter, gplus.to/twhunter @vince.casanova, gplus.to/vcasanova @gerrykern, gplus.to/gerrykern @brucedold, gplus.to/brucedold

BILL ADDE, Vice President/Digital • **JANE HIRT**, Managing Editor • **JOYCELYN WINNECKE**, Associate Editor • **PETER KENDALL**, Deputy Managing Editor
 @bill80, gplus.to/billadde @janehirt, gplus.to/janehirt @jwinnecke, gplus.to/jwinnecke @pkendall@tribune.com, gplus.to/peterkendall

EDITORIALS

TRIBUNE WATCHDOG PLAYING WITH FIRE



ALEX GARCIA/Tribune Photo Illustration

A Tribune series on flame retardants in furniture revealed chemicals pushed by Big Tobacco don't work as promised and pose risks.

A public health debacle over flame retardants

Over the last week, we read "Playing With Fire," the chilling Tribune investigation on how DuPont's Cal Hallahan, Sam Ren and Michael Hawthorne, with a growing sense of anger,

Anger at how a confluence of industry manipulation and government neglect created a danger for the public.

Chemicals that are used in household furnishings such as sofas and chairs to slow fire do not work.

"The fire just laughs at it," a leading fire scientist told the Tribune, referring to flame retardant foam.

And some fire retardant materials used over the years pose serious health risks. They have been linked to cancer, neurological deficits, developmental problems and impaired fertility. A lot of household furniture is chock full of these chemicals. They escape from the furniture and burn in dust. That's particularly dangerous for toddlers who play on the floor and things like their mouths.

It's a mind-boggling thought. A typical American baby is born with the highest recorded concentrations of flame retardants among infants in the world.

And adults? Blood levels of certain widely used flame retardants doubled in adults every two to five years between 1970 and 2004. Recent studies show levels haven't budged even though some chemi-

cals have been yanked from the market. You may be sitting on a sofa that has two or three flame retardants in the cushion. It may be in your padding underneath your carpet. In your child's highchair and diaper-changing pads.

You have been sold a false sense of security about the risk of your furniture burning, and you've been exposed to dangerous chemicals you didn't know about. If you're not angry, you ought to be.

How were U.S. consumers and manufacturers sold the idea of safe and effective use of flame retardant chemicals?

The Tribune series found:

■ The tobacco industry launched an aggressive campaign decades ago to convince Americans that the problem of house fires sparked by cigarettes shouldn't be solved by creating a "fire-safe" cigarette. Instead, the industry shifted the focus to furniture often ignited by smoldering cigarettes. A top tobacco executive, Tom Morrissey, of the National Association of State Fire Marshals and shaped its requests for federal rules regarding flame retardant furniture.

■ A prominent burn doctor's misleading testimony was part of a campaign of deception and distortion on the efficacy of these chemicals. The chemical industry "has disseminated misleading research findings so frequently that they

essentially have been adopted as fact," Roe and Callahan wrote.

■ The Consumer Product Safety Commission, whose mission is to safeguard America's health and environment, has allowed generation after generation of flame retardants onto the market without rigorously evaluating the health risks.

We welcome the quick response and clear-eyed assessment of this from Sen. Dick Durbin:

"It is now clear that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, writing a rule which, has as its goal to ban the use of these chemicals to flood American households with substances that abundant scientific evidence finds harmful," Durbin wrote to EPA officials in a letter released Thursday.

Durbin is also pressing the Consumer Product Safety Commission to explain why it has dragged its feet on flammability rules for furniture. He's in good position to do so. As a member of the Senate's National Association of State Fire Marshals and shaped its requests for federal rules regarding flame retardant furniture.

■ A prominent burn doctor's misleading

testimony was part of a campaign of

deception and distortion on the efficacy

of these chemicals. The chemical indus-

try "has disseminated misleading re-

search findings so frequently that they

are essentially adopted as fact," Roe and Callahan wrote.

■ The Consumer Product Safety Commission, whose mission is to safeguard America's health and environment, has allowed generation after generation of flame retardants onto the market without rigorously evaluating the health risks.

We welcome the quick response and

clear-eyed assessment of this from Sen.

Dick Durbin:

"It is now clear that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, writing a rule which, has as its goal to ban the use of these chemicals to flood American households with substances that abundant scientific evidence finds harmful," Durbin wrote to EPA officials in a letter released Thursday.

Durbin is also pressing the Consumer

Product Safety Commission to explain

why it has dragged its feet on flammability

rules for furniture. He's in good posi-

tion to do so. As a member of the Senate's

National Association of State Fire Mar-

shals and shaped its requests for federal

rules regarding flame retardant furniture.

■ A prominent burn doctor's misleading

testimony was part of a campaign of

deception and distortion on the efficacy

of these chemicals. The chemical indus-

try "has disseminated misleading re-

search findings so frequently that they

WHAT OTHERS ARE SAYING

There is more than a grain of truth in Mitt Romney's claim that General Motors and Chrysler owe their survival to the wholesale restructuring carried out under the auspices of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court — a strategy Romney championed months before the Obama administration or its predecessor did. So what does Romney keep embellishing that legitimate point with the fraudulent assertion that he played a key role in the domestic auto industry's reversal of fortune? Is the pre-emptive GOP nominee delusional? Or is he simply too dishonest to acknowledge that Detroit's survival was assured only after the Obama administration combined the massive injection of federal aid Romney opposed and the managed bankruptcy he favored?

Detroit Free Press


You can call Muslim immigration an "enrichment" if you like—but a poll that appeared in Le Monde in December 2010 shows that only 22 percent of French people and 24 percent of Germans see it that way; 42 percent and 40 percent, respectively, see Muslim communities as a threat.

Like others who have written about the challenge of international migration, (Walter) Laqueur notes that many Europeans today feel like "strangers in their own homelands." Where he differs from most of these writers is in his belief that, while no one was watching, Europe's migration problem came to exceed Europe's capacity to address it.

How to assimilate immigrants into Europe's culture is yesterday's question. Today's question is how to adapt Europe's institutions to non-European communities that are so large, so powerful and so various that nonassimilation is often the path of least resistance, both for them and for the broader society.

Christopher Caldwell, The New Republic

SCOTT STANTIS



More like this, Part 2

31 senators cast the tough vote that others only promise

Who says government can't move quickly? One day after the Illinois House voted to tell a general government to work for most state workers, the Senate did the same by a 31-20 tally. The bill heads to Gov. Pat Quinn's desk. Quinn said late Thursday that he will sign it.

Once he does, retired state and university employees, General Assembly members and judges longer will enjoy virtually free health care. Taxpayers will no longer pay the full \$800 million annual price tag of coverage. Instead, those are proposed instead, a state agency overseen by a legislative committee will determine premium costs based on how much money state government has available. Retirees will help carry the load, based on a sliding scale of how much they earn in retirement.

This is called sea change.

Some members of the House and Senate, however, rejected any change at all. They preferred to stick with the status quo, even while acknowledging the dire financial condition. Every two years, these lawmakers hit the campaign trail promising to take tough votes. But they manage to find an excuse to take the easy outs — such as pretending Illinois can afford to keep paying so much for retiree health care.

State Sen. Michael Frerichs, D-Champaign, did just that. He voted to keep the health care perk in place because he said there were too many questions about the bill. What's the catch? The legislation has been debated and negotiated for more than a year. It's hardly a new issue. Frerichs voted "no" because he represents teachers and state workers affiliated with the University of Illinois in his district.

Senator Frerichs: At least be honest

about why you're protecting the status quo.

State Sen. Larry Bonke, R-Springfield, preferred to do nothing. He represents many state workers in his district. Too much union pressure. Not enough moxie.

The state is broke: the need to contain retirement benefits is obvious, yet Frerichs and Bonke and 18 other senators couldn't see past the boundaries of their districts.

And then there was Sen. Iris Martinez, D-Chicago, who voted "present." Brave.

We focus on this reluctance because there are even more votes ahead to turn this into law. On fiscal responsibility. On the weak-kneed senators, the bill passed with one vote to spare. Thirty-one senators made a difficult choice to help the state get a handle on its expenses. Hats off to them.

The spring legislative session is in full swing. Adjournment is scheduled for May 31. Lawmakers took a giant step this week toward fiscal responsibility. We hope it's not the last. In a Thursday editorial headlined "Time to act," we praised the House's 74-43 vote on the retirees' health care bill. We also explained that two more items require the same tenacity: pensions and Medicaid reform. Quinn has made reforming these areas top priorities for this session.

The governor can sign bills, but only the ones that reach him.

Lawmakers, keep it up.

EDITORIAL

'The fire just laughs at it'

A public health debacle over flame retardants

Friday, May 11, 2012

Over the last week, we read "Playing With Fire," the chilling Tribune investigation by reporters Patricia Callahan, Sam Roe and Michael Hawthorne, with a growing sense of anger.

Anger at how a confluence of industry manipulation and government neglect created a danger for the public.

Chemicals that are used in household furnishings such as sofas and chairs to slow fire do not work.

"The fire just laughs at it," a leading fire scientist told the Tribune, referring to flame retardant foam.

And some fire retardant materials used over the years pose serious health risks. They have been linked to cancer, neurological deficits, developmental problems and impaired fertility. A lot of household furniture is chock full of these chemicals. They escape from the furniture and settle in dust. That's particularly dangerous for toddlers, who play on the floor and put things in their mouths.

Wrap your mind around this: A typical American baby is born with the highest recorded concentrations of flame retardants among infants in the world.

And adults? Blood levels of certain widely used flame retardants doubled in adults every two to five years between 1970 and 2004. Recent studies show levels haven't budged even though some chemicals have been yanked from the market.

You may be sitting on a sofa that has two pounds of fire retardant in the cushions. It may be in the padding underneath your carpet. In your child's highchair and diaper-changing pads.

You have been sold a false sense of security about the risk of your furniture burning, and you've been exposed to dangerous chemicals you didn't know about. If you're not angry, you ought to be.

How were U.S. consumers and manufacturers sold on the safety and effectiveness of flame retardant chemicals?

The Tribune series found:

- The tobacco industry launched an aggressive campaign decades ago to convince Americans that the problem of house fires sparked by cigarettes shouldn't be solved by creating a "fire-safe" cigarette. Instead, the industry shifted the focus to the furniture often ignited by smoldering cigarettes. A tobacco representative helped organize the National Association of State Fire Marshals and shaped its requests for federal rules requiring flame retardant furniture.

- A prominent burn doctor's misleading testimony was part of a campaign of deception and distortion on the efficacy of these chemicals. The chemical industry "has disseminated misleading research findings so frequently that they essentially have been adopted as fact," Roe and Callahan wrote.

- The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, whose mission is to safeguard America's health and environment, has allowed generation after generation of flame retardants onto the market without rigorously evaluating the health risks.

We welcome the quick response and clear-eyed assessment of this from Sen. Dick Durbin.

"It is now clear that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, wittingly or unwittingly, has allowed the manufacturers of these chemicals to flood Ameri-

can households with substances that abundant scientific evidence finds harmful,” Durbin wrote to EPA officials in a letter released Thursday.

Durbin is also pressing the Consumer Product Safety Commission to explain why it has dragged its feet on flammability rules for furniture. He’s in good position to demand answers -- Durbin played a key role in reforming the once notoriously industry-friendly commission in 2008. It looks, though, like that job hasn’t been completed.

We’re talking about the safety of people in their own homes. Products that were sold as protecting them instead can harm them. Anger? That’s too mild. This is outrageous.