

In his 1572 treatise *L'Algebra*, Rafael Bombelli showed that roots of negative numbers have great utility. Consider the depressed cubic  $x^3 - 15x - 4 = 0$ . Using Formula (1.3), we compute

$$x = \sqrt[3]{2 + \sqrt{-121}} + \sqrt[3]{2 - \sqrt{-121}} = \sqrt[3]{2 + 11\sqrt{-1}} + \sqrt[3]{2 - 11\sqrt{-1}}.$$

Simplifying this expression would have been very difficult if Bombelli had not come up with what he called a “wild thought.” He suspected that if the original depressed cubic had real solutions, then the two parts of  $x$  in the preceding equation could be written as  $u + v\sqrt{-1}$  and  $u - v\sqrt{-1}$  for some real numbers  $u$  and  $v$ . That is, Bombelli believed  $u + v\sqrt{-1} = \sqrt[3]{2 + 11\sqrt{-1}}$  and  $u - v\sqrt{-1} = \sqrt[3]{2 - 11\sqrt{-1}}$ , which would mean

$$(u + v\sqrt{-1})^3 = 2 + 11\sqrt{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad (u - v\sqrt{-1})^3 = 2 - 11\sqrt{-1}.$$

Then, using the well-known algebraic identity  $(a + b)^3 = a^3 + 3a^2b + 3ab^2 + b^3$ , and assuming that roots of negative numbers obey the rules of algebra, he obtained

$$\begin{aligned} (u + v\sqrt{-1})^3 &= u^3 + 3(u^2)v\sqrt{-1} + 3(u)(v\sqrt{-1})^2 + (v\sqrt{-1})^3 \\ &= u^3 + 3(u)(v\sqrt{-1})^2 + 3(u^2)v\sqrt{-1} + (v\sqrt{-1})^3 \\ &= (u^3 - 3uv^2) + (3u^2v - v^3)\sqrt{-1} \\ &= u(u^2 - 3v^2) + v(3u^2 - v^2)\sqrt{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

$$= 2 + 11\sqrt{-1}. \tag{1.5}$$

By equating like parts of Equations (1.4) and (1.5) Bombelli reasoned that  $u(u^2 - 3v^2) = 2$  and  $v(3u^2 - v^2) = 11$ . Perhaps thinking even more wildly, Bombelli then supposed that  $u$  and  $v$  were integers. The only integer factors of 2 are 2 and 1, so the equation  $u(u^2 - 3v^2) = 2$  led Bombelli to conclude that  $u = 2$  and  $u^2 - 3v^2 = 1$ . From this conclusion it follows that  $v^2 = 1$ , or  $v = \pm 1$ . Amazingly,  $u = 2$  and  $v = 1$  solve the second equation  $v(3u^2 - v^2) = 11$ , so Bombelli declared the values for  $u$  and  $v$  to be  $u = 2$  and  $v = 1$ , respectively.

Since  $(2 + \sqrt{-1})^3 = 2 + 11\sqrt{-1}$ , we clearly have  $2 + \sqrt{-1} = \sqrt[3]{2 + 11\sqrt{-1}}$ . Similarly, Bombelli showed that  $2 - \sqrt{-1} = \sqrt[3]{2 - 11\sqrt{-1}}$ , so that

$$\sqrt[3]{2 + 11\sqrt{-1}} + \sqrt[3]{2 - 11\sqrt{-1}} = (2 + \sqrt{-1}) + (2 - \sqrt{-1}) = 4, \tag{1.6}$$

which was a proverbial bombshell. Prior to Bombelli, mathematicians could easily scoff at imaginary numbers when they arose as solutions to quadratic equations. With cubic equations, they no longer had this luxury. That  $x = 4$  was a correct solution to the equation  $x^3 - 15x - 4 = 0$  was indisputable, as it could be checked easily. However, to arrive at this very real solution, mathematicians had to take a detour through the uncharted territory of “imaginary numbers.” Thus, whatever else might have been said about these numbers (which, today, we call *complex numbers*), their utility could no longer be ignored.

### 1.1.1 Geometric Progress of John Wallis

As significant as Bombelli’s work was his results left many issues unresolved. For example, his technique applied only to a few specialized cases. Could it be extended? Even if it could be extended a larger question remained: What possible physical representation could complex numbers have? That question remained unanswered for more than two centuries. Paul J. Nahin’s book *An Imaginary Tale: the Story of  $\sqrt{-1}$*  describes the progress in answering it as