



TKN

Telecommunication
Networks Group

Technical University Berlin
Telecommunication Networks Group

Variations in WiFi RSSI values due to different types of Interferences

Aravinth, Sivalingam Panchadcharam

me@aravinth.info

Berlin, August 2014

Project in advanced network technologies
Supervisors: Filip Lemic, Dr. Arash Behboodi

Abstract

WiFi Beacon packets are transmitted periodically to announce the presence of WiFi. Beacon Packet RSSI is Received Signal Strength Indicator which indicates the power of signal that is received at the receiver. RSSI is used by networking interface card to determine the energy level in the channel. However, RSSI values are extensively used for ranging and localization purpose where accuracy and reliability should be guaranteed . However, previous studies predict that RSSI values changes due to change in distance and various interferences. In oder to analyze whether there is a variation in RSSI values due to different type of interferences, we have designed a reference scenario and two interference scenarios. Experiments were conducted and repeated. It yielded large set of data. In oder to process and analyze the raw data, a visualization tool was implemented. Processed data was analyzed and statistical results were plotted. Finally, It shows that variations in RSSI values due to interferences. Furthermore, It is recommended to conduct more experiments to get significant results with additional help of the work done in this project.

Keywords

RSSI, WiFi beacon, interferences, indoor localization

Acknowledgment

Filip Lemic, Dr. Arash Behboodi, TKN, Technical University of Berlin

Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.1	Beacon Packet	5
1.2	Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI)	5
1.3	RSSI in Indoor Localization	6
1.4	Accuracy	6
1.5	Standardization	6
1.6	Interferences	7
1.7	Solution	7
1.8	Process	7
2	Design	8
2.1	Reference Scenario	8
2.2	Interference Scenarios	9
2.2.1	Interference Scenario 1	9
2.2.2	Interference Scenario 2	9
3	Raw Ranging Data Visualization Tool	11
3.1	Dashboard	12
3.2	Floor Plan	12
3.3	Graph	13
3.4	Experiment Description	14
3.5	API	14
4	Experiments	15
4.1	Infrastructure	15
4.2	Testbed	15
4.2.1	TWIST	15
4.3	Experiment Procedure	16
4.4	Reference Scenario Experiment	16
4.4.1	Experiment Description	16
4.4.2	Environment Description	16
4.4.3	Sender Description	17
4.4.4	Receiver Description	17
4.4.5	Raw Data	17
4.4.6	Interference Description	17

5 Analysis	18
5.1 Repeatability	18
5.1.1 Repeatability Condition	18
6 Results	19
6.1 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:9f:63	20
6.1.1 Reference Scenario	20
6.1.2 Interference Scenario 1	24
6.1.3 Interference Scenario 2	26
6.1.4 Group Variances	28
6.2 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:aa:11	30
6.2.1 Reference Scenario	30
6.2.2 Interference Scenario 1	34
6.2.3 Interference Scenario 2	36
6.2.4 Group Variances	38
6.3 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:aa:d9	40
6.3.1 Reference Scenario	40
6.3.2 Interference Scenario 1	44
6.3.3 Interference Scenario 2	46
6.3.4 Group Variances	48
6.4 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:aa:ef	50
6.4.1 Reference Scenario	50
6.4.2 Interference Scenario 1	54
6.4.3 Interference Scenario 2	56
6.4.4 Group Variances	58
7 Conclusion	60
8 Data	62
8.1 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:9f:63	62
8.1.1 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Reference Scenario	62
8.1.2 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 1	64
8.1.3 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 2	65
8.2 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:aa:11	66
8.2.1 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Reference Scenario	66
8.2.2 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 1	68
8.2.3 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 2	69
8.3 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:aa:d9	70
8.3.1 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Reference Scenario	70
8.3.2 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 1	72
8.3.3 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 2	73
8.4 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:aa:ef	74
8.4.1 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Reference Scenario	74
8.4.2 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 1	76
8.4.3 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 2	77

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Beacon Packet

In Wireless Local Area Network Beacon Packets are transmitted periodically by Base Station Set (BSS) to announce the presence of WiFi Network. Beacon Packet is one of the management frames in IEEE 802.11. Figure 1.1 shows the fields of Beacon Packet. Beacon interval expressed in Time Unit (TU). It is a configurable parameter in the AP and typically configured as 100 TU.




Figure 1.1: MPDU - Beacon Packet of IEEE 802.11

1.2 Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI)

RSSI is an indication of the power level received by a receiver expressed in dBm. RSSI is defined as ten times the logarithm of the ratio of power of the received signal and a reference power (e.g., 1mW). RSSI is used by networking interface card (NIC) to determine the amount of radio energy in the channel. RSSI is generally visible to the WiFi user as signal strength of WiFi connection as shown in the Figure 1.2



Figure 1.2: Signal Strength Indicator in Wireless devices

1.3 RSSI in Indoor Localization

RSSI is also extensively used for RF based ranging and localization purposes. RSSI is defined as ten times the logarithm of the ratio of power of the received signal and a reference power. It is known that power dissipates from a point source as it moves further out and the relationship between power and distance is that power is inversely proportional to the square of the distance traveled. In this way the distance from the sender to receiver can be calculated for localization purpose.

1.4 Accuracy

Different studies show that RSSI values have significant variations due to various factors.

- RSSI values are reported significantly different by different hardwares.
- RSSI values vary with change in temperature.
- RSSI values are affected by various interferences.
- The relation between RSSI values and distance between transmitter and receiver is unreliable.




Figure 1.3: RSSI values recorded from different hardwares

1.5 Standardization

There is no standardized relationship of any particular physical parameter to the RSSI reading. The 802.11 standard does not define any relationship between RSSI value and power level in mW or dBm. Vendors and chipset makers provide their own accuracy, granularity, and range for the actual power (measured as mW or dBm) and their range of RSSI values (from 0 to RSSI Max). Figure 1.3 shows the variance of RSSI values due to different hardwares and vendors.

1.6 Interferences

It is known that power dissipates from a point source as it moves further out and the relationship between power and distance. This power is inversely proportional to the square of the distance traveled. However, previous experimental studies show that RSSI values could be influenced by various interferences such as data traffic in the same channel, full power transmissions or jamming signals, architectural obstructions causing reflections and scattering.

1.7 Solution

RSSI is also used for other purposes where accuracy and reliability should be guaranteed. From previous studies, it was predicted that RSSI values are influenced by interferences in the wireless spectrum. In order to verify this, we have designed a reference scenario to measure RSSI values where no interferences were deliberately generated and two interference scenarios where interferences were generated deliberately. The experiments were repeated at the same conditions. Finally, the results of these experiments were processed and analyzed to find out the variation of RSSI values due to different types of Interferences.

1.8 Process

This explains the process of our work during this project.

- Previously completed works were studied. It includes mainly EVARILOS Project which was implemented to benchmark various RF based indoor localization algorithm.
- Knowledge about experiment infrastructure was obtained. It includes testbed, robot for automation, tools, networking devices, signal generators and interference sources.
- Previously collected measurement data was obtained. It was achieved by retrieving data from R2DM (Raw Ranging Data Management).
- Experiments were designed. It includes the selection of appropriate interference parameters.
- Implementation of Raw Ranging Data Visualization Tool. Significant amount of work in this project was done to implement this tool since it is used to visualize the larger data sets which were obtained during the experiments.
- Experiments were carried out in 3 difference scenarios. The data obtained was visualized and the experiments were repeated.
- Data was processed using Visualization tool, analyzed statistically to plot graphs and results were obtained.
- Report was written. It contains detailed information about the whole project and obtained results.

Chapter 2

Design

2.1 Reference Scenario

This reference scenario is instantiated on the 2nd floor of the TWIST testbed. It is called “Reference scenario” because no artificial interference is generated and the presence of uncontrolled interference is minimized. According to the EVARILOS Benchmarking Handbook (EBH), this scenario is an instance of the “Small office” type of scenarios. In this scenario 20 measurement points are defined and their locations are given in Figure 2.1.




Figure 2.1: Locations of measurement points

At each measurement point the indoor localization System Under Test (SUT) is requested to estimate location. The SUT device is carried to each measurement location using the robotic platform. The navigation stack of the robotic platform gives one order of magnitude more accurate location estimation than considered SUTs and the location obtained from the robotic platform is considered as the ground truth.

The experiments were performed at the weekend afternoon, so the influence of interferences has been minimized. Furthermore, the wireless spectrum has been measured using the WiSpy device attached to the robotic platform and all measurements with the interference threshold above certain level have been repeated. Finally, before each experiment a more detailed measurement of the spectrum has been taken with the spectrum analyzer at a predefined location.

2.2 Interference Scenarios

2.2.1 Interference Scenario 1

First interference scenario instantiated in TWIST testbed uses the testbed Wireless Fidelity (WiFi) nodes as interference sources. Interference type is jamming on one IEEE 802.11 channel with the maximum transmission power. Three of such jamming nodes are present at different locations in the testbed environment. Summary of this interference scenario is given in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1: Interference scenario summary

Types of interference sources	
WiFi	✓
Microwave	✗
DECT	✗
Bluetooth	✗
3G	✗
ZigBee	✗
Types of interference sources	
Number of sources	3
Power	20 dBm
Waveform	Carrier jamming
Specific pattern	
Start & stop time	Beginning & end of experiment
Traffic model	
Traffic parameters of interference	
Packet size	
Inter-packet gap	
Bit rate	
File size	
Start & stop size	
Traffic model	
Network parameters	
Network size	
Node density	
Node mobility	
Node failures	

2.2.2 Interference Scenario 2

Second interference scenario instantiated in TWIST testbed defines interference types that is usual for the office and home environments. Namely, interference is emulated using 4 WiFi embedded Personal Computers (PCs), namely a server, email client, data client, and video client. The server acts as a WiFi Access Point (AP) and a gateway for the emulated services. The email client will “check email” once every 15 seconds for a duration of one second. The data client is emulated via

TCP streams one starting at 45 seconds for a duration of 22.5 seconds and the other starting at 105 seconds for a duration of 45 seconds. The video client is emulated as a UDP stream of 100 kbps for half the experiment cycle and it will start at the middle of the experiment. In total, the experiment takes 150 seconds. Summary of this interference scenario is given in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2: Interference scenario summary

Types of interference sources	
WiFi	✓
Microwave	✗
DECT	✗
Bluetooth	✗
3G	✗
ZigBee	✗
Types of interference sources	
Number of sources	3
Power	20 dBm
Waveform	
Specific pattern	
Start & stop time	Beginning & end of experiment
Traffic model	WiFi traffic
Traffic parameters of interference	
Packet size	
Inter-packet gap	
Bit rate	
File size	
Start & stop size	
Traffic model	
Network parameters	
Network size	
Node density	
Node mobility	
Node failures	

Chapter 3

Raw Ranging Data Visualization Tool

Introduction

Measurements yield large amount of raw data which contains the complete information of experiments such as testbed measurement locations, access points, rss, channel, ssid, bssid, latency. In order to track collected raw data, a raw ranging data visualization tool was implemented.

This tool helps us to list and visualize the available databases and collections of experiments under one hood. It is a web-based standalone software which was implemented using Javascript and various libraries. This tool can be simply started by opening it on a web browser. It needs an Internet connection to retrieve data from the backend servers. It makes use of asynchronous (AJAX) ability of Javascript and processes large array of raw data. This tool consists of 4 panels which showcases the work flow in an user friendly manner. Usage and functionality of each panel is explained in the following sections.




Figure 3.1: Dashboard of the visualization tool

3.1 Dashboard

Figure 3.1 shows the Dashboard panel that is the landing page of this tool. It contains 4 sub-panels.

List of Servers lists URIs of server that contains various RAW data collected from the experiments.

Remote Server contains the complete set of RAW data. Copy of the RAW data can also be stored on the local machine. Static Data contains a simple set of RAW data for the purpose of debugging.

List of Databases lists URIs of databases which are stored in the server.

List of Collections lists the collections of experiments which were carried out. URI denotes the name of Testbed, experiment size and experiment type.

List of Floor Plans lists the names of the floor plans on which experiments were carried out. Floor Plan must be selected according to the experiment.




Figure 3.2: Floor Plan of the visualization tool

3.2 Floor Plan

Figure 3.2 shows Floor Plan panel that contains the map of selected testbed. Once the appropriate floor plan for an experiment is selected, all the measurement points are loaded into the map. Measurement point is circular button that turns blue when it is clicked and it lists RSSI values measured at that geographical point. On the right side of the Floor Plan, Channel and SSID information of the selected measurement point is shown. If the Raw Data contains channel information, then it will be listed in the dropdown other it will be listed as Unknown. RSSI values are grouped together on the basis of same SSID and BSSID. Info tab at the right below shows some specific information about the selected measurement point.




Figure 3.3: Graph of the visualization tool

3.3 Graph

Figure 3.3 shows Graph panel that contains a histogram that is generated dynamically by selecting a particular access point on Floor Plan panel. Number of Bins of the histogram are also dynamically adjusted based on the total number of RSSI values measured. Info tab shows coordinate information of the selected measurement points as well as the statistics of RSSI values.




Figure 3.4: Experiment Description of the visualization tool

3.4 Experiment Description

Figure 3.4 shows Experiment Description panel that contains detail of the experiment such as testbed environment, sender and receiver device specification and interference pattern. This information is stored in Metadata database.




Figure 3.5: API of the visualization tool

3.5 API

Figure 3.5 shows API that is a Javascript documentation which can be viewed by clicking on Javascript-Doc button on the top menu. API reveals the Functions, Constants, Views, Collections, Events and Utilities used to visualize the Raw Ranging Data. It helps to extend this program, do further implementation, add new features and debug the code.

Chapter 4

Experiments

4.1 Infrastructure

Experiments are carried on two different Testbeds. As shown in the figure 4.1, Testbeds provide a robotic automated platform to carry out the experiment. Setting up the measurement points, configuring the nodes, accessing signal generators and various other devices are done with the help of control center.




Figure 4.1: Experiment Environment

4.2 Testbed

4.2.1 TWIST

The TKN Wireless Indoor Sensor network Testbed (TWIST), developed by the Telecommunication Networks Group (TKN) at the Technische Universität Berlin, is a scalable and flexible testbed architecture for experimenting with wireless sensor network applications in an indoor setting. It provides basic services like node configuration, network-wide programming, out-of-band extraction of debug data and gathering of application data.

It is equipped with 102 TmoteSky nodes and 102 eyesIFX nodes. For the experiments 3 Different Floor Plans are used. Signal Generators are used to generate microwave signals which were used to

jam the channel during the experiments. From control center fixed coordinates are set to the robot, so that accurate measurement points are achieved in the experiments.

4.3 Experiment Procedure

This shows step by step how experiments were carried out.

- Measurement points of testbed are loaded into the Robot.
- System Under Test (SUT) is equipped with Network Interface Card (NIC) and loaded with program to store RSSI, SSID, BSSID, Latency values.
- SUT is placed on the top of the Robot.
- Robot is commanded from the control center to move to the first measurement point.
- SUT is commanded to start the measurement.
- SUT scans the Wireless Spectrum in the environment for WiFi Access Points and stores RSSI, SSID, BSSID, Latency values. This step is iterated 20 times.
- Measurements are stored on cloud database.
- Robot moves to other measurement point and repeats the above given steps

4.4 Reference Scenario Experiment

Since this experiment is conducted under environment where no artificial interference is generated and the presence of uncontrolled interference is minimized, this experiment is referred to as reference scenario. Experiments with controlled interferences are carried out in the similar way with artificially generated interferences. Section 2.2 shows the details of various interferences which were generated during the experiments.

4.4.1 Experiment Description

This measurements are a runtime (online) survey for fingerprint based indoor localization. The testbed environment is divided into the grid area. Cells of the grid are selected according to the building footprints. Cells are the rectangles/squares of the sizes between 2.5 and 3.5 m. Small offices in the building are divided into 2 cells, bigger offices in 4 cells, while the biggest rooms (mostly laboratories) are divided into 6 cells. Fingerprints are taken on a randomly distributed positions in the testbed area.

4.4.2 Environment Description

TWIST testbed 2nd floor - Small to medium office environment with bricked walls.

4.4.3 Sender Description

Senders are all WiFi APs in the area, while the APs specifically used for localization purposes have the SSID = CREW. There are four such devices, mounted on the corners of the 2nd floor of the TWIST testbed. The wireless APs used for localization are TL-WDR4300 routers, with the fixed channel allocation scheme set on channel 11 (2462 MHz). The transmission power is set to 20 dBm (100 mW), and the traffic model is IEEE 802.11b.

4.4.4 Receiver Description

Receiver is a MacBook Pro notebook with the AirPort Extreme network interface card (NIC).

4.4.5 Raw Data

At randomly distributed locations the RSSI fingerprint has been taken. Each fingerprint consists of 20 scans of the wireless environment and RSSI values from beacon packets from all visible APs are taken.

4.4.6 Interference Description

This is an experiment without controlled interference. Measurements were done in a weekend afternoon and the interference level was monitored using WiSpy 2.4 tool.

Chapter 5

Analysis

5.1 Repeatability

$$S_i^2 = \sigma^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{X}^2)}{n-1} \quad (5.1)$$

In equation 5.1, S_i^2 is the variance of each cell, where each cell is test results of experiment that satisfies the repeatability condition, $(x_i - \bar{X}^2)$ is the difference from the mean value and n is total number of values in the set.

$$S_r^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (n_i - 1) S_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (n_i - 1)} \quad (5.2)$$

In equation 5.2, S_r^2 is repeatability variance, n is total number of repetition of cell, S_i^2 is the variance of each cell. Therefore, Repeatability is simply the group variances of the test results.

5.1.1 Repeatability Condition

It is defined as a condition where independent test s are obtained with the same method on identical test items in the same laboratory by the same operator using the same equipment within short intervals of time.

In our case, it is defined as a condition which satisfies the following:

- Same Testbed
- Same Laboratory (Floor)
- Same Measurement point
- Same Channel
- Same Sender (SSID and BSSID)

An experiment which satisfies this condition is called as cell.

Chapter 6


Results



From the data obtained, graphs between distance and mean of RSSI values, variance of RSSI values and group variances were plotted. From multiple experiments which were conducted with various interferences sources located at different location, the following graphs were obtained. The data is been grouped based on BSSID and analyzed. As learned from the previous experiments under environment with minimal interferences [6], distance and RSSI show a linear relationship such that the RSSI value decreases with increase in distance. However, the experiments with various interference sources exhibit a non linear relationship of RSSI values with distance from the source and influenced significantly around the interference sources. These results show that there is variation in RSSI values due to interferences. The following sections show plotted graphs.



6.1 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:9f:63



- SSID : CREW
- BSSID : 64:70:02:3e:9f:63
- Location : X-axis - 21.5m, Y-axis - 14.8m
- Frequency : 2.4 GHz


6.1.1 Reference Scenario
















6.1.2 Interference Scenario 1











6.1.3 Interference Scenario 2









6.1.4 Group Variances










6.2 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:aa:11



- SSID : CREW
- BSSID : 64:70:02:3e:aa:11
- Location : X-axis - 31.0m, Y-axis - 0.7m
- Frequency : 2.4 GHz


6.2.1 Reference Scenario
















6.2.2 Interference Scenario 1











6.2.3 Interference Scenario 2









6.2.4 Group Variances










6.3 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:aa:d9



- SSID : CREW
- BSSID : 64:70:02:3e:aa:d9
- Location : X-axis - 2.0m, Y-axis - 0.7m
- Frequency : 2.4 GHz


6.3.1 Reference Scenario
















6.3.2 Interference Scenario 1











6.3.3 Interference Scenario 2









6.3.4 Group Variances










6.4 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:aa:ef



- SSID : CREW
- BSSID : 64:70:02:3e:aa:ef
- Location : X-axis - 4.0m, Y-axis - 14.8m
- Frequency : 2.4 GHz


6.4.1 Reference Scenario
















6.4.2 Interference Scenario 1











6.4.3 Interference Scenario 2









6.4.4 Group Variances





Chapter 7

Conclusion

In this work, we presented how we have done experiments with and without controlled interferences to study the variation of RSSI values. Experiments yielded a large amount of data sets that must be processed and analyzed. Therefore we have implemented a tool to visualize the raw data obtained from the experiments, so that we could see the data collected from any experiment, at any point of location in the testbed, grouped based on BSSID. Furthermore, this tool helped us to see statistical graph of the raw data. Furthermore, the data was studied and graphs were plotted to understand whether RSSI values change due to interferences. Finlay, we recommend to carry out more experiments to get a significant results with the help of the data retrieved in this project.

Bibliography

- [1] IEEE Std 802.11-2007: "Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications", IEEE, New York, NY, USA, 1999-2007.
- [2] Koji Horie, Yusuke Tsutsumi, Yukio Takao, Tomomichi Suzuki, "Calculation Of Repeatability And Reproducibility For Qualitative Data", Department of Industrial Administration, Tokyo University of Science.
- [3] Damir Markucic, "How to determine repeatability and reproducibility", 3rd European-American Workshop on NDE Reliability, Department of Quality, University of Zagreb.
- [4] Lui, Gough, et al: "Differences in RSSI Readings made by Different Wi-Fi Chipsets: A Limitation of WLAN Localization", Localization and GNSS (ICL-GNSS), 2011 International Conference on. IEEE, 2011.
- [5] Lee, Jin-Shyan, Yu-Wei Su, and Chung-Chou Shen et al: "A Comparative Study of Wireless Protocols: Bluetooth, UWB, ZigBee, and Wi-Fi", Industrial Electronics Society, 2007. IECON 2007. 33rd Annual Conference of the IEEE. IEEE, 2007.
- [6] Parameswaran, Ambili Thottam; Husain, M, I.; Upadhyaya, S. "Is RSSI a Reliable Parameter in Sensor Localization Algorithms – An Experimental Study". September 2009. 28th International Symposium On Reliable Distributed Systems, New York. Retrieved 17 March 2013.
- [7] EVARILOS Project, "Evaluation Of Rf Based Indoor Localization Solutions For The Future internet".
- [8] CREW Project, "Cognitive Radio Experimentation World".
- [9] TWIST Testbed, "TKN Wireless Indoor Sensor network Testbed".

Chapter 8

Data

8.1 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:9f:63

8.1.1 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Reference Scenario

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment01 Variance	Experiment01 Mean in dBm	Experiment02 Variance	Experiment02 Mean in dBm
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	0.6	-65.07	0.35	-70.75
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	0.46	-77.27	0.45	-81.91
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	1.33	-64	0.91	-72.08
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	0.96	-47.2	1.56	-59.67
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	1.13	-75.93	2.31	-69.83
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	0.38	-74.87	4.97	-74.83
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	1.18	-50.87	2.08	-59.58
8	FT224	11.57	5.06	0.25	-62.87	0.22	-73.67
9	FT224	11.57	1.89	1.17	-64.6	1.69	-69.75
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	0.2	-47.93	0.19	-49.75
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	0.33	-51.73	16.41	-48.92
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	2.22	-62.33	1.52	-61.25
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	0.36	-39.33	6.91	-44.08
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	8.49	-28.33	0.85	-62.75
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	62.77	-58.6	0.85	-62.75
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	0.37	-43.6	2.69	-52.75
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	2.38	-66.53	10.24	-77.58
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	0.6	-71.93	15.25	-76.5
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	22.12	-60.47	3.08	-52.92
20	FT227	30.28	1.67	0.77	-72.4	0.5	-73
Average				5.4		3.65	

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment03 Variance	Experiment03 Mean in dBm	Experiment04 Variance	Experiment04 Mean in dBm	Group Variance
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	0.41	-68.7	9.15	-75.45	17.9
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	21.34	-77.4	1.66	-82.8	13.82
3	holloway_2nd	3.51	9.02	6.23	-72.65	36.16	-74.8	29.35
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	14.15	-62.5	2.45	-63.55	46.52
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	1.86	-73.2	5.6	-77	9.6
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	1.43	-78.15	0.25	-82.83	12.1
7	holloway_2nd	9.81	9.02	0.43	-67.85	1.4	-66	44.97
8	FT224	11.57	5.06	22.64	-73.6	19.03	-71.15	30.37
9	FT224	11.57	1.89	6.37	-73.95	30.43	-66.35	25.24
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	0.23	-53.15	0.39	-51.1	3.97
11	holloway_2nd	16.12	9.02	1.61	-51.7	0.45	-49.95	4.9
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	5.11	-67.7	3.61	-64.3	0.35
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	0.46	-41.2	0.15	-39.05	4.85
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	0.25	-43.55	33.35	-37.95	136.62
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	40.14	-63.6	1.75	-66.55	34.88
16	holloway_2nd	22.08	9.02	0.45	-50.5	0.73	-46.35	11.96
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	1.4	-71.42	37.51	-68.47	28.15
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	48.03	-71.85	1.94	-70.6	21.89
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	10.33	-59.15	4.83	-61.15	18.52
20	FT227	30.28	1.67	18.85	-70.55	1.53	-78.15	11.17
Average				10.09		9.62		25.81

8.1.2 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 1

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment01 Variance	Experiment01 Mean in dBm	Experiment02 Variance	Experiment02 Mean in dBm	Group Variance
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	3.24	-73.4	14.89	-75.9	10.63
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	0.69	-80.92	No Data	No Data	0.69
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	2.13	-74.15	0.09	-67.89	10.91
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	3.39	-65.25	1.06	-65.32	2.25
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	2.13	-64.35	0.95	-64.95	1.63
8	FT224	11.57	1.89	No Data	No Data	0	-62	0
9	FT224	11.57	5.06	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	49.21	-51.3	0.44	-53.4	25.93
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	2.23	-47.65	0	-53	8.31
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	1.23	-46.65	0.13	-47.15	0.74
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	0.94	-39.6	7.99	-32.75	16.19
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	4.56	-48.58	2.61	-50.3	4.3
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	1.03	-58.71	0.15	-61	1.94
20	FT226	30.28	1.67	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Average				6.43		2.57		6.96

8.1.3 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 2

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment01 Variance	Experiment01 Mean in dBm	Experiment02 Variance	Experiment02 Mean in dBm	Group Variance
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	17.39	-72.25	8.15	-72.5	12.78
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	23.73	-78.35	0.85	-79.55	12.65
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	35.59	-70.9	5	-71	20.3
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	9.39	-61.9	1.93	-67.85	14.51
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	0.76	-77.37	1.85	-75.79	1.93
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	0.18	-83.23	7.95	-79.55	8.12
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	1.84	-62.6	12.49	-61.9	7.29
8	FT224	11.57	5.06	32.24	-73.6	0.32	-75.32	17.43
9	FT224	11.57	1.89	1.85	-72.5	9.53	-69.85	7.44
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	0.55	-54.55	0.33	-50.85	3.86
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	35.25	-53.95	1.75	-53.45	18.56
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	1.74	-60.6	9.81	-57.3	8.5
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	58.53	-45.85	36.63	-49.85	51.58
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	0.31	-39.3	0.24	-38.6	0.4
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	6.43	-63.85	4.15	-62.45	5.78
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	0.45	-52.55	0.1	-46	11
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	2.65	-69.5	1.11	-73.3	5.49
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	3.13	-74.85	32.85	-74.05	18.15
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	0.49	-58.9	0.35	-57.95	0.64
20	FT227	30.28	1.67	3.64	-70.21	1.05	-69.05	2.65
Average				11.81		6.82		11.45

8.2 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:aa:11

8.2.1 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Reference Scenario

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment01 Variance	Experiment01 Mean in dBm	Experiment02 Variance	Experiment02 Mean in dBm
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	0.38	-79.53	1.58	-86.5
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	0.33	-62.27	0.24	-73.08
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	0.52	-68.47	1.08	-73.92
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	1.13	-79.73	1.29	-83.9
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	0.22	-81.67	0.74	-62.42
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	43.69	-58.33	0.91	-66.42
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	1.42	-71.33	18.85	-71.25
8	FT224	11.57	5.06	0.36	-47.67	54.22	-49.67
9	FT224	11.57	1.89	0.52	-58.53	9.92	-60.5
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	2.4	-67	2.08	-78.08
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	0.51	-61.4	0.08	-61.91
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	0.12	-47.87	3.58	-50.08
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	2.92	-67.87	1.64	-70.83
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	0.38	-68.13	No Data	No Data
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	0.16	-43.8	143.39	-59.33
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	0.33	-55.93	38.58	-57.92
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	1.05	-38.53	209.52	-53.75
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	0.86	-41.93	65.35	-43.25
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	1.32	-48.47	46.75	-52.5
20	FT227	30.28	1.67	0.12	-24.87	0.24	-26.42
Average				2.94		31.58	

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment03 Variance	Experiment03 Mean in dBm	Experiment04 Variance	Experiment04 Mean in dBm	Group Variance
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	0.81	-86.83	0	-86	12.3
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	0.79	-79.95	8.23	-75.35	44.51
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	1.25	-77.55	15.14	-74.6	15.93
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	5.71	-84.7	102.23	-77.85	42.5
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	2.14	-65.53	1.39	-63.1	3.41
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	0.81	-71.3	3.49	-64.9	33.01
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	7.29	-78.9	7.21	-77.07	20.13
8	FT224	11.57	5.06	28.56	-58.8	4.33	-57.65	42.7
9	FT224	11.57	1.89	1.15	-57.05	11.19	-63.84	12.91
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	14.53	-75.76	0.24	-83.41	41.17
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	1.81	-64.7	2.61	-66.7	6.08
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	6.33	-54.35	20.25	-52.55	14.66
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	1.31	-70.3	24.65	-78.5	26.15
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	2.76	-68.2	2.26	-64.2	5.58
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	37.01	-47.7	56.75	-47.45	80.08
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	1.04	-59.6	0.83	-57.15	9.41
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	228.16	-51.2	59.05	-51.05	154.71
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	0.19	-39.9	67.49	-39.25	34.42
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	9.06	-53.8	74.53	-53.65	38.13
20	FT227	30.28	1.67	0.25	-15.55	381.89	-36.25	178.36
Average				17.55		42.19		40.81

8.2.2 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 1

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment01 Variance	Experiment01 Mean in dBm	Experiment02 Variance	Experiment02 Mean in dBm	Group Variance
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	No Data	No Data	0	77	0
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	0	-76	0	74	0.66
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	0.66	-71.2	No Data	No Data	0.66
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	0.69	-86.2	No Data	No Data	0.69
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	0	-64	0.22	67.67	1.16
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	No Data	No Data	28.09	55.74	28.09
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	2.23	-71.35	0.12	67.87	4.29
8	FT224	11.57	1.89	No Data	No Data	0.06	54.94	0.06
9	FT224	11.57	5.06	4.82	-55.35	0	54	4.18
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	109.48	-52.46	1.1	78.57	226.64
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	0	-73	No Data	No Data	0
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	0.64	-69.4	No Data	No Data	0.64
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	0.22	-70.67	0	68	1.73
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	0	-52	No Data	No Data	0
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	0	-57	0.06	54.07	2.17
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	No Data	No Data	0	46	0
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	0	-40	0	38	0.96
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	0	-56	5.75	55.79	4.21
20	FT226	30.28	1.67	209.58	-47.68	137.99	51.25	176.04
Average				21.89		12.39		23.8

8.2.3 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 2

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment01 Variance	Experiment01 Mean in dBm	Experiment02 Variance	Experiment02 Mean in dBm	Group Variance
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	0.05	-87.05	16.75	-84.5	10.02
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	9.35	-70.5	2.6	-78.47	22.03
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	4.15	-79.45	2.35	-77.55	4.15
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	0.16	-78.2	0.81	-81.3	2.89
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	2.56	-66.8	5.89	-72.1	11.25
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	0.83	-70.35	1.53	-70.15	1.19
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	0.34	-73.16	4.69	-71.75	3.07
8	FT224	11.57	5.06	3.99	-57.25	1.13	-56.85	2.6
9	FT224	11.57	1.89	14.23	-60.35	5.6	-61	10.02
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	132.09	-72.1	22.33	-80.85	96.35
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	9.03	-67.35	3.85	-66.95	6.48
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	54.95	-59.55	43.93	-51.15	67.08
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	13.23	-70.65	1.95	-70.55	7.59
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	30.45	-65.5	2.95	-71.95	27.1
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	0.93	-55.35	2.04	-56.6	1.87
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	25.36	-62.8	6.93	-58.15	21.55
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	0.85	-45.55	1.59	-45.25	1.24
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	40.54	-45.4	62.95	-50.5	58.25
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	14.85	-58.45	76.3	-55	48.55
20	FT227	30.28	1.67	0.23	-31.35	142.85	-35.95	76.83
Average				17.91		20.45		24.01

8.3 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:aa:d9

8.3.1 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Reference Scenario

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment01 Variance	Experiment01 Mean in dBm	Experiment02 Variance	Experiment02 Mean in dBm
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	7.58	-56.87	10.92	-59.5
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	112.24	-37.4	3.64	-35.83
3	holloway_2nd	3.51	9.02	1.09	-46.2	46.75	-50.5
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	0.25	-51.13	2.08	-63.42
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	0.43	-37.8	0.17	-39
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	1.47	-44	1.58	-43.5
7	holloway_2nd	9.81	9.02	0.25	-50.47	20.41	-58.08
8	FT224	11.57	5.06	1.56	-46.67	2.41	-55.58
9	FT224	11.57	1.89	0.06	-45.93	0.39	-52.67
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	0.69	-69.8	0.81	-71.83
11	holloway_2nd	16.12	9.02	3.66	-65.73	2.52	-69.75
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	0.33	-51.07	1.89	-65.67
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	0.2	-68.27	2.52	-77.75
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	0.65	-74.87	No Data	No Data
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	0.52	-58.53	0.17	-63
16	holloway_2nd	22.08	9.02	1.02	-70.67	0.58	-78.92
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	0.8	-58	0.5	-68
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	0.92	-62.47	1.08	-71.08
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	1.26	-76.93	1.89	-76.67
20	FT227	30.28	1.67	0.86	-65.07	0.17	-69
Average				6.79		5.29	

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment03 Variance	Experiment03 Mean in dBm	Experiment04 Variance	Experiment04 Mean in dBm	Group Variance
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	0.25	-53.5	9.24	-58.4	11.81
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	57.49	-49.25	94.71	-40.7	98.83
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	1.25	-56.05	1.25	-55.95	26.18
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	34.66	-61.2	1.26	-58.8	29.82
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	0.43	-43.15	50.85	-51.45	45.2
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	0.93	-39.85	22.45	-46.45	14.21
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	1.3	-58	1.96	-47.8	26.2
8	FT224	11.57	5.06	0.73	-55.35	8.69	-52.1	15.38
9	FT224	11.57	1.89	20.33	-55.15	2.93	-55.35	21.16
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	11.3	-74.47	1.43	-72.85	6.88
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	0.39	-69.1	1.61	-63.7	8.13
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	18.19	-57.9	0.89	-61.25	29.97
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	0.24	-80.58	44.35	-72.55	36.44
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	2.59	-81.25	1.29	-84.16	15.32
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	40.68	-65.05	13.75	-67.95	28.04
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	0.45	-76.55	29.19	-75.75	16.84
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	0.26	-66.8	23.39	-65.75	20.97
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	21.18	-67.37	11.75	-75.5	33.97
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	0.95	-81.95	2.2	-79.11	6.15
20	FT227	30.28	1.67	26.36	-72.2	10.79	-77.25	31.71
Average				12		16.7		26.16

8.3.2 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 1

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment01 Variance	Experiment01 Mean in dBm	Experiment02 Variance	Experiment02 Mean in dBm	Group Variance
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	2.35	-51.95	0.75	-50.45	2.11
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	1.09	-39.9	34.26	-38.8	17.98
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	12.46	-57.2	1.96	-51.2	16.21
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	4.75	-60.5	2.13	-53.15	16.94
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	5.16	-46	2.56	-37.58	21.59
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	3.84	-41.22	1.85	-49.79	21.15
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	0.16	-64.2	0.94	-53.6	28.64
8	FT224	11.57	1.89	0.45	-54.45	0.89	-56.9	2.17
9	FT224	11.57	5.06	0.44	-58.75	0	-52	2.93
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	2.35	-67.55	2.64	-71.6	6.59
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	32.36	-67.56	0	-51	67.25
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	15.05	-73.05	No Data	No Data	15.05
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
20	FT226	30.28	1.67	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Average				6.7		4.36		18.22

8.3.3 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 2

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment01 Variance	Experiment01 Mean in dBm	Experiment02 Variance	Experiment02 Mean in dBm	Group Variance
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	34.03	-58.35	4.63	-55.85	20.89
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	28.64	-42.6	0.29	-39.1	17.53
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	4.59	-54.1	32.74	-50.4	22.09
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	3.81	-53.3	1.69	-54.25	2.97
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	19.41	-44.3	0.45	-46.05	10.69
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	2.04	-43.6	0.2	-49	8.41
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	33.39	-56.25	1.84	-53.6	19.37
8	FT224	11.57	5.06	2.33	-54.35	2.44	-51.4	4.56
9	FT224	11.57	1.89	1.83	-48.15	22.25	-52.5	16.77
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	6.93	-71.65	21.56	-73.74	15.15
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	0.25	-70.55	20.19	-68.9	10.9
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	1.93	-56.15	8.93	-60.35	9.84
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	4.05	-77.95	0.66	-75.2	4.24
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	5.19	-84.75	4.19	-81.9	6.72
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	1.1	-64	0.56	-63.8	0.84
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	0.25	-76.5	0.45	-73.55	2.52
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	58.93	-70.65	2.75	-71.55	31.04
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	4.94	-62.4	1.7	-69	14.21
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	1.23	-76.35	67.84	-75.6	34.67
20	FT227	30.28	1.67	0.21	-83.7	32.83	-73.35	39.8
Average				10.75		11.41		14.66

8.4 Access Point : CREW 64:70:02:3e:aa:ef

8.4.1 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Reference Scenario

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment01 Variance	Experiment01 Mean in dBm	Experiment02 Variance	Experiment02 Mean in dBm
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	0.49	-37.67	57.97	-43.83
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	0.24	-50.4	0.17	-59
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	0.6	-39.93	0.85	-41.75
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	0.29	-28.2	0.24	-39.58
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	4.8	-41	1.06	-53.67
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	7.32	-47.47	1.25	-50.5
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	1.44	-47.4	3.69	-54.75
8	FT224	11.57	5.06	15.49	-62.2	0.41	-67.08
9	FT224	11.57	1.89	1.44	-68.6	0.19	-72.75
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	0.89	-45.33	0.22	-60.67
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	3.09	-57.2	0.24	-62.08
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	24.06	-70.93	1.67	-75
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	0.52	-56.13	9.08	-63.08
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	0.6	-58.93	No Data	No Data
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	14.62	-75.33	1.44	-74.6
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	1.18	-60.53	1.72	-66.33
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	4.78	-79.53	0.16	-84.2
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	0.27	-81	1.08	-81.92
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	1.53	-77.93	0.96	-77.64
20	FT227	30.28	1.67	0.6	-83.73	No Data	No Data
Average				4.21		4.58	

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment03 Variance	Experiment03 Mean in dBm	Experiment04 Variance	Experiment04 Mean in dBm	Group Variance
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	216.96	-53.2	62.25	-49.5	128.47
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	55.15	-60.95	0.44	-54.6	33.09
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	1.19	-52.9	72.46	-51.8	55.21
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	187.65	-43.05	32.71	-39.7	96.3
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	1.49	-47.25	34.46	-47.8	28.2
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	5.29	-55.1	10.11	-53.3	14.83
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	45.45	-56.55	1	-47	34.13
8	FT224	11.57	5.06	5.39	-73.1	5.45	-66.5	22.74
9	FT224	11.57	1.89	2.35	-69.45	0.36	-68.5	3.59
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	4.99	-51.75	40.19	-58.75	46.75
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	52.61	-65.3	1.35	-58.05	28.34
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	1.83	-75.35	13.03	-69.71	16.28
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	29.43	-62.65	2.23	-68.15	29.69
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	4.41	-67.3	2.63	-65.85	14.69
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	0.22	-80.67	0.23	-80.37	11.52
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	20.04	-70.4	5.85	-67.95	21.39
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	114.33	-75.65	No Data	No Data	63.51
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	0	-86	6.91	-83.56	4.92
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	5.43	-75.15	1.13	-78.65	4.55
20	FT227	30.28	1.67	6.15	-82.19	1.44	-82.4	3.1
Average				38.02		15.49		33.07

8.4.2 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 1

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment01 Variance	Experiment01 Mean in dBm	Experiment02 Variance	Experiment02 Mean in dBm	Group Variance
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	0.54	-42.6	0.83	-41.15	1.21
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	1.34	-56.4	23.13	-61.35	18.36
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	2.04	-41.6	2.39	-61.25	98.74
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	0.89	-41.25	0.75	-44.55	3.54
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	0.48	-53.79	4.16	-51.8	3.36
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	0.25	-57.44	1.93	-58.35	1.34
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	5.95	-55.55	4.34	-56.37	5.33
8	FT224	11.57	1.89	No Data	No Data	35.79	-61.54	35.79
9	FT224	11.57	5.06	No Data	No Data	0	-66	0
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	0.65	-53.45	7.59	-57.25	7.73
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	0.46	-61.8	0.76	-64.63	2.61
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	12.03	-61.42	4.24	-58.59	10.35
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	0.55	-69.05	1.57	-66.89	2.21
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	1.44	-67.25	No Data	No Data	1.44
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
20	FT226	30.28	1.67	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Average				2.22		6.73		13.72

8.4.3 Variance, Mean, Group Variance of Interference Scenario 2

Measurement Points	Room	X in meters	Y in meters	Experiment01 Variance	Experiment01 Mean in dBm	Experiment02 Variance	Experiment02 Mean in dBm	Group Variance
1	FT236	2.02	10.98	25.4	-54	53.41	-53.3	39.53
2	FT222	2.17	4.98	2.55	-57.5	0.85	-53.05	6.65
3	hallway_2nd	3.51	9.02	4.09	-47.9	48.29	-50.25	27.57
4	FT235	5.16	10.94	15.39	-45.25	94.41	-37.7	69.15
5	FT223	5.39	5.06	9.64	-53.4	1.05	-50.95	6.84
6	FT223	8.48	1.93	0.79	-58.25	15.16	-59.2	8.2
7	hallway_2nd	9.81	9.02	2.65	-50.05	2.26	-59.8	26.22
8	FT224	11.57	5.06	0.45	-69.05	2.76	-66.63	3.03
9	FT224	11.57	1.89	32.53	-71.85	14.89	-63.25	42.2
10	FT233	14.48	10.95	29.29	-55.75	97.35	-53.5	64.58
11	hallway_2nd	16.12	9.02	10.15	-65.5	1.45	-63.55	6.75
12	FT225	17.56	5.06	9.65	-81.5	70.89	-66.25	98.41
13	FT232	17.59	10.95	19.64	-65.4	9.35	-64.55	14.67
14	FT231	20.54	10.94	18.9	-63.39	0.41	-62.7	9.29
15	FT225	20.71	1.65	0.72	-81.74	0.93	-85.35	4.08
16	hallway_2nd	22.08	9.02	6.84	-71.6	12.61	-68.3	12.45
17	FT226	23.9	1.67	0.73	-87.22	No Data	No Data	0.73
18	FT226	26.53	5.39	No Data	No Data	6.34	-83.63	6.34
19	stairs_2nd	26.68	9.03	0.1	-75.88	51.63	-74.5	25.56
20	FT227	30.28	1.67	No Data	No Data	0	-88	0
Average				10.53		25.48		23.61