Outline: ME algorithm

Donglai Wei

2010.9.4

0.Notation

L-t:Local table move, find the best table for a customer given others fixed

L-d:Local dish move, find the best dish for a table given others fixed

M-t:Merge table move, merge two tables

S-t:Split table move, split one tables into several new tables

S-p:Sampling proposal, roughly reconfig restaurant

L-w: Local word move, reallocate the words distribution in a dish given others fixed

M-d:Merge dish move, merge two dishes

DR:Decompose Restaurant

DD:Decompose Dish

DD-init:Delete the dish and reconfig relevant Restaurants

Method	Problems	Solution
	1) Slow speed of convergence	
Gibbs Sampler(t-k)	2) Hard to get rid of noisy result	\mid L-t+L-d \mid
T T . 1	(No merge:) Two tables with distinct words may be better merged	
L-t+L-d	but changing one customer from one table to the other will be worse off	+M-t
	(No split) If the restaurant has only one table	
L-t+L-d+M-t	then no move can be made.	+S-t
	1) hard to determine the number of parts to split into	
S-t+(L-t+L-d+M-t)	2)normal k-means algorithm is costly	\mid S-t \Rightarrow S-P \mid
$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \textbf{DR=S-p+(L-t+L-d+M-t)} \end{array}}$	(No split)If a dish should be splitted into two,	
Dit=S-p+(L-t+L-d+M-t)	then each DR may not want to split the dish	DD-init
	then each DR may not want to split the dish	ՄՄ-IIII
	(No local:)Reallocate all customers with one certain word	
DD-init	from one dish to another may be beneficial	$+$ L- \mathbf{w}
	(No merge:)Two dishes may be better merged	
DD-init+L-w	but each DR will be worse off	+ M-d
DD=DD-init+L-w+M-d		