

## RAOP (Risk-Aware Option Pricing) – Theory

### 1. Options

Table summarizing the main options and the attributes that define them:

Name	Type (put or call)	Underlying price	Strike price	Risk free interest rate	Time to maturity	Volatility	Specific attributes
European	X	X	X	X	X	X	-
American	X	X	X	X	X	X	-
Asian	X	X	X	X	X	X	Averaging period, Averaging method
Barrier	X	X	X	X	X	X	Barrier type (up-and-out, up-and-in, down-and-out, or down-and-in), Barrier level, Barrier observation frequency
Look back	X	-	-	X	X	X	Look back type (fixed or floating), Look back period
Binary	X	X	X	X	X	X	Binary payout
Spread	X	-	X	X	X	X	Underlying price of the 1 <sup>st</sup> asset, Underlying price of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> asset
Exchange	X	-	X	X	X	X	Underlying price of the 1 <sup>st</sup> asset, Underlying price of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> asset, Exchange rate
Chooser	X	X	X	X	X	X	Choice date
Quanto	X	X	X	X	X	X	Foreign risk free interest rate, Exchange rate

## 2. Pricing Methods

### Black-Scholes method

In its original form, this method is only useful to price **European options**.

This method makes the following assumptions about the assets:

- The **rate of return** on the riskless asset is **constant**;
- The **stock price** follows a **geometric Brownian motion**, and it is assumed that the **drift** and **volatility** of the motion are **constant**;
- The **stock** does **not** pay a **dividend**.

And about the market:

- **No arbitrage** opportunity;
- **Ability to borrow and lend any amount**, even fractional, of cash at the **riskless rate**;
- **Ability to buy and sell any amount**, even fractional, of the **stock** (short selling);
- The above transactions do not incur any fees or costs (**frictionless market**);

Value of a **call** option for a non-dividend-paying underlying stock:

$$C(S_t, t) = N(d_1)S_t - N(d_2)Ke^{-r(T-t)}$$

With  $N(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-z^2/2} dz$  the standard normal cumulative distribution (hence,  $N'(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}$  is the standard normal probability density function), and:

- $d_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{T-t}} [\ln(S_t/K) + (r + \sigma^2/2)(T-t)]$
- $d_2 = d_1 - \sigma \sqrt{T-t}$

Price of the corresponding **put** option (based on put-call parity with discount factor  $e^{-r(T-t)}$ ):

$$P(S_t, t) = N(-d_2)Ke^{-r(T-t)} - N(-d_1)S_t$$

The Greeks given by this method are:

Greek	Call	Put
$\Delta \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$	$N(d_1)$	$N(d_1) - 1$
$\Gamma \quad \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}$	$\frac{N'(d_1)}{S\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}$	
$\text{Vega} \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma}$	$SN'(d_1)\sqrt{T-t}$	
$\Theta \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$	$-\frac{SN'(d_1)\sigma}{2\sqrt{T-t}} - rKe^{-r(T-t)}N(d_2)$	$-\frac{SN'(d_1)\sigma}{2\sqrt{T-t}} + rKe^{-r(T-t)}N(-d_2)$
$\text{Rho} \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial r}$	$K(T-t)e^{-r(T-t)}N(d_2)$	$-K(T-t)e^{-r(T-t)}N(-d_2)$

## Binomial method

This method can be used to price **European and American options**.

The different steps of this method are:

1. **Build a binomial price tree** starting from **valuation date to expiration**. For each node, they are 2 branches: one up and one down.

If the price at the node  $k$  is  $S_k$ , the 2 child branches will be:  $S_{k+1}^{up} = u \times S_k$  and  $S_{k+1}^{down} = d \times S_k$  with  $u = e^{\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}}$  and  $d = e^{-\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}}$ . The tree will have  $n$  layers such that  $n \Delta t = T$ .

2. Find **option value at each final node** (it corresponds to the pay-off of the option)  $g(S_T)$ .
3. Find **option value at earlier nodes**. These values are found by starting at the penultimate time step, and working back to the first node of the tree (the valuation date) computing each value as:

$$C_{k-1} = e^{-r \Delta t} (p C_k^{up} + (1-p) C_k^{down})$$

Where  $p$  is the probability of an up move in the underlying and  $1-p$  is the probability of a down move. This probability is given by  $p = \frac{e^{(r-q)\Delta t} - d}{u - d}$  with  $q$  the dividend yield of the underlying corresponding to the life of the option. We must impose for  $p$  to be in  $(0, 1)$  that  $\Delta t < \frac{\sigma^2}{(r-q)^2}$ .

From this method, it is also possible to estimate the Greeks as follows:

Greek	Numerical Approach (same for Put and Call)
$\Delta$ $\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of $S$ at the corresponding node (maybe 1%) and recompute the binomial tree. Then re-evaluate the value of the option at the node with the previous method and compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the previous result.
$\Gamma$ $\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}$	Compute the <b>second order finite difference</b> (e.g. with central scheme): impose a $+\Delta S$ perturbation and evaluate the option value; impose a $-\Delta S$ perturbation and evaluate the option value; and use these values and the values without perturbation to compute the finite difference.
$\nu$ $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of $\sigma$ at the corresponding node (maybe 1%) and recompute the binomial tree. Then re-evaluate the value of the option at the node with the previous method and compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the previous result.
$\theta$ $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of the expiration time $T' = T - \Delta T$ (maybe one day for example) and recompute the binomial tree. Then compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the price estimate without perturbation.
$\rho$ $\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of $r$ and re-evaluate the value of the option at the node. Then compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the previous result.

### Monte-Carlo method

This method can be used to price **any option** (with some specificities for American options – see Appendix 2).

The different steps of the method for European options (and more generally, in a very similar way, for Bermudian options) are:

1. Choose a **model** for simulating the **dynamics of the underlying asset's price**. Generally, a stochastic process is chosen to model the evolution of the price over time. For instance we could have:  $dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sqrt{V_t} S_t dW_t$  .
2. **Simulate  $N$  paths** (the higher this number is, the more accurate the estimate tends to be) of the underlying asset's price from  $t=0$  to  $t=T$  (expiration time) after discretization of the period (time step  $\Delta t$  ). For each path, at the expiration time, compute the corresponding **pay-off**.
3. Compute the discounted pay-offs under risk-neutral probability (for each path and average them to obtain an estimate of the option's price. i.e. compute an estimate of:

$$E(\text{Payoff} \times e^{-rT})$$

From this method, it is also possible to estimate the Greeks as follows (similar approach than with the binomial method):

Greek	Numerical Approach (same for Put and Call)
$\Delta$ $\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of $S$ at $t=0$ (maybe 1%) and recompute the multiple paths and the average of discounted pay-offs. Then compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the price estimate without perturbation.
$\Gamma$ $\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}$	Compute the <b>second order finite difference</b> (e.g. with central scheme): impose a $+\Delta S$ perturbation and evaluate the option value with the Monte-Carlo method; impose a $-\Delta S$ perturbation and evaluate the option value; and use these values and the values without perturbation to compute the finite difference.
$\text{Vega}$ $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of $\sigma$ at $t=0$ (maybe 1%) and re-evaluate the value of the option with the Monte-Carlo method and compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the price estimate without perturbation.
$\Theta$ $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of the expiration time $T' = T - \Delta T$ (maybe one day for example) and recompute the multiple paths and the average of discounted pay-offs. Then compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the price estimate without perturbation.
$\text{Rho}$ $\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of $r$ and re-compute the discounted pay-offs with this new $r + \Delta r$ . Then compute the estimate of the option price by averaging and finally compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the price estimate without perturbation.

**3. Risk Evaluation Methods**

## **Appendix 1:**

Variance Reduction Methods for Convergence Acceleration of Monte-Carlo simulations

## Appendix 2:

### Longstaff-Schwartz Method for American Option Pricing

Since American options can be exercised at any time before maturity, some adaptations need to be done in the Monte-Carlo method to price them. One of the most used method in practice is the Longstaff-Schwartz method (2001).

The following steps must be followed:

1. As in the classical Monte-Carlo method for Bermudean option pricing, we start by generating  $N$  random paths for the underlying asset price starting from its initial value  $S_0$  assuming that it follows a certain stochastic process (for instance a geometric Brownian motion).

They will be noted  $(S_k^{(i)})_{i=1, \dots, N}$  at  $t_k$  the  $k$ -th time step.

2. Then we compute the pay-offs for path at every time step.

They will be noted  $h_k^{(i)} = h(S_k^{(i)})$ .

3. Starting from the maturity  $t_m = T$ , for each path, the continuation value at each time step

$$C_{k-1}^{(i)} = C_{k-1}(S_{k-1}^{(i)}) = E(\max(C_k^{(i)}, h_k^{(i)}) | S_{k-1}^{(i)})$$

is approximated with linear regression by backward induction as follow:

- i. Choose  $R$  basis functions (e.g. Laguerre polynomials)  $\psi_r$  and approximate the continuation value by  $C_{k-1}^{estim}(x) = \sum_{r=1}^R \beta_r \psi_r(x)$  with  $\beta$  the coefficients that minimize  $E((C_{k-1} - C_{k-1}^{estim})^2)$ .

- ii. Compute the coefficients by solving the following system:

$$B_{[\psi \psi]} \beta = B_{[V \psi]}$$

Where:

$$[B_{[\psi \psi]}]_{rl} = [E(\psi_r(S_{k-1}) \psi_l(S_{k-1}))]_{rl} \approx N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_r(S_{k-1}^{(i)}) \psi_l(S_{k-1}^{(i)})$$

$$[B_{[V \psi]}]_l = E(\max(C_k, h_k) \psi_l(S_{k-1})) \approx N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \max(C_k^{(i)}, h_k^{(i)}) \psi_l(S_{k-1}^{(i)})$$

- iii. Finally, the continuation value is approximated by computing:

$$C_{k-1}^{(i)} \approx C_{k-1}^{estim}(S_{k-1}^{(i)})$$

4. For each path, the continuation value at each time step is compared to the pay-off; if the latter is greater then the option is exercised. The first time step for which this is verified is the optimal stopping time:  $\tau = t_{k^*}$ , with  $k^* = \min\{k | h_k > C_k\}$ . This time is determined for each path, leading to a list of optimal pay-offs  $(h(S_{k^*}^{(i)}))_{i=1, \dots, N}$ .

5. Finally, discounted pay-offs are computed as  $e^{-r \tau^{(i)}} \times h_{k^*}^{(i)} \quad \forall i$  and averaged in order to obtain an approximate of the option's price.