# RAOP (Risk-Aware Option Pricing) – Theory

# 1. Options

Table summarizing the main options and the attributes that define them:

Name	Type (put or call)	Underlying price	Strike price	Risk free interest rate	Time to maturity	Volatility	Specific attributes
European	X	X	X	X	X	X	-
American	X	X	X	X	X	X	-
Asian	X	X	X	X	X	X	Averaging period, Averaging method
Barrier	X	X	X	X	X	X	Barrier type (up-and-out, up-and-in, down-and-out, or down-and-in), Barrier level, Barrier observation frequency
Look back	X	-	-	X	X	X	Look back type (fixed or floating), Look back period
Binary	X	X	X	X	X	X	Binary payout
Spread	X	-	X	X	X	X	Underlying price of the 1 <sup>st</sup> asset, Underlying price of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> asset
Exchange	X	-	X	X	X	X	Underlying price of the 1 <sup>st</sup> asset, Underlying price of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> asset, Exchange rate
Chooser	X	X	X	X	X	X	Choice date
Quanto	X	X	X	X	X	X	Foreign risk free interest rate, Exchange rate

### 2. Pricing Methods

#### **Black-Scholes method**

In its original form, this method is only useful to price **European options**.

This method makes the following assumptions about the assets:

- The **rate of return** on the riskless asset is **constant**;
- The **stock price** follows a **geometric Brownian motion**, and it is assumed that the **drift** and **volatility** of the motion are **constant**;
- The **stock** does **not** pay a **dividend.**

# And about the market:

- **No arbitrage** opportunity;
- **Ability to borrow and lend any amount**, even fractional, of cash at the **riskless rate**;
- **Ability to buy and sell any amount**, even fractional, of the **stock** (short selling);
- The above transactions do not incur any fees or costs (**frictionless market**);

Value of a **call** option for a non-dividend-paying underlying stock:

$$C(S_t,t)=N(d_1)S_t-N(d_2)Ke^{-r(T-t)}$$

With  $N(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \, pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-z^2/2} dz$  the standard normal cumulative distribution (hence,  $N'(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \, pi}} e^{-x^2/2}$  is the standard normal probability density function), and:

• 
$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{T-t}} [\ln(S_t/K) + (r+\sigma^2/2)(T-t)]$$

• 
$$d_2 = d_1 - \sigma \sqrt{(T-t)}$$

Price of the corresponding **put** option (based on put-call parity with discount factor  $e^{-r(T-t)}$ :

$$P(S_t,t)=N(-d_2)Ke^{-r(T-t)}-N(-d_1)S_t$$

The Greeks given by this method are:

Greek	Call	Put	
Delta $\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$	$N(d_1)$	$N(d_1)-1$	
$Gamma  \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}$	$\frac{N}{So}$	$\frac{T'(d_1)}{T\sqrt{T-t}}$	
$Vega  \frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma}$	$SN'(d_1)\sqrt{T-t}$		
Theta $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$	$-\frac{SN'(d_1)\sigma}{2\sqrt{T-t}}-rKe^{-r(T-t)}N(d_2)$	$-\frac{SN'(d_1)\sigma}{2\sqrt{T-t}} + rKe^{-r(T-t)}N(-d_2)$	
$Rho  \frac{\partial V}{\partial r}$	$K(T-t)e^{-r(T-t)}N(d_2)$	$-K(T-t)e^{-r(T-t)}N(-d_2)$	

#### Binomial method

This method can be used to price **European and American options**.

The different steps of this method are:

Build a binomial price tree starting from valuation date to expiration. For each node, they are 2 branches: one up and one down.

If the price at the node k is  $S_k$ , the 2 child branches will be:  $S_{k+1}^{up} = u \times S_k$  and  $S_{k+1}^{down} = d \times S_k$  with  $u = e^{\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}}$  and  $d = e^{-\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}}$ . The tree will have n layers such that  $n\Delta t = T$ .

- 2. Find **option value at each final node** (it corresponds to the pay-off of the option)  $g(S_T)$ .
- 3. Find **option value at earlier nodes**. This values are found by starting at the penultimate time step, and working back to the first node of the tree (the valuation date) computing each value as:

$$C_{k-1} = e^{-r\Delta t} \left( p C_k^{up} + (1-p) C_k^{down} \right)$$

 $C_{k-1} = e^{-r\Delta t} (pC_k^{up} + (1-p)C_k^{down})$  Where p is the probability of an up move in the underlying and 1-p is the probability of a down move. This probability is given by  $p = \frac{e^{(r-q)\Delta t} - d}{u - d}$  with q the dividend yield of the underlying corresponding to the life of the option. We must impose for p to be in (0,1) that  $\Delta t < \frac{\sigma^2}{(r-q)^2}$ .

From this method, it is also possible to estimate the Greeks as follows:

Greek	Numerical Approach (same for Put and Call)
Delta $\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of $S$ at the corresponding node (maybe 1%) and recompute the binomial tree. Then re-evaluate the value of the option at the node with the previous method and compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the previous result.
$Gamma  \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}$	Compute the <b>second order finite difference</b> (e.g. with central scheme): impose a $+\Delta S$ perturbation and evaluate the option value; impose a $-\Delta S$ perturbation and evaluate the option value; and use these values and the values without perturbation to compute the finite difference.
$Vega  \frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of $\sigma$ at the corresponding node (maybe 1%) and recompute the binomial tree. Then re-evaluate the value of the option at the node with the previous method and compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the previous result.
Theta $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of the expiration time $T' = T - \Delta T$ (maybe one day for example) and recompute the binomial tree. Then compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the price estimate without perturbation.
$Rho  \frac{\partial V}{\partial r}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of $r$ and re-evaluate the value of the option at the node. Then compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the previous result.

#### **Monte-Carlo method**

This method can be used to price **any option** (with some specifities for American options – see Appendix 2).

The different steps of the method for European options (and more generally, in a very similar way, for Bermudian options) are:

- 1. Choose a **model** for simulating the **dynamics of the underlying asset's price**. Generally, a stochastic process is chosen to model the evolution of the price over time. For instance we could have:  $dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sqrt{v_t} S_t dW_t$ .
- 2. **Simulate** *N* **paths** (the higher this number is, the more accurate the estimate tends to be) of the underlying asset's price from t=0 to t=T (expiration time) after discretization of the period (time step  $\Delta t$  ). For each path, at the expiration time, compute the corresponding **pay-off**.
- 3. Compute the discounted pay-offs under risk-neutral probability (for each path and average them to obtain an estimate of the option's price. i.e. compute an estimate of:

$$E(Payoff \times e^{-rT})$$

From this method, it is also possible to estimate the Greeks as follows (similar approach than with the binomial method):

Greek	Numerical Approach (same for Put and Call)
Delta $\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of $S$ at $t=0$ (maybe 1%) and recompute the multiple paths and the average of discounted pay-offs. Then compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the price estimate without perturbation.
$Gamma  \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}$	Compute the <b>second order finite difference</b> (e.g. with central scheme): impose a $+\Delta S$ perturbation and evaluate the option value with the Monte-Carlo method; impose a $-\Delta S$ perturbation and evaluate the option value; and use these values and the values without perturbation to compute the finite difference.
$Vega  \frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of $\sigma$ at $t$ =0 (maybe 1%) and re-evaluate the value of the option with the Monte-Carlo method and compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the price estimate without perturbation.
Theta $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of the expiration time $T' = T - \Delta T$ (maybe one day for example) and recompute the multiple paths and the average of discounted pay-offs. Then compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the price estimate without perturbation.
$Rho  \frac{\partial V}{\partial r}$	Impose a little <b>perturbation</b> of $r$ and re-compute the discounted pay-offs with this new $r+\Delta r$ . Then compute the estimate of the option price by averaging and finally compute the <b>first order finite difference</b> with the price estimate without perturbation.

3.	Risk	Eval	luation	Methods
----	------	------	---------	---------

# Appendix 1:

Variance Reduction Methods for Convergence Acceleration	on of Monte-Carlo simulations
---	-------------------------------

### **Appendix 2:**

# Longstaff-Schwartz Method for American Option Pricing

Since American options can be exercised at any time before maturity, some adaptations need to be done in the Monte-Carlo method to price them. One of the most used method in practice is the Longstaff-Schwartz method (2001).

The following steps must be followed:

1. As in the classical Monte-Carlo method for Bermudean option pricing, we start by generating N random paths for the underlying asset price starting from its initial value  $S_0$  assuming that it follows a certain stochastic process (for instance a geometric Brownian motion).

They will be noted  $(S_k^{(i)})_{i=1,\dots,N}$  at  $t_k$  the k-th time step.

- 2. Then we compute the pay-offs for path at every time step. They will be noted  $h_k^{(i)} = h(S_k^{(i)})$
- 3. Starting from the maturity  $t_m = T$ , for each path, the continuation value at each time step  $C_{k-1}^{(i)} = C_{k-1}(S_{k-1}^{(i)}) = \mathbb{E}(\max(C_k^{(i)}, h_k^{(i)})|S_{k-1}^{(i)})$

is approximated with linear regression by backward induction as follow:

- i. Choose R basis functions (e.g. Laguerre polynomials)  $\psi_r$  and approximate the continuation value by  $C_{k-1}^{estim}(x) = \sum_{r=1}^R \beta_r \psi_r(x)$  with  $\beta$  the coefficients that minimize  $\mathrm{E}((C_{k-1} - C_{k-1}^{estim})^2)$ .
- ii. Compute the coefficients by solving the following system:

$$B_{[\psi\psi]}\beta = B_{[V\psi]}$$

Where:

$$\begin{split} &[B_{[\psi\psi]}]_{rl} \!=\! [\mathrm{E}\left(\psi_r(S_{k-1})\psi_l(S_{k-1})\right)]_{rl} \!\approx\! N^{-1} \sum\nolimits_{i=1}^N \psi_r(S_{k-1}^{(i)})\psi_l(S_{k-1}^{(i)}) \\ &[B_{V\psi}]_l \!=\! \mathrm{E}\left(\max(C_k,h_k)\psi_l(S_{k-1})\right) \!\approx\! N^{-1} \sum\nolimits_{i=1}^N \max(C_k^{(i)},h_k^{(i)})\psi_l(S_{k-1}^{(i)}) \end{split}$$

iii. Finally, the continuation value is approximated by computing:  $C_{k-1}^{(i)}{\approx}C_{k-1}^{estim}(S_{k-1}^{(i)})$ 

$$C_{k-1}^{(i)} \approx C_{k-1}^{estim} (S_{k-1}^{(i)})$$

- 4. For each path, the continuation value at each time step is compared to the pay-off; if the latter is greater then the option is exercised. The first time step for which this is verified is the optimal stopping time:  $\tau = t_{k^*}$ , with  $k^* = min\{k|h_k > C_k\}$ . This time is determined for each path, leading to a list of optimal pay-offs  $(h(S_{k^*}^{(i)}))_{i=1,\dots,N}$ .
- 5. Finally, discounted pay-offs are computed as  $e^{-r\tau^{(i)}} \times h_{k*}^{(i)} \quad \forall i$  and averaged in order to obtain an approximate of the option's price.