Analysis and Future Risk Prediction of Diabetes Mellitus

Project ID:21D211230 Review - III

Group Members RA1711003030211 Praffulla Kumar Dubey RA1711003030230 Udbhav Naryani

> Supervised By: Dr. Medhavi Malik Assistant Professor

Department of Computer Science & Engineering Faculty of Engineering & Technology SRM Institute of Science & Technology



Table of Contents

- Abstract
- Objective
- 3 Literature Survey
- Overall Design of the Proposed System
- Data-set Specification
- Methodology/Algorithms Used
- Experimental Results
- Performance Evaluation
- Omparison with Existing System
- Publication Details of Submission of the Work to Journal
- References



Abstract

The number of patients suffering from diabetes in India are increasing rapidly. One of the major reasons behind this can be that most of the population does not take regular check-ups for diabetes. Hence they are unable to take proper precautions and have a risk of getting diabetes in the future. The current methodologies followed by the doctors are manual and can be time consuming, hence we have come up with a solution for this problem, by providing a model in which the user can enter their health report details and know their future risk of having diabetes and if required they can consult a doctor for the same. In this project, we propose to analyse the Pima Indian diabetes dataset and develop an intelligent system for predicting future risk of diabetes using supervised machine/deep learning algorithms. We would be coding in python using Jupyter Notebook for analysis, training and testing of our model. We would be deploying our prediction model on localhost using Spyder IDE.

Objective

- Analyse and gain insights from the collected data.
- Develop a diabetes prediction model using supervised machine/deep learning algorithms.
- Predict future risk of diabetes.
- Develop a user friendly GUI (Graphical User Interface).



Literature Survey I

Ref	Author	Year	Algorithm	Findings
[4]	J.J	2021	ANN:	Used Artificial Neural Network for train-
	Khanam,		88.6%	ing and testing their model in WEKA
	and S.			tool.
	Foo			
[1]	S. Barik	2021	XGBoost:	The data collected was very small and no
	et al.		74.1%	pre-processing of data-set was performed.
[8]	Y. N. and	2019	RF: NA	Used only Random Forest Algorithm on
	SriPreethaa			Hadoop Cluster.
[5]	S. Lekha	2018	CNN: NA	The data collected was very small and no
	and			pre-processing of data-set was performed.
	Suchetha			
	M.			
[10]	Q. Zou et	2018	NN: 76%	MATLAB tool was used for model cre-
	al.			ation by the authors and we propose to
				use python.

Table: Literature Survey I



Literature Survey II

Ref	Author	Year	Algorithm	Findings
[6]	F. Mer-	2017	Hoeffding	They used WEKA tool for analysis and
	caldo et		Tree:	prediction but they did not mention the
	al.		76%	pre-processing steps.
[7]	A. Mo-	2017	CNN: NA	Used Convolution Neural Network and
	hebbi, et			the data-set used for training the model
	al.			was small.
[3]	J Pradeep	2015	J48:	They did not mention the data pre-
	and Sami-		73.8%	processing techniques and methodology
	nathan			followed.
[2]	A. Iyer et	2015	NB:	Pre-processing and data-set transforma-
	al.		79.5%	tion was done using WEKA tool and we
				propose to use Python.
[9]	S Nanda	2011	LR: NA	Used only Logistic Regression for predic-
	et al.			tion and the accuracy of their model was
				not mentioned.

Table: Literature Survey II



Overall Design of the Proposed System I

The architectural design for the proposed system is divided into 3 layers:

- Data Layer: Pre-process the data and gain knowledge from it.
- Application Layer: Create, train and test the diabetes prediction model.
- Presentation Layer: Create a GUI (Graphical User Interface) for the user to use the diabetes prediction model.

7/26

Overall Design of the Proposed System II




Figure: Architectural Design for Proposed System



Data-set Specification

S.no	Attribute	Description
1.	Pregnancies	Number of times pregnant.
2.	Glucose	Plasma glucose concentration a 2 hours in an oral glu-
		cose tolerance test.
3.	BloodPressure	Pressure of circulating blood against the walls of blood
		vessels (mm Hg).
4.	SkinThickness	Triceps skin fold thickness (mm).
5.	Insulin	Hormone that lowers the level of glucose in blood (mu
		U/ml).
6.	BMI	Body mass index (weight in $kg/(height in m)^2$).
7.	DiabetesPedigree	A function which scores likelihood of diabetes based on
	Function	family history.
8.	Age	Age (in years).
9.	Outcome	Class variable (0 or 1), $0 = Non Diabetic 1 = Diabetic$
10.	Doctor	Name of Doctor in-charge.
11.	Hospital	Hospital Name.

Table: Data-set Specification



Methodology/Algorithms Used I

Methodology:

- Data Cleaning: Preparing the data-set for analysis and prediction.
 - We dropped columns "doctor" and "hospital" as they were irrelevant for analysis and prediction.
 - The data-set collected has a lot of null values and they have to be handled properly.
 - We replaced all the null values of a particular attribute by the class median.
- Data Visualisation: Represent information and data graphically.
 - We used "seaborn" library to plot "heatmap" and "pairplot" for the data-set.
- Data Analysis: Extracting useful information from data and making the decision based upon the data analyzed.
 - As glucose levels increase the chances of being diabetic increases.
 - As insulin decreases chances of being diabetic increases.



Methodology/Algorithms Used II

Algorithms:

K-Nearest Algorithm:

- It identifies data points that are separated into several classes to predict the classification of a sample point.
- It uses Euclidean distance in order to find nearest neighbors. As shown in formula below: $d(x,y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i y_i)^2}$
- It classifies new points based on similarity measures.

Support Vector Machine:

- It is a linear model for classification as well as regression problems.
- It is used to solve both linear as well as non-linear problems.
- Basically, SVM creates a hyper-plane or a line which separates the data into classes.
- SVM are one of the robust prediction algorithms.
- It is useful in outlier detection.



Methodology/Algorithms Used III

Logistic Regression:

- It is used when target variable is categorical for example: to predict tumor (if 1 then true else if 0 then false). It is used for predictive analysis.
- It can be used for classification algorithms.
- Logistic Regression uses logistic function to model a binary dependent variable as defined below: $f(x) = L/(1 + e^{-k(x-x_0)})$ where,
 - x_0 is the x value of sigmoid midpoint
 - L is curves maximum learning value.
 - k is the steepness of the curve or the logistic growth rate.

Decision Tree:

- It is a classifier in the structure of tree.
- Internal nodes of the decision tree represent features of data-set, branch of tree represents decision rules and leaf nodes of the tree represents the outcome.

Methodology/Algorithms Used IV

Naive Bayes:

- It is mainly used for solving classification problems.
- It predicts the outcome on the basis of the probability of the data and hence it is called as **Probabilistic Classifier**. Naive stands for strong assumption and it uses Bayes theorem which is given as: P(A|B) = P(B|A)P(A)/P(B) where,
 - P(A|B) is **Posterior Probability**: Probability of hypothesis A on the observed event B
 - P(B|A) is **Likelihood Probability**: Probability of evidence given that probability of hypothesis is true.
 - P(A) is **Prior Probability**: Probability of hypothesis before observing the evidence.
 - P(B) is Marginal Probability: Probability of evidence.

Random Forest:

 Random forest is a classifier that contains number of decision trees on various subset of given data-set and takes average to improve the accuracy of prediction. The greater number of tree the greater is the accuracy and it prevents overfitting.

Methodology/Algorithms Used V

Perceptron:

- It is an algorithm used for binary classifiers in Supervised Learning.
- Perceptron is a single layered neural network.
- They consist of four main parts:
 - input values
 - weights
 - bias
 - activation function (It is the function which outputs a small value for small inputs, and a larger value if its inputs exceed a threshold)
 - net sum

Multi-layer Perceptron:

- It classifies data-sets that are not linearly separable.
- It has the same input and output layers of Perceptron but in addition to that it has multiple hidden layers.
- MLP form the basis for all neural networks and they have greatly improved the results of classification as well as regression problems.



Methodology/Algorithms Used VI

Hybrid Model:

- Hybrid Models are an ensemble of different machine learning algorithms.
- They can be used for taking advantage of efficiency of different models on particular sets of data which will in turn improve the accuracy of overall prediction model.
- We used stacking to design our hybrid model.
 - In base layer we will be using algorithms which gave good accuracy after testing.
 - In meta layer we used Logistic Regression as our problem is classification based.

Experimental Results I




Figure: Experimental Results I



Experimental Results II




Figure: Experimental Results II



Experimental Results III




Figure: Experimental Results III



Experimental Results IV






Figure: Experimental Results IV



Performance Evaluation

The performance of the various models trained and used in our project is shown in the graph below:







Comparison with Existing System

The comparison of system with existing system (our base paper [4]) is shown in the graph below:




Figure: Performance Evaluation



Publication Details of Submission of the Work to Journal

Submitted our paper in the Scopus Indexed Journal "Computer









References I



S. Barik, S. Mohanty, S. Mohanty, and D. Singh. Analysis of Prediction Accuracy of Diabetes Using Classifier and

Hybrid Machine Learning Techniques, pages 399–409. 01 2021.



A. Iyer, s. Jeyalatha, and R. Sumbaly. Diagnosis of diabetes using classification mining techniques. International Journal of Data Mining Knowledge Management Process, 5:1-14, 02 2015.



J. p. Kandhasamy and S. Balamurali.

Performance analysis of classifier models to predict diabetes mellitus. Procedia Computer Science, 47:45–51, 12 2015.



J. J. Khanam and S. Foo.

A comparison of machine learning algorithms for diabetes prediction. *ICT Express*, 02 2021.

References II



S. Lekha and S. M.

Real-time non-invasive detection and classification of diabetes using modified convolution neural network.

IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, 22(5):1630–1636, 2018.



F. Mercaldo, V. Nardone, and A. Santone.

Diabetes mellitus affected patients classification and diagnosis through machine learning techniques.

Procedia Computer Science, 112:2519–2528, 12 2017.



A. Mohebbi, T. Aradóttir, A. Johansen, H. Bengtsson, M. Fraccaro, and M. Mørup.

A deep learning approach to adherence detection for type 2 diabetics. In *Proceedings of 2017 39th Annual International Conference of the leee Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, 2017 39th

References III

International Conference of the leee Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (embc), pages 2896–9, United States, 2017. IEEE. 2017 39th Annual International Conference of the leee Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, EMBC 2017; Conference date: 11-07-2017 Through 15-07-2017.



Y. N. and K. SriPreethaa.

Diabetes prediction in healthcare systems using machine learning algorithms on hadoop cluster.

Cluster Computing, 22, 01 2019.



S. Nanda, M. Savvidou, A. Syngelaki, R. Akolekar, and K. Nicolaides. Prediction of gestational diabetes mellitus by maternal factors and biomarkers at 11 to 13 weeks.

Prenatal Diagnosis, 31(2):135 – 141, Feb. 2011.



References IV



Q. Zou, K. Qu, Y. Luo, D. Yin, Y. Ju, and H. Tang. Predicting diabetes mellitus with machine learning techniques. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 9:515, 2018.



May 10, 2021