



Comparison between python fit and Type977 predictions for heat pump \mathbf{LA}_12TU

Parametric Heat Pump calculation

jschmidl

jschmidl

2020/02/25 at: 13:00:35 h





Table 1: Fitted coefficients for the heat pump.

C ((; ; ;	D :::		
Coefficient	Description		
		[kW]	
P_{Q_1}	1^{st} condenser polynomial coefficient	1.7610e + 01	
P_{Q_2}	2^{st} condenser polynomial coefficient	1.8878e + 02	
P_{Q_3}	3^{st} condenser polynomial coefficient	-1.1246e+02	
P_{Q_4}	4^{st} condenser polynomial coefficient	-3.8031e+02	
P_{Q_5}	5^{st} condenser polynomial coefficient	-7.6874e+02	
P_{Q_6}	6^{st} condenser polynomial coefficient	3.4764e + 02	
P_{COP_1}	1 st COP polynomial coefficient	-2.8874e+00	
P_{COP_2}	2^{st} COP polynomial coefficient	5.0360e + 01	
P_{COP_3}	3^{st} COP polynomial coefficient	1.1045e + 02	
P_{COP_4}	4^{st} COP polynomial coefficient	-1.0530e+02	
P_{COP_5}	5^{st} COP polynomial coefficient	-1.3776e+02	
P_{COP_6}	6^{st} COP polynomial coefficient	-4.6494e+02	
\dot{m}_{cond}	1820.00 $[kg/h]$		
\dot{m}_{evap}	5300.89 [kg/h]		
COP_{nom} (A0W35)	3.31		
$Q_{cond,nom}$ (A0W35)	7.77 [kW]		
$Q_{evap,nom}$ (A0W35)	$5.43 \ [kW]$		
$W_{comp,nom}$ (A0W35)	2.35 [kW]		
RMS_{COP}	8.22e - 02		
$RMS_{Q_{cond}}$	4.94e - 01		
$RMS_{W_{comp}}$	1.62e - 01		
Fit model	Average Temperature		

 $Table\ 2:\ Differences\ between\ python\ fit\ and\ Trnsys\ predicitons.\ Number\ of\ analyzed\ data\ points\ :15$

Туре	error- COP_{error}	error- W_{comp}	error- Q_{cond}
	[-]	[W]	[W]
Sum	2.1093	567.6698	6919.0170
Avg	0.1406	37.8447	461.2678
Max	0.1915	68.7223	652.1746