

SpeedyWeather.jl: Reinventing atmospheric general circulation models towards interactivity, extensibility and composability

Milan Klöwer^{1,2¶}, Maximilian Gelbrecht^{3,4}, Daisuke Hotta^{5,6}, Justin Willmert⁷, Simone Silvestri¹, Gregory L Wagner¹, Alistair White^{3,4}, Sam Hatfield⁶, David Meyer⁶, Tom Kimpson^{2,8}, Navid C Constantinou⁹, and Chris Hill¹

¹ Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA ² University of Oxford, UK ³ Technical University of Munich, Germany ⁴ Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Germany ⁵ Japan Meteorological Agency, Tsukuba, Japan ⁶ European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, UK ⁷ University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA ⁸ University of Melbourne, Australia ⁹ Australian National University, Canberra, Australia ¶ Corresponding author

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

SpeedyWeather.jl is a library to simulate and analyse the global atmospheric circulation on the sphere. It implements several 2D and 3D models to solve the primitive equations with and without humidity (Figure 1), the shallow water equations (Figure 2), or the barotropic vorticity equations with spherical harmonics. Several simple parameterizations for unresolved physical processes such as precipitation or the boundary layer are implemented, and new ones can be externally defined and passed as an argument to the model constructor. SpeedyWeather.jl is an intermediate-complexity general circulation model (Kucharski et al., 2013) and research playground with an (almost) everything-flexible attitude. It can be thought of as a conceptual reinvention of the Fortran SPEEDY model (Molteni, 2003) in the Julia programming language (Bezanson et al., 2017).

SpeedyWeather.jl internally uses three sub-modules SpeedyTransforms, RingGrids, and LowerTriangularMatrices to perform spherical harmonic transforms and interpolations between various grids and the spectral space. RingGrids discretize the sphere on iso-latitude rings and the spectral space is defined by the LowerTriangularMatrices of the spherical harmonic coefficients. These three modules are independently usable and therefore make SpeedyWeather.jl, beyond its main purpose of simulating the weather, also a library for the analysis of gridded data on the sphere. Running and analysing simulations can be interactively combined, enhancing user experience and productivity.

The user interface of SpeedyWeather.jl is heavily influenced by the Julia ocean model Oceananigans.jl (Ramadhan et al., 2020). A monolithic interface based on parameter files is avoided in favor of a library-style interface in which users write code to run models rather than merely supplying parameters and input arrays. A model is created bottom-up by first defining the discretization and any non-default model components with its respective parameters. All components are then collected into a single model object, which, once initialized, returns a simulation object that contains the entire model state, work arrays and parameters, that can be run, analysed or changed. While these steps can be written into a script for reproducibility, the same steps can be executed and interacted with one-by-one in Julia's read-evaluate-print loop (REPL). We thereby reach an interactivity far beyond a monolithic interface that is limited to the options provided. At the same time, sensible default arguments enable even inexperienced users to run simulations in just a few lines of code.

44 To be extensible and composable with new model components, SpeedyWeather.jl relies on Julia's
45 multiple dispatch programming paradigm (Bezanson et al., 2017). Every model component is
46 defined as a new type. For example, to define a new way how to calculate the precipitation
47 due to the physical process of large-scale condensation, one would define MyCondensation as a
48 new subtype of AbstractCondensation. One then only needs to extend the initialize! and
49 condensation! functions for this new type. Passing on condensation = MyCondensation()
50 to the model constructor then implements this new model component without the need to
51 branch off or overwrite existing model components. Conceptually similar scientific modelling
52 paradigms have been very successful in the Python-based generic partial differential equation
53 solver Dedalus (Burns et al., 2020) and the Julia ocean model Oceananigans.jl (Ramadhan et
54 al., 2020).




Figure 1: Surface temperature simulated with the primitive equation model in SpeedyWeather.jl. (Figure will be updated)

55 The dynamical core of SpeedyWeather.jl uses established numerics (Bourke, 1972; Hoskins
56 & Simmons, 1975; Simmons et al., 1978; Simmons & Burridge, 1981), widely adopted in
57 numerical weather prediction. It is based on the spherical harmonic transform with a leapfrog-
58 based semi-implicit time integration (Hoskins & Simmons, 1975) and a Robert-Asselin-Williams
59 filter (Amezcuca et al., 2011; Williams, 2011). The spherical harmonic transform is grid-flexible.
60 Any iso-latitude ring-based grid can be used and new grids can be externally defined and
61 passed in as an argument. Many grids are already implemented: the conventional Gaussian
62 grid, a regular longitude-latitude grid, the octahedral Gaussian grid (Malardel et al., 2016), the
63 octahedral Clenshaw-Curtis grid (Hotta & Ujiie, 2018), and the HEALPix grid (Górski et al.,
64 2005). Both SpeedyWeather.jl and its spherical harmonic transform SpeedyTransforms are also
65 number format-flexible. 32-bit single-precision floating-point numbers (Float32) are the default
66 as adopted by other modelling efforts (Nakano et al., 2018; Váňa et al., 2017), but Float64
67 and other custom number formats can be used with a single code basis (M. Klöwer et al., 2020;
68 Milan Klöwer et al., 2022). Julia will compile to the choice of the number format, the grid,
69 and and other model components just-in-time. A simple parallelisation across vertical layers is
70 supported with Julia's multi threading. Output is stored as NetCDF files using NCDatasets.jl.

71 Statement of need

72 SpeedyWeather.jl is a fresh approach to atmospheric models that have been very influential in
73 many areas of scientific and high-performance computing as well as climate change mitigation
74 and adaptation. Most weather, ocean and climate models are written in Fortran and have been

75 developed over decades. From this tradition follows a specific programming style and associated
76 user interface. Running a simulation in Fortran and analysing the data in Python makes it
77 virtually impossible to interact with various model components interactively. Furthermore,
78 data-driven climate modelling (Rasp et al., 2018; Schneider et al., 2023), which replaces
79 existing model components with machine learning is difficult due to the lack of established
80 deep learning frameworks in Fortran (Innes et al., 2019). Let alone online learning, which
81 trains a neural network-based component together with the rest of the model, accounting for
82 interactions between components. Gradients, necessary to optimize training, can be computed
83 with automatic differentiation (Moses & Churavy, 2020), but only if differentiable functions in
84 a coherent language framework are provided.

85 We hope to provide with SpeedyWeather.jl a first test platform for data-driven atmospheric
86 modelling and in general an interactive model that makes difficult problems easy to simulate.
87 Climate models that are user-friendly, trainable, but also easily extensible will suddenly make
88 many complex research ideas possible.




Figure 2: Relative vorticity simulated with the shallow water model in SpeedyWeather.jl. The simulation used a spectral resolution of T1023 (about 20km) and Float32 arithmetic on an octahedral Clenshaw-Curtis grid (Hotta & Ujiie, 2018). Relative vorticity is visualised with Matplotlib (Hunter, 2007) and Cartopy (Met Office, 2010 - 2015) using a transparent-to-white colormap to mimic the appearance of clouds. Underlain is NASA's blue marble from June 2004.

Acknowledgements

89 We acknowledge contributions from Mosè Giordano, Valentin Churavy, and Pietro Monticone
90 who have also committed to the SpeedyWeather.jl repository, and the wider Julia community
91 for help and support. MK acknowledges funding from the National Science Foundation (Chris
92 please add). MK and TK acknowledge funding from the European Research Council under the
93 European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme for the ITHACA grant (no.
94 741112). NCC acknowledges support by the Australian Research Council DECRA Fellowship
95 DE210100749.
96

References

97
98 Amezcua, J., Kalnay, E., & Williams, P. D. (2011). The Effects of the RAW Filter on the
99 Climatology and Forecast Skill of the SPEEDY Model. *Monthly Weather Review*, 139(2),
100 608–619. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2010MWR3530.1>

- 101 Bezanson, Jeff., Edelman, Alan., Karpinski, Stefan., & Shah, V. B. (2017). Julia: A Fresh
102 Approach to Numerical Computing. *SIAM Review*, 59(1), 65–98. [https://doi.org/10.1137/](https://doi.org/10.1137/141000671)
103 [141000671](https://doi.org/10.1137/141000671)
- 104 Bourke, W. (1972). An Efficient, One-Level, Primitive-Equation Spectral Model. *Monthly*
105 *Weather Review*, 100(9), 683–689. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1972\)100%](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1972)100%3C0683:AEOPSM%3E2.3.CO;2)
106 [3C0683:AEOPSM%3E2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1972)100%3C0683:AEOPSM%3E2.3.CO;2)
- 107 Burns, K. J., Vasil, G. M., Oishi, J. S., Lecoanet, D., & Brown, B. P. (2020). Dedalus:
108 A flexible framework for numerical simulations with spectral methods. *Physical Review*
109 *Research*, 2(2), 023068. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.023068>
- 110 Górski, K. M., Hivon, E., Banday, A. J., Wandelt, B. D., Hansen, F. K., Reinecke, M., &
111 Bartelmann, M. (2005). HEALPix: A Framework for High-Resolution Discretization and
112 Fast Analysis of Data Distributed on the Sphere. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 622(2), 759.
113 <https://doi.org/10.1086/427976>
- 114 Hoskins, B. J., & Simmons, A. J. (1975). A multi-layer spectral model and the semi-implicit
115 method. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 101(429), 637–655.
116 <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49710142918>
- 117 Hotta, D., & Ujiie, M. (2018). A nestable, multigrid-friendly grid on a sphere for global
118 spectral models based on Clenshaw–Curtis quadrature. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal*
119 *Meteorological Society*, 144(714), 1382–1397. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3282>
- 120 Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. *Computing in Science &*
121 *Engineering*, 9(3), 90–95. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55>
- 122 Innes, M., Edelman, A., Fischer, K., Rackauckas, C., Saba, E., Shah, V. B., & Tebbutt, W.
123 (2019). A Differentiable Programming System to Bridge Machine Learning and Scientific
124 Computing (No. arXiv:1907.07587). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1907.07587>
- 125 Klöwer, M., Düben, P. D., & Palmer, T. N. (2020). Number formats, error mitigation, and
126 scope for 16-bit arithmetics in weather and climate modeling analyzed with a shallow
127 water model. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 12(10), e2020MS002246.
128 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002246>
- 129 Klöwer, Milan, Hatfield, S., Croci, M., Düben, P. D., & Palmer, T. N. (2022). Fluid
130 Simulations Accelerated With 16 Bits: Approaching 4x Speedup on A64FX by Squeezing
131 ShallowWaters.jl Into Float16. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 14(2),
132 e2021MS002684. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002684>
- 133 Kucharski, F., Molteni, F., King, M. P., Farneti, R., Kang, I.-S., & Feudale, L. (2013). On
134 the Need of Intermediate Complexity General Circulation Models: A “SPEEDY” Example.
135 *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 94(1), 25–30. [https://doi.org/10.1175/](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00238.1)
136 [BAMS-D-11-00238.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00238.1)
- 137 Malardel, S., Wedi, N., Deconinck, N., Diamantakis, M., Kuehnlein, C., Mozdzyński, G.,
138 Hamrud, M., & Smolarkiewicz, P. (2016). A new grid for the IFS. In *ECMWF Newsletter*.
139 <https://www.ecmwf.int/node/15041>.
- 140 Met Office. (2010 - 2015). *Cartopy: A cartographic python library with a matplotlib interface*.
141 <https://scitools.org.uk/cartopy>
- 142 Molteni, F. (2003). Atmospheric simulations using a GCM with simplified physical param-
143 etrizations. I: Model climatology and variability in multi-decadal experiments. *Climate*
144 *Dynamics*, 20(2), 175–191. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-002-0268-2>
- 145 Moses, W., & Churavy, V. (2020). Instead of Rewriting Foreign Code for Machine Learning,
146 Automatically Synthesize Fast Gradients. *Advances in Neural Information Processing*
147 *Systems*, 33, 12472–12485.

- 148 Nakano, M., Yashiro, H., Kodama, C., & Tomita, H. (2018). Single Precision in the Dynamical
149 Core of a Nonhydrostatic Global Atmospheric Model: Evaluation Using a Baroclinic
150 Wave Test Case. *Monthly Weather Review*, 146(2), 409–416. [https://doi.org/10.1175/
151 MWR-D-17-0257.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-17-0257.1)
- 152 Ramadhan, A., Wagner, G. L., Hill, C., Campin, J.-M., Churavy, V., Besard, T., Souza,
153 A., Edelman, A., Ferrari, R., & Marshall, J. (2020). Oceananigans.jl: Fast and friendly
154 geophysical fluid dynamics on GPUs. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 5(53), 2018.
155 <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02018>
- 156 Rasp, S., Pritchard, M. S., & Gentine, P. (2018). Deep learning to represent subgrid processes
157 in climate models. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(39), 9684–9689.
- 158 Schneider, T., Behera, S., Boccaletti, G., Deser, C., Emanuel, K., Ferrari, R., Leung, L. R., Lin,
159 N., Müller, T., Navarra, A., Ndiaye, O., Stuart, A., Tribbia, J., & Yamagata, T. (2023).
160 Harnessing AI and computing to advance climate modelling and prediction. *Nature Climate
161 Change*, 13(9), 887–889. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01769-3>
- 162 Simmons, A. J., & Burridge, D. M. (1981). An Energy and Angular-Momentum Conserving Ver-
163 tical Finite-Difference Scheme and Hybrid Vertical Coordinates. *Monthly Weather Review*,
164 109(4), 758–766. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1981\)109%3C0758:AEAAMC%3E2.
165 0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1981)109%3C0758:AEAAMC%3E2.0.CO;2)
- 166 Simmons, A. J., Hoskins, B. J., & Burridge, D. M. (1978). Stability of the Semi-Implicit
167 Method of Time Integration. *Monthly Weather Review*, 106(3), 405–412. [https://doi.org/
168 10.1175/1520-0493\(1978\)106%3C0405:SOTSIM%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1978)106%3C0405:SOTSIM%3E2.0.CO;2)
- 169 Váňa, F., Düben, P., Lang, S., Palmer, T., Leutbecher, M., Salmond, D., & Carver, G. (2017).
170 Single Precision in Weather Forecasting Models: An Evaluation with the IFS. *Monthly
171 Weather Review*, 145(2), 495–502. <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-16-0228.1>
- 172 Williams, P. D. (2011). The RAW Filter: An Improvement to the Robert–Asselin Filter
173 in Semi-Implicit Integrations. *Monthly Weather Review*, 139(6), 1996–2007. [https:
174 //doi.org/10.1175/2010MWR3601.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/2010MWR3601.1)