

Assignment boo boo's

```
class A
{
    std::vector<int> v_;
    std::string s_;
public:
    A& operator=(A a) {
        swap(a);
        return *this;
    }
};
```

- Strong exception safety is good, but it is not free.
- Do not pay for it (performance) if you do not need it.

Assignment boo boo's

```
class A
{
    std::vector<int> v_;
    std::string s_;
public:
    A& operator=(A a) {
        swap(a);
        return *this;
    }
};
```

Assignment boo boo's

```
class A
{
    std::vector<int> v_;
    std::string s_;
public:
    A& operator=(A a) {
        swap(a);
        return *this;
    }
};
```

- The fatal assumption here is that:
 $A\& operator=(const A\&) = \text{default};$
is always about the same speed as:
 $A(\text{const } A\&) = \text{default};$

Assignment boo boo's

```
class A
{
    std::vector<int> v_;
    std::string s_;
public:
    A& operator=(const A& a) = default;
    A& operator=(A&& a) = default;
};
```

Assignment boo boo's

```
class A
{
    std::vector<int> v_;
    std::string s_;
public:
    A& operator=(const A& a) = default;
    A& operator=(A&& a) = default;
};
```

- This copy assignment can be much faster! (2X, 5X, even 7X)

Assignment boo boo's

```
class A
{
    std::vector<int> v_;
    std::string s_;
public:
    A& operator=(const A& a) = default;
    A& operator=(A&& a) = default;
};
```

- This copy assignment can be much faster! (2X, 5X, even 7X)
- This move assignment is just as fast.

Assignment boo boo's

```
class A
{
    std::vector<int> v_;
    std::string s_;
public:
    A& operator=(const A& a) = default;
    A& operator=(A&& a) = default;
};
```

Assignment boo boo's

```
class A
{
    std::vector<int> v_;
    std::string s_;
public:
    A& operator=(const A& a) = default;
    A& operator=(A&& a) = default;
};
```

- Prefer defaulted assignment operators.

Outline

- The rvalue reference
- Move Semantics
- Factory Functions
- More rvalue ref rules
- “Perfect” forwarding

Outline

- The rvalue reference
- Move Semantics
- Factory Functions
- More rvalue ref rules
- “Perfect” forwarding

Return By Value

```
A  
make()  
{  
    A a;  
    // ...  
    return a;  
}
```

Return By Value

```
A  
make()  
{  
    A a;  
    // ...  
    return a; // 1. RVO.  
}
```

Return By Value

```
A  
make()  
{  
    A a;  
    // ...  
    return a; // 1. RVO.  
}           // 2. Return as if an rvalue.
```

Return By Value

```
A  
make()  
{  
    A a;  
    // ...  
    return a; // 1. RVO.  
} // 2. Return as if an rvalue.  
   // 3. Return as if an lvalue.
```

- Move semantics makes factory functions efficient.

Return By Value

```
A&&  
make()  
{  
    A a;  
    // ...  
    return a;  
}
```

Return By Value

```
A&& // Wrong!!  
make()  
{  
    A a;  
    // ...  
    return a;  
}
```

- Do not return a reference to a local object.
- Not even an rvalue reference.

Return By Value

```
A  
make()  
{  
    A a;  
    // ...  
    return std::move(a);  
}
```

Return By Value

```
A  
make()  
{  
    A a;  
    // ...  
    return std::move(a); // Wrong!!  
}
```

- Explicitly using `std::move` will inhibit RVO.

Return By Value

```
template <class ...T>
ifstream
prepare(const string& filename,
       const T& ...t)
{
    create(filename, t...);
    return ifstream(filename);
}
```

- Now you can return “move-only” types from factory functions.

Return a Modified Copy

```
A  
modify(A a)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a;  
}
```

Return a Modified Copy

```
A
modify(A a)
{
    a.modify();
    return a; // 1. Return as if an rvalue.
} // 2. Return as if an lvalue.
```

- Returning a **by-value** parameter **by-value** will also implicitly move.

Return a Modified Copy

```
A  
modify(const A& a)  
{  
    A tmp(a);  
    tmp.modify();  
    return tmp;  
}
```

```
A  
modify(A a)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a;  
}
```

Return a Modified Copy

```
A  
modify(const A& a)  
{  
    A tmp(a);  
    tmp.modify();  
    return tmp;  
}
```

```
A  
modify(A a)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a;  
}
```

- There has been a lot of talk lately about which of these designs is “better”

Return a Modified Copy

```
A  
modify(const A& a)  
{  
    A tmp(a);  
    tmp.modify();  
    return tmp;  
}
```

```
A  
modify(A a)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a;  
}
```

- There has been a lot of talk lately about which of these designs is “better”
- Today we will measure and provide quantitative results.

Return a Modified Copy

```
A  
modify(const A& a)  
{  
    A tmp(a);  
    tmp.modify();  
    return tmp;  
}
```

```
A  
modify(A a)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a;  
}
```

Return a Modified Copy

```
A  
modify(const A& a)  
{  
    A tmp(a);  
    tmp.modify();  
    return tmp;  
}
```

```
A  
modify(A a)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a;  
}
```

- How many copy constructions?
- How many move constructions?

Return a Modified Copy

```
A  
modify(const A& a)  
{  
    A tmp(a);  
    tmp.modify();  
    return tmp;  
}
```

```
A  
modify(A a)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a;  
}
```

Return a Modified Copy

```
A  
modify(const A& a)  
{  
    A tmp(a);  
    tmp.modify();  
    return tmp;  
}
```

```
A  
modify(A a)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a;  
}
```

- How does the value category (lvalue/rvalue) of the argument impact the results?
- Is the answer different in C++03 than in C++11?

Return a Modified Copy

in C++03

```
A  
modify(const A& a)  
{  
    A tmp(a);  
    tmp.modify();  
    return tmp;  
}
```

Return a Modified Copy

in C++03

A

```
modify(const A& a)
```

```
{
```

```
    A tmp(a);
```

```
    tmp.modify();
```

```
    return tmp;
```

```
}
```

here

Assuming RVO
for all cases

- lvalue: l copy construction
- rvalue: l copy construction

Return a Modified Copy

C++03	lvalue	rvalue
const A&	copy	copy
A		

Return a Modified Copy

C++03	lvalue	rvalue
const A&	l copy	l copy
A		

- Keep score here

Return a Modified Copy

in C++03

```
A  
modify(A a)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a;  
}
```

Return a Modified Copy

in C++03

```
A  
modify(A a)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a;  
}
```

Return a Modified Copy

in C++03

```
A  
modify(A a) ← here (lvalue only)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a; ← here  
}
```

- lvalue: 2 copy constructions
- rvalue: 1 copy construction

Return a Modified Copy

C++03	lvalue	rvalue
const A&	1 copy	1 copy
A	2 copies	1 copy

Return a Modified Copy

C++03	lvalue	rvalue
const A&	1 copy	1 copy
A	2 copies	1 copy

- const A& is usually better in C++03

Return a Modified Copy

C++03	lvalue	rvalue
const A&	1 copy	1 copy
A	2 copies	1 copy

- `const A&` is usually better in C++03
- (perhaps except when `A` is small and trivial)

Return a Modified Copy

in C++11

```
A  
modify(const A& a)  
{  
    A tmp(a);  
    tmp.modify();  
    return tmp;  
}
```

Return a Modified Copy

in C++11

A

```
modify(const A& a)
{
    A tmp(a); ← here
    tmp.modify();
    return tmp;
}
```

- lvalue: l copy construction
- xvalue: l copy construction
- prvalue: l copy construction

Return a Modified Copy

C++11	lvalue	xvalue	prvalue
const A&	l copy	l copy	l copy
A			

Return a Modified Copy

in C++11

```
A  
modify(A a)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a;  
}
```

Return a Modified Copy

in C++11

```
A  
modify(A a)  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a;  
}
```

Return a Modified Copy

in C++11

```
A  
modify(A a) ← copy lvalue here  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a; ← move here  
}
```

- lvalue: 1 copy construction + 1 move construction

Return a Modified Copy

in C++11

```
A  
modify(A a) ← copy lvalue here  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a; ← move here  
}
```

- lvalue: 1 copy construction + 1 move construction
- xvalue: 2 move constructions

Return a Modified Copy

in C++11

```
A  
modify(A a) ← copy lvalue here  
{  
    a.modify();  
    return a; ← move here  
}
```

- lvalue: 1 copy construction + 1 move construction
- xvalue: 2 move constructions
- prvalue: 1 move construction

Return a Modified Copy

C++11	lvalue	xvalue	prvalue
const A&	l copy	l copy	l copy
A	l copy l move	2 moves	l move

Return a Modified Copy

C++11	lvalue	xvalue	prvalue
const A&	l copy	l copy	l copy
A	l copy l move	2 moves	l move

- Pass by value is better in C++11 if move is much faster than copy, and if the argument is not always an lvalue.

Return a Modified Copy

in C++11

```
A  
modify(const A& a)  
{  
    A tmp(a);  
    tmp.modify();  
    return tmp;  
}
```

Return a Modified Copy

in C++11

```
A           A
modify(const A& a) modify(A&& a)
{
    A tmp(a);
    tmp.modify();
    return tmp;
}
```

- Consider overloading
on rvalue reference.

Return a Modified Copy

in C++11

```
A           A
modify(const A& a) modify(A&& a)
{
    A tmp(a);
    tmp.modify();
    return tmp;
}
```

Return a Modified Copy

in C++11

A

```
modify(const A& a)
{
    A tmp(a);
    tmp.modify();
    return tmp;
}
```

A

```
modify(A&& a)
{
    a.modify();
    return std::move(tmp);
}
```

- lvalue: l copy construction
- xvalue: l move construction
- prvalue: l move construction

Return a Modified Copy

C++11	lvalue	xvalue	prvalue
const A&	I copy	I copy	I copy
A	I copy I move	2 moves	I move
const A& + A&&	I copy	I move	I move

Return a Modified Copy

C++11	lvalue	xvalue	prvalue
const A&	I copy	I copy	I copy
A	I copy I move	2 moves	I move
const A& + A&&	I copy	I move	I move

- If you pass by reference (and have fast moves), overload on rvalue reference.

Return a Modified Copy

C++11	lvalue	xvalue	prvalue
const A&	I copy	I copy	I copy
A	I copy I move	2 moves	I move
const A& + A&&	I copy	I move	I move

- If you pass by reference (and have fast moves), overload on rvalue reference.
- This ideal may or may not be worth overloading.

Return a Modified Copy

C++11	lvalue	xvalue	prvalue
const A&	I copy	I copy	I copy
A	I copy I move	2 moves	I move
const A& + A&&	I copy	I move	I move

- Take away: If you hear: “always pass by value” or “never pass by value”, you’re getting bad information.

Return a Modified Copy

C++11	lvalue	xvalue	prvalue
const A&	I copy	I copy	I copy
A	I copy I move	2 moves	I move
const A& + A&&	I copy	I move	I move

- Take away: If you hear: “always pass by value” or “never pass by value”, you’re getting bad information.
- You are not excused from the design process.

Outline

- The rvalue reference
- Move Semantics
- Factory Functions
- More rvalue ref rules
- “Perfect” forwarding

Outline

- The rvalue reference
- Move Semantics
- Factory Functions
- More rvalue ref rules
- “Perfect” forwarding

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

Consider: f<int&>(i); T is int&

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

Consider: `f<int&>(i);` T is int&

This calls: `f<int&>(int& & t);`

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

Consider: `f<int&>(i);` `T` is `int&`

This calls: `f<int&>(int& & t);`

Which
collapses to:
`f<int&>(int& t);`

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

Consider: `f<int&&>(i);` `T` is `int&&`

This calls:

Which
collapses to:

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

Consider: `f<int&&>(i);` `T` is `int&&`

This calls: `f<int&&>(int&& & t);`

Which
collapses to:

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

Consider: `f<int&&>(i);` `T` is `int&&`

This calls: `f<int&&>(int&& & t);`

Which
collapses to:
`f<int&&>(int& t);`

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

Consider: `f<int&>(i);` `T` is `int&`

This calls:

Which
collapses to:

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

Consider: `f<int&>(i);` T is int&

This calls: `f<int&>(int& && t);`

Which
collapses to:

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

Consider: `f<int&>(i);` `T` is `int&`

This calls: `f<int&>(int& && t);`

Which
collapses to:
`f<int&>(int& t);`

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

Consider: `f<int&&>(2);` `T` is `int&&`

This calls:

Which
collapses to:

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

Consider: `f<int&&>(2);` `T` is `int&&`

This calls: `f<int&&>(int&& && t);`

Which
collapses to:

Reference Collapsing

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

Consider: `f<int&&>(2);` `T` is `int&&`

This calls: `f<int&&>(int&& && t);`

Which
collapses to:
`f<int&&>(int&& t);`

Reference Collapsing

This

Collapses to this

Reference Collapsing

This

Collapses to this

T& &

T&

T& &&

T&

T&& &

T&

T&& &&

T&&

The Set Up

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

- What is the declared type of t?

The Set Up

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

- What is the declared type of t?
- A reference.

The Set Up

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

- What is the declared type of t?
- An lvalue reference.

The Set Up

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

- What is the declared type of t?
- A non-const lvalue reference.

The Set Up

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

- What is the declared type of t?
 - A non-const lvalue reference.

```
void g(const int& i)
{
    f(i); // f<const int>(const int& t);
```

The Set Up

```
template <class T>
void
f(T& t);
```

- What is the declared type of t?
- A lvalue reference.

Just because it looks like `T&`, you can not assume it is a non-const lvalue reference.

Special Deduction for rvalue reference

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

Special Deduction for rvalue reference

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

Just because it looks like `T&&`, you can
not assume it is an rvalue reference.

Special Deduction for rvalue reference

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

```
f(3); // f<int>(int&& t);
```

t is an rvalue
reference to int

Just because it looks like T&&, you can
not assume it is an rvalue reference.

Special Deduction for rvalue reference

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

```
f(3); // f<int>(int&& t); t is an rvalue
reference to int
```

```
f(i); // f<int&>(int& && t);
```

Just because it looks like `T&&`, you can
not assume it is an rvalue reference.

Special Deduction for rvalue reference

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

```
f(3); // f<int>(int&& t); t is an rvalue  
reference to int
```

```
f(i); // f<int&>(int& t); t is an lvalue  
reference to int
```

Just because it looks like `T&&`, you can not assume it is an rvalue reference.

Special Deduction for rvalue reference

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

Special Deduction for rvalue reference

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

- The “T&&” template pattern is special.

Special Deduction for rvalue reference

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

- The “T&&” template pattern is special.
- “const T&&” does not behave this way.

Special Deduction for rvalue reference

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

- The “T&&” template pattern is special.
- “const T&&” does not behave this way.
- If the argument is an lvalue A, T deduces as A&, otherwise T deduces as A.

Special Deduction for rvalue reference

```
template <class T>
void
f(T&& t);
```

- The “T&&” template pattern is special.
- “const T&&” does not behave this way.
- If the argument is an lvalue A, T deduces as A&, otherwise T deduces as A.
- cv-qualifiers will also deduce into T just as in the T& case.

Outline

- The rvalue reference
- Move Semantics
- Factory Functions
- More rvalue ref rules
- “Perfect” forwarding

Outline

- The rvalue reference
- Move Semantics
- Factory Functions
- More rvalue ref rules
- “Perfect” forwarding

The Forwarding Problem

The Forwarding Problem

- A forwarding function forwards one or more arguments to some other function.

The Forwarding Problem

- A forwarding function forwards one or more arguments to some other function.
- A forwarding function should preserve cv-qualifiers and value category.

The Forwarding Problem

- A forwarding function forwards one or more arguments to some other function.
- A forwarding function should preserve cv-qualifiers and value category.
- If the destination function is overloaded on cv-qualifiers or value category, getting the forwarding wrong can be catastrophic.

The Forwarding Problem

```
template <class T, class U>
void f(T& t, U& u);
```

The Forwarding Problem

```
template <class T, class U>
void f(T& t, U& u);
```

```
template <class T, class U>
void g(T& t, U& u)
{f(t,u);}
```

```
int i = 2;
const int j = 3;
g(i, j);
```

Good!

f sees: t is an lvalue int,
u is an lvalue const int

The Forwarding Problem

```
template <class T, class U>
void f(T& t, U& u);
```

```
template <class T, class U>
void g(T& t, U& u)
{f(t,u);}
```

```
int i = 2;
const int j = 3;
g(i, j);
```

Good!

f sees: t is an lvalue int,
u is an lvalue const int

But: g(i, 3); // Doesn't compile!

The Forwarding Problem

```
template <class T, class U>
void f(T& t, U& u);
```

```
int i = 2;
const int j = 3;
g(i, j);
```

f sees:

```
g(i, 3);
```

The Forwarding Problem

```
template <class T, class U>
void f(T& t, U& u);
```

```
template <class T, class U>
void g(const T& t, const U& u)
{f(t,u);}
```

```
int i = 2;
const int j = 3;
g(i, j);
```

But const
unnecessarily
added.

f sees: t is an lvalue const int,
u is an lvalue const int

Now: g(i, 3); // Compiles!

The Forwarding Problem

The Forwarding Problem

```
template <class T, class U>
void g(T& t, U& u)
{f(t,u);}
```

```
template <class T, class U>
void g(const T& t, U& u)
{f(t,u);}
```

```
template <class T, class U>
void g(T& t, const U& u)
{f(t,u);}
```

```
template <class T, class U>
void g(const T& t, const U& u)
{f(t,u);}
```

The Forwarding Problem

Too Many Overloads!!!

The Forwarding Solution

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void f(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v);
```

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(t, u, v);
}
```

```
const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());
```

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
u is an lvalue A
v is an lvalue A

v should be
an rvalue



The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      u,
      v);
}

const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());
```

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      u,
      v);
}

const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());
```

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      u,
      v);
}

const A i = A(); T is const A&
A j;
g(i, j, A());
```

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>{t}, const A&
        u,
        v);
}

const A i = A(); T is const A&
A j;
g(i, j, A());
```

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      u,
      v);
}

const A i = A(); T is const A&
A j;           T&& is const A&
g(i, j, A());
```

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      u,
      v);
}

const A i = A(); T is const A&
A j;           T&& is const A&
g(i, j, A());
```

f sees: t is an lvalue const A ✓

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u),
      v);
}

const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());
```

f sees: t is an lvalue const A

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u),
      v);
}

const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());
```

f sees: t is an lvalue const A

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u),
      v);
}
```

```
const A i = A();
A j;                      U is A&
g(i, j, A());
```

f sees: t is an lvalue const A

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u)&
      v);
}

const A i = A();
A j;                      U is A&
g(i, j, A());
```

f sees: t is an lvalue const A

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u),
      v);
}

const A i = A();
A j;                      U is A&
g(i, j, A());             U&& is A&

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
```

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u),
      v);
}

const A i = A();
A j;                      U is A&
g(i, j, A());             U&& is A&

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
          u is an lvalue A   ✓
```

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u),
      static_cast<V&&>(v));
}

const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());
```

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
u is an lvalue A

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u),
      static_cast<V&&>(v));
}

const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());
```

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
u is an lvalue A

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u),
      static_cast<V&&>(v));
}

const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());           V is A
```

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
u is an lvalue A

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u),
      static_cast<V&&>(v));
}

const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());                                V is A
```

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
u is an lvalue A

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u),
      static_cast<V&&>(v));
}

const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());                                V is A
                                                V&& is A&&

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
        u is an lvalue A
```

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u),
      static_cast<V&&>(v));
}

const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());                                V is A
                                                V&& is A&&

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
        u is an lvalue A
        v is an rvalue A
```

✓

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(static_cast<T&&>(t),
      static_cast<U&&>(u),
      static_cast<V&&>(v));
}

const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());                                Perfect!
```

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
u is an lvalue A
v is an rvalue A

The Forwarding Solution

```
const A i = A();  
A j;  
g(i, j, A());
```

Perfect!

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
u is an lvalue A
v is an rvalue A

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class T, class U, class V>
void g(T&& t, U&& u, V&& v) {
    f(std::forward<T>(t),
      std::forward<U>(u),           Use
      std::forward<V>(v));         std::forward
}                                         for better
                                         readability.
                                         Perfect!
```

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
u is an lvalue A
v is an rvalue A

The Forwarding Solution

```
const A i = A();  
A j;  
g(i, j, A());
```

Perfect!

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
u is an lvalue A
v is an rvalue A

The Forwarding Solution

```
template <class ...T>
void g(T&& ...t) {
    f(std::forward<T>(t)...);
}
```

```
const A i = A();
A j;
g(i, j, A());
```

Perfect!
And easy!

f sees: t is an lvalue const A
u is an lvalue A
v is an rvalue A

Summary

- The rvalue reference has been introduced to support:
 - Move semantics.
 - Perfect forwarding.

