Sonntag, 14. Mai 2023 20:00

Author: Damir Ljubic e-mail: damirlj@yahoo.com

Run-time implementation

I've tried to emulate the vtable mechanism that is embedded into compiler/linker implementation. The first attempt was to use the very same virtual dispatching that we tried to mimic

https://github.com/damirlj/modern_cpp_tutorials/blob/main/docs/vtable.pdf

Better way - Compile-time implementation

But, there is a better way: we can implement the vtable at compile-time.

The basic idea is to use the **std::tuple as heterogenous container** - to store the vmethods of a different signatures at the point of the vtable construction - we don't need to dynamically add them, since they are anyway known at the compile-time.

The gotcha with std::tuple is that it can store only distinguished types.

On the other hand, we can have the **vmethods of a same signature**.

To overcome this issue, we need to wrap our signature into **strong type**: as the way to represent the same underlying types as the unique one.

@Disclaimer Jonathan Baccara has a fantastic series on this topic

 $\underline{\text{https://www.fluentcpp.com/2016/12/08/strong-types-for-strong-interfaces/}}$

and the code is available at

https://github.com/joboccara/NamedType

Implementation

What has been changed, in compare with the run-time implementation?

First, instead of type erasure and *std::any*, we introduced the parameterized interface that stores the **vmethod index** and the **signature** together

```
template <typename Func>
struct IndexedMethod
{
    using method_type = Func;
    std::size_t m_index;
    method_type m_func;
};
```

Consequently, we changed the vtable implementation as well

```
template <typename...Fs>
class vtable
    public:
        * C-tor
        * Construct the vtable, since the all vmethods are known to compiler upfront
        * We don't need mechanism to dynamically add them
        template <typename Func>
        using indexed_method_type = IndexedMethod<Func>;
        constexpr vtable (const indexed_method_type<Fs>&...vmethods) noexcept :
                         m_vtable(std::make_tuple(vmethods...))
         {}
         constexpr vtable (indexed_method_type<Fs>&&...vmethods) noexcept :
                         m_vtable(std::make_tuple(std::move(vmethods)...))
         {}
         template <typename Func>
        constexpr auto get(std::size_t index) const
              return getImpl<Func>(index, std::make_index_sequence<sizeof...(Fs)>{});
        template <typename Func>
        constexpr void set(Func&& func, std::size t index) noexcept
             setImpl(std::forward<Func>(func), index, std::make index sequence<sizeof...(Fs)>{});
```

```
private:
         template <typename Func, std::size t...Is>
         constexpr std::optional<Func> getImpl(std::size t index, std::index sequence<Is...>) const noexcept
             std::optional<Func> method;
             auto find = [index, &method] (const auto & vmethod)
                 if (vmethod,m index ==index) method = vmethod,m func.get(); // We store Method within the StrongType
             1:
             ( find(std::get<Is>(m vtable)),...);
             return method;
          template <typename Func, std::size t...Is>
          constexpr void setImpl(Func&& func, std::size t index, std::index_sequence<Is...>) noexcept
              auto findAndSet = [index, method = std::forward<Func>(func)](auto& vmethod)
                  if (vmethod.m index == index)
                      vmethod.m func.emplace(method); // Override the Method in StrongType
              3:
              ( findAndSet(std::get<Is>(m vtable)),...);
   private
          std::tuple<indexed method type<Fs>...> m vtable;
1:
The generic vmethod implementation is also modified, to be entirely compile-time constructible
  // vmethod generic representation
 template <typename Func>
 class Method
      public:
            constexpr explicit Method(const Func& func) noexcept: m_func(func) {}
            constexpr explicit Method(Func&& func) noexcept: m func(std::move(func)) {}
            template <typename T, typename R, typename...Args>
            using method_const_type = R (T::*)(Args...)const;
            template <typename T, typename R, typename...Args>
            using method type = R( T::*) (Args...);
            template <typename T, typename R, typename...Args>
            constexpr Method(T*obj, method const type<T, R, Args...> method) noexcept:
               m func([=](Args&&...args)
                          return std::invoke(method, obj, std::forward<Args>(args)...);
                      1)
            (1)
            template <typename T, typename R, typename...Args>
            constexpr Method(T* obj, method_type<T, R, Args...>method) noexcept:
               m func([=](Args&&...args)
                          return std::invoke(method, obj, std::forward<Args>(args)...);
                      })
            {}
            // Implicit conversion operator
            constexpr operator Func() const & { return m func; }
            [[nodiscard]] constexpr Func& get() const & { return m func; }
      private:
            Func m func;
```

Having the class A, that introduces the vmethod A::f(), we can add into our vtable

```
A() noexcept: m vtable(
                        details::IndexedMethod <af type>
                            .m_index = A_f_ind,
.m_func = details::Method<std::function<void(int)>>(this, &A::f_default)
{}
Where A::f_default() is the default vmethod implementation.
@note In case of the "pure" vmethod, we could construct our vmethod with nullptr
.m func = details::Method<std::function<void(int)>>(nullptr)
We call the <u>derived class implementation</u> (if any) through the base class interface as
void A::f(int value) const
    using method_type = std::function<void(int)>;
    const auto vmethod = m vtable.get<method type>(A::A f ind);
    // "overridden" A::f() method
    // If this is a "pure" vmethod not being overridden - i.e. *vmethod is nullptr: std::bad function call exception
    // will be thrown
    if ( vmethod.has_value())[[likely]]
         (*vmethod) (value);
We "override" the base class implementation as
explicit B(int value) noexcept: m value(value)
    // "Override" virtual method A::f()
    m_vtable.set<std::function<void(int)>>(
                 details::Method<std::function<void(int)>>(this, &B::f),
```

Code

The entire code is available at: https://godbolt.org/z/4TG8GxzoW

A::A_f_ind