Bridge design pattern

Freitag, 19. Mai 2023 15:17

Intro

In short, Bridge design pattern is

- Structural design pattern that
- Separates the Abstraction from the concreate Implementation, so that
 these two can vary independently, in two separate hierarchies.
 It can be seen as pimpl idiom, since it hides the implementation details at client side.

Usage example

We can define the universal factory method as

Author: Damir Ljubic

e-mail: damirlj@yahoo.com

For the sake of arguments, let's define the Implementation common interface - using run-time virtual dispatching

```
struct IImplementation
{
    virtual ~IImplementation() = default;

    // Implementation common interface
    virtual void f() = 0;
};
```

Like in the chess, where we have different variations for the same opening, let's assume that the implementation hierarchy is known at compile time.

We can model the Abstraction as a class template - with an <u>Implementation policy</u>, as the way to inject the concreate implementation at compile-time: at client customization point side.

disclaimer: regarding the **static polymorphism** - more on that subject https://github.com/damirlj/modern_cpp_tutorials/tree/main#tut6

This is our Abstraction, with its own hierarchy, that can vary independently from Implementation hierarchy.

```
template <typename Implementation>
struct Abstraction
{
    virtual ~Abstraction() = default;

    // Abstraction common interface

    virtual void g() = 0;
    virtual void h() = 0;
```

```
explicit Abstraction(std::unique ptr<Implementation> pimpl) noexcept:
         m pimpl(std::move(pimpl))
    template <typename...Args>
    explicit Abstraction (Args&&...args) noexcept:
        m_pimpl(details::Factory<Implementation>::create(std::forward<Args>(args)...))
   protected:
       std::unique ptr<Implementation> m pimpl;
};
Well, that's it - more than less everything what we need.
In Implementation branch, we can have then something like
// Concreate implementations
struct A1 : IImplementation
   void f() override { puts("A1::f()"); }
struct A2 : IImplementation
    explicit A2(int id) noexcept: m id(id) {}
   void f() override { puts("A2::f()"); }
   int get() const { return m id; }
    private:
       int m id;
};
On the client side, we can finally bridge these two as
struct Client1: public Abstraction<A1>
{
   using base = Abstraction<A1>;
   using base::base;
    virtual ~Client1() override = default;
    void g() override
        printFunc();
        m pimpl->f();
        puts("Client1::g()");
    void h() override
        printFunc();
        m pimpl->f();
        puts("Client1::h()");
};
struct Client2: public Abstraction<A2>
    using base = Abstraction<A2>;
   using base::base;// base class c-tors
   virtual ~Client2() override = default;
    void g() override
        printFunc();
        m pimpl->f();// from implementation "borrowed" functionality
```

```
puts("Client2::g()");
    std::cout << "id=" << m_pimpl->get() <<'\n';
}

void h() override
{
    printFunc();

    m_pimpl->f();

    puts("Client2::h()");
    std::cout << "id=" << m_pimpl->get() <<'\n';
};
};</pre>
```

Example

The entire code is also available at: https://godbolt.org/z/doxh136PM