## Bridge design pattern

Freitag, 19. Mai 2023 15:17

## Intro

In short, Bridge design pattern is

- Structural design pattern that
- Separates the Abstraction from the concreate Implementation, so that
  these two can vary independently, in two separate hierarchies.
   It can be seen as pimpl idiom, since it hides the implementation details at client side.

## Usage example

We can define the universal factory method as

```
namespace details
    template <typename T>
    struct Factory final
    {
        /**
            Universal factory method
            Creates the std::unique ptr<T> for an arbitrary arguments list
            Advantage: the c-tor of type T after specialization, can be changed - but
            the factory method remains the same
        template <typename...Args>
        static std::unique ptr<T>create(Args&&...args)
            if constexpr(std::is constructible v<T, Args...>)
                return std::make unique<T>(std::forward<Args>(args)...);
            return nullptr;
       }
    };
```

For the sake of arguments, let's define the Implementation common interface - using run-time virtual dispatching

```
struct IImplementation
{
    virtual ~IImplementation() = default;

    // Implementation common interface
    virtual void f() = 0;
};
```

We introduce the Abstraction as a class template - with an <u>Implementation policy</u>, as the way to inject the concreate implementation at compile-time: at client customization point side.

For the static polymorphism - more on that subject

https://github.com/damirlj/modern\_cpp\_tutorials/tree/main#tut6

This is our Abstraction, with its own hierarchy, that can vary independently from Implementation hierarchy.

```
template <typename Implementation>
struct Abstraction
    virtual ~Abstraction() = default;
    // Abstraction common interface
    virtual void q() = 0;
    virtual void h() = 0;
    explicit Abstraction(std::unique ptr<Implementation> pimpl) noexcept:
         m pimpl(std::move(pimpl))
    {}
    template <typename...Args>
    explicit Abstraction (Args&&...args) noexcept:
         m pimpl(details::Factory<Implementation>::create(std::forward<Args>(args)...))
    {}
    protected:
        std::unique ptr<Implementation> m pimpl;
};
Well, that's it - more than less everything what we need.
In Implementation branch, we can have then something like
// Concreate implementations
struct A1 : IImplementation
    void f() override { puts("A1::f()"); }
struct A2 : IImplementation
    explicit A2(int id) noexcept: m id(id) {}
    void f() override { puts("A2::f()"); }
    int get() const { return m_id; }
    private:
        int m id;
};
On the client side, we can finally bridge these two as
struct Client1: public Abstraction<A1>
    using base = Abstraction<A1>;
   using base::base;
    virtual ~Client1() override = default;
    void g() override
```

```
{
        printFunc();
        m pimpl->f();
        puts("Client1::g()");
    void h() override
        printFunc();
        m pimpl->f();
        puts("Client1::h()");
};
struct Client2: public Abstraction<A2>
   using base = Abstraction<A2>;
   using base::base;// base class c-tors
    virtual ~Client2() override = default;
    void g() override
        printFunc();
        m pimpl->f();// from implementation "borrowed" functionality
        puts("Client2::g()");
        std::cout << "id=" << m pimpl->get() <<'\n';</pre>
    void h() override
        printFunc();
        m pimpl->f();
        puts("Client2::h()");
        std::cout << "id=" << m pimpl->get() <<'\n';</pre>
};
```

## Code

The entire code is also available at: https://godbolt.org/z/doxh136PM