

Run-time implementation

I've tried to emulate the vtable mechanism that is embedded into compiler/linker implementation. The first attempt was to use the very same virtual dispatching that we tried to mimic

https://github.com/damirlj/modern_cpp_tutorials/blob/main/docs/vtable.pdf

Better way - Compile-time implementation

But, there is a better way: we can implement the vtable at compile-time.

The basic idea is to use the **std::tuple as heterogenous container** - to store the vmethods of a different signatures at the point of the vtable construction - we don't need to dynamically add the vmethods, since they are known at the compile-time.

The gotcha with **std::tuple** is that it can store only distinguished types.

On the other hand, we can have the **vmethods of a same signature**.

To overcome this issue, we need to wrap our signature into **strong type**: as the way to represent the same underlying types as the unique one.

Disclaimer: Jonathan Baccara has a fantastic series on this topic

<https://www.fluentcpp.com/2016/12/08/strong-types-for-strong-interfaces/>

and the code is available at

<https://github.com/joboccara/NamedType>

Implementation

What has been changed, in compare with the run-time implementation?

First, instead of type erasure and std::any, we introduced the parameterized interface that stores the **vmethod index** and the **signature** together

```
template <typename Func>
struct IndexedMethod
{
    using method_type = std::decay_t<Func>;

    std::size_t m_index;
    method_type m_func;
};
```

Consequently, we changed the vtable implementation as well

```
template <typename... Fs>
class vtable
{
public:
    /**
     * C-tor
     *
     * Construct the vtable, since the all vmethods are known compiler upfront
     * We don't need mechanism to dynamically add them
     */

    template <typename Func>
    using indexed_method_type = IndexedMethod<Func>;

    constexpr vtable (indexed_method_type<Fs>&&...vmethods) noexcept :
        m_vtable(std::make_tuple(std::forward<indexed_method_type<Fs>>(vmethods)...))
    {}

    template <typename Func>
    constexpr auto get(std::size_t index) const
    {
        return getImpl<Func>(index, std::make_index_sequence<sizeof...(Fs)>{});
    }

    template <typename Func>
    constexpr void set(Func&& func, std::size_t index) noexcept
    {
        setImpl(func, index, std::make_index_sequence<sizeof...(Fs)>{});
    }

private:
    template <typename Func, std::size_t... Is>
```

```
constexpr std::optional<Func> getImpl(std::size_t index, std::index_sequence<Is...>) const noexcept
{
    std::optional<Func> method;
    auto find = [index, &method](const auto& vmethod)
    {
        if (vmethod.m_index == index) method = vmethod.m_func.get(); // We store Method within the StrongType
    };

    ( find(std::get<Is>(m_vtable)),...);

    return method;
}

template <typename Func, std::size_t...Is>
constexpr void setImpl(Func&& func, std::size_t index, std::index_sequence<Is...>) noexcept
{
    auto findAndSet = [index, method = std::forward<Func>(func)](auto& vmethod)
    {
        if (vmethod.m_index == index)
        {
            vmethod.m_func.emplace(method); // Override the Method in StrongType
        }
    };

    ( findAndSet(std::get<Is>(m_vtable)),...);
}

private:
    std::tuple<indexed_method_type<Fs>...> m_vtable;
};
```

The generic vmethod implementation is also modified, to be entirely compile-time constructible

```
// vmethod generic representation

template <typename Func>
class Method
{
public:

    constexpr explicit Method(const Func& func) noexcept: m_func(func) {}
    constexpr explicit Method(Func&& func) noexcept: m_func(std::move(func)) {}

    template <typename T, typename R, typename...Args>
    using method_const_type = R (T::*)(Args...)const;

    template <typename T, typename R, typename...Args>
    using method_type = R (T::*)(Args...);

    template <typename T, typename R, typename...Args>
    constexpr Method(T*obj, method_const_type<T, R, Args...> method) noexcept:
        m_func([=](Args&&...args)
        {
            return std::invoke(method, obj, std::forward<Args>(args)...);
        })
    {}

    template <typename T, typename R, typename...Args>
    constexpr Method(T* obj, method_type<T, R, Args...>method) noexcept:
        m_func([=](Args&&...args)
        {
            return std::invoke(method, obj, std::forward<Args>(args)...);
        })
    {}

    // Implicit conversion operator
    constexpr operator Func() const & { return m_func; }

    // Getter
    [[nodiscard]] constexpr Func& get() const & { return m_func; }

private:
    Func m_func;
};
```

Demonstration

Having the class A, that introduces the vmethod A::f(), we can add into our vtable

```
A() noexcept: m_vtable(
    details::IndexedMethod <af_type>
```

```

    {
        .m_index = A_f_ind,
        .m_func  = details::Method<std::function<void(int)>>(this, &A::f_default)
    }
}

```

Where A::f_default() is the default vmethod implementation.

@note In case of the "pure" vmethod, we could construct our vmethod with *nullptr*

```
.m_func = details::Method<std::function<void(int)>>(nullptr)
```

We call the derived class implementation (if any) through the base class interface as

```

void A::f(int value) const
{
    using method_type = std::function<void(int)>;

    const auto vmethod = static_cast<std::optional<method_type>>(m_vtable.get<method_type>(A::A_f_ind));
    // "overridden" A::f() method
    // If this is a "pure" vmethod and not being overridden, and *vmethod is nullptr: std::bad_function_call exception
    // will be thrown
    if ( vmethod.has_value())
    {
        (*vmethod)(value);
    }
}

```

We "override" the base class implementation as

```

explicit B(int value) noexcept: m_value(value)
{
    // "Override" virtual method A::f()
    m_vtable.set<std::function<void(int)>>(
        details::Method<std::function<void(int)>>(this, &B::f),
        A::A_f_ind
    );
}

```

#Code:

<https://godbolt.org/z/iMqPd6cvP>