

# Discrete Mathematics

## Propositions

H. Turgut Uyar   Ayşegül Gençata Yayımlı   Emre Harmancı

2001-2016

1 / 61

## License



© 2001-2016 T. Uyar, A. Yayımlı, E. Harmancı

You are free to:

- ▶ Share – copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format
- ▶ Adapt – remix, transform, and build upon the material

Under the following terms:

- ▶ Attribution – You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.
- ▶ NonCommercial – You may not use the material for commercial purposes.
- ▶ ShareAlike – If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you must distribute your contributions under the same license as the original.

For more information:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

Read the full license:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/legalcode>

2 / 61

## Topics

### Propositions

Introduction  
Logical Operators  
Metalanguage  
Laws of Logic

### Rules of Inference

Introduction  
Basic Rules  
Modus Ponens  
Provisional Assumptions

3 / 61

## Proposition

### Definition

**proposition** (or **statement**):

a declarative sentence that is either true or false

- ▶ **law of the excluded middle:**  
a proposition cannot be partially true or partially false
- ▶ **law of contradiction:**  
a proposition cannot be both true and false

4 / 61

## Proposition Examples

### propositions

- ▶ The Moon revolves around the Earth.
- ▶ Elephants can fly.
- ▶  $3 + 8 = 11$

### not propositions

- ▶ What time is it?
- ▶ Exterminate!
- ▶  $x < 43$

5 / 61

## Propositional Variable

- ▶ **propositional variable:**  
a name that represents the proposition

### examples

- ▶  $p_1$ : The Moon revolves around the Earth. ( $T$ )
- ▶  $p_2$ : Elephants can fly. ( $F$ )
- ▶  $p_3$ :  $3 + 8 = 11$  ( $T$ )

6 / 61

## Compound Propositions

- ▶ compound propositions are obtained by applying **logical operators**
- ▶ **truth table:**  
a table that lists the truth value of the compound proposition for all possible values of its variables

7 / 61

## Negation (NOT)

### examples

$\neg p$	
$p$	$\neg p$
$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$

- ▶  $\neg p_1$ : The Moon does not revolve around the Earth.  
 $\neg T : F$
- ▶  $\neg p_2$ : Elephants cannot fly.  
 $\neg F : T$

8 / 61

## Conjunction (AND)

$p \wedge q$		
$p$	$q$	$p \wedge q$
$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$F$

### examples

- ▶  $p_1 \wedge p_2$ : The Moon revolves around the Earth and elephants can fly.  
 $T \wedge F : F$
- ▶  $p_1 \wedge p_3$ : The Moon revolves around the Earth and  $3 + 8 = 11$ .  
 $T \wedge T : T$

9 / 61

## Disjunction (OR)

$p \vee q$		
$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$
$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$

### example

- ▶  $p_1 \vee p_2$ : The Moon revolves around the Earth or elephants can fly.  
 $T \vee F : T$

10 / 61

## Exclusive Disjunction (XOR)

$p \underline{\vee} q$		
$p$	$q$	$p \underline{\vee} q$
$T$	$T$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$

### examples

- ▶  $p_1 \underline{\vee} p_2$ : Either the Moon revolves around the Earth or elephants can fly.  
 $T \underline{\vee} F : T$
- ▶  $p_1 \underline{\vee} p_3$ : Either the Moon revolves around the Earth or  $3 + 8 = 11$ .  
 $T \underline{\vee} T : F$

11 / 61

## Implication (IF)

$p \rightarrow q$		
$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$
$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$

- ▶ also called **conditional**
- ▶ if  $p$  then  $q$
- ▶  $p$  is sufficient for  $q$
- ▶  $q$  is necessary for  $p$
- ▶  $p$ : **hypothesis**
- ▶  $q$ : **conclusion**

12 / 61

## Implication Examples

►  $p_4: 3 < 8, p_5: 3 < 14, p_6: 3 < 2, p_7: 8 < 6$

►  $p_4 \rightarrow p_5$ :  
if  $3 < 8$ , then  $3 < 14$   
 $T \rightarrow T : T$

►  $p_4 \rightarrow p_6$ :  
if  $3 < 8$ , then  $3 < 2$   
 $T \rightarrow F : F$

►  $p_6 \rightarrow p_4$ :  
if  $3 < 2$ , then  $3 < 8$   
 $F \rightarrow T : T$

►  $p_6 \rightarrow p_7$ :  
if  $3 < 2$ , then  $8 < 6$   
 $F \rightarrow F : T$

13 / 61

## Implication Example

► "If I weigh over 70 kg, then I will exercise."

►  $p$ : I weigh over 70 kg.

►  $q$ : I exercise.

► when is this claim false?

$$p \rightarrow q$$

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$
$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$

14 / 61

## Biconditional (IFF)

$$p \leftrightarrow q$$

$p$	$q$	$p \leftrightarrow q$
$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$

►  $p$  if and only if  $q$

►  $p$  is necessary and sufficient for  $q$

15 / 61

## Example

► mother tells child:  
"If you do your homework, you can play computer games."

►  $h$ : The child does her homework.

►  $p$ : The child plays computer games.

► what does the mother mean?

►  $h \rightarrow p$

►  $\neg h \rightarrow \neg p$

►  $h \leftrightarrow p$

16 / 61

## Well-Formed Formula

### syntax

- ▶ which rules will be used to form compound propositions?
- ▶ a formula that obeys these rules: **well-formed formula** (WFF)

### semantics

- ▶ *interpretation*: calculating the value of a compound proposition by assigning values to its variables
- ▶ truth table: all interpretations of a proposition

17 / 61

## Formula Examples

### not well-formed

- ▶  $\forall p$
- ▶  $p \wedge \neg$
- ▶  $p \neg \wedge q$

18 / 61

## Operator Precedence

1.  $\neg$
2.  $\wedge$
3.  $\vee$
4.  $\rightarrow$
5.  $\leftrightarrow$

- ▶ parentheses are used to change the order of calculation
- ▶ implication associates from the right:  
 $p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$  means  $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$

19 / 61

## Precedence Examples

- ▶  $s$ : Phyllis goes out for a walk.
- ▶  $t$ : The Moon is out.
- ▶  $u$ : It is snowing.
- ▶ what do the following WFFs mean?
- ▶  $t \wedge \neg u \rightarrow s$
- ▶  $t \rightarrow (\neg u \rightarrow s)$
- ▶  $\neg(s \leftrightarrow (u \vee t))$
- ▶  $\neg s \leftrightarrow u \vee t$

20 / 61

## Metalanguage

- ▶ **target language**: the language being worked on
- ▶ **metalanguage**: the language used when talking *about* the properties of the target language

21 / 61

## Metalanguage Examples

- ▶ a native Turkish speaker learning English
- ▶ target language: English
- ▶ metalanguage: Turkish
- ▶ a student learning programming
- ▶ target language: C, Python, Java, ...
- ▶ metalanguage: English, Turkish, ...

22 / 61

## Formula Properties

- ▶ WFF is true for all interpretations: **tautology**
- ▶ WFF is false for all interpretations: **contradiction**
- ▶ these are concepts of the metalanguage

23 / 61

## Tautology Example

$p \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$				
$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$ (A)	$p \wedge A$ (B)	$B \rightarrow q$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$

24 / 61

## Contradiction Example

$$p \wedge (\neg p \wedge q)$$

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$\neg p \wedge q$ (A)	$p \wedge A$
T	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	F
F	F	T	F	F

25 / 61

## Logical Implication and Equivalence

- ▶ if  $P \rightarrow Q$  is a tautology, then  $P$  **logically implies**  $Q$ :  
 $P \Rightarrow Q$
- ▶ if  $P \leftrightarrow Q$  is a tautology, then  $P$  and  $Q$  are **logically equivalent**:  
 $P \Leftrightarrow Q$

26 / 61

## Logical Implication Example

$$p \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \Rightarrow q$$

$$p \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$$

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$ (A)	$A \wedge p$ (B)	$B \rightarrow q$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	T

27 / 61

## Logical Equivalence Example

$$\neg p \Leftrightarrow p \rightarrow F$$

$$\neg p \Leftrightarrow p \rightarrow F$$

$p$	$\neg p$	$p \rightarrow F$ (A)	$\neg p \leftrightarrow A$
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T

28 / 61

## Logical Equivalence Example

$$p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee q$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee q)$$

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$ (A)	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$ (B)	$A \leftrightarrow B$
T	T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

29 / 61

## Logical Equivalence Example

- implication:  $p \rightarrow q$
- *contrapositive*:  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
- *converse*:  $q \rightarrow p$
- *inverse*:  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$

$$p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$$

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$ (A)	$\neg q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ (B)	$A \leftrightarrow B$
T	T	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

30 / 61

## Metalogic

- $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \vdash Q$   
There is a proof which infers the conclusion  $Q$  from the assumptions  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$ .
- $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \models Q$   
 $Q$  must be true if  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  are all true.

31 / 61

## Formal Systems

- a formal system is **consistent** if for all WFFs  $P$  and  $Q$ :  
if  $P \vdash Q$  then  $P \models Q$
- if every provable proposition is actually true
- a formal system is **complete** if for all WFFs  $P$  and  $Q$ :  
if  $P \models Q$  then  $P \vdash Q$
- if every true proposition can be proven

32 / 61



## Gödel's Theorem

- ▶ propositional logic is consistent and complete

### Theorem (Gödel's Theorem)

*Any logical system that is powerful enough to express arithmetic must be either inconsistent or incomplete.*

- ▶ liar's paradox: "This statement is false."

33 / 61

## Propositional Calculus

1. semantic approach: *truth tables*  
too complicated when the number of primitive statements grow
2. syntactic approach: *rules of inference*  
obtain new propositions from known propositions using logical implications
3. axiomatic approach: *Boolean algebra*  
substitute logically equivalent formulas for one another

34 / 61

## Laws of Logic

### Double Negation (DN)

$$\neg(\neg p) \Leftrightarrow p$$

### Commutativity (Co)

$$p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow q \wedge p \qquad p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p$$

### Associativity (As)

$$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \Leftrightarrow p \wedge (q \wedge r) \qquad (p \vee q) \vee r \Leftrightarrow p \vee (q \vee r)$$

### Idempotence (Ip)

$$p \wedge p \Leftrightarrow p \qquad p \vee p \Leftrightarrow p$$

### Inverse (In)

$$p \wedge \neg p \Leftrightarrow F \qquad p \vee \neg p \Leftrightarrow T$$

35 / 61

## Laws of Logic

### Identity (Id)

$$p \wedge T \Leftrightarrow p \qquad p \vee F \Leftrightarrow p$$

### Domination (Do)

$$p \wedge F \Leftrightarrow F \qquad p \vee T \Leftrightarrow T$$

### Distributivity (Di)

$$p \wedge (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r) \qquad p \vee (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$$

### Absorption (Ab)

$$p \wedge (p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow p \qquad p \vee (p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow p$$

### DeMorgan's Laws (DM)

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee \neg q \qquad \neg(p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

36 / 61

## Equivalence Example

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p \rightarrow q \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \neg p \vee q \\
 \Leftrightarrow & q \vee \neg p && \text{Co} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \neg \neg q \vee \neg p && \text{DN} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \neg q \rightarrow \neg p
 \end{aligned}$$

37 / 61

## Equivalence Example

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \neg(\neg((p \vee q) \wedge r) \vee \neg q) \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \neg\neg((p \vee q) \wedge r) \wedge \neg\neg q && \text{DM} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & ((p \vee q) \wedge r) \wedge q && \text{DN} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (p \vee q) \wedge (r \wedge q) && \text{As} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (p \vee q) \wedge (q \wedge r) && \text{Co} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & ((p \vee q) \wedge q) \wedge r && \text{As} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & q \wedge r && \text{Ab}
 \end{aligned}$$

38 / 61

## Duality

- ▶ **dual** of  $s$ :  $s^d$   
replace:  $\wedge$  with  $\vee$ ,  $\vee$  with  $\wedge$ ,  $T$  with  $F$ ,  $F$  with  $T$
- ▶ **principle of duality**: if  $s \Leftrightarrow t$  then  $s^d \Leftrightarrow t^d$

example

$$\begin{aligned}
 s &: (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (r \wedge T) \\
 s^d &: (p \vee \neg q) \wedge (r \vee F)
 \end{aligned}$$

39 / 61

## Inference

- ▶ establish the validity of an argument
- ▶ starting from a set of propositions
- ▶ which are assumed or proven to be true

notation

$$\begin{array}{c}
 p_1 \\
 p_2 \\
 \dots \\
 p_n \\
 \hline
 \therefore q
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n \Rightarrow q$$

40 / 61

## Trivial Rules

### Identity (ID)

$$\frac{p}{\therefore p}$$

### Contradiction (CTR)

$$\frac{F}{\therefore p}$$

41 / 61

## Basic Rules

### OR Introduction (OrI)

$$\frac{p}{\therefore p \vee q}$$

### AND Elimination (AndE)

$$\frac{p \wedge q}{\therefore p}$$

### AND Introduction (AndI)

$$\frac{p \quad q}{\therefore p \wedge q}$$

42 / 61

## Modus Ponens

### Implication Elimination (ImpE)

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad p}{\therefore q}$$

#### example

- ▶ If Lydia wins the lottery, she will buy a car.
- ▶ Lydia has won the lottery.
- ▶ Therefore, Lydia will buy a car.

43 / 61

## Modus Tollens

### Modus Tollens (MT)

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad \neg q}{\therefore \neg p}$$

#### example

- ▶ If Lydia wins the lottery, she will buy a car.
- ▶ Lydia did not buy a car.
- ▶ Therefore, Lydia did not win the lottery.

44 / 61

## Modus Tollens

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad \neg q}{\therefore \neg p}$$

1.  $p \rightarrow q$   $A$
2.  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$   $EQ : 1$
3.  $\neg q$   $A$
4.  $\neg p$   $ImpE : 2, 3$

45 / 61

## Fallacies

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad q}{\therefore p}$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge q \not\Rightarrow p$$

►  $p : F, q : T$   
 $(F \rightarrow T) \wedge T \rightarrow F : F$

### example

- If Lydia wins the lottery, she will buy a car.
- Lydia has bought a car.
- Therefore, Lydia has won the lottery.

46 / 61

## Fallacies

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad \neg p}{\therefore \neg q}$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg p \not\Rightarrow \neg q$$

►  $p : F, q : T$   
 $(F \rightarrow T) \wedge T \rightarrow F : F$

### example

- If Lydia wins the lottery, she will buy a car.
- Lydia has not won the lottery.
- Therefore, Lydia will not buy a car.

47 / 61

## Implication Introduction

### Implication Introduction (Impl)

$$\frac{p \vdash q}{\therefore \vdash p \rightarrow q}$$

- if it can be shown that  $q$  is true assuming  $p$  is true
- then  $p \rightarrow q$  is true *without assuming  $p$  is true*
- $p$  is a **provisional assumption** (PA)
- provisional assumptions have to be **discharged**

48 / 61

## Implication Introduction Example

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad \neg q}{\therefore \neg p}$$

1.  $p$   $PA$
2.  $p \rightarrow q$   $A$
3.  $q$   $ImpE : 2, 1$
4.  $\neg q$   $A$
5.  $q \rightarrow F$   $EQ : 4$
6.  $F$   $ImpE : 5, 3$
7.  $p \rightarrow F$   $Impl : 1, 6$
8.  $\neg p$   $EQ : 7$

49 / 61

## OR Elimination

### OR Elimination (OrE)

$$\frac{p \vee q \quad p \vdash r \quad q \vdash r}{\therefore \vdash r}$$

- $p$  and  $q$  are provisional assumptions

50 / 61

## Disjunctive Syllogism

### Disjunctive Syllogism (DS)

$$\frac{p \vee q \quad \neg p}{\therefore q}$$

#### example

- Bart's wallet is either in his pocket or on his desk.
- Bart's wallet is not in his pocket.
- Therefore, Bart's wallet is on his desk.

51 / 61

## Disjunctive Syllogism

$$\frac{p \vee q \quad \neg p}{\therefore q}$$

applying OrE:

$$\frac{p \vee q \quad p \vdash q \quad q \vdash q}{\therefore q}$$

1.  $p \vee q$   $A$
2.  $\neg p$   $A$
3.  $p \rightarrow F$   $EQ : 2$
- 4a1.  $p$   $PA$
- 4a2.  $F$   $ImpE : 3, 4a1$
- 4a.  $q$   $CTR : 4a2$
- 4b1.  $q$   $PA$
- 4b.  $q$   $ID : 4b1$
5.  $q$   $OrE : 1, 4a, 4b$

52 / 61

## Hypothetical Syllogism

### Hypothetical Syllogism (HS)

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad q \rightarrow r}{\therefore p \rightarrow r}$$

1.  $p$   $PA$
2.  $p \rightarrow q$   $A$
3.  $q$   $ImpE : 2, 1$
4.  $q \rightarrow r$   $A$
5.  $r$   $ImpE : 4, 3$
6.  $p \rightarrow r$   $Impl : 1, 5$

53 / 61

## Hypotetical Syllogism Example

Spock to Lieutenant Decker:

*It would be a suicide to attack the enemy ship now.  
Someone who attempts suicide is not psychologically fit  
to command the Enterprise.  
Therefore, I am obliged to relieve you from duty.*

- $p$ : Decker attacks the enemy ship.
- $q$ : Decker attempts suicide.
- $r$ : Decker is not psychologically fit to command the Enterprise.
- $s$ : Spock relieves Decker from duty.

54 / 61

## Hypotetical Syllogism Example

$$\frac{p \quad p \rightarrow q \quad q \rightarrow r \quad r \rightarrow s}{\therefore s}$$

1.  $p \rightarrow q$   $A$
2.  $q \rightarrow r$   $A$
3.  $p \rightarrow r$   $HS : 1, 2$
4.  $r \rightarrow s$   $A$
5.  $p \rightarrow s$   $HS : 3, 4$
6.  $p$   $A$
7.  $s$   $ImpE : 5, 6$

55 / 61

## Inference Examples

$$\frac{p \rightarrow r \quad r \rightarrow s \quad x \vee \neg s \quad u \vee \neg x \quad \neg u}{\therefore \neg p}$$

1.  $\neg u$   $A$
2.  $u \vee \neg x$   $A$
3.  $\neg x$   $DS : 2, 1$
4.  $x \vee \neg s$   $A$
5.  $\neg s$   $DS : 4, 3$

6.  $r \rightarrow s$   $A$
7.  $\neg r$   $MT : 6, 5$
8.  $p \rightarrow r$   $A$
9.  $\neg p$   $MT : 8, 7$

56 / 61

## Inference Examples

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} (\neg p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (r \wedge s) \\ r \rightarrow x \\ \neg x \end{array}}{\therefore p}$$

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1. $\neg x$ <i>A</i>                   | 6. $(\neg p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (r \wedge s)$ <i>A</i> |
| 2. $r \rightarrow x$ <i>A</i>          | 7. $\neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$ <i>MT : 6, 5</i>              |
| 3. $\neg r$ <i>MT : 2, 1</i>           | 8. $p \wedge q$ <i>DM : 7</i>                               |
| 4. $\neg r \vee \neg s$ <i>OrI : 3</i> | 9. $p$ <i>AndE : 8</i>                                      |
| 5. $\neg(r \wedge s)$ <i>DM : 4</i>    |   |

57 / 61

## Inference Examples

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \\ s \rightarrow \neg r \\ q \rightarrow \neg p \\ p \\ s \end{array}}{\therefore F}$$

- |  |
|--|
| 1. $p$ <i>A</i>                              |
| 2. $q \rightarrow \neg p$ <i>A</i>           |
| 3. $\neg q$ <i>MT : 2, 1</i>                 |
| 4. $s$ <i>A</i>                              |
| 5. $s \rightarrow \neg r$ <i>A</i>           |
| 6. $\neg r$ <i>ImpE : 5, 4</i>               |
| 7. $p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$ <i>A</i>       |
| 8. $q \vee r$ <i>ImpE : 7, 1</i>             |
| 9. $q$ <i>DS : 8, 6</i>                      |
| 10. $q \wedge \neg q : F$ <i>AndI : 9, 3</i> |

58 / 61

## Inference Examples

If there is a chance of rain or her red headband is missing, then Lois will not mow her lawn. Whenever the temperature is over 20°C, there is no chance for rain. Today the temperature is 22°C and Lois is wearing her red headband. Therefore, Lois will mow her lawn.

- $p$ : There is a chance of rain.
- $q$ : Lois' red headband is lost.
- $r$ : Lois mows her lawn.
- $s$ : The temperature is over 20°C.

59 / 61

## Inference Examples

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} (p \vee q) \rightarrow \neg r \\ s \rightarrow \neg p \\ s \wedge \neg q \end{array}}{\therefore r}$$

- |  |
|--|
| 1. $s \wedge \neg q$ <i>A</i>                |
| 2. $s$ <i>AndE : 1</i>                       |
| 3. $s \rightarrow \neg p$ <i>A</i>           |
| 4. $\neg p$ <i>ImpE : 3, 2</i>               |
| 5. $\neg q$ <i>AndE : 1</i>                  |
| 6. $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ <i>AndI : 4, 5</i> |
| 7. $\neg(p \vee q)$ <i>DM : 6</i>            |
| 8. $(p \vee q) \rightarrow \neg r$ <i>A</i>  |
| 9. $?$ <i>7, 8</i>                           |

60 / 61

## References

### Required Reading: Grimaldi

- ▶ Chapter 2: Fundamentals of Logic
  - ▶ 2.1. Basic Connectives and Truth Tables
  - ▶ 2.2. Logical Equivalence: The Laws of Logic
  - ▶ 2.3. Logical Implication: Rules of Inference

### Supplementary Reading: O'Donnell, Hall, Page

- ▶ Chapter 6: Propositional Logic