

Recurrence Relations

In analyzing the Towers of Hanoi, we might want to know how many moves it will take. Let $T(n)$ stand for the number of moves it takes to solve the Towers problem for n disks. Then, we have the following formula:

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + 1 + T(n-1)$$

This is because in order to move a tower of n disks, we first move a tower of $n-1$ disks, which takes $T(n-1)$ moves. Then we move the bottom disk (this is the $+1$ above), and then we move a tower of $n-1$ disks again, which takes us $T(n-1)$ moves again.

Simplifying, we get:

$$T(n) = 2T(n-1) + 1$$

Unfortunately, this isn't terribly helpful to us, because it's not a formula in terms of n .

To get a formula in terms of n , we will use the iteration technique, which simply utilizes the fact that the formula above is true for all positive integers n . We will also use the fact that $T(1) = 1$, since it takes one move to move a tower of one disk.

Iterating to Solve the Recurrence

$$\begin{aligned}T(n) &= \underline{2T(n-1) + 1} \\&= 2[2T(n-2) + 1] + 1, \text{ because } T(n-1) = 2T(n-2) + 1. \\&= 4T(n-2) + 2 + 1 \\&= \underline{4T(n-2) + 3} \\&= 4[2T(n-3) + 1] + 3, \text{ because } T(n-2) = 2T(n-3) + 1 \\&= 8T(n-3) + 4 + 3 \\&= \underline{8T(n-3) + 7}\end{aligned}$$

The three underlined steps indicate the three iterations in our work. A pattern should emerge from these three steps. The numbers in front of $T(\dots)$ are successive powers of two. The number inside the T is $n - k$, where k is which power of k . Finally the number at the end is one less than the same power of two. Thus, we can conjecture that

$$= 2^k T(n - k) + 2^k - 1.$$

Finally, we want to plug in a value of k into this expression so that we can evaluate $T(n - k)$. This we know $T(1)$, we want $n - k = 1$. Equivalently, $k = n - 1$.

Plug in $k = n - 1$ into our formula:

$$= 2^{n-1} T(1) + 2^{n-1} - 1 = 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1} - 1 = 2^n - 1.$$

Another recurrence that arises from the analysis of a recursive program is the following recurrence from binary search:

$T(n) = T(n/2) + 1$, since a binary search over n elements uses a comparison, and then a recursive call to an array of size $n/2$.

We use iteration:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= \underline{T(n/2)} + 1 \\ &= (T(n/4) + 1) + 1 \\ &= \underline{T(n/4)} + 2 \\ &= (T(n/8) + 1) + 1 \\ &= \underline{T(n/8)} + 3 \end{aligned}$$

We should see the pattern here and conjecture:

$$= T(n/2^k) + k.$$

We want a value of k that makes $n/2^k = 1$. This means that $n = 2^k$. By the definition of the logarithm, we have $k = \log_2 n$. Plugging in, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &= T(1) + \log_2 n \\ &= 1 + \log_2 n, \text{ since a binary search of 1 element takes 1 step.} \end{aligned}$$

This is essentially (within 1) number of comparisons in the recursive binary search algorithm.

Let's analyze one last recurrence using this technique:

$$\mathbf{T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n, T(1) = 1.}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{T(n)} &= \mathbf{\underline{2T(n/2) + n}} \\ &= \mathbf{2[2T(n/4) + n/2] + n, \text{ since } T(n/2) = 2T(n/4) + n/2} \\ &= \mathbf{4T(n/4) + n + n} \\ &= \mathbf{\underline{4T(n/4) + 2n}} \\ &= \mathbf{4[2T(n/8) + n/4] + 2n, \text{ since } T(n/4) = 2T(n/8) + n/4} \\ &= \mathbf{8T(n/8) + n + 2n} \\ &= \mathbf{\underline{8T(n/8) + 3n}} \\ &= \mathbf{2^k T(n/2^k) + kn.}\end{aligned}$$

Once again we want to set $k = \log_2 n$.

$$\begin{aligned}&= \mathbf{nT(1) + n(\log_2 n)} \\ &= \mathbf{n\log_2 n + n}\end{aligned}$$

Analysis of Exponentiation

First, let's analyze the iterative algorithm shown in the function `slowModPow`.

This is straight-forward and does not require a recurrence relation. Basically, the loop runs exactly `exp` times. Counting each multiplication as a constant time operation (which for modular exponentiation is appropriate), the running time is simply $O(\text{exp})$.

If we analyze the recursive version, `powerA`, in terms of the value of `exp`, we get the following recurrence relation:

$$T(\text{exp}) = T(\text{exp} - 1) + 1, T(1) = 1.$$

because we make a recursive call with the exponent `exp-1` and in addition to that, do a multiplication.

Using the iteration technique, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\text{exp}) &= T(\text{exp} - 1) + 1 \\ &= T(\text{exp} - 2) + 1 + 1 \\ &= T(\text{exp} - 2) + 2 \\ &= T(\text{exp} - 3) + 1 + 2 \\ &= T(\text{exp} - 3) + 3 \end{aligned}$$

= ...

= $T(\text{exp} - k) + k$

= $T(1) + \text{exp} - 1$, plugging in $k = \text{exp} - 1$.

= $1 + \text{exp} - 1 = \text{exp}$.

Analysis of Fast Exponentiation

Now, let's take a look at fast exponentiation. When exp is even, we have

$$\mathbf{T(\text{exp}) = T(\text{exp}/2) + 1}$$

when exp is odd, we have

$$\mathbf{T(\text{exp}) = T(\text{exp} - 1) + 1}$$

Note that when exp is odd, $\text{exp} - 1$ is even, so really, we have:

$$\mathbf{T(\text{exp}) = T(\text{exp} - 1) + 1 = T((\text{exp} - 1)/2) + 2}$$

Thus, roughly speaking, we can establish that

$$\mathbf{T(\text{exp}) \leq T(\text{exp}/2) + 2.}$$

Let's just solve $T(\text{exp}) = T(\text{exp}/2) + 2$, $T(1) = 1$ using the iteration technique.

Hopefully you can note that this is virtually identical to the binary search recurrence relation:

$T(n) = T(n/2) + 1$. (Just change the 1 to a 2 and you get the recurrence above.)

Thus, it follows that $T(\text{exp}) = O(\lg \text{exp})$.

Thus, if $\text{exp} = 10^{20}$, we would do on the order of $\lg 10^{20}$ operations, which is simply around 66, as opposed to 100 billion billion operations. Now that's a HUGE difference.