

377. Combination Sum IV (Coin change's twin)

list that sum to the target amount.

ex. $[1, 2, 3]$ target = 4

- 1.) $(1, 1, 1, 1)$
- 2.) $(1, 1, 2)$
- 3.) $(1, 3)$
- 4.) $(2, 2)$
- 5.) $(2, 1, 1)$
- 6.) $(1, 2, 1)$
- 7.) $(3, 1)$

Brute Force Approach:

When we sum values, we know we have reached our target when our running difference becomes zero or less than zero by looking at

target values we have already looked at potential last value

- will help with understanding the optimal solution.

In brute force we have to look at each combination until we have one that is \geq target. It ends like a branching tree:

This structure contains

need to define a recursion or an iteration that traverses the green branches and terminates at the pink branches.

The green branches represent when we have reached our base case where our sum equals the target value. When this happens we know we've found a valid sum and need to add 1 to our total.

So how does this look with recursion?:

```
= sumIV(target, nums) :
```

```

rslt = 0
if t < 0: return 0
if t == 0: return 1
for num in nums:
    if num <= t: ← we can avoid a stack
        rslt += helper(t - num)
return helper(target)

combinationSumIV(self, nums:list[int], target:int) -> int :
def helper(t:int) -> int:
    if t == 0:
        return 1
    rslt = 0
    for num in nums:
        if num <= t:
            rslt += helper(t - num)
    return rslt
return helper(target)

```

This is cool, but we do a lot of the same calculations over and over again. Every time we recurse on a value t we have already seen, we are recomputing a result that was already solved.

This is where memoization comes in. Before we recurse and go back down the rabbit hole again, let's check to see if we haven't already solved this problem before. We do this by, likewise, hashing our subproblem results:

```
def combinationSumIV(self, nums:list[int], target:int) -> int:  
    memo:dict[int,int] = {}  
  
    def helper(t:int) -> int:  
        if t == 0:  
            return 1  
        if t < 0:  
            return 0  
        if t in memo:  
            return memo[t]  
  
        rslt = 0  
        for num in nums:  
            if (t-num) in memo: # check to see if we have it memoized already  
                rslt += memo[t-num]  
            elif num <= t:  
                rslt += helper(t-num)  
        memo[t] = rslt  
    return helper(target)
```

```
return rslt  
  
return helper(target)
```

cut down on compute time, but we are still at risk of running out of memory through recursion. If only there was a way to not have to check every single combination. Completely avoiding the need to recurse at

To solve this recursively is to solve from the top going down until we have discovered the solution of the smallest subproblem (base cases). If we already know what to expect at a base case could we instead solve the

bottom first and work our way up?
We can achieve this via dynamic programming.

In this case, we are trying to reach a target value. So let's create an array of that will track our results from 0 up to the target value. We init it to 0 first]

This intuition comes from the idea that in order to find the number of permutations for target x we need to find the number of permutations for target $x-1$. We can extrapolate this until our target is

there is only 1 way to get our target value: the empty set of numbers (this is by definition from combinatorics). So we can set the 0th index of the dp array to 1:

from here we will iterate from 1 to our target building up our dp table until we reach our target value: