


**UNIVERSIDAD DE ANTIOQUIA**  
FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES  
INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA



**Mass dependence of the Baryonic Acoustic  
Oscillations in N-body simulations**

**Nataly Mateus Londoño**

FACOM, Grupo de física y astrofísica computacional

Instituto de física

Facultad de ciencias exactas y naturales

**Advisor: Juan Carlos Muñoz Cuartas**



**UNIVERSIDAD DE ANTIOQUIA**  
**FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES**  
**INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA**



**Mass dependence of the Baryonic Acoustic  
Oscillations in N-body simulations**

AUTHOR:

---

(Nataly Mateus Londoño)

ADVISOR:

---

( Professor Juan Carlos Muñoz Cuartas )



---

## Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Cosmological Background</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Robertson Walker Metric (RW) . . . . .	4
2.2	Hilbert-Einstein field equation . . . . .	6
2.3	Friedmann equations . . . . .	7
2.4	Equation of state . . . . .	11
2.5	Perturbation evolution in the newtonian regime . . . . .	12
2.5.1	Newtonian description . . . . .	12
2.5.2	Jeans Inestability . . . . .	15
2.5.3	Evolution of perturbations . . . . .	16
2.6	Statistical properties of cosmological perturbations . . . . .	18
2.7	Baryonic acoustic oscillations . . . . .	22
2.7.1	Shift of the BAO . . . . .	23
<b>3</b>	<b>Computational methods</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1	Numerical methods . . . . .	30
3.2	Halo selection . . . . .	33
3.3	Density field in a cosmological simulation . . . . .	34
3.4	Power spectrum in cosmological simulations . . . . .	38
3.4.1	Fourier transform . . . . .	38
3.4.2	PS calculation . . . . .	41

---

3.5	Correlation functions in cosmological simulations . . . . .	42
3.5.1	Correlation function calculation . . . . .	42
<b>4</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>45</b>
4.1	Correlation functions for MDPL populations . . . . .	46
4.1.1	Random Sampling and Number of particles . . . . .	49
4.2	Correlation function fit . . . . .	50
4.2.1	BAO fit . . . . .	53
4.3	BAO properties in the populations of MDPL . . . . .	54
	<b>References</b>	<b>65</b>

# CHAPTER 1

---

## Introduction

---

In the standard model of cosmology the universe was born in a big bang, a primordial singularity with very high energy and matter density that finally produced an expanding, isotropic and homogeneous Universe. From observations it has been found that this expansion is currently accelerating with time Hamuy (1996).

There are four components of the matter-energy content of the universe, dark and baryonic matter, radiation and dark energy. According to recent estimations, the last one accounts for around 70% of this content and is responsible for the accelerated expansion of the universe Ruiz-Lapuente (2010). The baryonic acoustic oscillations allows to study the nature of this expansion as it will be explained.

In the early universe the dark matter (DM) formed density fluctuations, causing baryonic matter to be unstable against gravitational perturbations. At this stage in the evolution of the universe the temperature was very high, allowing a coupling between baryonic matter and radiation through Thomson scattering. So the increase of baryonic matter in the DM density fluctuations not only caused an increase in density, but also radiation pressure against collapse. Therefore, an expanding wave centered in the fluctuation is caused because of the radiation pressure. This wave is the baryonic acoustic oscillation hereinafter BAO Hu & Sugiyama (1996), Eisenstein & Hu (1998).

Nevertheless, it is necessary to consider that the universe is expanding and this results

in a temperature decrease. Therefore, when temperature is low enough, the baryonic matter and radiation decoupled, making BAO to stop expanding and leaving an imprint in the matter distribution. This is, a peak in the matter distribution that can be noticed in the correlation function. The distance that a BAO could have traveled by the time of decoupling is called sound horizon. This scale has been measured in the Cosmic Microwave Background as  $146.8 \pm 1.8$ Mpc, Komatsu et al. (2008).

Since BAO do not change in size after decoupling they can be used as a standard ruler. They allow to measure the Hubble parameter and angular diameter distance as a function of  $z$ , and this way to measure the rate of expansion at different times during the evolution of the universe. Hence, BAO is key to constraint dark energy parameters.

A way to study the imprint in the matter distribution associated to BAO signal is through the 2D point correlation function or the power spectrum that is its Fourier pair, Eisenstein et al. (2005), Tegmark et al. (2006). A peak due to the BAO appears in the correlation function but there are several issues to take into consideration. For example, the non-linear clustering smear out the BAO imprint causing a broadening of the peak Crocce & Scoccimarro (2007). These, among other problems, have to be taken into account when BAO are studied.

In the present work, we plan to study the BAO from numerical cosmological simulations. More precisely, the BAO will be studied trying to answer what are the changes of the BAO's properties with the change of the scale of the tracer halo population? is there any change in the position peak? is there any change in the width peak? In general, the question we want to answer is: Is there any dependence in the width and amplitude of the BAO signal with the tracer halo population? Answering this questions will lead not only to a better understanding of the physics of BAO but a better understanding of the accelerated expansion of the universe that still has so many questions to be answered.

# CHAPTER 2

---

## Cosmological Background

---

Cosmology is the branch of physics that studies the Universe as a whole, therefore, it attempts to explain its origin, evolution and structure at big scales. Hence, a coarse grained approximation is mandatory due to the scales considered, this is, several approximations are necessary in the endeavour of such a task.

In this search, two major points are considered. The first one is the cosmological principle, it assumes that on sufficiently large scales the Universe is homogeneous and isotropic. In this context, homogeneity can be understood like invariance under translation and isotropy like invariance under rotation. Then, this principle establishes that for the fundamental observers, the Universe should appear isotropic and homogeneous. Although the Universe is expanding, the distance among fundamental observers does not change with time, their reference system moves with the Universe expansion. Using these observers it is possible to synchronize clocks with a light pulse, the time measured by them is named cosmic time Longair (2008).

The overall isotropy and homogeneity have been found in observations of the cosmic microwave background radiation (CMB) and the sponge like structure of the distribution of galaxies. Until few years ago, all observations have agreed with this asseveration, however, recent evidence from Planck data have shown that anisotropies can appear at big scales Ade et al. (2015).

The sponge like structure refers to the fact that, nowadays at cosmological scales, big structures such as halos, sheets and voids forming a filamentary distribution are observed.

They are explained through the growth of initial seeds, small density perturbations at the early Universe that evolve due to gravitational instabilities. These processes are occurring in an expanding Universe. This latter affirmation is predicted by the theory of general relativity in which modern cosmology is based, a second important point to consider. Here, Einstein field equations (EFE) serve as a set of fundamental equations to study the evolution of the Universe at big scales. Fortunately, isotropy and homogeneity led to a simple form of these ones and hence a relatively simple mathematical treatment in cosmology may be developed. From EFE, Friedmann equations are obtained which provide a theoretical framework to study the Universe expansion Padmanabhan (1996).

A standard model in cosmology that takes into consideration the aspects exposed previously is  $\Lambda$ CDM, where additionally to an expanding universe, there is a dark energy component that accelerates its expansion. This is precisely the framework that is going to be used in this work. Here,  $\Lambda$ CDM stands for  $\Lambda$  cold dark matter.

In this chapter, several basic concepts in  $\Lambda$ CDM standard model are going to be introduced to finally lead to baryonic acoustic oscillations (BAO).

## 2.1 Robertson Walker Metric (RW)

As was mentioned before, observations of the Universe at big scales show that it is homogeneous and isotropic, at least as a good approximation, i.e., inhomogeneities appear also at big scales in the CMB. Nevertheless, it is taken as a postulate for  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology . Let's see this in more detail

- Cosmological principle: *The Universe is homogeneous and isotropic at big scales.*

In this context, homogeneous refers to that the fact that independently of where the reference system is located we are going to observe the same global patterns, i.e., the structure of the observed Universe is the same no matter the reference system used Padmanabhan (1996). On the other hand, isotropy establishes that regardless of the direction chosen, the same structure is going to be observed. Then, we are dealing with translational and rotational symmetry Padmanabhan (1996).

These characteristics are observed on mega parsec scales, i.e., big scales. However, this is only valid for the actual epoch, the scale changes with time due to the expansion of the Universe.

- Weyl postulate : This one defines a set of observers that move along the geodesics, allowing to synchronize watches among different observers, hence a cosmic time could be defined. Therefore, the distance between galaxies can be measured at the same cosmic time.

As already stated the Universe is expanding. It was due to a research on nearby galaxies performed by Edwin Hubble that a redshift was found in most of the galaxies, i.e., they are moving away from us. Considering this movement, one could conclude we are in the center of the expansion. But this conclusion is wrong since the expansion Hubble law is valid independently where the coordinate system is defined.

A metric that satisfies homogeneity and isotropy and additionally contains a term that accounts for the Universe's expansion is the Robertson Walker metric. It is defined in general terms as  $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu$ , where  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is the metric tensor and uses coordinates  $x^\alpha = \{ct, x, y, z\}$ . The metric tensor takes the next form  $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}\{1, -\frac{a^2}{1-Kr^2} - a^2r^2, -a^2r^2 \sin^2 \theta\}$ , and the metric is:

$$ds^2 = c^2dt^2 - a(t)^2 \left[ \frac{d^2r}{1-Kr^2} + r^2(d^2\theta + \sin^2 \theta d^2\phi) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

the term  $a$  is the scale factor, it describes how the relative distance between two fundamental observers changes with time. The term  $K$  is the curvature constant for the current time and defines the Universe geometry. When  $K = 0$  an euclidean metric is recovered leading to a flat universe. If  $K = 1$  the Universe would be described by a spherical geometry and it would collapse because of its energy matter density content. And finally,  $K = -1$  corresponds to a hyperbolic geometry where the Universe would be in accelerated expansion. The last case is precisely what it is observed of our Universe. In the figure 2.1 we show the behavior of different cosmologies depending on the  $K$  value and density content Longair (2008).

One important aspect to consider is that the geometry depends on the total energy-matter density content,  $\Omega_o$ . This can be concluded from the definition of the curvature constant  $K = H_o^2(\Omega_o - 1)/c^2$ .




Figure 2.1: Scale factor as a time function. The Universe expansion for different density contributions. A closed Universe is obtained when  $\Omega_m = \Omega_o > 1$  (see 2.6). Also, the PLANCK parameters show an accelerated expansion.

## 2.2 Hilbert-Einstein field equation

At big scales, gravitation dominates many of the physical phenomena occurring. To study the gravitational interaction is necessary the theory of general relativity (TGR). At smaller scales, the Newtonian gravitational theory might be used, where the Poisson equation offers a relation between the second derivative of the gravitational potential  $\Phi$  and the source of the field  $\rho$

$$\nabla^2\Phi = 4\pi G\rho,$$

this equation is obtained from TGR for low velocities and a weak gravitational field ( $\Phi/c^2 \ll 1$ ) Padmanabhan (1996). A key equation of TGR is the Hilbert-Einstein field equation, a six independent component tensorial equation:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda, \quad (2.2)$$

in equation 2.2 the first term of the left side is Ricci's tensor (second derivatives of the metric tensor). The second one contains the scalar of curvature  $R$  that defines space-time

geometry.

In the right side of the equation, the tensor energy-momentum  $T_{\mu\nu}$  is present. It includes, as its name suggest, all the contributions to energy and momentum. In the last term,  $\Lambda$  is the cosmological constant that could be associated with the vacuum density term and is responsible for the accelerated expansion of the Universe.

Hence, the left side of the equation has associated geometry terms, while the right one, the ones associated with the matter and energy distribution. Then, it could be interpreted as if geometry is determined by the matter-energy content of the Universe, though, strictly speaking, the energy-momentum tensor depends in the metric tensor too Padmanabhan (1996).

There is an interesting case of this tensor when we are dealing with a perfect fluid, i.e., without viscosity. It shows that not only density causes curvature of space-time but also pressure. The Universe can be modeled with this particular shape of the energy-momentum tensor. It can be expressed as

$$T_\sigma^\mu = \text{diag}\{c^2\rho, -P, -P, -P\},$$

where  $\rho$  is the density and  $P$  is the fluid pressure.

There are several solutions to the Einstein field equation but not many in an analytical form. An analytical solution is Schwarzschild's solution that represents the metric of a static spherical mass. Other possible solution is the Kerr metric that corresponds to a rotating uncharged mass. The RW metric satisfies these equations too.

## 2.3 Friedmann equations

From HE field equations and the RW metric it is possible to propose cosmological models that give account for the observed dynamics in the Universe. In this direction, the components of the field equation can be taken,  $\beta = \nu = 0$ , time-time component, and  $ii = 1, 2, 3$ , from where one gets, for the scale factor:

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} \left( \rho + 3\frac{P}{c^2} \right) + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + 2\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + 2\frac{c^2 K}{a^2} = 4\pi G \left( \rho - \frac{P}{c^2} \right) + \Lambda c^2, \quad (2.4)$$

where, it has been used the energy momentum tensor for an ideal fluid. The former expressions are the Friedmann equations, hereinafter FE, that are written in a form that can account for the Universe expansion. In equations 2.3 and 2.4  $a(t)$  is the scale factor that is set to one for the actual epoch,  $a(t_o) = 1$ ,  $\rho$  is the total density (radiation plus matter density),  $P$  is the total pressure.

The equation 2.3 has the form of force equation and it can be partially deduced from newtonian mechanics (without the pressure and cosmological constant terms) Longair (2008). A most convenient and used form is obtained after algebraically manipulating them, a second form of FE that can be interpreted as an energy equation

$$H(t) = \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left( \rho + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{8\pi G} \right) - \frac{Kc^2}{a^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

where the first term in the right hand side is the potential energy. This equation also allows to define the Hubble parameter since  $H(t) = \dot{a}^2/a^2$  and for the actual epoch its value is equal to  $H(t_o) = H_o = 100h \text{ Km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  where  $h = 0.6774$  according to Planck measures Ade et al. (2015).

Additionally 2.5 can be expressed in terms of the critical density, i.e. the matter-energy density required for a flat Universe. Therefore, if the Universe has a bigger density,  $\rho > \rho_{crit}$ , it would collapse about itself. Conversely, the Universe would continue to expand indefinitely. The critical density is defined as:

$$\rho_{crit}(t) = \frac{3H(t)^2}{8\pi G}.$$

The equation 2.5 is divided by the Hubble constant  $H_o$ . It is also defined the density parameter  $\Omega_{i,o} = \rho_{i,o}/\rho_{crit}(t_o)$  with  $i = m, r, \Lambda$ . Then, it is obtained the next expression, where different contributions of the density to the Hubble parameter are observed, i.e., matter, radiation and vacuum density:

$$\frac{H^2(z)}{H_o^2} = \Omega_{m,o} (1+z)^3 + \Omega_{r,o} (1+z)^4 + \Omega_{\Lambda,o} + (1-\Omega_o) (1+z), \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\Omega_o = \Omega_{m,o} + \Omega_{r,o} + \Omega_{\Lambda,o}$ . The density parameter  $\Omega_{m,o}$  accounts for the matter contribution to density, i.e., baryonic and dark matter components.  $\Omega_{r,o}$  includes the contribution of the radiation in the Universe density. And finally,  $\Omega_{\Lambda,o}$  accounts for the contribution of vacuum to the total density. It has been introduced the relation between redshift and scale factor  $1+z = 1/a$  Padmanabhan (1996).

Every term of matter-energy density is a different function of the Universe expansion, although the vacuum energy does not depend on the redshift, this is, is constant through time.

Initially the Universe was dominated by the radiation, during this epoch ( $z > 1100$ ) matter and radiation were coupled, i.e., the De Broglie electrons wavelength were comparable to the wavelength of photons. Because of this, collisions between photons and electrons were very frequent causing that the mean free path of the photons be negligible and that the Universe would be opaque. During this coupling, radiation and matter had the same temperature and its behavior is explained as a black body. As can be seen in the figure 2.2, from  $z_m = 3230$  matter becomes the major contribution to the Universe density. When  $z_{rec} = 1100$  the temperature drop is big enough and the recombination rate turns higher than the ionization one. The last radiation dispersion due to matter still can be observed, and it is called cosmic radiation background (CMB). Because of the Universe expansion, its temperature has been dropping, and it is nowadays around  $T = 2.7K$ .




Figure 2.2: Dependence in redshift for  $\Omega_\Lambda$ ,  $\Omega_m$  and  $\Omega_r$ . The decoupling between matter and radiation is obtained when  $z_{rec}$ .

Nowadays, the dominant density component in the Universe is vacuum,  $\rho_\Lambda$ , though it is a constant since it does not depend on the scale factor, as already stated. In contrast, matter

Parameter	Symbol	Best fit
Hubble constant ( $km/Mpc \cdot s$ )	$H_0$	$67.74 \pm 0.46$
Baryon density	$\Omega_b h^2$	$0.02230 \pm 0.00014$
Cold dark matter density	$\Omega_c h^2$	$0.1188 \pm 0.0010$
Dark energy density	$\Omega_\Lambda$	$0.6911 \pm 0.0062$
Scalar spectral index	$n_s$	$0.9667 \pm 0.0040$
Sigma 8	$\sigma_8$	$0.8159 \pm 0.0086$

Table 2.1: Cosmological parameters from Planck results Ade et al. (2015).

depends on the scale factor as  $a^{-3}$  and radiation as  $a^{-4}$  causing both components diminish in time.

The cosmological constant could be associated to vacuum energy that causes an opposed behavior in the Universe dynamics compared to mass density, i.e., it accounts for the accelerated universe expansion.

There are several solutions to 2.5, for instance in the Einstein de Sitter Universe, there are no radiation or vacuum contributions to the density and the total density is  $\Omega_o = 1.0$ . In this particular case, the solution is

$$t = \frac{2}{3H_o}(1+z)^{-3/2}.$$

Therefore, depending on the chosen density values, the equation 2.5 has different solutions and one can expect several Universe models, i.e., depending on the parameters chosen, the Universe's evolution changes. The cosmological parameters measured from Planck, a space observatory that is operated by the European Space Agency, shown in table 2.1. When these values recovered by Planck are replaced in the  $\Lambda CDM$  model, it is concluded that our Universe is expanding at an increasing rate, i.e., an accelerated expansion is observed.

Other possible Universe models are for example, one obtained when matter density parameter is the only contribution to total universe density but it is bigger than 1. In such a case the Universe obtained is closed. Other one, it is one obtained when the Universe is dominated for the vacuum contribution. In this case, the Universe is always open. When all the contributions are present, the Universe can be open or closed depending on the total density parameter as shown in the figure 2.2.

## 2.4 Equation of state

As mentioned before, scale factor characterizes the Universe expansion, then finding relations between each density component of the Universe with this factor is an important task. A relation of proportionality between them is shown below. For further details Padmanabhan (1996) is a good reference.

**Matter density:** Assuming that all matter content in the Universe is an isolated system, the first law of thermodynamics is expressed as  $dU = -pdV$ , where relativistic terms are included in the internal energy term. Using the equipartition theorem, and deriving the internal energy with respect to the scale factor, is obtained:

$$T \propto a^{-2},$$

but from the equation of state  $P = NkT$  and taking into account that the content of matter varies as  $N = N_o a^{-3}$  Longair (2008)

$$P \propto a^{-5}. \quad (2.7)$$

Pressure exerted by matter decreases strongly with Universe's expansion, hence the dark energy pressure becomes more dominant as  $a$  increases. Density and temperature changes are slower.

**Radiation density:** Radiation energy density  $\xi$  expressed in terms of the photon density,  $N(\nu)$ , is:

$$\xi = \sum_{\nu} N(\nu) h\nu,$$

where  $N(\nu)$  satisfies the relation  $N \propto (1+z)^3$ . Furthermore,  $\nu \propto (1+z)$  hence  $\xi \propto a^{-4}$ .

When comparing the last result with Stefan-Boltzmann law it can be concluded that::

$$T \propto a^{-1}.$$

Finally, radiation pressure dependence on scale factor is found with the equation  $P = \epsilon_{total}/3$ , so:

$$P \propto a^{-4}. \quad (2.8)$$

**Vacuum density:** On the other hand, vacuum satisfies  $\epsilon_{total} = \rho c^2$  where  $\rho$  is an effective density. Replacing this result in the first law of thermodynamics and deriving with respect to scale factor:

$$P = -\rho c^2 = -\frac{\Lambda c^4}{8\pi G}, \quad (2.9)$$

the vacuum density constancy is used for the deduction of the equation 2.9.

## 2.5 Perturbation evolution in the newtonian regime

There is no radiation comming toward us from a previous epoch to decoupling. Although, due to the last scattering between radiation and matter, highly homogeneous and isotropic distribution of matter is inferred from the patterns obtained from background cosmic radiation<sup>1</sup>.

In the CMB radiation, small temperature perturbations are observed indicating the presence of small matter perturbations at this epoch. These are the initial seeds from where structures observed nowadays formed.

In this structure growth, density perturbations are increasing but it is not until they got a size of  $\delta \sim 1$ , where  $\delta$  is a measure of the deviation of the current density respect to the background density, that this growth is dominated by gravity. The perturbations have grown enough to start talking about galaxy formation when their density gets around  $1 \times 10^6$  compared to the background density, this happens for a epoch around  $z \sim 100$  for halos with gas that have cooled enough.

But, it is still important to study the initial stages of the perturbations. This is, the perturbations do not deviate significantly from the background density. But their importance reside in that they are the seed of the larger structures, such as galaxies clusters and so on. Because of this, a linear regime treatment for perturbations when  $\delta \ll 1$  are key in such a study Longair (2008).

### 2.5.1 Newtonian description

Inflation is an exponential expansion of the space-time in the initial stages of the Universe. In this stage quantum perturbations were magnified to cosmic size, forming inhomogeneities

---

<sup>1</sup> Image taken from as shown in Figure 2.3 [http://www.esa.int/spaceinimages/Images/2013/03/Planck\\_CMB](http://www.esa.int/spaceinimages/Images/2013/03/Planck_CMB)




Figure 2.3: Cosmic background radiation image obtained by Planck satellite. Ade et al. (2015) It is a remnant from the first stages of the Universe. Before this radiation, the Universe was opaque, hence, we do not receive radiation from earlier stages.

of the density field, the seeds for the large scale structure of the Universe N.Gorobey et al. (2001). The initial density perturbations considered have a small characteristic length such that the relativistic effects can be neglected, i.e., perturbations do not affect the local metric.

In this Newtonian approximation the equations of gas dynamics for a fluid in a gravitational field can be considered. For a fluid in motion with a velocity distribution  $\mathbf{u}$  and density  $\rho$  subject to a gravitational field  $\phi$  that suffers changes in its pressure  $P$ , satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho}{dt} &= -\rho \nabla_r \cdot \mathbf{u}, \\ \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} &= -\frac{\nabla_r P}{\rho} - \nabla_r \phi, \\ \nabla_r^2 \phi &= 4\pi G \rho, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where an Eulerian description is used, i.e., the partial derivatives in the expressions 2.10 describe the variations of the properties at a fixed point in space,  $r$  is the proper coordinate.

Since density perturbations are the ones that trigger potential wells, they are more of our interest than the density field itself. Then, it is useful to express the density as  $\rho = \bar{\rho}(1 + \delta)$ , where  $\bar{\rho}$  is the background density and  $\delta$  is the overdensity (or perturbation ) of interest Longair (2008).

Additionally, it is necessary to make clear another point. The velocity of the particles have two different contributions, the first one is because of the Universe expansion and the other one is the proper velocity of the particle, recessional and peculiar velocities respectively. Hence, the coordinate system could be changed from 2.10, an Euler description, to a Lagrangian one, i.e., moving with the Universe expansion. Let us see this in more detail, velocity in an Eulearian description is  $\mathbf{u} = a\dot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{x}\dot{a} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}\dot{a}$ , where  $\mathbf{v}$  is the peculiar velocity and  $\mathbf{x}\dot{a}$  is the

Universe expansion velocity. Then, transforming in comoving coordinates, coordinates that move with the Universe expansion, and changing the density  $\rho$  to density perturbations  $\delta$ , the equations 2.10 can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{a}\nabla \cdot [(1+\delta)\mathbf{v}], \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{a}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} &= -\frac{\nabla \Phi}{a} - \frac{\nabla P}{a\bar{\rho}(1+\delta)}, \\ \nabla^2 \Phi &= 4\pi G\bar{\rho}a^2\delta,\end{aligned}\quad (2.11)$$

the first equation corresponds to the continuity equation, the second one is Euler's equation and the last one is Poissonian gravitational field equation with  $\Phi = \phi + a\ddot{a}x^2/2$ . Velocity components appear due to gravitational interactions and changes in pressure.

Additionally, equation of state relating the thermodynamic quantities pressure  $P$ , density  $\rho$  and entropy  $s$  for this cosmological fluid is:

$$P(\rho, s) = \left[ \frac{h^2}{2\pi(\mu m_p)^{5/3}} e^{-5/3} \right] \rho^{5/3} \exp\left(\frac{2}{3} \frac{\mu m_p s}{k_B}\right). \quad (2.12)$$

Manipulating algebraically the continuity equation, Poisson equation and state equation, a wave equation for density perturbations could be obtained:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} = 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta + \frac{C_s^2}{a^2}\nabla^2\delta + \frac{2}{3}\frac{\bar{T}}{a^2}\nabla^2s, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\bar{T}$  is the background temperature and  $C_s$  is the speed of sound. The Universe expansion is seen in the second term in the left hand side. Since for an expanding Universe the term  $\dot{a}/a$  is positive, its effect opposes to the perturbation growth. This result was expected since the expansion is against collapse, leading to a decrease of the growth of the perturbation.

The right hand side shows the causes for the evolution of the density perturbations. Entropy can be considered as the heat interchange between the perturbation and its surroundings, causing the expansion or growth of the perturbation. As expected, gravitational field is a source for perturbation growth.

A solution to the perturbation equation in terms of Fourier series is proposed as:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(x, t) &= \sum_k \delta_k(t) e^{ik \cdot x}, \\ s(x, t) &= \sum_k s_k(t) e^{ik \cdot x},\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{k}$  is the wave number and  $\delta_k$  is a density mode that can be calculated using the discrete Fourier transform of the density field. Hence, every mode depends on all known values of the density perturbations.

An important aspect in the last expression is the independence of the functions  $e^{ik \cdot x}$ , allowing equation 2.13 to be expressed as:

$$\frac{d^2 \delta_k(t)}{dt^2} + 2 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \frac{d \delta_k(t)}{dt} = \left[ 4\pi G \bar{\rho} - \frac{C_s^2 k^2}{a^2} \right] \delta_k(t) - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\bar{T}}{a^2} k^2 s_k(t), \quad (2.14)$$

the solution of this equation provides expansion coefficients for the Fourier series, from where, the behavior of density perturbations, their growth or dissipation, is obtained Padmanabhan (1996).

### 2.5.2 Jeans Instability

Before solving equation 2.14, it is important to develop some intuition about the physical phenomena. This can be achieved making some simplifications, for example, taking an isentropic static Universe ( $\dot{a} = 0$ ) the expression becomes,

$$\frac{d^2 \delta_k(t)}{dt^2} + \omega^2 \delta_k(t) = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

with  $\omega^2 = C_s^2 k^2 / a^2 - 4\pi G \bar{\rho}$ . Now, let us analyze the different solutions of this expression Longair (2008). When  $C_s^2 k^2 / a^2 > 4\pi G \bar{\rho}$ , the frequency  $\omega$  is positive. The solution obtained represents a sound wave, an oscillatory solution where gravity instabilities are balanced by radiation pressure. This particular solution is not of our interest, gravity is not strong enough to agglomerate matter. On the other hand, if  $4\pi G \bar{\rho} > C_s^2 k^2 / a^2$  the solution takes the form  $\delta_k(t) \propto e^{\Gamma_k t}$ , where  $\Gamma_k = i\omega_k$  is the growth rate. Hence, the density mode can grow or dissipate depending on the growth rate, a negative rate causes dissipation but a positive one produces a gravitational collapse.

Therefore, density modes tend to collapse because of gravitational instabilities but in this process, pressure gradients appear due to atomic interactions causing dissipation. Nevertheless only the perturbations that collapse are the ones of our interest, they are the seeds of the large scale structure observed nowadays.

Furthermore, for a perturbation, a minimum length which establishes if the perturbation is going to collapse is:  $\lambda_J = 2\pi/k_J = C_s(\pi/G\rho)^{1/2}$ . This length is called the Jeans' length and it is used to rewrite the growth rate in equation 2.15 . Now, with Jeans' length we could know the evolution of a perturbation, if  $\lambda_{pert} \gg \lambda_J$  is satisfied the perturbation collapses, where  $\lambda_{pert}$  is the length of the perturbation. A similar analysis can be performed with the Jean's mass defined as  $M_J = \pi\bar{\rho}_{m,o}\lambda_J^3$ . These expressions can be expressed as:

$$\lambda_J \approx 0.01(\Omega_{b,o}h^2)^{-1/2} Mpc,$$

$$M_J \approx 1.5 \times 10^5 (\Omega_{b,o}h^2)^{-1/2} M_\odot,$$

where  $\Omega_{b,o}$  is the baryonic density parameter for the current time. The expresion is valid only for an epoch before decoupling. During this time, speed of sound was affected not only by matter but for radiation, the last one being the most important contribution to density as shown in figure 2.2. Since there is a change in the behavior of the density components with decoupling, and this way in the speed of sound, it appears a change in the Jean's length and Jean's mass. So, decoupling increases the gravitational collapse since the minimum characteristic length required for collapsing becomes smaller.

### 2.5.3 Evolution of perturbations

Until now dark matter has not been mentioned, a component that contrary to baryonic matter does not interact with radiation. But it is around 23% of the overall Universe matter-energy density content, making it responsible for the large scale mass distribution. First, let us see some observational evidence of dark matter.

1. One of the first observational evidence was found in the Coma cluster; a mass estimation of the cluster was done from the the virial theorem Zwicky (2009). Let us see this in more detail: the specific kinetic energy of the system is  $T = v^2/2 \sim 3\sigma^2/2$  where  $\sigma$  is the galaxy velocity dispersion and the potential energy is  $U = 3GM_{vir}/(5R_{vir})$ . But since the virial theorem establishes that  $T = 1/2|U|$ , an estimate of the clusters mass can be found. But now, from the mass-luminosity ratio and the mean luminosity of the cluster, a second estimative of the mass can be found. There is a big discrepancy between the two values. A possible explanation is that not all of the cluster mass is visible, around  $\sim 90\%$ . And this contribution to the mass is what it is called dark matter.

2. The rotation curve of the galaxies can be other prove for dark matter existence. For example, the velocity measures performed with respect to the radius of Andromeda galaxy (or another spiral galaxies) is approximately the same independent of the radial distance of the stars to the center of the galaxy Jog (2002). From this, it could be affirmed that density is uniform along the galaxy contrary to expected for the observed number of star in function of the radius.
3. Another example is the Bullet cluster, composed by two clusters that are colliding, an event not commonly observed. The gas of them reaches velocities around  $\sim 10$  millions of miles/h during the violent collision while they interact among them because of their charge Robertson et al. (2016). This interaction diminishes the gas velocity but this does not happen with dark matter cause it does not interact electrically. Using gravitational lensing a distortion map is obtained. Using the X rays detected and the distortion map, four different groups of matter are found, 2 bigger ones that correspond to the dark matter component and two smaller ones that correspond to luminous matter formed from the intercluster gas. These presents a strong evidence of dark matter existence.

Dark matter is studied as particles that interact among them and with baryonic matter through gravitational interaction. The interaction among dark matter particles leads to dark matter potential wells. But, dark matter initial seeds for potential wells are formed before decoupling, since they do not interact with radiation, they can gather forming early density perturbations. In this epoch, radiation and baryonic matter are modelled as a fluid that interacts gravitationally with dark matter, leading to no formation of baryonic seeds precisely because of the coupling between this two density components, i.e., radiation dissipates the baryonic seeds. Later a more detailed explanation will be provided.

In the linear regime an ansatz for perturbations is  $\delta = \delta_o D(z)$ , where  $\delta_o$  is an initial seed from where a dark matter potential well formed and  $D(z)$  is a growing function of an initial seed. Then, assuming isotropy and neglecting the velocity term since perturbations of our interest satisfy  $\lambda_J \ll \lambda_{pert}$ , equation 2.14 can be written as

$$\frac{d^2 D(z)}{dz^2} + \left[ \frac{H'(z)}{H(z)} - \frac{1}{1+z} \right] \frac{dD}{dz} = \frac{1}{H^2(z)} \left[ \frac{4\pi G \bar{\rho}(z)}{(1+z)^2} \right] D(z).$$

In the last equation, different solutions are obtained by changing the density parameters. For example, an Einstein de Sitter Universe  $\delta_k(z) = \delta_o(1+z)^{-1}$ , an Universe dominated by




Figure 2.4: Perturbation evolution for a mass-vacuum model, the behavior for different contributions of each component. The redshift for the current time is  $z = 0$ .

radiation  $\delta_k(z) = \delta_o(1+z)^{-1.22}$  and an Universe dominated by vacuum  $\delta_k(z) = \delta_o(1+z)^{-0.58}$  Longair (2008). In figure 2.4 we show the evolution of perturbations for models with different matter and vacuum contributions. As expected, for bigger matter density perturbations (red curve), the growth is faster while for universes with bigger vacuum contribution (blue curve), perturbations grow slower because of the accelerated expansion induced by  $\Lambda$ . In the latter, a bigger initial mass is required to start the gravitational collapse.

## 2.6 Statistical properties of cosmological perturbations

To study the evolution of the Universe, the equation 2.13 can be used to know the density field and its evolution in time. But using the concept of density contrast  $\delta = (\rho - \bar{\rho})/\bar{\rho}$ , leads to a density perturbation field, which is a clearer way to analyse the evolution of the density field.

In linear regime, there are a infinite amount of perturbations described by different fourier modes. Since they evolve independently their amplitudes change with time and can be modelled using a transfer function  $T(k)$  and a linear growth rate  $D(t)$ . To characterize such amount of density field values, statistical properties must be defined. This is, not consider-

ing individual positions or properties but instead moments defined from some distribution function. This idea is supported by the fact that there is no access to the primordial perturbations that originated the large scale structure observed nowadays. Hence, our Universe could be considered as a realization of a random process where a statistical treatment results as a natural way to study it.

Consider that the Universe, or certain region of it with volume  $V_u$ , can be divided into small cells, each of them with position  $x_i$ . These cells can be characterized statistically with a joint probability distribution and its moments that would describe the cosmic density perturbation field. Following this approach, the probability of having a mode between  $\delta_k$  and  $\delta_k + d\delta_k$  is,

$$\mathcal{P}(\delta_{\kappa})r_{\kappa}dr_{\kappa}d\phi_{\kappa} = \exp\left[-\frac{r_{\kappa}^2}{2V_u^{-1}P(\kappa)}\right] \frac{r_{\kappa}}{V_u^{-1}} \frac{dr_{\kappa}}{P(\kappa)} \frac{d\phi_{\kappa}}{2\pi}, \quad (2.16)$$

where  $r_{\kappa}$  corresponds to perturbations amplitude,  $\phi_{\kappa}$  is the phase and varies between  $0 < \phi_{\kappa} < 2\pi$ , i.e.,  $\delta_{\kappa} = |\delta_{\kappa}| \exp^{-i\phi_{\kappa}} = r_{\kappa} \exp^{-i\phi_{\kappa}}$ . The joint probability distribution function is useful because it allows the independence of the terms  $\delta_{\kappa}$ , or in other words it is the product of every mode's probability:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\delta_{\kappa 1}, \dots, \delta_{\kappa N}) = \prod_{\kappa} \mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\delta_{\kappa}).$$

This expression is not satisfied when the inverse fourier transform is done, since the probability density is not separable in the initial coordinate space. In the Fourier space, the term  $P(\kappa)$  can be defined as the power spectrum and is related to the 2 point correlation function as:

$$P(k) = \frac{4\pi}{V_u} \int_0^\infty \xi(r) \frac{\sin(kr)}{kr} r^2 dr = \langle |\delta(\kappa)|^2 \rangle, \quad (2.17)$$

from the latter expression can be seen that the isotropy of the Universe is taken into account, since during the power spectrum calculation, an average is done over all possible orientations of the vector  $\kappa$ .

The correlation function,  $\xi(r)$ , describes the distribution of points, the excess probability of finding a particle at a distance  $r$  from another particle selected at random compared against a random distribution. The two point correlation is defined as:

$$\xi(r) = \langle \delta(\mathbf{x})\delta(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) \rangle, \quad (2.18)$$

hence  $\xi$  only depends on the amplitude of  $r$ , it is due to the assumption of homogeneity and isotropy, i.e., depends on relative distances. Furthermore, from equations 2.17 and 2.18 it can be seen that the density field leads to a direct way to find the power spectrum using the Fourier transform.

In the correlation function, no clustering would imply that  $\xi(r)$  is zero. A natural way to see this is through a conditional probability, given that there is a particle in a volume element  $dV_1$  the probability there is other one in a volume element  $dV_2$  at a distance  $r$ ,

$$dP(2|1) = n[1 + \xi(x_{12})]dV_2,$$

if  $\xi(x_{12}) > 0$  the probability of finding such pair of particles increases, i.e., there is clustering of structures. But if  $\xi(x_{12}) < 0$  such probability diminishes leading to an anti-correlation. An anticorrelation appears when there is a negative relationship between two variables, higher values of one variable tend to be associated with lower values of the other one. In the case where  $\xi(x_{12}) = 0$  there would be no clustering, the distribution of particles would be the one of a random catalogue. A random distribution where there are no patterns due to gravitational interaction.

Also, the function  $\xi(r)$  can be expressed as a power law of the form

$$\xi(r) = \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-\Gamma} \quad (2.19)$$

being valid in the range  $100h^{-1}$  kpc to  $10h^{-1}$  Mpc. The preferred scale  $r_0 = 5h^{-1}$  Mpc is the one where the galaxy density is greater than twice of the background. The exponent value is  $\Gamma = 1.8$ . This fit overestimates the correlation function for distances bigger than  $20h^{-1}$  Mpc.

So far, it has been shown two statistical measures, a fourier pair, in real space the correlation function and in fourier space the power spectrum. But other moments can be specified as previously mentioned, in general an  $l$  point correlation function can be defined through the next expression  $\xi^l(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_l) \equiv \langle \delta_1 \delta_2 \dots \delta_l \rangle$ , where the connected terms are the ones that contributed to the calculation. For example, the first moment of the distribution is  $\langle \delta(x) \rangle = 0$ , because of the definition of density perturbation field.

An important remark is that if initial density perturbations follow a gaussian distribution, all moments higher than two (2 point correlation function) are zero, i.e., the density perturbation field is completely described by the two first moments of the distribution.




Figure 2.5: BAO peak in the correlation function in the left and the oscillations of BAO in the power spectrum in the right,Eisenstein et al. (2005). The lower curve is the main sloan digital sky survey (SDSS) sample and the upper one is the Luminous red galaxies (LGR) sample , Tegmark et al. (2006).

It is usually considered for the initial density field, that density contrasts follow a normal distribution centered in  $\langle \delta \rangle = 0$  Bernardeau et al. (2001). This idea is supported by inflationary scenarios where a random gaussian perturbation field arises naturally from quantum perturbations during inflation, i.e., statistical behaviour lies on quantum perturbations. Since there are a large number of modes, the central limit theory would support this idea if the mode phases are independent. One of the advantages of this model is that the perturbation field remains gaussian during linear evolution.

Additionally it has been found that the initial power spectrum expected from inflation theories has the form  $P(\kappa) = k^n$  Bernardeau et al. (2001). If  $n = 1$  the power spectrum is called Harrison-Zeldovich which is commonly used.

With the initial power spectrum it can be done an inverse fourier transform and this way recreating the initial density field.

Furthermore, using inflationary models the shape of the linear power spectrum is well determined but there are not amplitude predictions, i.e., there is not a defined normalization of the power spectrum. One commonly way to do such thing is through the variance of the galaxy distribution when sampled with randomly placed spheres at radius  $R$ . The relation between the variance of the density field and the power spectrum is:

$$\sigma^2(R) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int P(k) \hat{\omega}_R(k)^2 k^2 dk,$$

where  $\hat{\omega}_R(k)$  is the Fourier transform of the spherical top hot model:

$$\hat{\omega}_R(k) = \frac{3}{(kR)^2} [\sin(kR) - kR \cos(kR)],$$

In this approach  $\sigma(R)$  is taken  $\approx 1$  when  $R = 8h^{-1}Mpc$  because of measures performed observationally Mo et al. (2010). Then, normalizing the power spectrum would imply to force  $\sigma(R)$  to be one for the mentioned distance.

But several problems arise, 1) this normalization is not precisely valid for linear regime since  $\sigma(R) \approx 1$  when  $\delta(R) \ll 1$ . 2) Baryonic matter is probably a bias tracer of the mass distribution.

It is necessary to consider that after recombination epoch, density perturbations started growing in size leading to a non lineal growth of the perturbations. This implies a change in the density field and likewise in the power spectrum.

## 2.7 Baryonic acoustic oscillations

Let us consider the epoch before recombination. The baryonic plasma (protons and electrons) was coupled with radiation via Thomson scattering, i.e., the electric component of radiation accelerate charged particles making small density perturbations to disperse. Nevertheless, considering that dark matter do not interact with radiation, small dark matter density contrasts or perturbations can form. Hence, the baryons are subject to two competing forces, radiation pressure and gravitation. Consider a particular dark matter density contrast that attract nearby baryons, they start clustering around the dark matter forming a bigger density contrast. But, due to the pressure caused by coupling, the outward force becomes bigger than gravity, making baryons to move outward as a sound wave (a baryonic plasma shell). **This oscillation of the baryonic plasma is known as baryonic acoustic oscillation.**

When decoupling occurs and temperature drops, the force responsible for the expansion of the shell disappear, this is, the pressure caused by the coupling between baryons and radiation, leading baryons in the last position they were located. The scale of the baryonic acoustic oscillation is usually called the sound horizon and it can be computed as:

$$s = \int_{z_{rec}}^{\infty} \frac{c_s dz}{H(z)}, \quad (2.20)$$

where  $c_s$  is the velocity of the propagation and  $H(z)$  is the Hubble parameter, (Ruiz-Lapuente (2010)).

Therefore, there is a spherical shell formed around the dark matter density perturbation. Now, not only dark matter density contrast seed gravitational instability but the baryons in the shell as well.

The structures continue to grow reaching non linear growth and wipe out the imprint lead by the baryonic acoustic oscillations except for the bigger ones. The estimated size of the remaining BAO is 150 Mpc, causing that scale to be more likely to have galaxy formation activity. The reason this distribution is not observed at cosmological scales is because of the amount of imprints, too many galaxies following a BAO pattern, that lead to the smear out the preferred scale. Though, it is expected an enhancement in the two point correlation at scales of the BAO. As was seen before, there is a direct correspondence between the two point correlation and the power spectrum. Hence, a characteristic oscillation in the power spectrum caused by the imprint of BAO is naturally found.

Since BAO are primarily a linear phenomenon, they are preserved in the power spectrum despite of the temporal evolution. Then, BAO are used as a standard ruler, specifically for high redshift where other rulers tend to fail. This is commonly used for constraining dark matter models.

Although, the nonlinear collapse change the shape and position of BAO, broaden and shift the peak. This is clearly seen in the figure (2.7), the broadening of the peak initially shown as a very sharp causes a damping in the frequency in the power spectrum. It is expected that this effect that is going to be studied in this work, affects baryonic acoustic oscillations on scales around  $\sim 10$ Mpc.

The diffusion damping (silk damping) also causes a reduction in size of density contrast by the diffusion of photons from hot regions to colder ones during the epoch of recombination.

### 2.7.1 Shift of the BAO

During the linear regime the BAO properties are not affected, i.e., the BAO shape does not change during this regime. But, once the non linear regime starts, it causes deviations in the BAO properties. But, let us see in more detail how these deviations affect the BAO properties. In order to do so, it must studied the velocity field. This gives account for the




Figure 2.6: All the figures show the evolution of the radial mass profile of dark matter, baryons, photons and neutrinos. First one: Initial perturbations of the four species. Second one: the neutrinos do not interact and move away, the plasma of baryons and radiation overdensity expands because of radiation pressure, the dark matter continues to fall in the perturbation. Third one: The temperature drops enough to lead to decoupling, the baryons slows down until stopped, the radiation and neutrinos continue moving away. Fourth one: The dark matter and baryons eventually get the same distribution because of the gravitational interaction.




Figure 2.7: Left: the correlation function. Right: the power spectrum. When the width of the peak is increased the acoustic oscillation obtained in the power spectrum is damped.

changes in the mass distribution. Hence, we are going to focus in one model proposed by Smith et al. (2008) that models the shift suffered for the BAO signal during the non linear regime.

As mentioned, the correlation function allows to study the clustering of the mass distribution. But a specific mass distribution is affected by the existing velocity field at the same time. Hence, it is necessary to find a way to relate the correlation function with the velocity field. In this scenario, two particles are separated by a distance  $\vec{r} = \vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_1$  and thus the pairwise infall velocity,  $u_{12}(\vec{r}, \eta)$ , is directed along the separation unit vector  $\hat{r}$ , where  $\eta$  is proportional to the conformal time. However, this distance diminishes with time due to clustering. In this direction, an important equation is the pair conservation equation:

$$\frac{\partial \ln[1 + \xi(r, \eta)]}{\partial \eta} - u_{12}(r, \eta) \frac{\partial \ln[1 + \xi(r, \eta)]}{\partial r} = \Theta(r, \eta), \quad (2.21)$$

where  $\Theta(r, \eta) = \nabla \cdot [u_{12}(r, \eta) \hat{r}]$ , is the divergence of the pairwise infall velocity. It allows to study the evolution of any feature, its movement, in the correlation function. Hence, the relation between the correlation function and the pairwise infall velocity allows to study the shift that BAO would suffer due to gravitational clustering. To solve the equation 2.21 is used the method of characteristics, the characteristics are the equations of motion of the pairs:

$$\frac{dr}{d\eta} = -u_{12}(r, \eta), \quad (2.22)$$

whose solutions allow to obtain an ordinary equation from 2.21,

$$\frac{d \ln[1 + \xi(r, \eta)]}{d\eta} = \Theta(r, \eta). \quad (2.23)$$

Besides, the solution of the last equation 2.23 solution is given by

$$1 + \xi(r, \eta) = (1 + \xi_0[r_0(r, \eta)]) \times \exp \left[ \int_0^\eta \Theta[r_{\eta'}(r, \eta), \eta'] d\eta' \right], \quad (2.24)$$

where  $r_0(r, \eta)$  is the initial separation that corresponds to  $r$  at time  $\eta$  and likewise for  $r_{\eta'}$ . To find this general solution, the shift in the correlation function due to gravitational clustering, it is necessary to know the divergence of the velocity field. A first approach that can tell us how the BAO peak evolves is through linear theory of velocities for  $\Theta(r, \eta)$ :

$$\Theta(r, \eta) = 2e^{2\eta} \xi_0(r), \quad (2.25)$$

where  $\xi_0$  is the linear correlation function at  $\eta_0 = 0$ . In such case, the correlation function grows due to the infall velocities. It becomes the biggest contribution when  $\eta$  is large.

Now, using this expression and clearing the initial correlation function, is obtained the plot 2.8, the two curves for  $z = 0$  (red) and  $z = 1$  (blue), are represented with solid lines Smith et al. (2008). The solid green squares and solid red triangles, for  $z = 0$  and  $z = 1$  respectively, show the behavior of the correlation function in cosmological simulations. From this, it can be said that in non linear regime the BAO has been wash out hence it does not suffice the linear theory to produce such effect. This is in accordance with several studies that show a significant difference between numerical simulations and the predictions of the linear theory. So, a next step is to include in the equation 2.24 a divergence of the velocity that take into account the nonlinear effects.




Figure 2.8: Using expression 2.25 for  $z = 0$  and  $z = 1$ , the solid lines are obtained. From cosmological simulations the correlation function for  $z = 0$  and  $z = 1$  is shown, solid squares and solid triangles. Image taken from Smith et al. (2008).

For nonlinear regime, the perturbation theory (PT) can be used to find the pairwise infall velocity. This one has two nonlinear contributions and its divergence can be expressed as:

$$\Theta(r, \eta) = \Theta_2(r, \eta) + \Theta_3(r, \eta),$$

where  $\Theta_2(r, \eta) = 2\nabla \cdot \langle \delta_1 \vec{u}_2 \rangle$  and  $\Theta_3(r, \eta) = 2\nabla \cdot \langle \delta_1 \delta_2 \vec{u}_1 \rangle$ . It can be shown that PT is used to find the last two terms as shown in Smith et al. (2008). Finally, the correlation function is expressed as:

$$1 + \xi(r, \eta) = (1 + b_i^2 \xi_0[r_0(r, \eta)]) \times \exp \left[ \int_0^\eta d\eta' (b(\eta') \Theta_2[r_{\eta'}(r, \eta), \eta'] + b(\eta')^2 \Theta_3[r_{\eta'}(r, \eta), \eta']) \right], \quad (2.26)$$

where  $b_i$  is the initial bias. This expression predicts the damping of the BAO that causes an apparent shifting to smaller scales. Hence, it can be concluded that indeed nonlinear regime induce changes on BAO properties such as its position. This idea is supported by Smith et al. (2008), Baldauf & Desjacques (2017), Anselmi et al. (2017), M. Ivanov (2016), Smith et al. (2007), Martín & Scoccimarro (2008), where it is shown that the position of the BAO peak is changed around 1% to 3%. Despite of the fact it is not a big difference, it induce a significant bias in the parameter of the dark energy equation. Then, a model for BAO must include the nonlinear contributions.

Although this model gives account for the shift due to the transport of matter by the velocity field, there is other term that can contribute to the shifting, the impact of tidal gravitational fields in the growth of structure as explained in Martín & Scoccimarro (2008).



# CHAPTER 3

---

## Computational methods

---

Cosmology tries to explain the evolution of structures from its first stages to the actual epoch, which leads to the current large scale structure. Thus, it is necessary to study the evolution of the matter content of the Universe under the influence of gravity. To solve this, the Boltzmann equation (BE) could be used since it allows to predict the statistical behavior of a system that is not in equilibrium. Hence, a probability density distribution for the system would be obtained from solving the BE. Though, this system is so complex that is necessary to make a different approach Mo et al. (2010). In this direction, it is possible to assume an initial density field as one specific realization of the probability density distribution, i.e. a specific particle distribution that would trace the initial density field. Now, using this initial particle distribution, the evolution of the system would be obtained finding the interaction among particles. Thus, due to the big amount of interacting particles necessary to simulate the system, computational resources appear as a necessary tool to tackle such problems. Hence, numerical approximations must be developed to find, for every time, step all the properties needed to describe the system, even when particles studied have masses with an order of magnitude of several stellar masses.

In cosmological simulations of structure formation, a key component to consider is dark matter, because dark matter dominates the gravitational interaction, not only because of its amount compared to baryonic matter but also because it only interacts in this way. It is mostly because of this that the Universe has a sponge-like structure at large scales ?.

Defining as total density, the sum of baryonic and dark matter, it can be given an argument

to ignore baryonic matter in simulations: dark matter would contribute with around 80% of all the density content. Hence, the assumption that the large scale structure formation is determined by dark matter is plausible Longair (2008).

This chapter is divided in five sections, the first one corresponds to the methods used in cosmological simulations to calculate the gravitational evolution of the system ?,Klypin (2000b) and Klypin (2000a). The second one contains different criteria selection to detect a dark matter halo in simulations. The third section explains how to obtain a density field from a cosmological simulation. The fourth and fifth sections are dedicated to explain how to build different statistical measures of clustering, the correlation function in real space and the power spectrum in fourier space.

### 3.1 Numerical methods

To study the formation of the large scale structure, simulations of dark matter are performed, i.e., a cosmological box where dark matter particles interact gravitationally. In such cases it is necessary to suppose initial conditions, an initial configuration of the Universe, i.e., an initial density field or an initial shape for the power spectrum. Furthermore, two important parameters for this cosmological simulations are the box size  $L$  and the number of dark matter particles  $N_p^3$  that would trace the initial density field, among others.

The gravitational interaction calculation of such a big number of particles could, in principle, be calculated through direct sum of forces. This first attempt is not very efficient, or even, it is not possible to perform, since the computing time or the computational resources would be very big to be viable Pfalzner & Gibbon (1996). This is the reason for approximate methods to appear as a possible solution that would require more reasonable computing times.

A main objective in a simulation is the study the formation process and further interactions that are produced among halos and vacuum regions that conform the sponge-like structure of the Universe. Next, two numerical methods used for cosmological simulations, are going to be briefly exposed:

#### 1. Particle Mesh (PM)

In this method a grid is created over the particle array, i.e., the cosmological box that contains the particles is divided in cells of the same size. But, to show the basics of this method, a 2D example is explained, as shown in the figure 3.1. In this case, the

particles closer to a vertex, each representing a specific cell, are assigned to this one Pfalzner & Gibbon (1996). Then, an approximated density field is calculated using the mass per cell divided by its area. Other way to calculate the density field is cloud in cell, later explained in more detail, where particles are considered constant density cubes causing that a single particle contributes to different cells. Now, using the density field and the Poisson equation, it is possible to calculate the gravitational potential in every grid vertex.

This method reduces considerably the computing time since its of the order of  $O(N + M \log M)$  with  $N$  being the number of particles and  $M$  the number of vertex. Although, the lack of resolution in the regions that are more dense makes this method insufficient to respond for the physical situation. Furthermore, it does not give account for a complex geometry or systems highly correlated. A step forward in this direction is  $P^3M$  that uses for smaller scales finer calculations of the potential performing a particle-particle calculation.




Figure 3.1: Particle mesh method. Every vertex of the grid gets properties calculated from the closer particles.

## 2. Tree method

To illustrate this method let us consider a 2D particle array. In this case, the cosmological box is represented by a square. It is divided in cells of the same area where each particle is assigned to the cell where it falls in Pfalzner & Gibbon (1996). If the number of particles is superior to one, subdivisions of the cell are performed. Again, if the number of particles per subcells is superior to one, subdivisions are made. This process is repeated until for every cell there is at most one particle. This subdivision is used to create a tree structure, this consists in a root, i.e., all the square area and the branches that are created with each subdivision performed. This works as a map of the disposition of the particles in the square array. The particles are numbered from

the upper left of the square until reaching the lower right of the square. All particles in the same cell must be numbered before continuing with the next cell, as shown in the figure 3.3.

When the gravitational calculation is performed, the contribution to the force exerted over a particle due to the more distant ones is much lower than with the nearer ones. Thus, the far ones can be approximated as a pseudoparticle with mass  $M$  and with a position  $r_{CM} = \sum_i m_i r_i / M$ . The next expression is taken as a selection criteria Pfalzner & Gibbon (1996) :

$$s/q \leq \theta, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $s$  is the cell size with which the particle of interest is interacting with,  $d$  the distance between the cell and the particle, and  $\theta$  is a tolerance value to define. For each particle, the gravitational interaction with the other particles or pseudoparticles is calculated according to the criteria 3.2. If the condition is satisfied the gravitational interaction is calculated directly with the pseudoparticle (or particle) as shown in figure 3.3. If the relation is not satisfied, it is necessary to divide the cell into subcells, and that way successively until the condition is satisfied, or only one particle is present per subcell. Thus, the direct calculation is avoided for far objects, without avoiding the calculation for the nearer ones. Therefore, the computing time is reduced from  $O(N^2)$  to  $O(N \log(N))$ , i.e., it is cheaper computationally.




Figure 3.2: In the left panel is shown the array of the particles and the subdivisions performed until the criteria is satisfied. In the right one, the tree found for such distribution is shown.

There are many methods that are hybrid of the two exposed. For example,  $P^3M$  that was already mentioned. All of them have both, advantages and disadvantages, that must be evaluated according to the needs of the simulation.

## 3.2 Halo selection

As a result of the dark matter particle interactions, perturbations grow enough to form bound objects that we will assume are in virial equilibrium, these are known as dark matter halos and satisfy the relation  $E_k = -V/2$  where  $E_k$  is the kinetic energy and  $V$  is the potential energy. They are responsible for the potential wells that causes baryonic matter to fall in, forming finally the galaxies we observe today, i.e., dark matter halos host galaxies.

A main result of a cosmological simulation are the dark matter halos catalogue, which we are going to work with, that contains halo properties such position, velocity, mass and radius. Therefore, a key step is to identify halos from a cosmological simulation, the methods generally used to accomplish such task are FOF and BDM<sup>1</sup>.

### 1. Friend of Friends (FOF)

To identify if a particle group lies in a dark matter halo, i.e., particles are bound, a length is defined such that all the particles with their distances lower than this length are part of the same group. This threshold is called linking length. A condition is imposed, groups can not intersect among them, hence a particle can only belong to a specific group.




Figure 3.3: Image taken from Cosmosim database.

But there is a problem with this approach. Even when there is a little amount of particles in common between two groups, some sort of small “bridge” that unites both

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.cosmosim.org/cms/simulations/halo-finders/>

of them, they are selected as one group, not two, as would be expected. This method also allows to define substructures, therefore using different linking lengths, groups inside groups would be obtained, the bigger ones would host the smaller ones.

## 2. Bound Density Maximun(BDM)

This method, to select dark matter halos, uses local maximum densities in the matter distribution of the simulation. The local maximum densities allows to define a spherical cut, the dark matter halo, and the particles that fall inside belongs to that specific halo<sup>2</sup>. Particles with a velocity equal or bigger to the escape velocity are not included in the halo. Contrary to FOF method, halos can overlap while the center of mass of one halo does not fall into other halo. Nevertheless, if the center of mass of one halo falls in the virial radius of other one, the first one is considered a subhalo of the last one. The standard overdensity limit of the halos is  $\sim 360 \rho_{back}$  where  $\rho_{back}$  is the background density.

### 3.3 Density field in a cosmological simulation

To construct a good approximation of the real density field from a cosmological simulation, a sampling of the continuous density field in a regular grid of size  $N^3$  is performed, the subdivisions created are called cells. Hence, an assignment of the particle charge, i.e. particle mass, to the grid must be done. To obtain a more realistic density field approximation the grid points can be increased also diminishing problems due to numerical effects but it is more expensive computationally. Furthermore, the number of particles in a simulation is a restriction to the maximum value that  $N$  can have, it can not exceed  $\sqrt[3]{N_p}$ , there would not be enough particles to map correctly the density field per cell. A size grid around the value mentioned would optimal in the sense that the particle mean per cell would be one, hence a Poisson distribution would be followed. But the sampling made from the particle distribution is not a mere sampling but a sampling convolved with a window function (the way a particle mass is distributed in the grid), i.e., the window function  $W(\mathbf{x})$  that is used affects the density field calculated Mo et al. (2010).

Since the particles are located in a specific position it can be assured that the particle number density is:

---

<sup>2</sup><https://www.cosmosim.org/cms/simulations/halo-finders/>

$$n_0(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} \delta^D(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i),$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_i$  the position of the  $i$ -th particle. The window function quantifies how much of the particle number density is distributed to a grid point separated by  $\mathbf{x}$ , hence the sample particle number density can expressed as:

$$n(\mathbf{x}_p) = \int_V d^3x' n_0(\mathbf{x}') W(\mathbf{x}_p - \mathbf{x}').$$

Similarly, the sampled density contrast defined as  $\delta^s(\mathbf{x}) = n(\mathbf{x}_p)/\bar{n} - 1$  can be found using the convolution of the real density contrast and the window function:

$$\delta^s(\mathbf{x}) = [\delta * W](\mathbf{x}), \quad (3.2)$$

its fourier transformation is simply the product of the fourier transformation of the real density contrast and the window function:

$$\delta^s(\mathbf{k}) = \delta(\mathbf{k})W(\mathbf{k}), \quad (3.3)$$

thus, the real density contrast can be obtained dividing the sampled density contrast with the window function used.

The procedure of convolving with a window function can be seen in a different way, if a point spreading or cloud shape function  $S(x')$ , being  $x'$  the distance from the particle position  $x_i$ , is carried by each particle then the charge assigned to the grid point  $x_p$  is given by the overlap of the shape function within the cubic cell  $p$ :

$$W(x) = \int \Pi\left(\frac{x'}{H}\right) S(x' - x) dx',$$

where  $\Pi(x)$  is the top hot function and  $H = L/N$  is the size of a cell.

There are 3 commonly used schemes for the mass assignment, nearest grid point, cloud in cell and triangular shaped cloud Hockney & Eastwood (1988). For each case we are going to consider a one dimensional window function. The sencond and third are first and second order distribution schemes respectively, hence each of them is a better approximation than the previous one.

- 1. Nearest grid point (NGP):** The first scheme considers that the particle mass is assigned to the cell where the particle falls, each cell is centered in a grid point, therefore

the particle is assigned to the nearest grid point. Let us see this in more detail using two different interpretations Hockney & Eastwood (1988). If a cloud shape interpretation is used, the particle shape would be a Dirac delta function that would be assigned to the specific cell where particle falls in as shown in the left figure of 3.4. Other interpretation could be considered, where the window function would be a top hat function centered in the particle. In this scenario, the value assigned to grid point would be the one that top hat function would get when evaluated in that grid point as shown in right figure of 3.4.

$$\begin{aligned} W_{NGP}(x) &= \Pi\left(\frac{x}{H}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{H} \Pi\left(\frac{x}{H}\right) * \delta\left(\frac{x}{H}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{H} \Pi\left(\frac{x}{H}\right) * S\left(\frac{x}{H}\right) \end{aligned}$$

This window function in the fourier space is

$$W_{NGP}(k) = \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\pi k}{2k_N}\right)$$

where  $k_N$  is the Nyquist frequency.




Figure 3.4: Left: the cloud shape interpretation where the Dirac function is assigned to the particle grid. Right: it shows the window function interpretation where the top hat function evaluated in the grid point would give the mass assigned to it.  $x$  is the position of the particle and the indexes  $p - 1$ ,  $p$  and  $p + 1$  are contiguous cells.

2. **Cloud in cell (CIC):** This scheme assumes that the mass of a specific particle assigned to a grid point is given by the overlap of a cell with a size  $H$  centered in the particle with the cell centered any the grid point. Then, the particle not only contributes to the cell where it falls in, but also to some of the 26 neighbour cells. This explanation is shown in the left figure of 3.5. According to the window function, other

interpretation, a "triangle" function  $\Lambda(x)$  centered in the particle and with length  $H$ , represents the window function for CIC. It is evaluated in contiguous grid points, the cells position: the one where particle falls in and the neighbour ones. Thus, it is found the contribution of the mass to every one of them as shown in the right figure of 3.5.

$$\begin{aligned} W_{CIC}(x) &= \Lambda\left(\frac{x}{H}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{H} \Pi\left(\frac{x}{H}\right) * \Pi\left(\frac{x}{H}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{H} \Pi\left(\frac{x}{H}\right) * S\left(\frac{x}{H}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This window function in the Fourier space is:

$$W_{CIC}(k) = \text{sinc}^2\left(\frac{\pi k}{2k_N}\right).$$

hence, the Fourier transform of the CIC window function is the square of the Fourier transform of the NGP window function.




Figure 3.5: Left: CIC cloud shape function and the intersection between the cell centered in the particle with the cells provides the contribution of the mass to every cell. Right: it shows a "triangle" function that is evaluated in every grid point to find the charge contribution to the cell.  $x$  is the position of the particle and the indexes  $p - 1$ ,  $p$  and  $p + 1$  are contiguous cells.

**3. Triangular shaped cloud (TSC):** This scheme is as the two previously presented but the cloud shape and window function change. As it happens with CIC, TSC contributes to different cells, not only the one where it falls in. Both interpretations are shown in the figure 3.6. Next the expression to calculate the mass contribution to a specific cell is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{TSC}(x) &= \frac{1}{H} \Lambda\left(\frac{x}{H}\right) * \Pi\left(\frac{x}{H}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{H} \Pi\left(\frac{x}{H}\right) * S\left(\frac{x}{H}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The Fourier transform of the window function is,

$$W_{TSC}(k) = \text{sinc}^3\left(\frac{\pi k}{2k_N}\right),$$

hence, the Fourier transform of the TSC window function is the cubic of the Fourier transform of the NGP window function Hockney & Eastwood (1988).




Figure 3.6: Left: it shows the cloud shape function, a "triangle" function and the overlap with the cells provides the value of the charge assigned to every cell. Right: it shows the window function interpretation, where the particle carries with a function that evaluated in every grid point gives the contribution to the specific cell.  $x$  is the position of the particle and the indexes  $p - 1$ ,  $p$  and  $p + 1$  are contiguous cells.

Hence, each successively higher order assignment function is obtained by convolving the previous assignment function with  $\frac{1}{H} \Pi\left(\frac{x}{H}\right)$ .

From a one dimensional window function can be obtained the three dimensional one, simply as the multiplication of the three one dimensional ones. This last asseveration is valid due to the grid used is regular.

Thus, for every cell contained in the cosmological box a value of the convolved density field is calculated using a specific mass assignment scheme.

## 3.4 Power spectrum in cosmological simulations

The density perturbations of the ensemble, convolved density field for every cell of the box, allow to calculate the power spectrum as shown in equation 2.17, an ensemble average for every mode  $\kappa$ . Since this is a statistical measure in the Fourier space let us see the Fourier transform in more detail Montesano et al. (2010).

### 3.4.1 Fourier transform

The Fourier transform is defined for this work with the next convention:

$$F(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^3x f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \quad (3.4)$$

with  $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$  being the wave number vector. The inverse fourier transform as:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^3\kappa}{(2\pi)^3} F(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) e^{i\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\mathbf{x}}. \quad (3.5)$$

The convolution of the functions  $g(\mathbf{x})$  and  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is defined as follows:

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = |\mathbf{g} * \mathbf{f}|(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}') \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') d^3\mathbf{x}',$$

but the Fourier transform of  $h(\mathbf{x})$  is:

$$H(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) = G(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) F(\boldsymbol{\kappa}),$$

this is known as the convolution theorem Jeong (2010). It is used in our work since the fourier transform of the window function convolved with the density field (equation 3.2) allow us to obtain the real density field. From equation 3.3,

$$\delta(\mathbf{k}) = \delta^s(\mathbf{k}) / W(\mathbf{k}).$$

In the situation we are dealing with, the function  $F(\boldsymbol{\kappa})$  is only sampled at evenly spaced intervals ( $N^3$  frequencies totally) since we only know  $f(\mathbf{x})$  in  $N^3$  points

$$F(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) = \begin{cases} F(\kappa_F \mathbf{n}_\kappa) & \mathbf{n}_\kappa = (i, j, k) \in Z^3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\kappa_F = 2\pi/L$  is the fundamental frequency Jeong (2010).

Due to the functions are only sampled in specific points, the integrals defined in equations 3.4 and 3.5 can be approximated to the discrete Fourier transform. Let us express them in terms of the density fluctuations in real and Fourier space because they are the ones of interest for our work:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\boldsymbol{\kappa}_p) &= H^3 \sum_{n_p} \delta(\mathbf{r}_p) e^{-i\boldsymbol{\kappa}_p \cdot \mathbf{x}_p}, \\ \delta(\mathbf{r}_p) &= \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_p} \delta(\boldsymbol{\kappa}_p) e^{i\boldsymbol{\kappa}_p \cdot \mathbf{x}_p}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H = L/N$  is the separation of the grid in the real space,  $\kappa_p = k_F \mathbf{n}_p$  and  $\mathbf{n}_p = (i, j, k)$  with each index varying from  $-N/2 \leq i, j, k \leq N/2$ . The function  $\delta(\mathbf{r}_p)$  is sampled in the points  $\mathbf{r}_p$  and  $\delta(\kappa_p)$  in the points  $\kappa_p$ . Therefore, the Fourier space is divided into small cells,  $N$  cubes of size  $\kappa_g = 2\pi/H$  per dimension as it was done for the simulation.

Furthermore, the extreme values for  $\mathbf{n}_p$  correspond to Nyquist critical frequency:

$$\kappa_N = \pi \frac{N}{L} = \frac{\pi}{H},$$

so  $-\kappa_N < k < \kappa_N$ . A phenomenon called aliasing appears when a continuous function is sampled and is not bandwidth limited to a frequency smaller than  $\kappa_N$ . It consists in a folding over or aliasing of the frequencies that fall outside the range as shown in figure 3.7.




Figure 3.7: Aliasing effect for  $H(f)$  sampled with a space interval  $\Delta$ . Frequencies outside the frequency range are included into the range because of the discrete sampling of the function. Figure taken from Press et al. (2007).

To perform the discrete Fourier transform of the sampled density field was used the free library FFTW, where a fast Fourier discrete transformation (FFT) is implemented. Because of algorithmic details of the FFT the fourier coefficients are ordered in the following manner

$$\kappa_l(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi}{L}i & \text{if } i = 0, \dots, \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{2\pi}{L}(-N + i) & \text{if } i = \frac{N}{2} + 1, \dots, N - 1, \end{cases}$$

where the subindex  $l$  stands for  $x, y$  or  $z$  coordinate. The library has different routines, one is a complex to complex function, this is, performs a Fourier transformation of a sampled complex function. Other one, named real to complex routine, takes the real samples of a function to find the Fourier transformation. The last one uses the Hermitian condition that allows to improve the calculation in speed and memory usage Jing (2005),

$$\delta_\kappa(-\mathbf{n}_\kappa) = \delta_\kappa^*(\mathbf{n}_\kappa),$$

where the superscript \* denotes complex conjugate. In both cases a normalization must be taken into consideration, this can be noticed in the relation between the transformed density field obtained with FFTW and the sampled space density field,

$$\delta^{FFT W}(\mathbf{n}_k) = \sum_{r_p} \delta(\mathbf{r}_p) e^{-i\boldsymbol{\kappa}_p \cdot \mathbf{r}_p} = \frac{\delta(\boldsymbol{\kappa}_p)}{H^3},$$

with the last expression and the definition of PS given in equation 2.17, the power spectrum from FFTW is given by Jeong (2010),

$$P(\kappa_F n_1) = \frac{H^6 k_F^3}{(2\pi)^3} \langle \delta^{FFT W}(\mathbf{n}_1) \delta^{FFT W}(-\mathbf{n}_1) \rangle = \frac{V}{N^6} \langle |\delta^{FFT W}(\mathbf{n}_1)|^2 \rangle, \quad (3.6)$$

this is the power spectrum estimator that is used throughout this work.

### 3.4.2 PS calculation

To calculate the power spectrum, the next steps are followed

- 1) From a cosmological box of size  $L$ , a grid with  $N^3$  subdivisions is performed creating cells of volume  $H^3$ .
- 2) The sampled space density field is created using a specific window function, mass of particles are assigned to the grid.
- 3) With FFTW software, the FT of the sampled space density field is calculated.
- 4) To deconvolve and eliminate the aliasing effect  $P(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) \equiv |\delta(\boldsymbol{\kappa})|^2$  is divided by the next window function, at each grid point or equivalently each cell,

$$W(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi \kappa_i}{2\kappa_N} \right) \right],$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\kappa} = (\kappa_x, \kappa_y, \kappa_z)$  as proposed in Jeong & Komatsu (2009).

- 5) The amount  $P(\kappa)$  is calculated taking the spherical average of  $P(\kappa)$  corrected inside the shell  $\kappa - \Delta\kappa/2 < |\kappa| < \kappa + \Delta\kappa/2$ .

### 3.5 Correlation functions in cosmological simulations

In practice, to calculate in a cosmological simulation the correlation function  $a$  at distance  $r$ , it has to be performed an average of the number of neighbours per particle at a given scale or the binned comoving separation. In this direction correlation function estimators can be used, one of the most basic ones is shown below. Two catalogues are considered for this estimator, one is the properties of the particles of the box, data-data catalogue (DD) and the second one is generated randomly with at least the same number of particles and the same size of the box, random-random catalogue (RR). The DD catalogue should have regions with more or less clustering than a homogeneous distribution, this is precisely the RR catalogue role, a way to measure how much the DD catalogue deviates from the homogenous distribution Pons-Borderia et al. (1999).

From this estimator is easier to notice that  $\xi(r)$  is a measure of excess or deficiency of clustering at  $r$  making it more intuitive,

$$1 + \xi(r) = \frac{\eta_{DD}(r)}{\eta_{RR}(r)},$$

here  $\eta_{RR}(r)$  is the number of pairs of particles at a distance  $r$  in the catalogue DD and  $\eta_{RR}(r)$  is the number of pairs of particles at a distance  $r$  in the catalogue RR.

Other common estimators also need an additional catalogue, the data-random, where the pair of particles would not only include the DD or RR but a mixed catalogue containing both arrays, making more robust the estimator proposed Borderia et al. (1999):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Landy-Szalay Estimator} \quad \xi_{LS}(r) &= 1 + \frac{DD(r)}{RR(r)} \left( \frac{N_R}{N} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{DR(r)}{RR(r)} \left( \frac{N_R}{N} \right), \\ \text{Hamilton Estimator} \quad \xi_{HAM}(r) &= \frac{DD(r)RR(r)}{DR(r)^2} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $N_R$  is the number of points of the RR catalogue and  $N$  of the DD catalogue.

#### 3.5.1 Correlation function calculation

To calculate the correlation function the Landy-Szalay estimator is used, the next rough steps are followed to calculate it

- 1) A catalogue of  $N_R$  particles is generated randomly in a cubic box of size L.
- 2) For a bin around  $r$  the magnitude of the distances between DD, RR and DR particles are found, that way, pairs of galaxies that are at a distance  $r$  for every catalogue are obtained. Finally, using Landy-Szalay estimator the correlation function is calculated for each radial bin.



# CHAPTER 4

---

## Results

---

In this chapter, the questions initially stated are going to be studied in detail, looking forward to answer them. Though, there are some questions, the central one consists in finding if there is any difference in BAO properties when the scale of the tracer halo population is changed. A possible way to account for this, it is to study the clustering at BAO scales for such halo populations. That is precisely what is proposed in this work. One statistical tool that will be used to study clustering were already explained in chapter 3, the correlation function will provide our main results.

Now, using this tool, it will be studied the simulation Multidark Planck (MDPL). It belongs to the MultiDark database in which Planck parameters were used (see table 2.1). The characteristics of MDPL simulation are a box length of  $L = 1\text{Gpc}/h$ , a number of particles equal to  $3840^3$  and a mass resolution of  $1.51 \times 10^9 M_\odot/h$ . Furthermore, the MDPL simulation has available a halo catalogue constructed with Friend of Friends algorithm and a linking length of 0.2<sup>1</sup>. It is constructed several populations from this halo catalog, where the mass of the halos is used to classify them. This is more clear in the table 4.1 where each mass range for the four different populations constructed are shown. They are going to be called the thick bins.

But, the populations have a range mass that is arbitrary, so the results obtained can have some sort of bias. To avoid this, it will be studied in further detail the effect that the size of the mass bins have in the results, i.e., the mass range considered. The bin size could be

---

<sup>1</sup> Data taken from <https://www.cosmosim.org/cms/simulations/MDPL/>

MDPL population	Mass range $M_{\odot}/h$	Number of halos
Pop 4	$M \geq 1 \times 10^{14}$	32,436
Pop 3	$1 \times 10^{13} \leq M < 1 \times 10^{14}$	443,356
Pop 2	$1 \times 10^{12} \leq M < 1 \times 10^{13}$	3,687,677
Pop 1	$1 \times 10^{11} \leq M < 1 \times 10^{12}$	32,868,688

Table 4.1: Populations constructed from MDPL halo catalogue for  $z=0$ .

“masking” information contained for smaller mass ranges.

Hence, there are other subsamples created from every thick bin. Four populations are constructed for the population 1, each of them has the same number of halos. They are labelled as  $q_i$  with  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ , where  $q_1$  is the population nearer to population 2. Likewise, for the population 2 other four populations are built where the same labelling is used, where  $q_1$  is the population nearer to population 3 and  $q_4$  is the population nearer to population 1. They will be called quarter populations or thin populations. Their mean masses in logarithmic scale are: quartiles for population 1:  $10^{11.77}, 10^{11.39}, 10^{11.19}$  and  $10^{11.06} M_{\odot}/h$ , quartiles for population 2:  $10^{12.75}, 10^{12.39}, 10^{12.19}$  and  $10^{12.06} M_{\odot}/h$ . There was another reason to construct such populations, the correlation function found for the population 2 has an irregular shape around values of BAO peak.

Concluding, all of these subdivisions are created to study the effect of the scale of the populations on the BAO signal. This is found to be related with the nonlinear gravitational effects, i.e. for smaller mass halos there is a coupling among different density modes, that is not so strong for larger scales.

This lead us to other experiment, to revise if there is a difference in the BAO properties found for the same cosmological box but for  $z = 1$ , where nonlinear gravitational effects should be less prominent. In table 4.2, we show the halo populations used for  $z = 1$ . The same procedure that will be exposed for the populations with  $z = 0$  is followed for  $z = 1$ , but we are going to concentrate in the first redshift where a deeper study was performed.

## 4.1 Correlation functions for MDPL populations

To compute the correlation function, it was implemented a parallel C code that uses MPI. There are several important quantities in order to run the code and obtain the correlation function for a specific population: the random sample factor  $N_r$ , the minimum radial value




Figure 4.1: The correlation functions for the populations: (a) 1 with  $N_{random} = 10$ , (b) population 2 with  $N_{random} = 10$ , (c) population 3 with  $N_{random} = 10$  and population 4 with  $N_{random} = 40$ . For each population 3 runs with 12 bins were performed.

MDPL population	Mean Mass $M_{\odot}/h$	Number of halos
9	$1 \times 10^{13.5}$	8,462
8	$1 \times 10^{13}$	66,473
7	$1 \times 10^{12.5}$	326,163
6	$1 \times 10^{12}$	1,258,759
5	$1 \times 10^{11.5}$	4,333,890

Table 4.2: Populations constructed from MDPL halo catalogue for  $z = 1$ .

$R_{min}$ , the maximum radial value  $R_{max}$ , the radial number of bins  $N_{bins}$ , and the number of particles of the population  $N_{part}$ . The random sample factor accounts for the size of the random-random catalogue, the total population for the RR catalogue is  $N_r N_{part}$ . The quantities  $R_{min}$  and  $R_{max}$  define a range where correlation function is found. For each range, or equivalently, each shell between  $R_{min}$  and  $R_{max}$ , the correlation function is computed measuring distances among the particles. Specifically, it was estimated using the LS estimator shown in section 3.5.

In the figure 4.1, the correlation function for every population is shown. The random factor used is not the same for each case, it diminish with the bigger populations since it would be too expensive computationally to use a big enough value for all of them. For each population, three different runs were performed with the same  $N_r$  and  $N_b = 12$ . The first one used  $R_{min} = 20$  and  $R_{max} = 200 \text{ Mpc}/h$ , the second one  $R_{min} = 25$  and  $R_{max} = 205 \text{ Mpc}/h$  and the last one  $R_{min} = 30$  and  $R_{max} = 210 \text{ Mpc}/h$ . In this way the noise in the final correlation function plotted per population is reduced. This is, if only one run from  $R_{min} = 20$  to  $R_{max} = 210 \text{ Mpc}/h$  with bins width of  $5 \text{ Mpc}/h$  would have been performed, less data would have been used to calculate the correlation function per bin compared with the 3 runs performed, making more robust the results in the last scenario.

For figures 4.1, the correlation function obtained has a similar shape in each case. There is a bump around  $\sim 105 \text{ Mpc}/h$  that corresponds to the BAO peak for every correlation function. But, for each particular population, there is a difference in the amplitude of the correlation function. The more massive halo populations have a larger amplitude compared with the lower ones. This is more clear from the maximum value obtained in each case.

Further, in figure 4.1, it is shown an error bar. The error was calculated using ten realizations, i.e., it was calculated the correlation function using the same parameters. But,

since the random catalog changes in every run, the final correlation function obtained is not equal. The standard deviation found using the realizations are the values used for the error bars.

#### 4.1.1 Random Sampling and Number of particles

Since the random sampling factor is intended to reduce the shot noise, it is important to study its impact on the calculation of the correlation function (CF). A first exercise in this direction is displayed in the figure 4.2 for the population 4, the correlation function was calculated for 3 different random sampling factors. There is something important to highlight, there were performed ten realizations per  $N_r$  and the CFs shown are the mean of these realizations. From figure 4.2, we see that there is no significant change in the CF obtained due to the random sampling number, not at least for radial values smaller than 150  $Mpc/h$ . For bigger values, the CF with a smaller random sampling factor behavior becomes a little bit noisier but this scales are not of our interest.

If the same exercise is repeated with specific realizations instead of the mean CFs, more fluctuations are introduced producing a more notorious difference for scales larger than 150  $Mpc/h$ .




Figure 4.2: Correlation function for population 4 with different random factor numbers. Using the factor  $r^2$  the BAO bump is more notorious.

Other exercise performed to find the effect of the  $N_r$  on the CF is shown in the figure 4.3. In this case, for a thick population,  $10^{14.5} M_\odot/h$  several realizations with the same

characteristics were performed except by the  $N_r$  used. The two values for  $N_r$  are 50 and 100 with equal number of realizations per calculation. The plotted line in each CF corresponds to the mean of the realizations. The xrange was reduced to see in more detail the bump of the BAO. The dispersion of the CFs values for the two  $N_r$  values is very similar. Hence, there is no change in the CF estimation because of  $N_r$  value. Though the left figure appears with more points per bin, this is caused because of the difference in the number of realizations done.




Figure 4.3: Correlation function for population 14.5 with two  $N_r$  values. The left panel displays 50 different CFs and the right one 100 different CFs.

There was another factor that has to be considered, the number of particles taken for the correlation function calculation. Since there is a large number of particles for the population 1 and 2, there is not enough computational resources to run the program, hence it is necessary to use a subsample representative of all of population. Now, the idea is to study the effect it has on the estimation of the correlation function. In the figure 4.4 is shown for the population 1 the correlation function obtained for two different subsamples, one is around 7.6% of the total population and the other one is around 15.2% percentage of the total population. Both correlation functions coincide for ranges lower to 80  $Mpc/h$ , in the region where BAO.

## 4.2 Correlation function fit

A first step toward obtaining the BAO signal and its properties from the CF, it is to make a fit precisely of the CF. In the figure 4.5 the CF for different populations are shown, the label used for them is *data*. As it can be seen the  $y$  axis corresponds to  $r^2\xi(r)$  since it allows to visualize better the BAO bump.




Figure 4.4: Correlation function for two different subsamples of population population 1 .

The CF function fits that we are finding do not try to reproduce the bumps observed in the correlation function. Because of this, not all the points obtained for a CF estimation were used to make the fit, only those ones that do not fall in the bumps.

The fit of the points were adjusted to the function

$$f(r) = r^2 \xi(r) = \frac{r^\lambda}{a_0} \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $a_0$  are the parameters found with the fit. The theoretical function used to adjust  $\xi(r)$  was already shown in 2.19. Since it is a power law function, an easier way to carry out the fit is in logarithmic scale. In this way, it is performed a linear fit:  $ar + b$ . But, the values of the CF can be negative. Then, to avoid any trouble a  $\Delta$  value is summed to the CF to get only positive values before performing the fit.

When the coefficients  $a$  and  $b$  are obtained, we can recover the initial parameters  $\lambda$  and  $a_0$  by considering the fact that  $\gamma = a$  and  $a_0 = \exp(-b)$ . Now, replacing the parameters in the expression 4.1 and subtracting the amount  $\Delta$ , the CF can be plotted.

This procedure is repeated to obtain the fit for every population and all of its realizations. In the figure 4.5 some of the fits obtained for the samples are displayed. Here, one important thing is to get a measure of the robustness of the fit. Hence, error bars are calculated using the different realizations of the CF, i.e., the standard deviation is obtained. The error bars are shown for every figure of 4.5 but because of the similarities of the fits they are almost no




Figure 4.5: The correlation functions for populations thick 1, 2, 3 and 4 are displayed from the upper left to the lower right. For each population 3 runs with 12 bins were carried out.

visible. So the CF fits are considered robust enough.

In all of the CFs in 4.5 there are visible two bumps, the first one corresponds to the BAO since it agrees with the value observed of BAO peak as it was shown in figure 2.5. Also the position coincides with the BAO position measured for galaxy clusters, a mass range we are considering in our populations.

Something to highlight is that in the population  $q_2 \geq 1 \times 10^{12} M_\odot/h$  there is no separation of this two bumps, making more difficult to recognize the BAO signal. It also happens with the population 2 not shown in this figure. This was precisely the reason to study in more detail the effect of the mass bins in the CF estimation and thus the BAO bump.

#### 4.2.1 BAO fit

Since the measure of our interest is the BAO bump, it becomes necessary to extract it from the CF and thus to be able to obtain the properties of BAO we are looking for to analyse. In this direction, a correlation function model can be useful

$$CF(r) = \xi(r) - \Delta + GF(r, A, \mu, \sigma) \quad (4.2)$$

the term  $\xi(r)$  corresponds to the theoretical form shown in 2.19, for us it is recovered from the CF fit divided by  $r^2$ . The second term is the one that it is summed to the CF as was explained in the previous section, so it must be subtracted here. The function  $GF$  is a gaussian fit that reproduces the BAO shape recovered from the correlation function.

$$GF(r, A, \mu, \sigma) = Ae^{-(r-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)}$$

This model where BAO is fitted with a gaussian function is proposed in Smith et al. (2008).

Then, the next steps are followed to recover the BAO bump for every population. The median of the realizations is taken as the principal CF and the standard deviation is obtained through the realizations.

- 1) The term  $\Delta$  is subtracted from the CF fit. After the function is divided by  $r^2$  obtaining  $\xi(r)$ .
- 2) The function  $\xi(r)$  is subtracted from the estimated CF leaving the signal of the two bumps.
- 3) Only the points corresponding to the first bump located around  $105 Mpc/h$  are selected.

- 4) A gaussian fit of the first bump is performed. Only the more central points of the signal are considered since the outer ones are the noiser parts of the signal. This noise could be diminished using more realizations per population.
- 5) The fit is also performed for all the realizations, the same points considered for the BAO signal fit of the mean CF are taken for the remaining realizations.
- 6) The parameters amplitude  $A$ , mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$  are obtained for every population and the realizations.

The parameters that characterizes the BAO bump are the amplitude  $A$ , the position  $\mu$  and the width  $2\sigma$ . In the figure 4.6 some fits of the BAO signal are shown. It can be noticed that the points that correspond to the BAO bump have a gaussian like distribution. The Gaussian fits performed are also shown with the parameters found. It can be noticed a good coincidence between the data and the fit obtained. The error bars displayed were obtained through the BAO fits performed for the different realizations, with them the standard deviation per population was found.

For every plot displayed in the figure 4.6, there is a curve labeled as B spline. This fit was obtained using basis splines. It has a different behavior than the one observed for the Gaussian fit. At least in general terms, it fits better the BAO signal recovered. Furthermore, there is a difference in the main peaks of the two fits performed, Gaussian and basis spline fit. Despite the difference between the peaks, the functional form obtained for the properties is similar. This will be shown in the next section.

### 4.3 BAO properties in the populations of MDPL

As mentioned previously, the BAO peak is clearly detected for every population and the fit to the BAO signal was properly calculated using a Gaussian function. Let us see the situation in more detail. There are different populations, each of them have the ranges in mass shown in the beginning of this chapter.

For the thick bins, we are considering per population, more massive halos each time. That way, we are be able to analyze if there is an effect on the properties of BAO obtained for each population. It is important to take into account that more massive halos trace higher density peaks in the matter density field. This should lead, in principle, to a stronger correlation in the most massive populations compared with the less massive ones. Thus, a better detection




Figure 4.6: BAO signal for population 4, 3,  $q_4$  of  $1e12$  and  $q_1$  of  $1e11$  from upper left to lower right.

of the BAO signal. In the left figure 4.7 an increase of the amplitude of the BAO for more massive halos is obtained as precisely expected for a stronger correlation.




Figure 4.7: In the left panel the amplitude of BAO in function of the mass of the thick populations is shown. In the right one the position of BAO in function of the mass of the thick population is displayed.

The initial position of the BAO depends on the sound horizon scale as mentioned in 2.20, but as explained in the model exposed in 2.7.1, this position changes due to nonlinear effects. Now, the plot in the left of 4.7 shows the position of the BAO in function of the halo mass. It can be noticed a decrease in the value of the position as the halo mass increase. This behavior could be expected due to nonlinear gravitational collapse. The velocity field causes a movement of the BAO peak to bigger scales for the nonlinear regime. In this case, this corresponds to the smaller halo masses for which the density modes are coupled among them. The porcentual difference is around 3.8%. This is precisely of the same order of magnitude found in Smith et al. (2008). This result contributes in a different way as Smith et al. (2008) since they consider cosmological boxes with a very small resolution  $640^3$  in contrast to the populations used in this study. Furthermore, we study the BAO behavior for a more extended mass range and this could let us see the effect of BAO properties in the nonlinear range.

In order to understand our results, lets propose a toy model that may help to see what is happening on the BAO. Consider a single density perturbation that is surrounded by a BAO as it is shown in the figure of 4.9 *a*. Here, we are going to analyse the behavior of the BAO properties due to nonlinear effects. As the system evolves, the velocity field causes changes in the BAO properties. To analyse what happens, we make a separation by halo mass and analyse the difference in the velocity field in each case. Now, consider the right figure of 4.9 where a toy density profile is shown and separated in two parts. The left curve is the one




Figure 4.8: Width of BAO versus the massss of the thick populations.

halo term and the right curve is the BAO signal. The one halo term is esentially the density profile of a halo and it is affected by the dispersion of velocities. This dispersion causes a broadening of the profile. Hence, a similar behavior is expected for the BAO signal. This is, the halos in the BAO signal have a dispersion of velocities that causes a broadening. But, the halo mass considered changes the broadening. In this scenario, when we are considering halo populations with smaller masses, it is expected a bigger dispersion of the velocities compared with the populations with bigger masses. This occurs due to more massive halos are harder to move, i.e., they move closer to the ordered linear flows. Thus the deviation from the mean velocity is not so big. At least, compared with the smaller halos that can have a wider range of velocities. This idea is supported by the distribution of velocities observed in different populations as shown in the figure 4.10, where we see that for larger halo masses populations the velocity dispersion is smaller. This is in accordance with the toy model proposed. In the figure 4.10 is also seen a bigger mean velocity for larger masses populations.

The previous discussion is a possible explanation of what it is seen in the figure 4.8 where the width of the BAO decreases with more massive halos. But, the behavior of the population 2 does not follow this tendency. Because of this, the quarter and thin populations were created to study in more detail the effect of the mass bins in the properties measure of BAO.

The BAO properties obtained for all the populations, except  $1 \times 10^{11}$  and  $1 \times 10^{12}$ , are plotted in the figures 4.11 and 4.12. It is noticed that when it is used thiner masses bins, we do not recover the same tendency observed in the previous figures. Let us start with the




Figure 4.9: a) Scheme of a BAO. b)Profile that includes one halo term and the BAO signal.

left figure of 4.11. In this case, there is still a tendency of the amplitude to increase with an increase of the halo mass. Though, for masses smaller than  $\sim 10^{12.4} M_{\odot}/h$ , there is a more irregular behavior compared with the one obtained for the thicker bins.

Now, in the right figure of 4.11, blue curve, it is not recovered the same behavior as 4.8. But, for halo masses bigger than  $\sim 10^{12.4} M_{\odot}/h$ , the width of the BAO decreases with mass. This was expected as explained for 4.8. For masses smaller than  $\sim 10^{12.4} M_{\odot}/h$ , the nonlinear effects causes that there is no tendency of the data. The red curve shown in the same figure corresponds to the width in function of the halo mass for  $z = 1$ . It has a better behavior since nonlinear effects are not so strong. Hence, it can be noticed that because of the structure evolution between  $z = 1$  and  $z = 0$ , the coupling among different density modes becomes more notorious.

Figure 4.12, shows the position of the BAO vs the halo mass. In the case of the solid blue line, the populations considered are those ones that belong to  $z = 0$ , with the properties recovered using the Gaussian fit. To analyse it, let us again divide the figure in two regions. For halo masses bigger than  $\sim 10^{12.4} M_{\odot}/h$ , a similar behavior compared with 4.7, i.e., a decrease in the BAO position with the increase of the halo mass. As was previously mentioned, this behavior is expected as explained in 2.7.1. But, for masses smaller than  $\sim 10^{12.4} M_{\odot}/h$ , it is not observed any specific tendency. It is in this region where the coupling among density modes makes the nonlinear effects too big to extract any possible tendency. Hence, it is out of the purpose of this work to go deeper into the subject. A second curve is the dashed blue line, it corresponds the same populations as the solid blue line. But, in this case, the position of the BAO was recovered using a basis spline fit. This was performed to make the results obtained with the Gaussian BAO fit more robust. Although, there is a difference in the properties recovered, these values are similar and also they behave in a similar way. Thus, the region for masses larger than  $\sim 10^{12.4} M_{\odot}/h$  shows a shift of the BAO position to smaller distances. Hence, this supports the result obtained with the Gaussian fit. The red solid curve




Figure 4.10: Figure with population  $1 \times 10^{11}$  has a mean of  $519.51 \text{ km/sh}$  and it's estimated variance is  $67214 \text{ km/sh}$ . Figure with population  $1 \times 10^{13}$  has a mean of  $501.81 \text{ km/sh}$  and it's estimated variance is  $58720 \text{ km/sh}$ . Figure with population  $1 \times 10^{14}$  has a mean of  $490.21 \text{ km/sh}$  and it's estimated variance is  $53063 \text{ km/sh}$ . It can be seen that the population  $1e14$  has the smaller variance as it is predicted by our model. In the last figure are compared all the populations.

shows the BAO properties recovered for the populations at  $z = 1$ . It can be seen that BAO position does not change significantly because the nonlinear effects are less prominent in this epoch. The last dot of this curve was produced with a population were small, hence this specific result is not so reliable. Similarly, the dashed red line displays the properties found for the same populations as the solid red line, but it recovers the position using a basis spline fit. For both curves, there is not a notorious change in the position of the BAO. Hence, these two curves support the idea that nonlinear effects are causing a shift in the BAO position, not so evident for  $z = 1$ .

Concluding, the properties recovered for the BAO using the thicker bins are behaving as expected but they are possibly "masking" information of smaller mass scales. For this, the thinner bins provided us with a more accurate properties. This lead us to see that for masses




Figure 4.11: In the left panel the amplitude of BAO in function of the mass of all populations is shown. In the right one width of BAO versus the massss of the thick populations.

larger than  $\sim 10^{12.4} M_\odot/h$ , we recovered the expected tendency. But for the smaller masses, the nonlinear effects do not let us extract any specific behavior of the properties. A nonlinear behavior is dominating the BAO properties at these mass scales.

During this chapter the results for two different numerical experiments were performed using a halo catalogue from MDPL simulation. The first case used thick bins for the populations built. It was noticed that BAO properties changed due to the scale of the tracer halo population used. The amplitude increases with larger halo masses due to the bias. The position and width decreases for larger halo masses. The last two results were explained using a toy model that only considered the one halo term and a single BAO contribution for a density profile as shown in the figure 4.9. The second experiment was performed in the same way that the previous one but we built populations using thin bins. A similar behavior was recovered for BAO properties but only for masses larger than  $\sim 10^{12.4} M_\odot/h$ . This lead us to study the BAO properties in two different regions. For larger masses than  $\sim 10^{12.4} M_\odot/h$  were recovered a similar behavior compared with the first experiment. But for smaller masses than  $\sim 10^{12.4} M_\odot/h$ , it was not obtained a specific tendency. We suppose this behavior is caused by stronger nonlinear effects.




Figure 4.12: The position of BAO in function of the mass of the thick population is displayed.



---

## Bibliography

---

- Ade, P., Aghanim, N., & Akrami, e. a. (2015, Jun). Planck 2015 results. XVI. Isotropy and statistics of the CMB. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 594(arXiv:1506.07135), A16. 62 p. Retrieved from <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2028260>
- Anselmi, S., Starkman, G. D., Corasanitii, P.-S., & et al. (2017). The linear point: A cleaner cosmological standard ruler. *arXiv:1703.01275 astro-ph*.
- Baldauf, T., & Desjacques, V. (2017). Phenomenology of baryon acoustic oscillation evolution from lagrangian to eulerian space. *Phys. Rev. D*.
- Bernardeau, F., Colombi, S., GaztaníČaga, E., & Scoccimarro, R. (2001). Large-scale structure of the universe and cosmological perturbation theory.
- Borderia, M. J. P., Martinez, V. J., Stoyan, D., Stoyan, H., & Saar, E. (1999). Comparing estimators of the galaxy correlation function. *The Astrophysical Journal*.
- Crocce, M., & Scoccimarro, R. (2007). Nonlinear evolution of baryon acoustic oscillations.
- Eisenstein, D. J., & Hu, W. (1998). Baryonic features in the matter transfer function.
- Eisenstein, D. J., Zehavi, I., & et al. (2005). Detection of the baryon acoustic peak in the large-scale correlation function of sdss luminous red galaxies.
- Hamuy, M. M. S. N. B. S. R. A. M. J. A. R., Mario; Phillips. (1996). The absolute luminosities of the calan/tololo type ia supernovae. *Astronomical Journal*.
- Hockney, R. W., & Eastwood, J. W. (1988). *Computer simulationusing particles*.

- Hu, W., & Sugiyama, N. (1996). Small-scale cosmological perturbations: An analytic approach.
- Jeong, D. (2010). *Cosmology with high ( $z > 1$ ) redshift galaxy surveys*. Doctoral dissertation, The University of Texas.
- Jeong, D., & Komatsu, E. (2009). Perturbation theory reloaded. ii. nonlinear bias, baryon acoustic oscillations, and millennium simulation in real space.
- Jing, Y. P. (2005). Correcting for the alias effect when measuring the power spectrum using a fast fourier transform. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 620(2), 559.
- Jog, C. (2002). Large-scale asymmetry of rotation curves in lopsided spiral galaxies.
- Klypin, A. (2000a). Numerical simulations in cosmology iii: Dark matter halos.
- Klypin, A. (2000b). Numerical simulations in cosmology ii: Spatial and velocity biases.
- Komatsu, E., Dunkley, J., Nolta, M. R., & Bennett, C. L. (2008). Five-year wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe (wmap) observations: Cosmological interpretation.
- Longair, M. S. (2008). *Galaxy formation* (Second ed.). Springer.
- Martín, C., & Scoccimarro, R. (2008). Nonlinear evolution of baryon acoustic oscillations. *Physical Review D*.
- M. Ivanov, M. (2016). Systematic treatment of non-linear effects in baryon acoustic oscillations. *EPJ Web of Conferences* 125 , 03006.
- Mo, H., van den Bosch, F., & White, S. (2010). *Galaxy formation and evolution*. Cambridge University Press.
- Montesano, F., Sanchez, A. G., & Phleps, S. (2010). A new model for the full shape of the large-scale power spectrum. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 408(4), 2397-2412.
- N.Gorobey, N., S.Lukyanenko, A., & V.Svintsov, M. (2001). On the initial state of the universe in the theory of inflation.
- Padmanabhan, T. (1996). *Cosmology and Astrophysics Through Problems*. Cambridge University Press.

- Pfalzner, S., & Gibbon, P. (1996). *Many-body tree methods in physics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Pons-Borderia, M.-J., Martinez, V. J., Stoyan, D., Stoyan, H., & Saar, E. (1999). Comparing estimators of the galaxy correlation function.
- Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., & Flannery, B. P. (2007). *Numerical recipes 3rd edition: The art of scientific computing* (3rd ed.). New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Robertson, A., Massey, R., & Eke, V. (2016). What does the bullet cluster tell us about self-interacting dark matter?
- Ruiz-Lapuente, P. (2010). *Dark energy: Observational and theoretical approaches*.
- Smith, R. E., Scoccimarro, R., & Sheth, R. K. (2007). Scale dependence of halo and galaxy bias: Effects in real space. *Physical Review D*.
- Smith, R. E., Scoccimarro, R., & Sheth, R. K. (2008). Motion of the acoustic peak in the correlation function. *Phys. Rev. D*.
- Tegmark, M., Eisenstein, D. J., & et al. (2006). Cosmological constraints from the sdss luminous red galaxies.
- Zwicky, F. (2009). Republication of: The redshift of extragalactic nebulae.