## **Supplementary Materials:**

 Table S1. Database search strategy.

Database	Search (March 4th, 2024)
Cochrane Library (Wiley)	1 Title Abstract Keyword MeSH descriptor: [Orthodontic Anchorage Procedures] 2 Title Abstract Keyword "Miniscrew" or "Mini screw" or mini-screw* or miniimplant* or "mini implant" or "Temporary anchorage" or TADs or TAD or "skeletal anchorage" or bone anchorage 3 Title Abstract Keyword Orthodon* 4 Title Abstract Keyword (MeSH descriptor: [Surgery, Computer-Assisted]) OR ("computer navigation system" OR "computer guided surgery" OR "surgical guide" OR "surgical stent" OR "surgical template" OR "accuracy" OR "free-hand" OR "freehand" OR "conventional technique" OR "conventional procedure" OR "conventional surgical guide" OR "conventional free-hand method" OR "radiographic reference" OR "periapical radiograph" OR "panoramic radiograph" OR "radiographic surgical guide" OR "radiographic guide" OR "cast" OR "wire guide" OR "visible guide") (#1 OR #2) AND #3 AND #4
MEDLINE (PubMed)	("Orthodontic Anchorage Procedures" [Mesh] OR (Miniscrew* OR "Mini screw*" OR Mini-screw* OR Mini-implant* OR "Mini implant*" OR "Temporary anchorage*" OR TAD OR TADS OR "skeletal anchorage" OR "bone anchorage")) AND Orthodon* AND ("Surgery, Computer-Assisted" [Mesh] OR "computer navigation system" OR "computer guided surgery" OR "surgical guide" OR "surgical template" OR "Dimensional Measurement Accuracy" [Mesh] OR "accuracy" OR "free-hand" OR "conventional technique*" OR "conventional procedure*" OR "conventional surgical guide*" OR "conventional free-hand method*" OR "radiographic reference*" OR "periapical radiograph*" OR "panoramic radiograph" OR "radiographic surgical guide" OR "radiographic guide" OR "cast*" OR "wire guide" OR "visible guide")
Scopus (Elsevier)	TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Orthodontic Anchorage Procedures" OR Miniscrew* OR "Mini screw*" OR Miniscrew* OR Mini-implant* OR "Mini implant*" OR "Temporary anchorage*" OR TAD OR TADs OR "skeletal anchorage" OR "bone anchorage") AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (Orthodon*) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Surgery, Computer-Assisted" OR "computer navigation system" OR "computer guided surgery" OR "surgical guide" OR "surgical stent" OR "surgical template" OR "dimensional measurement accuracy" OR "accuracy" OR "free-hand" OR "freehand" OR "conventional technique*" OR "conventional procedure*" OR "conventional surgical guide*" OR "conventional free-hand method*" OR "radiographic reference*" OR "periapical radiograph*" OR "panoramic radiograph" OR "radiographic surgical guide" OR "radiographic guide" OR "cast*" OR "wire guide" OR "visible guide")
Web of Science (Clarivate)	((TS=("Orthodontic Anchorage Procedures" OR "Miniscrew*" OR "Mini screw*" OR "Mini-screw*" OR "Mini-screw*" OR "Mini-screw*" OR "Mini-screw*" OR "Mini-screw*" OR "Skeletal anchorage" OR "Bone anchorage") AND TS=(Orthodon*)) AND TS=("Surgery, Computer-Assisted" OR "computer navigation system" OR "computer guided surgery" OR "surgical guide" OR "surgical stent" OR "surgical template" OR "dimensional measurement accuracy" OR "accuracy" OR "free-hand" OR "freehand" OR "conventional technique*" OR "conventional procedure*" OR "conventional surgical guide*" OR "conventional free-hand method*" OR "radiographic reference*" OR "periapical radiograph*" OR "panoramic radiograph" OR "radiographic surgical guide" OR "radiographic guide" OR "cast*" OR "wire guide" OR "visible guide")

Table S2. Summary of Findings (GRADE).

Certainty assessment					№ of patients		Effect					
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	sCAS	Freehand	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
Safety (r	Safety (root contact or damage) - sCAS vs Freehand											
3	non- randomised studies	very serious	not serious	very serious <sup>a</sup>	extremely serious <sup>b</sup>	none	4/114 (3.5%)	26/99 (26.3%)	OR 0.11 (0.04 to 0.36)	225 fewer per 1,000 (from 249 fewer to 149 fewer)	⊕OO Very low <sup>a,b</sup>	IMPORTANT
Safety (r	Safety (root contact or damage) - Radiographic guide vs Freehand											
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>c</sup>	not serious	serious <sup>d</sup>	extremely serious <sup>b</sup>	none	3/20 (15.0%)	0/20 (0.0%)	not estimable		⊕OOO Very low <sup>b,c,d</sup>	IMPORTANT

CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio

## Explanations

sCAS: static Computer-Assisted Surgery

**Table S3.** Excluded studies and reasons for exclusion.

Author	Year	Title	Exclusion reason		
Al-Suleiman et al.	2011	AUSOM: A 3D placement guide for orthodontic mini-implants	No deviation outcome		
Antunes et al.	2017	Three dimensional virtual planning through cone beam computed tomography for surgical guidance production	No miniscrew inserted		
Bufalá et al.	2021	Novel digital technique to analyze the influence of the operator experience on the accuracy of the orthodontic micro-screws placement	No guided insertion		
Chen et al.	2011	Selection and application of multi-slice CT 3D reconstruction techniques in assisting mini-implant ahchorage implant surgery	No deviation outcome		
Choi et al.	2007	A precise wire guide for positioning interradicular miniscrews	Technique description		
Chun et al.	2009	The interdental gingiva, a visible guide for placement of mini-implants	No miniscrew in- serted		
Cui et al.	2022	Effect of a digital guide on the positional accuracy of intermaxillary fixation screw implantation in orthograthic surgery	No deviation outcome		
Estelita et al.	2010	Two-dimensional radiographic and clinical references of the tooth crown for orthodontic mini-implant insertion: A guide free technique	No deviation outcome		
Kim et al.	2007	Surgical positioning of orthodontic mini-implants with guides fabricated on models replicated with cone-beam computed tomography	Technique description		
Kim et al.	2008	Clinical application of a stereolithographic surgical guide for simple positioning of orthodontic mini-implants	Less than 10 miniscrews inserted		
Kim et al.	2010	Cone-beam computed tomography evaluation of mini-implants after placement: is root proximity a major risk factor for failure?	No guided insertion		
Kirnbauer et al.	2019	Fully guided placement of orthodontic miniscrews - a technical report	No interradicular miniscrew		
Landin et al.	2015	A comparative study between currently used methods and small volume- cone beam tomography for surgical placement of mini implants	No deviation outcome		
Liu et al.	2019	The effect of a new modified screwdriver in orthodontic	No guided insertion		
Ludwig et al.	2022	Accuracy of sterile and non-sterile CAD/CAM insertion guides for orthodontic mini-implants	No interradicular miniscrew		
Matzenbacker et al.	2008	The accuracy of radiographic techniques used for vertical localization of mini-implants fixture placement	No miniscrew in- serted		

a. Includes pre-clinical studies (in vitro, animal, cadaver), which may not directly represent clinical settings. Lack of studies on dynamic Computer-Assisted Surgery (dCAS) reduces the applicability to newer techniques.
b. Limited sample size
c. This study was rated as having "some concerns" in the Risk of Bias Assessement using the Cochrane Collaboration tool.
d. Low external validity

Miyazawa et al.	2010	Accurate pre-surgical determination for self-drilling miniscrew implant	No deviation outcome		
·		placement using surgical guides and cone-beam computed tomography			
Morea et al.	2005	Surgical guide for optimal positioning of mini-implants	Technique description		
Paek et al.	2014	Virtually fabricated guide for placement of the C-tube miniplate	Technique description		
Paek et al.	2012	A simple customized surgical guide for orthodontic miniplates with tube	Technique description		
Präger et al.	2008	Application of a computer navigation system for the placement of orthodontic anchorage screws	No interradicular miniscrew		
Riad et al.	2022	Novel digital technique to analyze the accuracy and intraoperative complications of orthodontic self-tapping and self-drilling microscrews placement techniques: An in vitro study	No guided insertion		
Rodriguez et al.	2021	Influence of the Computer-Aided Static Navigation Technique on the Accuracy of the Orthodontic Micro-Screws Placement: An In Vitro Study	Duplicated sample		
Suzuki et al.	2005	An adjustable surgical guide for miniscrew placement	Technique description		
Suzuki et al.	2007	A simple three-dimensional guide for safe miniscrew placement	Technique description		
Takahashia et al.	2018	Modified surgical stent for accurate TAD placement	Technique description		
Wang et al.	2017	Developing customized dental miniscrew surgical template from thermoplastic polymer material using image superimposition, CAD system, and 3D printing	Less than 10 miniscrews inserted		
Wu et al.	2006	Radiographic and surgical template for placement of orthodontic microimplants in interradicular areas: a technical note	No deviation outcome		
Yu et al.	2018	Customized surgical template fabrication under biomechanical consideration by integrating CBCT image, CAD system and finite element analysis.	Less than 10 miniscrews inserted		

<sup>3</sup>D: three dimensional; CBCT: cone beam computer tomography; CAD/CAM: computer-aided design and manufacturing; TAD: temporary anchorage devices.