

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262286846>

Implementation of Allen's interval logic with the semantic web

Conference Paper · October 2013

DOI: 10.1145/2536146.2536181

CITATIONS

0

READS

43

6 authors, including:



Hamdi Aloulou

Institut Mines-Télécom

38 PUBLICATIONS 269 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Jos De Roo

AGFA HealthCare

64 PUBLICATIONS 568 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Stéphane Renouard

handco, Paris Area, France

17 PUBLICATIONS 93 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Ambient Intelligence - eHealth [View project](#)



Capturing Behavior Change toward Better Adaptation of Services for Elderly People [View project](#)

Implementation of Allen's Interval Logic with the Semantic Web

Romain Endelin
Institut Mines-Télécom,
LIRMM,
France
romain.endelin@mines-telecom.fr

Stéphane Renouard
Handco,
France
stephane.renouard@handco.fr

Hamdi Aloulou
Institut Mines-Télécom,
LIRMM,
France
hamdi.aloulou@mines-telecom.fr

Thibaut Tiberghien
Institut Mines-Télécom,
CNRS IPAL,
Singapore
thibaut.tiberghien@ipal.cnrs.fr

Jos De Roo
Agfa Healthcare,
Belgium
jos.deroo@agfa.be

Mounir Mokhtari
Institut Mines-Télécom,
CNRS IPAL, CNRS LIRMM,
France
mounir.mokhtari@mines-telecom.fr

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we describe the implementation of a qualitative temporal reasoning. We will discuss the challenges and benefits of using the Semantic Web and Allen's Interval Logic for such reasoning.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

D.1.6 [Programming Techniques]: Logic Programming

General Terms

Algorithms, Design

Keywords

Allen's Interval Logic, Semantic Web, Temporal Reasoning

1. INTRODUCTION

As our physical world appears to be based on space and time dimensions, most cyber-physical systems integrate spatial and temporal aspects.

This paper focuses on Temporal Reasoning, using Allen's Interval Logic, as it handles time intervals, that are more insightful than instants to describe a context. We implemented Allen's Interval Logic with the Semantic Web. We will therefore describe some visible conflicts in the inner philosophies of Allen's Interval Logic and the Semantic Web, and the solutions we have found to overcome it.

2. IMPLEMENTATION

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

MEDES'13 October 28-31, 2013, Neumünster Abbey, Luxembourg
Copyright 2013 ACM 978-1-4503-2004-7 ...\$10.00.

2.1 Allen's Interval Logic

Allen's Interval Logic[1] proposes a formal semantic for intervals—*ie.* for temporal intervals—and defines a complete and mutually exclusive set of *thirteen* relations between two intervals, namely *precedes* (*p*), *precededBy* (*P*), *meets* (*m*), *metBy* (*M*), *overlaps* (*o*), *overlappedBy* (*O*), *contains* (*D*), *during* (*d*), *starts* (*s*), *startedBy* (*S*), *finishes* (*f*), *finishedBy* (*F*), *equals* (*e*)¹.

It is important to notice that Allen's Interval Logic natively handles uncertainty and incomplete knowledges, through disjunctions. For instance, it is possible to declare that *a(p,m,o)b*, meaning that *a* either *precedes*, *meets* or *overlaps* *b*.

Moreover, Allen's Interval Logic defines a *composition* operation \odot , and provides a composition table², so that the composition of *a(r₁)b* and *b(r₂)c* will provide *a(r₃)c*, given *r₁ \odot r₂ = r₃*. However, the problem of checking consistency in Allen's Interval Logic, named *satisfaction* problem, is known to be NP-Hard [7]. We then use Path-Consistency algorithm[6] to “propagate” relations between intervals, as it provides a consistent and sound solution.

2.2 Ontologies

The Semantic Web shows its real potential through its interoperability. Thanks to its design, we can seamlessly include and reuse external statements. Thus, we rely on the OWL-Time ontology[5] about *time*, which defines the thirteen Allen's relations. We have then created rules to infer relations between intervals when their beginning and end *instants* are known (Listing 1).

Listing 1: Population of intervals, in N3 language

```
_:interval1 a time:ProperInterval ;
  time:hasBeginning _:instant_start ;
  time:hasEnd _:instant_end .
_:instant_start a time:Instant ;
  time:inXSDDateTime "2013-03-28T12:00:00+01:00"^^
  xsd:dateTime ;
```

¹http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Allen's_calculus

²https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Allen's_calculus:composition_table

```

_::instant_end a time:Instant ;
  time:inXSDDateTime "2013-03-28T13:00:00+01:00"^^
  xsd:dateTime .
_::interval2 a time:ProperInterval .

(._:interval1 _:interval2) logic:possible (
  time:before time:intervalMeets
  time:intervalMetBy time:after) .
# ...
(t:intervalMetBy t:intervalOverlaps) a:composes (
  t:intervalDuring t:intervalFinishes
  t:intervalOverlappedBy).
# ...

```

2.3 Compositions

The second part of our implementation focuses on propagating the compositions with the Path-Consistency algorithm (Listing 2). An implementation of Allen's Interval Logic with the Semantic Web was already described by SOWL[2]. However, it does only handles atomic compositions, whereas our encoding also handles compositions with disjunct relations.

The Semantic Web has been created under the Open World Assumption (OWA) (as opposed with Closed World Assumption (CWA)), and respects a constraint of monotonicity. In intuitive terms, this means that a statement will always be *true*, and what is not stated is *unknown*. On the other hand, Allen's Interval Logic is based on *circumscription* and uses *disjunction*, so that a statement is *possible* (but might be rejected later on), and what is not stated is known to be *false*. These assumptions do not natively fit with each other.

Hence we used Scoped Negation As Failure (SNAF)[3] to perform reasoning on disjunctions without rejecting the philosophies of the Semantic Web. Indeed, the SNAF lets us consider a subgraph of the Knowledge Base (KB) as a Closed World. SNAF has been implemented by Euler Rule Engine³ through the built-in function *findAll*, that enables to query a subgraph from an ontology, assuming a SNAF.

Allen's Interval Logic implies to reduce the set of possible relations between two intervals at every new inference. This conflicts with the Monotonicity Constraint. An acceptable solution is to use an intermediate property: rather than a single *possible* property that will be constantly reduced, we have several *possible* properties between two events, and we eventually merge it to a property *resolvedRelations*, that will be the intersection of all *possible*.

Listing 2: Propagating possible relations between events using the Path Consistency algorithm

```

# Relations between A & C through B:
{ # R1 & R2 such as "A(R1)B & B(R2)C"
  (?A ?B) logic:possible ?R1 .
  (?B ?C) logic:possible ?R2 .
  ?A log:notEqualTo ?C .
  # findall X such as "X in R1(.)R2"
  ?SCOPE e:findall ( ?X
    { ?R1 list:member ?M1 .
      ?R2 list:member ?M2 .
      (?M1 ?M2) a:compose ?X . } ?F).
  ?F list:append ?L . # L = flatten(F)
  ?L e:distinct ?D . # D = unique(L)
} => { (?A ?C) logic:possible ?D . }.

# Intersection of sets:
{ # for one possible set Q

```

³<http://www.agfa.com/w3c/euler>

```

(?A ?B) logic:possible ?Q.
# find all possible sets FOOTPRINT
?SCOPE e:findall ( 1
  { (?A ?B) logic:possible ?X. }
  ?FOOTPRINT).
# S = intersection of Q with FOOTPRINTs
?SCOPE e:findall ( ?I
  { ?Q list:member ?I.
    ?SCOPE e:findall ( 1
      { (?A ?B) logic:possible ?X.
        ?X list:member ?I. }
      ?FOOTPRINT). } ?F).
  ?F e:sort ?S. } =>
{ (?A ?B) logic:resolvedRelations ?S. }.

```

Therefore the reasoning engine will make every possible relations emerge between intervals, including intervals whose borders are unknown, as long as these intervals have at least one known relation with another interval.

3. CONCLUSION

Through this paper, we have described the implementation of Allen's Interval Logic within the Semantic Web. Although Allen's Interval Logic is already mature and well-documented, we found no sound and complete implementation of Allen's Interval Logic within the Semantic Web, using Path-Consistency Algorithm to propagate the composition rule. Despite of the fact that the Semantic Web is based on the Open World Assumption (OWA) and the Monotonicity Constraint whereas Allen's Interval Logic requires a Circumscription, the reasoning is made possible by the existence of Scoped Negation As Failure (SNAF) functions within the Semantic Web.

One may argue that the necessity of SNAF is unnatural, and solely due to the encoding of Time Intervals. It would indeed be interesting to adapt this work, using the Semi-Intervals Algebra[4] rather than Allen's Algebra.

Our implementation may be useful to maintain a knowledge-base involving temporal informations, such as a cyber-physical systems.

4. REFERENCES

- [1] ALLEN, J. F. Maintaining knowledge about temporal intervals. *Commun. ACM* 26, 11 (Nov. 1983), 832–843.
- [2] BATSAKIS, S., AND PETRAKIS, E. G. M. Sowl: spatio-temporal representation, reasoning and querying over the semantic web. In *6th International Conference on Semantic Systems* (2010), ACM.
- [3] BERNERS-LEE, T., CONNOLLY, D., KAGAL, L., SCHARF, Y., AND HENDLER, J. N3logic: A logical framework for the world wide web. *Theory and Practice of Logic Programming* 8 (4 2008), 249–269.
- [4] FREKSA, C. Temporal reasoning based on semi-intervals. *Artificial Intelligence* 54 (1992).
- [5] HOBBS, J. R., AND PAN, F. An ontology of time for the semantic web. *ACM Transactions on Asian Language Information Processing* 3 (2004), 66–85.
- [6] JEGOU, P., AND CHMEISS, A. Efficient path-consistency propagation. *International Journal on Artificial Intelligence Tools* 07, 02 (1998), 121–142.
- [7] VILAIN, M., KAUTZ, H., AND BEEK, P. Constraint propagation algorithms for temporal reasoning. In *Readings in Qualitative Reasoning about Physical Systems* (1986), Morgan Kaufmann, pp. 377–382.