

Notes for *Foundations of Modern Analysis* by  
Avner Friedman

Anton Ottosson – [antonott@kth.se](mailto:antonott@kth.se)

September 1, 2024

# Chapter 1 – Measure Theory

## Section 1.1 – Rings and algebras

### Problems

#### 1.1.1

$$\left(\varliminf_n E_n\right)^c = \overline{\varliminf_n E_n^c}, \quad \left(\overline{\varliminf_n E_n}\right)^c = \varliminf_n E_n^c.$$

*Solution.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \varliminf_n E_n &\iff x \in E_n \text{ for all but finitely many } n \\ &\iff x \in E_n^c \text{ for only finitely many } n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \left(\varliminf_n E_n\right)^c &\iff x \in E_n^c \text{ for infinitely many } n \\ &\iff x \in \overline{\varliminf_n E_n^c}, \end{aligned}$$

proving the first identity.

Next, let  $F_n = E_n^c$  for every  $n$ . Then

$$\overline{\varliminf_n E_n} = \overline{\varliminf_n F_n^c} = \left(\varliminf_n F_n\right)^c = \left(\varliminf_n E_n^c\right)^c$$

by the first identity, and the second identity follows.

#### 1.1.2

$$\overline{\varliminf_n E_n} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n, \quad \varliminf_n E_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n.$$

*Solution.* Suppose  $x \in \overline{\lim}_n E_n$ . Then  $x \in E_n$  for infinitely many  $n$ . It follows that  $x \in \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and hence that  $x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$ .

Conversely, assume that  $x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$ . Then  $x \in \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that  $x \in E_n$  for infinitely many  $n$ , and thus that  $x \in \overline{\lim}_n E_n$ . This proves the first identity.

Next, suppose that  $x \in \underline{\lim}_n E_n$ . Then  $x \in E_n$  for all but finitely many  $n$ , so there is some  $k' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in E_n$  for all  $n \geq k'$ . It follows that  $x \in \bigcap_{n=k'}^{\infty} E_n$ , and hence that  $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$ .

Conversely, assume that  $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$ . Then  $x \in \bigcap_{n=k'}^{\infty} E_n$  for some  $k' \in \mathbb{N}$ , which means that  $x \in E_n$  for all  $n \geq k'$ . It follows that  $x \in E_n$  for all but finitely many  $n$ ; that is,  $x \in \underline{\lim}_n E_n$ .

### 1.1.3

If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring and  $E_n \in \mathcal{R}$ , then

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{R}, \quad \overline{\lim}_n E_n \in \mathcal{R}, \quad \underline{\lim}_n E_n \in \mathcal{R}.$$

*Solution.* Let  $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $E_n \subset Y$  for all  $n$ , and it follows that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = Y \cap \left( \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) = Y - \left( Y - \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right).$$

Notice that

$$Y - \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (Y - E_n) \in \mathcal{R},$$

by properties (b) and (e). (The equality is analogous to the identity (1.1.2), but with  $Y$  in place of  $X$ .) It follows (by (b) again) that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{R}$ . For later reference, let us call this result (x).

Given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_n = \emptyset$  for  $n < k$ , and let  $A_n = E_n$  for  $n \geq k$ . Then  $A_n \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $n$  by (a), hence

$$\bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{R}$$

by (e). It then follows by (x) that

$$\overline{\lim}_n E_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{R}.$$

By a similar argument we find that (x) implies

$$\bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{R}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus

$$\varliminf_n E_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{R}$$

by (e).

#### 1.1.4

The intersection of any collection of rings (algebras,  $\sigma$ -rings, or  $\sigma$ -algebras) is also a ring (an algebra,  $\sigma$ -ring, or  $\sigma$ -algebra).

*Solution.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of classes. Let  $\bigcap \mathcal{C}$  denote the intersection of all classes in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We will show that if one of the properties (a)-(e) is satisfied by all classes in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\bigcap \mathcal{C}$  satisfies that property as well. The result requested in the problem then follows as an immediate corollary.

It is clear that if every  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{C}$  satisfies (a), then so does  $\bigcap \mathcal{C}$ . Suppose every  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{C}$  satisfies (b). If  $A, B \in \bigcap \mathcal{C}$  then  $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$  for every  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Hence  $A - B \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{C}$ , and it follows that  $A - B \in \bigcap \mathcal{C}$ . The argument for (c) is similar (with  $A \cup B$  in place of  $A - B$ ), and (d) is obvious.

Finally, suppose that every  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{C}$  satisfies (e). If  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \bigcap \mathcal{C}$  then  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{R}$  for every  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{C}$ , and it follows that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \bigcap \mathcal{C}$ .

#### 1.1.5

If  $\mathcal{D}$  is any class of sets, then there exists a unique ring  $\mathcal{R}_0$  such that (i)  $\mathcal{R}_0 \supset \mathcal{D}$ , and (ii) any ring  $\mathcal{R}$  containing  $\mathcal{D}$  contains also  $\mathcal{R}_0$ .  $\mathcal{R}_0$  is called the *ring generated by  $\mathcal{D}$* , and is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{D})$ .

*Solution.* Let  $\mathcal{R}_0$  be the intersection of all rings containing  $\mathcal{D}$ . This is a ring by the previous exercise, and it satisfies the properties (i) and (ii). To see that it is unique, let  $\mathcal{R}'_0$  also be a ring satisfying (i) and (ii). Then  $\mathcal{R}_0 \subset \mathcal{R}'_0$  and  $\mathcal{R}'_0 \subset \mathcal{R}_0$  by property (ii), so  $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{R}'_0$ .

#### 1.1.6

If  $\mathcal{D}$  is any class of sets, then there exists a unique  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathcal{S}_0$  such that (i)  $\mathcal{S}_0 \supset \mathcal{D}$ , and (ii) any  $\sigma$ -ring containing  $\mathcal{D}$  contains also  $\mathcal{S}_0$ . We call  $\mathcal{S}_0$  the  *$\sigma$ -ring generated by  $\mathcal{D}$* , and denote it by  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D})$ . A similar result holds for  $\sigma$ -algebras, and we speak of the  *$\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{D}$* .

*Solution.* By the same argument as in the previous exercise,  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -rings containing  $\mathcal{D}$ . Similarly the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{D}$  is the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $\mathcal{D}$ .

#### 1.1.7

If  $\mathcal{D}$  is any class of sets, then every set in  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{D})$  can be covered by (that is, is contained in) a finite union of sets of  $\mathcal{D}$ . [Hint: The class  $\mathcal{H}$  of sets that can be covered by finite unions of sets of  $\mathcal{D}$  forms a ring.]

*Solution.* Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the class of all sets that can be covered by a finite union of sets in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Certainly  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{K}$ , since  $\emptyset$  is a subset of the empty union. If  $A, B \in \mathcal{K}$ , then

$$A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m E_i, \quad B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i,$$

for some sets  $E_1, \dots, E_m, F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathcal{D}$ . (Note that  $m$  or  $n$  can be zero, in which case the corresponding union is empty.) Thus

$$A - B \subset A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m E_i$$

and

$$A \cup B \subset \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^m E_i \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^n F_j \right),$$

so both  $A - B$  and  $A \cup B$  are elements of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

The above shows that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a ring, and certainly  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{K}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{K}$  by Problem 1.1.5, and it follows that every set in  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{D})$  can be covered by a finite union of sets in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

## Section 1.1 – Definition of measure

### Problems

#### 1.2.1

If  $\mu$  satisfies the properties (i)-(iii) in Definition 1.2.1, and if  $\mu(E) < \infty$  for at least one set  $E$ , then (iv) is also satisfied.

*Solution.* We have

$$\mu(E) = \mu(E \cup \emptyset) = \mu(E) + \mu(\emptyset),$$

hence  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .

#### 1.2.2

Let  $X$  be an infinite space. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of all subsets of  $X$ . Define  $\mu(E) = 0$  if  $E$  is finite and  $\mu(E) = \infty$  if  $E$  is infinite. Then  $\mu$  is finitely additive but not completely additive.

*Solution.* Suppose  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Note that  $A \cup B$  is finite if both  $A$  and  $B$  are finite, but infinite otherwise. Hence

$$\mu(A \cup B) = 0 = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

in the former case, and

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \infty = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

in the latter. This proves that  $\mu$  is additive; *finite* additivity follows by a simple induction argument.

Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of distinct points in  $X$ . Then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x_n\}$  is an infinite set, so

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x_n\}\right) = \infty,$$

but

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(\{x_n\}) = 0.$$

Thus  $\mu$  is not completely additive.

### 1.2.3

If  $\mu$  is a measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , and if  $E, F$  are sets of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then

$$\mu(E) + \mu(F) = \mu(E \cup F) + \mu(E \cap F).$$

*Solution.* If  $\mu(F) = \infty$ , then  $\mu(E \cup F) = \infty$  by Theorem 1.2.1(i), and the given equality holds. If  $\mu(F) < \infty$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E \cup F) &= \mu[E \cup (F - (E \cap F))] \\ &= \mu(E) + \mu[F - (E \cap F)] \\ &= \mu(E) + \mu(F) - \mu(E \cap F), \end{aligned}$$

with the last equality following from Theorem 1.2.1(ii). Note that  $E \cap F \subset F$  so that  $\mu(E \cap F) \leq \mu(F) < \infty$ . Hence we can rearrange the above to yield

$$\mu(E) + \mu(F) = \mu(E \cup F) + \mu(E \cap F).$$

### 1.2.6

Give an example of a measure  $\mu$  and a monotone-decreasing sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(E_n) = \infty$  for all  $n$ , and  $\mu(\lim_n E_n) = 0$ .

*Solution.* Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  (the power set of  $\mathbb{R}$ ; this is easily seen to be a  $\sigma$ -algebra). Define  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that  $\mu(E)$  is the number of points in  $E$  (with  $\mu(E) = \infty$  if  $E$  is infinite). This is easily seen to be a measure.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $E_n = (0, 1/n)$ . Then  $(E_n)$  is a monotone decreasing sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu(E_n) = \infty$  for all  $n$ , and

$$\mu\left(\lim_n E_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0.$$

## Section 1.3 – Outer measure

### Problems

#### 1.3.1

Define  $\mu^*(E)$  as the number of points in  $E$  if  $E$  is finite and  $\mu^*(E) = \infty$  if  $E$  is infinite. Show that  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure. Determine the measurable sets.

*Solution.* Of the properties listed in Definition 1.3.1, only countable subadditivity is non-obvious for  $\mu^*$ . But let us start with proving finite subadditivity.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. If either is infinite, then so is  $A \cup B$ , hence

$$\mu^*(A \cup B) = \infty = \mu(A) + \mu(B).$$

If both  $A$  and  $B$  are finite sets, then

$$\mu^*(A \cup B) = \mu^*(A) + \mu^*(B - A) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

by basic set-theoretic considerations. Thus  $\mu^*$  is subadditive, and finite subadditivity follows by induction on the number of sets in the union.

Now, let  $(E_n)$  be a sequence of sets. If infinitely many of the sets  $E_n$  are nonempty, then  $\sum_n \mu^*(E_n) = \infty$ , and

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_n E_n\right) \leq \sum_n \mu^*(E_n)$$

follows. If only finitely many of the sets  $E_n$  are nonempty, let  $E_{n_1}, E_{n_2}, \dots, E_{n_k}$  be those sets. Then

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_{n_i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \mu^*(E_{n_i}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_n),$$

by finite subadditivity. This proves that  $\mu^*$  is countably subadditive, and hence that  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure.

Note that  $\mu^*$  is *additive* on disjoint sets; if  $A \cup B = \emptyset$ , then  $\mu^*(A \cup B) = \mu^*(A) + \mu^*(B)$ . In particular,

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A - E)$$

for all sets  $A, E$ . That is, all sets (really subsets of  $X$ ) are measurable.

#### 1.3.2

Define  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\mu^*(E) = 1$  if  $E \neq \emptyset$ . Show that  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure, and determine the measurable sets.

*Solution.* As in the previous exercise, the only slightly non-obvious property is countable subadditivity. Hence, let  $(E_n)$  be a sequence of sets. If all the sets  $E_n$  are empty, then certainly

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_n E_n\right) = 0 = \sum_n \mu^*(E_n).$$

If not, then there is some  $m$  such that  $E_m \neq \emptyset$ , and it follows that

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_n E_n\right) = 1 = \mu^*(E_m) \leq \sum_n \mu^*(E_n).$$

Thus  $\mu^*$  is indeed countably subadditive, and therefore also an outer measure.

The empty set is measurable:

$$\mu^*(A \cap \emptyset) + \mu^*(A - \emptyset) = \mu^*(\emptyset) + \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A)$$

for all sets  $A$ . It follows by Theorem 1.3.1 that  $X = \emptyset^c$  is measurable as well (the measurable sets make up a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and algebras are closed under conjugation). Indeed  $\emptyset$  and  $X$  are the only measurable sets. To see this, let  $E$  be any set other than those two. Then both  $E$  and  $E^c$  are nonempty, so

$$\mu^*(X \cap E) + \mu^*(X - E) = \mu^*(E) + \mu^*(E^c) = 2 > 1 = \mu^*(X).$$