$$AA* = id$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 det (A) det ( $\bar{A}^t$ ) = 1

$$\Rightarrow$$
 det (A) det (A)

$$\Rightarrow | \det(A) |^2$$

 $< (T*T - id) \lor \lor > = 0$ 

we wish to show that ∀v, u ∈ v.

$$2 <_{v_i, v_i}> = <_{T_{v_i}, T_{v_i}}> = \delta_{ij}$$

= 1



Then,

$$= \sum_{i,j} a_i \overline{b_j} \langle \top_{v_i}, \top_{v_j} \rangle$$

$$-\sum_{i,j} a_i \overline{b_j} < v_i, v_j >$$

$$= \sum_{i} a_{i} \overline{b_{i}} - \sum_{i} a_{i} \overline{b_{i}}$$

When 
$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$$

when 
$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad \forall i \neq j$$

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \in \mathfrak{H}(\eta)$$

orthogonal matrin when 
$$AA^{t} = I$$

$$\{\{A^t,A\}\}_{i,j} = \langle V_i, V_j \rangle$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in U(n)$$

unitary matrin when 
$$AA^* = I$$

$$X_A$$
 (n), eigenvalues, eigenvectors?  
 $X_A$  (n),  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$   $X_4$ 

$$A = S^{-1}G_1S = S^{\dagger}G_1S$$

$$2 S = S^*$$
 and  $T = T^*$ 

show 
$$(ST)^* = ST \Leftrightarrow ST = TS$$

$$(ST)^* = ST$$

T is normal  $W \subseteq V$   $P_W(Y) := projection of <math>V$  onto W. T has an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \ldots, \Lambda_k$  eigenvalues of T  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_\ell$  are eigenvectors corresponding to  $\mathcal{N}_1$ ,  $V_{\ell_1+1}, V_{\ell_1+2}, \dots, V_{\ell_2}$  correspond to  $\mathcal{N}_2$ and so on ... the eigenvalue they cornespond to.  $W_{i} = span \left\{ v_{i-1} + 1, v_{i-1} + 2, ..., v_{i} \right\} = E_{\lambda_{i}}$ 

= eigenerace corresponding to  $\lambda$ ;

$$V = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} V_{i} \in V$$

$$\Rightarrow T_{V} = \sum_{i} q_{i} T_{V_{i}}$$

1 ...

$$T_{\forall i} = \mathcal{N}, \forall i \leq i \leq l,$$

$$T_{\forall i} = \lambda_2 \forall i \qquad \forall \quad l_1 + 1 \leqslant i \leqslant l_2$$

and so on...

need to show  $P_U = P_U^*$ this is equivalent to showing that  $\langle v, \mathcal{P}_{U}(w) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_{U}(v), w \rangle$ Let basis of U be Eu, u2, ..., uK3 Then,  $P_{U}(w) = \sum_{i} \langle w, v_{i} \rangle v_{i}$ 

nou shou above

T is normal

show T is self-adjoint

all eigenvalues are real Let  $N \in C$  be eigenvalue and V an eigenvector corresponding to it  $\langle T_{V, V} \rangle = \langle V, T_{V} \rangle$  $\Rightarrow$   $\langle \chi_{V}, \chi_{V} \rangle = \langle \chi_{V}, \chi_{V} \rangle$  $\Rightarrow \lambda <_{\vee}, _{\vee} > =$  $\bar{\lambda} <_{V,V}>$ conversely, all eigenvolues one real  $B = \mathcal{E} e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \mathcal{E}$  be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors with eigenvalues  $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2, \dots, \mathcal{N}_n$  He High to show  $T = T^*$ it is equivalent to showing  $\langle (T-T^*)_{v}, v \rangle = 0 \qquad \forall_{v}$   $\langle (T-T^*)_{v}, v \rangle$   $= \langle T_{v}, v \rangle - \langle T^*_{v}, v \rangle$   $= \langle T_{v}, v \rangle - \langle T_{v}, v \rangle$ 

show that  $< T_{V}, v > \in \mathbb{R} \ \forall v$  how does that help?

 $\langle V, T_W \rangle = \langle T_{V, W} \rangle \quad \forall_{V, W}$ 

 $A, B \in F \Rightarrow AB = BA$ and  $AA^* = A^*A$ show I am onthonormal basis  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  such  $\{x_i\}$  is an eigenrector for all  $A \in F$ given  $A \cup \subseteq U$ show that A has an eigenvector in U Let  $\xi e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d$  be a basis

 $Ae_i = \sum a_{i,j} e_i$ 

Why is this special, you might think at first glance. Ae; is representable using a basis for U and you do not need a basis for V  $\det u = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} e_{j} \in U$ is there some condition that you see being satisfied that will help you claim that w could be an eigenvector?

that solves the problem.

 $AU \subseteq U \qquad \forall A \in G \subset Hom(V)$ b where Gr is a family of commuting operators show 3 a non-zero vector v EV eigen vector for exert that 18 on  $A \in G$ Really understand eigenvectors and representations of triansformations which have eigenvectors in them We show the below statement and that is enough I a linear subspace W of U which has dimension > 1 such that for all  $A \in G$ , A = cI

i.e. A restricted to W is a multiple of the identity matrix Lihy is showing this enough? He prove by induction on dimension  $\dim (V) = 1$  : W = Vlet the statement hold for all dimensions up to d. He check for dim (U) = dif A nextricted to U is identity for all  $A \in Gr$ , we can take W = Ube done. If not, pick an A such that A nestricted to U is not identity

By (a). A has an eigenvector  $v \in U$  and corresponding eigenvalue  $\Omega$ Let  $U' = U \cap \{ \{ \{ \{ \{ \{ \} \} \} \} \} \}$  $\Rightarrow$  dim (U') < dim (U) = d All you need to do apply the induction hypothesis on U' is to check that BU' C U' +B∈ G

By the nature of sear hoice of U', do you see how the induction hypothesis proves that A is a multiple of edentity?

$$(A)^* = A \rightarrow A$$

3. 
$$A^{i} (A^{*})^{i} = (A^{*})^{i} A^{i}$$

$$A \land \cdots \land A \land A^*A^* \cdots \land A^*$$