Asymmetric Cryptography: Project

Sylvain Ruhault

This project requires that you run python. Ensure that you have last versions of files algebra.py, dsa.py, rfc7449.py, as sent by your teacher (file rsa.py will not be used for this project).

1 Introduction

Objective of this project is to implement an electronic voting system, based on cryptographic mechanisms implemented in your previous projects. This voting system is simple and covers only a few properties of a real electronic voting system:

- Vote privacy.
- Vote elligibility.
- Homomorphic tally.

In particular, this voting system does not cover other important properties, such that:

- Voter authentication.
- Proof of valid vote.
- Individual verifiability.
- Universal verifiability.

2 El Gamal encryption algorithm

2.1 Multiplicative version

You will find in file elgamal.py prototypes for the following algorithms:

- El Gamal key generation
- El Gamal encryption
- El Gamal decryption

Assume we use MODP Group 24 for public parameters. For each algorithm, what are the inputs and the outputs, what are their length in bits? Complete these implementations with mod_inv algorithm from algebra.py and use the following imports:

```
from algebra import mod_inv
from random import randint
```

2.2 Homomorphic encryption: multiplicative version

We still use **MODP Group 24** for public parameters. Let m_1 and m_2 two messages defined with:

m1 = 0x2661b673f687c5c3142f806d500d2ce57b1182c9b25bfe4fa09529424b

m2 = 0x1c1c871caabca15828cf08ee3aa3199000b94ed15e743c3

Use your implementation of El Gamal encryption algorithm to encrypt these two messages and compute the following process, where **EG_Encrypt** denotes El Gamal encryption and **EG_Decrypt** denotes El Gamal decryption:

- $(r_1, c_1) = \mathbf{EG_Encrypt}(m_1)$
- $(r_2, c_2) = \mathbf{EG_Encrypt}(m_2)$
- Let $(r_3, c_3) = (r_1 \times r_2, c_1 \times c_2)$
- Let $m_3 = \mathbf{EG_Decrypt}(r_3, c_3)$
- Assess $m_3 = m_1 \times m_2$
- Decode m_3 with int to bytes from algebra.py!

2.3 Homomorphic encryption: additive version

You have implemented El Gamal encryption such that for two messages m_1 and m_2 , $\mathbf{EG_Encrypt}(m_1) \times \mathbf{EG_Encrypt}(m_2) = \mathbf{EG_Encrypt}(m_1 \times m_2)$.

Explain how you can turn your previous implementation into an *additive* version, i.e: $\mathbf{EGA_Encrypt}(m_1) \times \mathbf{EGA_Encrypt}(m_2) = \mathbf{EGA_Encrypt}(m_1 + m_2)$. Implement it!

In the context of electronic voting, we will use the additive version of El Gamal where messages are 0 or 1. We still use **MODP Group 24** for public parameters.

Let
$$m_1 = 1$$
, $m_2 = 0$, $m_3 = 1$, $m_4 = 1$, $m_5 = 0$ five messages.

Use your implementation of El Gamal encryption algorithm (additive version) to encrypt these five messages and compute the following process, where **EGA_Encrypt** denotes El Gamal encryption (additive version) and **EG_Decrypt** denotes El Gamal decryption:

- $(r_1, c_1) = \mathbf{EGA_Encrypt}(m_1)$
- $(r_2, c_2) = \mathbf{EGA} \ \mathbf{Encrypt}(m_2)$
- $(r_3, c_3) = \mathbf{EGA_Encrypt}(m_3)$
- $(r_4, c_4) = \mathbf{EGA_Encrypt}(m_4)$
- $(r_5, c_5) = \mathbf{EGA_Encrypt}(m_5)$
- Let $(r,c) = (r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3 \times r_4 \times r_5, c_1 \times c_2 \times c_3 \times c_4 \times c_5)$

- Compute EG_Decrypt(r,c). Beware that the result of this computation is not directly $m = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 + m_5$! Indeed you obtain g^m and not m (where g is MODP Group 24 public parameter). But as in this situation m is small, a direct brute force search is possible. You will find in file elgamal.py an implementation of a brute force search, named bruteLog. Use it to compute m.
- Assess $m = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 + m_5 = 3$

3 ECDSA signature algorithm

3.1 ECDSA signature implementations

In file rfc7449.py you have an optimized implementation of scalar multiplication which is adapted from RFC 7449. This implementation does not use point addition and point doubling to ensure security against side-channels attacks. This implementation is usefull in contexts where ECDH is performed.

However, to compute ECDSA, we need to have access to point addition on the curve. In file rfc7449.py you will find implementations for three additionnal functions:

- Function add, that implements point addition. Beware that this function also implements point doubling!
- Function mult, that implements (unoptimized and unprotected) scalar multiplication. This function uses internally add function.
- Function computeVcoordinate, that computes v coordinate of a point given its u coordinate (a point on a curve has (u, v) coordinates).

With these functions, you have all material to implement ECDSA signature and verification algorithms on curve25519. In file ecdsa.py you will find prototypes for the following algorithms:

- ECDSA key generation
- ECDSA signature generation
- ECDSA signature verification

Assume we use SHA256 as hash function. For each algorithm, what are the inputs and the outputs, what are their length in bits? Complete these implementations with mod_inv algorithm from algebra.py, with add ans mult algorithms from rfc7449.py and use the following imports:

```
from rfc7448 import x25519, add, computeVcoordinate, mult
from Crypto.Hash import SHA256
from random import randint
from algebra import mod_inv
```

3.2 Signature implementation test

We still use **SHA256** as hash function. Let m (a message), k (the nonce used in signature generation) and x (signature private key) defined with:

```
\begin{array}{lll} m = & \text{A very very important message !} \\ k = & 0 \text{x} 2 \text{c} 92639 \text{d} \text{c} 1417 \text{a} \text{f} \text{e} \text{a} \text{e} 31 \text{e} 0181 \text{d} \text{d} \text{c} 848 \text{b} 3 \text{e} 11 \text{d} 840523 \text{f} 54 \text{a} \text{a} \text{a} 97174221 \text{f} \text{a} \text{e} 6 \\ x = & 0 \text{x} \text{c} 841 \text{f} 4896 \text{f} \text{e} 86 \text{c} 971 \text{b} \text{e} \text{d} \text{b} \text{c} \text{f} 114 \text{a} 6 \text{c} \text{f} \text{d} 97 \text{e} 4454 \text{c} 9 \text{b} \text{e} 9 \text{a} \text{b} \text{a} 876 \text{d} 5 \text{a} 195995 \text{e} 2 \text{b} \text{a} 8 \\ \end{array}
```

Use your implementation of ECDSA signature algorithm and verify that you obtain (r, s) as signature, defined with:

```
r = 0x429146a1375614034c65c2b6a86b2fc4aec00147f223cb2a7a22272d4a3fdd2
s = 0xf23bcdebe2e0d8571d195a9b8a05364b14944032032eeeecd22a0f6e94f8f33
```

Check also your signature verification algorithm.

4 EC El Gamal encryption algorithm

El Gamal encryption algorithm can be computed on elliptic curves. We will use curve25519 implementation from file rfc7449.py to implement this algorithm. Our purpose is to use it for electronic voting, so messages to encrypt are equal to 0 or 1.

4.1 Implementation

You will find in file ecelgamal.py prototypes for the following algorithms:

- EC El Gamal key generation
- EC El Gamal encryption
- EC El Gamal decryption

In order to perform EC El Gamal encryption, we need to map messages 0 and 1 to points on the elliptic curve. Furthermore, we need to use points that have an additive property, as have 0 and 1 for integers. One solution is to map 0 to point at infinity of coordinates (1,0) and to map 1 to the base point of the elliptic curve. We also need to use point substraction in order to compute these functions. In files rfc7449.py and ecelgamal.py you will find implementation for additionnal functions:

- Function sub, that implements point substraction.
- Function ECencode, that maps 0 and 1 to the correct points on the elliptic curve.

Complete propotypes with mod_inv algorithm from algebra.py, with add, sub and mult algorithms from rfc7449.py and use the following imports:

```
from rfc7448 import x25519, add, sub, computeVcoordinate, mult
from random import randint
from algebra import mod_inv
```

4.2 Homomorphic encryption: additive version

EC El Gamal is already additive! Explain why!

Let
$$m_1 = 1$$
, $m_2 = 0$, $m_3 = 1$, $m_4 = 1$, $m_5 = 0$ five messages.

Use your implementation of EC El Gamal encryption algorithm to encrypt these five messages and compute the following process, where **ECEG_Encrypt** denotes EC El Gamal encryption and **ECEG_Decrypt** denotes EC El Gamal decryption. Beware that in the process, r_i and c_i are points on elliptic curve (hence they are composed of two coordinates). Furthermore, + here denotes points addition on elliptic curve!

- $(r_1, c_1) = \mathbf{ECEG_Encrypt}(m_1)$
- $(r_2, c_2) = \mathbf{ECEG_Encrypt}(m_2)$
- $(r_3, c_3) = \mathbf{ECEG_Encrypt}(m_3)$
- $(r_4, c_4) = \mathbf{ECRG_Encrypt}(m_4)$
- $(r_5, c_5) = \mathbf{ECEG_Encrypt}(m_5)$
- Let $(r,c) = (r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4 + r_5, c_1 + c_2c_3 + c_4 + c_5)$
- Compute $\mathbf{ECEG_Decrypt}(r,c)$. Beware that as before the result of this computation is not directly $m=m_1+m_2+m_3+m_4+m_5$! As before you obtain $m\times G$ and not m (where G is base point of $\mathbf{curve25519}$). But as before, in this situation m is small, a direct brute force search is possible. You will find in file $\mathbf{ecelgamal.py}$ an implementation of this brute force search, named $\mathbf{bruteECLog}$. Use it to compute m.
- Assess $m = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 + m_5 = 3$

5 Electronic Voting

With all previous algorithms, you have all material to implement a simple electronic voting system, that ensures vote privacy and voters' elligibility.

In this system, a voter has to choose between five candidates C_1 , C_2 , C_3 , C_4 , C_5 . A vote for a candidate C_i generates a list composed of 0 (for times) or 1 (one time). In this system, blank vote is not possible. For example:

- Vote for candidate C_1 generates list (1,0,0,0,0)
- Vote for candidate C_2 generates list (0, 1, 0, 0, 0)
- Vote for candidate C_4 generates list (0,0,0,1,0)

5.1 Privacy

To ensure vote privacy, the voting system encrypts **each message** of the list. Hence there are five encrypted messages for each vote! Furthermore, to ensure that voters' choice cannot be linked with their encrypted ballot, the voting system **does not** decrypt each voter's ballot, but use homomorphic property to decrypt the sum of all choices.

Describe the previous process for two voters, e.g with EGA_Encrypt seen previously.

5.2 Elligility

To ensure elligibility, each voter signs its encrypted ballot with its own signature key distributed by the voting system. At reception, ballot signature are verified by the voting system. Hence a ballot finally contains five encrypted messages (as seen before) and a signature of these five messages! All asymmetric signature algorithms can be used to perform this operation.

Depending on the signature algorithm, signature size will be different!

5.3 Implementation

As seen previously, the voting system can either use El Gamal or EC El Gamal encryption for vote privacy, and DSA or ECDSA signature for voter elligibility. Your work is to implement all combinations. As before, parameters are the following:

- MODP Group 24 for El Gamal encryption and DSA signature algorithm.
- SHA256 for hash function for DSA and ECDSA signature algorithms.
- curve25519 for EC El Gamal encryption and ECDSA signature algorithms.

To fix parameters, you can assume the following:

- There are ten (10) voters.
- There are five (5) candidates for the election.

Hence you need to implement, for each algorithm:

- Voters' signature key generation and distribution : one signature key pair for each voter.
- Ballot generation: each ballot contains 5 encrypted messages.
- Ballot multiplication (for El Gamal) or addition (for EC El Gamal): this generates 5 encrypted messages.
- Five decryptions and brute force searches to recover election result (number of votes per candidate).

5.4 Bonus question

As specified, the voting system is vulnerable to an attack: as individual votes are not decrypted, a malicious voter can send a message that contains another value than 1 for his candidate (e.g. 2). Explain why this is an attack!

To ensure this attack is not possible, vote encryption can be completed with a zero knowledge proof that each encrypted message sent in the ballot is an encryption of 0 or 1. This proof is verified by the voting system at ballot reception. A description of this mechanism is given in https://eprint.iacr.org/2016/765.pdf. Complete your El Gamal implementation to include this mechanism!

6 Deliverables

Expected deliverables for this project are:

- Completed files elgamal.py, ecdsa.py and ecelgamal.py.
- An implementation of the described voting system, that uses as import functions that you have implemented in files elgamal.py, ecdsa.py and ecelgamal.py.
- A report that describes and explains your implementation and gives answers to questions given in this document.

Note that you can write your report in English or in French. You can also work as a team (three students max.).

Due date: 31^{th} march 2023.