# compactness

## Khanh Nguyen

### September 2023

this text presents the idea of local-to-global principle as a definition of compactness. In mathematics, one often encounters the notion of compactness as the existence in a finite subcover in a cover of a compact set. The notion of compactness seems intuitive at first as it often described as finiteness since it shares many properties with finite sets. In fact, one can consider a finite set is the compact subset of the set of natural numbers as finite sets resemble two notions: discrete and finiteness.

# 1 Finite Union Property

A property is a characteristic that identifies subsets of a given set. *Finite Union Property* is a property that is generalized to all finite union of sets. Formally,

**Definition 1 (Finite Union Property)** Let X be any set (one might call it the universal set) and property p identify a family P of subsets of X. That is,  $P = \{x \in \mathcal{P}(X) : p(x)\}$ . Property p (or family P) is said to have Finite Union Property (FUP) if

$$U_1, U_2, ..., U_N \in P \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^N U_n \in P$$

where  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ 

So, what is so special about FUP? Before answer that question, let's see some example of properties that exhibit FUP.

- 1. Let X be any non-empty set, property  $p_1$  identifies all finite subsets of X
- 2. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of natural numbers, property  $p_2$  identifies all the subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  with its maximum element being even, e.g.  $\{8\}, \{1,4\}, \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq 1000\}, \dots$
- 3. Let  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$  be a real-valued continuous function on  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , property  $p_3$  identifies all open subset of  $O \subseteq S$  if the image of O is bounded

4. Let  $\Omega$  be a sample space, property  $p_4$  identifies all events  $E \subseteq \Omega$  with probability greater than 0.1

Example ?? and example ?? are on finite sets while example ?? and ?? are on arbitrary sets. So FUP is a universal property that works on certain structures.

# 2 Compactness

In order to give a relation to compactness, let's recall the definition of compactness.

**Definition 2 (Compactness)** A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be compact if for every family of open sets  $\mathcal{O} = \{O_i : i \in I\}$  where I is an index set such that  $\bigcup_{i \in I} O_i = X$ , then there exists a finite subset  $J \subseteq I$  such that  $\bigcup_{i \in I} O_i = X$ 

In many textbooks, compactness is often considered as a generalization of finiteness. Indeed, compactness and finiteness share many common properties.

The statements? below are true for any finite set X

- (bounded) If  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is a real-valued function on X, then f is bounded
- (maximum) If  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is a real-valued function on X, then f attains a maximum value
- (constant subsequence) if  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ... \in X$  is a sequence of points in X, then there exists a constant subsequence  $x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, ...$

While for a compact set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , a similar set of statements are true:

- (bounded) If  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is a *continuous* real-valued function on X, then f is bounded
- (maximum) If  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is a *continuous* real-valued function on X, then f attains a maximum value
- (convergence subsequence) if  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ... \in X$  is a sequence of points in X, then there exists a convergence subsequence  $x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, ...$

The three statements above exhibit different equivalent notions of compactness in metric space; namely, local-to-global principle, limit point compactness, sequential compactness. With the machinery of FUP, we are ready to give a equivalent notion of compactness from FUP

**Proposition 1 (Finite Union Compactness)** A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is compact if and only if for every property p on X with FUP, for each point  $x \in X$ , there is an open neighbourhood  $O_x$  (not necessarily distinct) that satisfies p implies X satisfies p.

In the perspective of local-to-global principle, Finite Union Compactness gives sufficient conditions to generalize p into the whole set if every point has a neighbourhood satisfying p. In fact, Finite Union Compactness is equivalent to Compactness.

Back to the four examples at the beginning.  $p_1$  identifies the whole set X if and only if X is finite. If  $p_2$  identifies a set U, then U must be finite. If  $p_3$  identifies S, then S must be compact.

Now, we have a better notion of compactness. We will define discreteness as follows

**Definition 3 (Discreteness)** A set X is said to be discrete if it has a bijective mapping onto a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ 

Compactness of discrete sets is then defined as follows

Proposition 2 (Compactness of discrete sets) A discrete set with the discrete topology is compact if and only if it is finite

Equivalently, we can say that any finite set resembles discreteness and compactness. One property enables countability, the other enables *local-to-global principles*.

## 3 Proof

### 3.1 Proposition ??

#### $Compactness \implies Finite Union Compactness$

Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a compact topological space with a property p defined on X that has FUP. For each point  $x \in X$ , there is an open neighbourhood  $O_x$  satisfies p. Clearly,  $\bigcup_{x \in X} O_x = X$ . By Compactness, there is a subcover  $O_{x_1}, O_{x_2}, ..., O_{x_N}$  for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.  $\bigcup_{n=1}^N O_{x_n} = X$ . By FUP,  $p(X) = p(\bigcup_{n=1}^N O_{x_n})$  is true since it is a finite union of sets satisfying p

#### Finite Union Compactness $\implies$ Compactness

Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a compact topological space with an open cover  $\mathcal{O} = \{O_i : i \in I\}$  where I is an index set. Let p be the property on X that identifies all open sets that can be covered by a finite number of open sets in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Clearly, p is a FUP. Invoke Axiom of Choice, for each  $x \in X$ , choose an open set  $O_x \in \mathcal{O}$  containing x (not necessary distinct). Each  $O_x$  satisfies p. By Finite Union Compactness, p is true for the whole set X, that is, X can be covered by a finite number of open sets in  $\mathcal{O}$ , namely a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{O}$ 

#### 3.2 Proposition ??

 $Finiteness \implies Compactness$ 

Trivial

#### $Compactness \implies Finiteness$

Let U be discrete and compact with discrete topology and let p be a property defined on U that identifies all finite sets. Clearly, each singleton in U satisfies p. By Finite Union Compactness, p is true for U, that is, U is finite.