



## MY CLASS NOTES

[illegible]

There are also non-parametric tests where it doesn't matter what the data distribution of the underlying population is like. The goodness of fit test, and test association are essentially non-parametric test. Which tests are preferable? Parametric or non-parametric? Logically we would prefer non-parametric test. Because we don't have to worry about the distribution of the underlying data having to follow a specified distribution. We



## MY CLASS NOTES

[illegible]

In a parametric test, you are able to attain your required level of confidence with less data. Because the parameters of the distribution are providing some data. So non-parametric test required more data or more information to arrive at the same level of confidence. Also it turns out as sample size increases, non-parametric test distributions approximate normal distribution.

If you have large sample sizes, we can use parametric distributions. Non-parametric distributions don't really add any value. If you have smaller samples, then you can use the non-parametric tests, but you will not be able to achieve the same level of confidence that we

[illegible][illegible]



## MY CLASS NOTES

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2}$$

Where

$\sigma$  = population standard deviation

Remember we are interested in variance. So we want to check is the difference in variance of 4.5 vs 3 are significant variance or not? Therefore we run a Chi square test of variance and we calculate a test statistic as



$$\chi^2 = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2}$$

So  $29 \cdot 3^2 / (4.5^2) = 12.88$ . Degrees of freedom =  $n - 1 = 29$ .

[illegible]

If we look that up in table we can calculate the p value or of course we can always use excel. In excel if you want to use a Chi square distribution, remember this is not a Chi square test. This is a Chi square distribution test. I will say Chi square distribution outcome 12.88, Degrees of freedom 29, true and when I do that I will get a p value.

The p value that I generate is 0.002 and therefore I will reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the variance of call has reduced. So just to see how we do this in excel. We say `chisq.dist`, because remember this is not a standard Chi square test. 12.8, degrees of freedom is 29, and cumulative is true and that gives me a p value of 0.004 rounded off. Therefore I reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is difference in the variance post the new approach.

Because now we are rejecting the null hypothesis that there is no difference in variance between the sample and the population. So that is an example of a Chi square test of variance. Remember the Chi square test of variance is a different Chi square test. It is a parametric test as opposed to the non-parametric test of association and goodness of fit test.