Faculty Senate

Executive Committee
Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date: April 23, 2012

Attendance:

| Bob Cooney (chair 2011-2012) | Х | Thomas Conway | Е | Kristin Herrick (Staff) | X | Chancellor Hinshaw | Greg Takayama | Others: | |
|--|---|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|--|
| Bonnyjean Manini (V. Chair) | Х | Ian Pagano | Х | | | VCGRE Ostrander | VCFO Cutshaw | PRES Greenwood | |
| Lilikalā Kameʻeleihiwa (Secretary) | Х | Carolyn Stephenson | Х | | | VCAA Dasenbrock | AVCSA Lori Ideta | | |
| Richard Chadwick | Х | | | | | VCSA Hernandez | | | |

| Subject | Discussion | Action/Strategy |
|---------------|--|-----------------|
| CALL TO ORDER | The meeting convened at 2:45 p.m. in HH 208. | |
| CHAIRS REPORT | CHAIRS REPORT The proposed Chancellor names have gone forward to the President, and so the Search Committee's charge is done. They were told not to rank them but in their own way they did so. He would prefer the search process looked at internal candidates first, and then go outside, as current way makes it harder for internal candidates to be considered. One would achieve a cheaper salary in this manner, and we may want to bring this up again in the fall. He received an email from a faculty member, expressing concern about being to open with what we are putting on the website, especially regarding the Biochem degree, which means the entire world can know about it. He thinks we should limit access to those with a UH email address. An SEC member says it can be done but it would take some time. This is a consideration but not urgent. Although he was not specific, we might want to keep thinking about info that should not be shared. SEC election has concluded with 70% of senators voting. So we certify it today as there have been no objections. SEC moved to certify today with 1 abstention. | |

| ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT • Discussion on how the Manoa wide committees have not submitted reports for the newsletter, and decision was made to send it out in June as then can announce the new SEC members and new chair. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OLD BUSINESS • May Senate Agenda • Other Pending SEC Issues • Institutional Learning Outcomes (#25) Outcomes v. Objectives • Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) Awaiting VCAA proposal • Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | Subject | Discussion | Action/Strategy |
|---|-----------------|---|-----------------|
| Discussion on how the Manoa wide committees have not submitted reports for the newsletter, and decision was made to send it out in June as then can announce the new SEC members and new chair. After much discussion the minutes of 4/16/12 were approved as amended. After much discussion the minutes of 4/16/12 were approved as amended. OLD BUSINESS OLD BUSINESS May Senate Agenda Other Pending SEC Issues Institutional Learning Outcomes (#25) Outcomes v. Objectives Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) Awaiting VCAA proposal Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | | | |
| Discussion on how the Manoa wide committees have not submitted reports for the newsletter, and decision was made to send it out in June as then can announce the new SEC members and new chair. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OLD BUSINESS OLD BUSINESS OLD BUSINESS OLD BUSINESS Institutional Learning Outcomes (#25) Outcomes v. Objectives Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) Awaiting VCAA proposal Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | | | |
| and decision was made to send it out in June as then can announce the new SEC members and new chair. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OLD BUSINESS OLD BUSINESS • May Senate Agenda • Other Pending SEC Issues • Institutional Learning Outcomes (#25) Outcomes v. Objectives • Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) Awaiting VCAA proposal • Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS REC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | ADMIN REPORT | | |
| APPROVAL OF MINUTES OLD BUSINESS OLD BUSINESS Other Pending SEC Issues Institutional Learning Outcomes (#25) Outcomes v. Objectives Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) Awaiting VCAA proposal Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS NEW BUSINESS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | | | |
| APPROVAL OF MINUTES OLD BUSINESS OLD BUSINESS OLD BUSINESS May Senate Agenda Other Pending SEC Issues Institutional Learning Outcomes (#25) Outcomes v. Objectives Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) Awaiting VCAA proposal Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS ACTION ITEMS After much discussion the minutes of 4/16/12 were approved as amended. May Senate Agenda May Senate Agenda Potential Development Committee (#66) Awaiting VCAA proposal Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | | | |
| OLD BUSINESS OLD BUSINESS May Senate Agenda Other Pending SEC Issues Institutional Learning Outcomes (#25) Outcomes v. Objectives Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) Awaiting VCAA proposal Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | | now onan. | |
| OLD BUSINESS • May Senate Agenda • Other Pending SEC Issues • Institutional Learning Outcomes (#25) • Outcomes v. Objectives • Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) • Awaiting VCAA proposal • Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS NEW BUSINESS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | APPROVAL OF | After much discussion the minutes of 4/16/12 were approved as amended. | |
| May Senate Agenda Other Pending SEC Issues Institutional Learning Outcomes (#25) Outcomes v. Objectives Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) Awaiting VCAA proposal Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | | | |
| Other Pending SEC Issues Institutional Learning Outcomes (#25) Outcomes v. Objectives Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) Awaiting VCAA proposal Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | OLD BUSINESS | | |
| Institutional Learning Outcomes (#25) Outcomes v. Objectives Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) | | | |
| Outcomes v. Objectives Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) Awaiting VCAA proposal Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | | | |
| FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS Faculty Professional Development Committee (#66) Awaiting VCAA proposal Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) NEW BUSINESS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | | | |
| Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | | | |
| FUTURE BUSINESS AND ACTION ITEMS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | | | |
| AND ACTION ITEMS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | | Proposed New Peer and Benchmark Groups (#71) | |
| AND ACTION ITEMS SEC member has suggested that the VCAA Proposed New Peer and Benchmarks Report (#71) be sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | FUTURE BUSINESS | NEW BUSINESS | |
| sent to appropriate SEC committees for discussion and review in the future. To be put on the SEC | | NEW BOSINESS | |
| | ACTION ITEMS | | |
| | | | |
| agenda for further discussion. | | agenda for further discussion. | |
| Discussion on the May agenda and reordering of agenda items. | | Discussion on the May agenda and reordering of agenda items. | |
| | | | |
| In future need to discuss the issue of stopping scholarships for faculty dependents at UH | | | |
| campuses. Since scholarship monies come from tuition and are now being used for international students, what kind of scholarships are we giving out and what are the priorities? | | | |
| students, what kind of scholarships are we giving out and what are the phonties? | | Students, what kind of scholarships are we giving out and what are the phonties? | |
| Diversity of Faculty Hiring Procedures used in California was brought up by an SEC member and | | Diversity of Faculty Hiring Procedures used in California was brought up by an SEC member and | |
| will be discussed at a later time. | | | |
| COMMITTEE See Chair's discussion below. REPORTS | _ | See Chair's discussion below. | |
| VISIT FROM SEC 3 PM Committee Chairs have joined us [Ron Bontekoe of CORGE, Doug Vincent of CAB. Halina of | | 3 PM Committee Chairs have joined us IRon Bontekoe of CORGE, Doug Vincent of CAR, Haling of | |
| COMMITTEE CHAIRS CPM, Stacey Roberts of CAPP, Pat Masters of CSA, and others] | | | |
| | | | |
| The Chair of CORGE sent a letter to SEC re increase in math requirements for high school | | | |
| students entering UH Manoa. A Resolution on the Strategic Plan Implementation Committee | | | |
| doctoral admissions proposal, with a lot of provisions, will be forthcoming, as well as the JABSOM tenure proposal, but at this point CPM is still working on the latter. On Thursday he is meeting with | | | |
| Dean Hedges regarding questions. CAB has asked CORGE to look at the whistle blower policy. | | | |

| Subject | Discussion | Action/Strategy |
|---------|---|-----------------|
| | CAB is reviewing the Student Life and Development reorganization. At first glance, it does not look too complicated, and on Thursday Jan Javinar is coming to meet with them. The Whistle Blower document is still an issue. | |
| | CPM is finished. Regarding the Athletic fee issue, they decided to support ASUH to survey the students. ASUH created a survey, as they felt they were forced to pay the fee. | |
| | MAC will be submitting the final version of their report, which will include deliberations of the ILO working group, as well as the critical thinking and high DFIW rate working groups. Next year they want to work on self-assessment reports, and at present there is no feedback. But MAC wants to work with assessment office to make a process for feedback, and develop a method to show what are the better forms. Todd Salmons to make a final report. High fail committee only met twice, and will continue next year. | |
| | CPM is evaluating the JABSOM proposal closely as it seems that different people from JABSOM have different versions of what exactly is being proposed – is it .5 FTE, or is it 1 FTE with half paid by UHM and the other half from grants? So step one is to have the proposal rewritten so that there is no ambiguity. Thursday's meeting with Dean Hedges is to see what exactly is going on. Re: the Report on Tenure and Recommendation review, it recommends to do what UHPA contract says that you can do, with Rank 4 faculty and from within the discipline. DPC committee instructions are in UHPA. Next year CPM will take up the issues of Emeritus faculty, Language of promotion applications, as to whether materials are in Hawaiian or in English [VCAA should pay for professional translation, instead of drawing from a small subset] VCAA thought those concerned might be very concerned, so that will wait for discussion next year. Evaluation for administrations/Administrators is an issue for the next chancellor. Are the VCs supposed to submit resignations when the new chancellor comes in? | |
| | CFS reports that the Faculty Senate Election is done and certified. | |
| | CORGE issue for next year is relationship between CORGE and Grad council which has not been settled, especially in regard to program reviews, which took a long time and really did not get brought up to Grad council in March. At that time, the 2 CORGE reps could not get to the Grad Council meeting where the specifics of the relations would have been worked out. One thing did not work at all between CORGE and Grad Council was Grad Council becoming co-chaired, and that needs to be discussed further. | |
| | Chair – SEC needs to select 5 people to sit on grad council. Perhaps it would help if there was a meeting with Pat Cooper and the SEC on the matter of co-chairs of Grad council and CORGE? Or perhaps this should be an issue for the next chancellor to help massage the issue from the top down? | |
| | Since the CORGE rep was not a co-chair of the Grad Council, the CORGE had no influence in the agenda, and it was rare that he could put anything on the agenda. CORGE chair will write down all | |

| Subject | Discussion | Action/Strategy |
|---------|--|-----------------|
| | outstanding issues in CORGE's year end report, especially those with the Grad Council so that next year CORGE can work on standards for how to review Grad programs. Another issue is that who gets to have Grad faculty status, and how are those decisions made. | |
| | Halina suggests that SEC and chairs make plans for meeting with the new chancellor. | |
| | CAB bylaws revision maybe worked on this summer and will be part of his final report. | |
| | SEC chair really impressed at the ways committees are functioning, and chairs have done a tremendous job, and now that everything is on line [with Kristin's help!] it is much easier for all of us doing the work. It really helps, as we see in the issue with PBRC. | |
| | SEC is reminded of the CAPP resolution in 2004 that the administration totally ignored on resolution to look for increase in undergraduate GPA for admission. Ron Bontekoe had been suggesting raising the required GPA to 2.5. Currently, only 17.7% of UHM students graduate in 4 years. | |
| | Chairs leave at 4:30 pm. | |
| | | |