## Faculty Senate Executive Committee Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date: September 27, 2010

Attendance:

Susan Χ Chancellor Hinshaw X Greg Takayama Others:

Hippensteele (Chair)

Lilikala Martin Rayner X VCGRE Ostrander VCAFO Cutshaw

Kame`eleihiwa

Shirley Daniel Halina Zaleski Χ VCAA Dasenbrock Rosanne David Duffy Χ VCSA Hernandez

Harrigan

Subject	Discussion	Action/Strategy
Call to order	Meeting convened at 3 p.m.  The minutes of the Sept 13 meeting were discussed, and approved as revised.  The Minutes for the Sept 20 meeting are posted on the Laulima website for review.  Other SEC meeting notes from summer will be posted on Laulima so that they can be reviewed, augmented and approved as soon as possible.	Sept 13 minutes will be forwarded to Carrol K for posting.
Chairs Report	There was no MET meeting.	
Status of Student Conduct Code	While the administration has proposed a policy that requires all violations to be reported, faculty may want to retain the prerogative to deal with student cheating as they see fit. The issue was reviewed by CAPP and CSA in spring 2010 and the Senate approved the interim policy with the admonition that the matter be subject to fuller consultation in fall 2010 by the UHM faculty senate and the ACCFSC. The question was raised whether ASUH and GSO were consulted on the issue.	The item should be referred back to CAPP and CSA or a final consultation and comment.
Hiring APT for the faculty senate	The job posting should be out in early October. A committee of the whole SEC, as members are available, will interview the candidates. Hippensteele will draft some common questions to help screen the candidates.	
Committee reports	The Committee on Research met and a summary of the meeting was emailed to the SEC. One of the biggest items regards the reorg proposal for PBRC.	Post committee minutes including reports on Senate web site.
	Both CPM and CAB have issued reports on PBRC, and the SEC discussed	SEC will combine and summarize the

Subject	Discussion	Action/Strategy
	whether they should forward these reports to CoR or wait until CoR deliberates. Neither report is on the Senate website yet, although they have been approved by the committees in their fall meeting deliberations. The BOR committee on academic affairs is trying to schedule a meeting to review the PBRC. It might be helpful for the CoR to outline issues that are still not clear, and the issues and organizational structures that best move the UH's research agenda forward.	committee reports.
Meeting with V. Hinshaw	The Chancellor entered the room 4:45.  The SEC and the Chancellor agreed about the upcoming Congress dates and topics.	
	School of Global Health. The Chancellor indicated that the faculty involved in public health have made a lot of progress, and perhaps the timing might be right to bring back a public health, for example UC Berkeley. The Chancellor worked with UC Berkeley before, and preliminary discussions show some interest on their part. One of the priorities for UH would be in Asia, and a global focus is clearly the norm, and within that our focus would be Asia. The focus on water is also of interest to both UC Berkeley and UH. There seem to be interested donors, and there is a need for trained graduates in public health. Jay Maddock has been asked to do some fact finding on the need and current status of the program, and whether the timing is right now. It would probably take 2 to 3 years to develop a reaccredited school. The Chancellor noted that we are not eligible for some grants (within NIH) without a public health school, and administrative resources could be shared with the med school making the program more cost effective. Some faculty/staff might be shifted/shared between CRCH and public health, as both organizations have some epidemiological focus. The Public Health program has continued to grant degrees, and has been growing, and their research funding has increased. The program could coordinate with Tripler, and other agencies. Partnering with other universities and organizations would definitely be needed. There will eventually be a reorganization proposal but it isn't ready yet.  UHWO/UHM mission: The SEC brought up the issue of UHM's position within the UH Strategic Plan, particularly in relation to UHWO. There is concern about duplication of efforts and how to grow enrollments at UHM. The recent news article about the cost of UHM relative to other campuses highlights some of the publicity issues we face at UHM. The Chancellor noted that she is working with the Chancellor of UHWO and UHH to encourage students to go on for a graduate degree. She is hopeful that we can work together to avoid duplication and enha	

	Action/Strategy
issues. UHH is now participating in that, and another consortium in engineering is also underway.	
The SEC noted that a follow-on of the budget workshops done jointly by ACCFSC might be a discussion of cooperation on more degree programs. Coordination of distance education offerings, articulation within disciplines, etc. are also related to this. We will need to partner better. The broad band grant will improve our capacity to do distance education.	
There has been little coordination at UHM regarding distance education, and we might be well served to do more of this and provide training to faculty. Learning assessments are important to incorporate in all our courses and can be integrated into the discussions.	
Faculty groups working together to fill a need (e.g. med tech, nursing) might be a good way to move forward.	
APEC - How will UHM be involved? The Chancellor noted that there are some events such as the engineering competition that might be held on campus to highlight our programs. Security for the event is a concern, however, there might be some participants that might be on campus. Obviously the EWC might have some events. With regard to international communities, the Chancellor would like to have some materials about how UH has been connected with Asian communities, intellectually and culturally. Outside the official dates, there may be a desire on the part of APEC attendees to see what is going on in Hawai'i, we might have special tours or programs. There may be a need for volunteers and translators. A question was raised about how students might be involved.	
Academic progress report on Student athletes to the whole senate. In the past, this report has been only distributed to the CoAthletics. However, we might want to distribute to the Senate as a whole. One Senator suggested that the report could be filed and available along with other similar reports on the Manoa Assessment Office web site.	
Definition of Good Academic Standing. The catalogue p. 19 contains a definition, but different departments/colleges may differ in their requirements. CAPP is looking at the issue of harmonizing standards across disciplines. The Chancellor noted her concern, whatever the definition of good academic standing is, regarding the consequences of not being in good academic standing. She doesn't want to alienate students from a positive peer group that may help them to remediate their grades, rather than isolate them which might result in the student dropping out. A Senator clarified that the penalty for athletes and band members is that probationary students are still in the organization but cannot travel with the	
	also underway.  The SEC noted that a follow-on of the budget workshops done jointly by ACCFSC might be a discussion of cooperation on more degree programs. Coordination of distance education offerings, articulation within disciplines, etc. are also related to this. We will need to partner better. The broad band grant will improve our capacity to do distance education.  There has been little coordination at UHM regarding distance education, and we might be well served to do more of this and provide training to faculty. Learning assessments are important to incorporate in all our courses and can be integrated into the discussions.  Faculty groups working together to fill a need (e.g. med tech, nursing) might be a good way to move forward.  APEC - How will UHM be involved? The Chancellor noted that there are some events such as the engineering competition that might be held on campus to highlight our programs. Security for the event is a concern, however, there might be some participants that might be on campus. Obviously the EWC might have some events. With regard to international communities, the Chancellor would like to have some materials about how UH has been connected with Asian communities, intellectually and culturally. Outside the official dates, there may be a desire on the part of APEC attendees to see what is going on in Hawai'i, we might have special tours or programs. There may be a need for volunteers and translators. A question was raised about how students might be involved.  Academic progress report on Student athletes to the whole senate. In the past, this report has been only distributed to the CoAthletics. However, we might want to distribute to the Senate as a whole. One Senator suggested that the report could be filed and available along with other similar reports on the Manoa Assessment Office web site.  Definition of Good Academic Standing. The catalogue p. 19 contains a definition, but different departments/colleges may differ in their requirements. CAPP is looking at the issue of harmoni

Subject	Discussion	Action/Strategy
	without isolating them.	
	The SEC would like the catalog to be changed to be consistent with the resolution passed last spring.	
	The Chancellor mentioned that the NRC doctoral report will be coming out shortly, and we will have some work to do. Retention, percentage graduation, and time to degree are issues. Recognition/awards for faculty are also an issue (for example Sloan fellows). Doing a better job of publicizing how/when UH faculty are cited/mentioned/published in national sources would help. Funding for doctoral students could be looked at, as it might be related to time to degree. Use of grad students as TAs could also be a possibility. Prioritizing doctoral programs to areas of strength is in order. She emphasized that the rankings were not the point, but we wanted to begin gathering data and start a discussion on how programs could be improved. She would like our feedback on the reports. She noted that 25% of our students are graduate students and perhaps don't get the attention they deserve. The SEC noted that we have formed a WGGE to look at such issues and whether the Senate needs a standing committee on Graduate Ed.  The Chancellor asked for highlights for the October BOR meeting.  The Chancellor left at 6:20.	
Committee reports	WGGE has met, and are getting started with their discussions.	
	CAB has met and has been discussing the classroom issue with VCAA.  CFS has met, and now is embracing their responsibility for populating administrative committees. They also discussed voting for the senate, to try to	
	balance the continuing vs. the new senators. The voting process will be simplified to allow for instant runoffs.	
	CoA will meet this week.	
	MAC did not meet.	
	CPM did not meet.	
	GEC and CSA—no report (Kame`eleihiwa absent)	
	CAPP—no report (Harrigan absent)	

Subject	Discussion	Action/Strategy
	The meeting adjourned at 6:30 p.m.	