Jason Caplan

Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Professor Leff

HW12

1. Explanation of dynamic programming approach

- We use the 2-d array with the following semantics: the i^{th} row represents the i^{th} denomination, the j^{th} column represents the target amount of change to be made, and the value stored in [i,j] represents the fewest number of coins needed to make the target amount of change j using the first $1 \dots i$ denominations.
- Furtherore, we can define our sub-problems as follows: using only the first $1 \dots i$ denominations, the way to make change for a target j with the fewest number of coins will either be:
 - CASE I | The same as when using the first i-1 denominations (if throwing another coin of this denomination into the mix does not produce a more optimal set of coins)

 -or-
 - CASE II | The best way to make change for a target that is *denominations*[i] smaller than the current target, or j denominations[i], plus 1 more coin of the i^{th} denomination to reach the original target j
- \triangleright The two cases above can be compared, and the minimum chosen as the value for [i,j]
- ➤ We also realize that the far-left of every row can be trivially filled in without even doing any comparisons
 - o In detail, the first *denominations*[i] elements of a given row will always fall under case I, since no change can be made for a target x involving a coin of a value greater than x. Furthermore, the *denominations*[i]th element of each row will contain a 1, because the best change is simply using 1 coin of the given denomination to reach said target

2. Notation and Recurrence

 \triangleright Calling the 2-d array C, using the semantics for i and j described above, and using S^* for the optimal set of coins for [i,j], we have:

$$C[i,j] = \begin{cases} C[i-1,j] & i \notin S^* \\ C[i,j-denominations[i]]+1 & i \in S^* \\ C[i-1,j] & j < denominations[i] \\ 1 & j = denominations[i] \\ \infty & C[i-1,j] = 0 \text{ or } \infty \end{cases}$$
Base Cases

 \triangleright Or more concretely, since S^* is defined by whichever set of coins has the smallest size, (ignoring base cases for simplicity):

$$C[i,j] = \min(C[i-1,j], C[i,j-denominations[i]] + 1)$$

- 3. Payout Method and "Big-O" Space/Computation
 - The payout method "retraces our steps" to find the coins used, as follows:
 - Start at "bottom right" of C, or C[denominations.length 1, N]
 - O Until we get to the "top left" of C, or C[0,0], we move along the traceback path with the following rules:

- If C[i,j] == C[i,j-1], we know we did not use any more of the i^{th} denomination, so we decrement i to reflect as such
- If C[i,j] < C[i,j-1], we did utilize a coin of the i^{th} denomination, so we increment payout[i] and decrement j by denominations[i] to reflect as such

> Space

The only space utilized is that of the 2-d array, which is of size $n \times N$, where n is the number of denominations, and N is the target amount of change. Therefore we have $O(n \times N)$

> Time

- Filling in the 2-d array we visit each element exactly once, and do O(1) work each time, for a total of $O(n \times N)$
- Retracing our steps can take a maximum of *N* iterations, in the worst-case where we used *N* coins to make change for *N*. This is dwarfed by the filling-in process